Logarithmic forms of algebraic varieties

By Shigeru IITAKA

In the paper [2], "On logarithmic Kodaira dimension of algebraic varieties", the author introduced the concepts of logarithmic plurigenera $P_n$ and of logarithmic Kodaira dimension $\bar{\kappa}$, which plays the important role in the study of algebraic varieties up to proper birational equivalence. Abelian varieties and Albanese maps are very useful in the theory of birational geometry of complete algebraic varieties.

Here we shall introduce the analogs of abelian varieties and Albanese maps into the classification theory of algebraic varieties up to proper birational equivalence. For the purpose, we study logarithmic 1-forms by applying Deligne's theorem concerning Hodge structure of algebraic varieties [1]. We consider logarithmic forms along singular divisors, which are logarithmic forms in the sense of [1] or [2]. As for 1-forms, both definitions coincide (Theorem 1). In the proof, the homomorphism $d\log: E_0 \to T_1(V)/T_1(\bar{V})$ is introduced and it may be regarded as the inverse of the residue map: Res of A. Weil. Note that by Theorem 1, we know that logarithmic 1-forms are exactly the same as rational 1-forms of the third kind in the sense of J. P. Serre [3].

Quasi-abelian varieties were defined in [2], which are extensions of abelian varieties by algebraic tori. These are occasionally called semi-abelian varieties (by Mumford). However, in proper birational geometry of quasi-projective varieties, these play the same role as abelian varieties do in birational geometry. Further, the quasi-Albanese map is defined and the universal property of it is proved in Proposition 4. As a simple application of the theory of quasi-Albanese maps we give another proof of the unit theorem by Ax and Lichtenbaum in [4].

Such a universal property was formulated and the existence of the universal object was proved for the wider classes of commutative algebraic groups of certain ty pe by Serre [3]. His method is purely algebraic. But our theory of quasi-Albanese maps are geometric and may be useful for the classification theory of algebraic varieties in proper birational geometry. Actually, we can develop the classification theory of quasi-projective planes, i.e., $P^2$-divisor, by using $\bar{\kappa}$ and $\bar{q}$, in other words, by logarithmic pluricanonical maps and quasi-Albanese maps. The
classification theory of quasi-projective planes is much simpler than Enriques’ classification theory of projective surfaces but is very similar to the classical one.

For that purpose we need to analyze subvarieties of a quasi-abelian variety. In particular, we have to transplant Ueno’s theorems concerning abelian varieties into the field of our proper birational geometry. In fact, we formulate and prove counterparts of Ueno’s theorems for closed subvarieties of a quasi-abelian variety (Theorems 4, 5, 6) and for open subvarieties of a quasi-abelian variety (Theorem 7 and Proposition 11). As an application, we get the following result: Let \( V = \mathbb{P}^n \) — a union of hyperplanes. Then \( V = \mathbb{A}^n \times G^a \times V_1 \), \( V_1 \) being of hyperbolic type. In this example, we have a very simple classification theory, which is, at the same time, very similar to the Enriques-Kodaira classification theory of surfaces.

The author would like to dedicate this paper to the memory of late Doctor Taira Honda, whose work on abelian varieties is very deep and important. During the completion of this paper, the discussions with Professors M. Nagata, T. Oda, and Mr. Y. Kawamata were very helpful, to whom the author gives hearty thanks.

1. Let \( k \) be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, occasionally \( k = \mathbb{C} \).
We shall work in the category of schemes over \( k \). Let \( V \) be a complete non-singular algebraic variety and \( D = \sum D_j \) an effective divisor with multiplicity 1 at each irreducible component (reduced divisor).

We define the sheaf \( \Omega^q(\log D) \) of germs of logarithmic q-forms along \( D \) as follows:

(i) \( \Omega^q(\log D) \) is the subsheaf of \( \Omega^q_G(\ast) \), the sheaf of rational q forms of \( V \),

(ii) \( \Omega^q(\log D)|_V = \Omega^q \), \( V \) being \( V - D \),

(iii) for any \( p \in D \), let \( (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \) be a system of regular parameters of \( V \) at \( p \) and let \( f_1 \cdots f_n = 0 \) define \( D \) around \( p \). Then

\[
\omega = \sum a_i(z) \frac{df_i}{f_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{df_i}{f_i} \wedge dz_{i+r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{i+q}, \ a_i(z) \in C_p.
\]

Define

\[ T_{n_1, \ldots, n_m}(V, D) = H^n(V, (\Omega^1 \log D)^{\otimes n_1} \cdots \otimes (\Omega^n \log D)^{\otimes n_m}). \]

Here by \( (V, D) \) we denote the pair of \( V \) and \( D \). Then for any morphism \( f: V_1 \to V_2 \), we have a linear map

\[ f^*: T_{n_1, \ldots, n_m}(V_2, D_2) \to T_{m_1, \ldots, m_n, 0, \ldots, 0}(V_1, f^{-1}(D_2)) \hspace{1em} 1) \]

1) For an effective divisor \( D \), we have \( f^*(D) = \sum r_j C_j \), \( r_j > 0 \), \( C_j \) being prime divisors.
Define \( f^{-1}(D) = \sum C_j \).
when \( n = \dim V_2 \). If \( V_2 = V_2 - \bar{D}_2 \), \( V_1 = V_1 - \bar{D}_1 \subset V_1 \to V_1 - f^{-1}(\bar{D}_2) = f^{-1}(V_2) \), and \( f = f^{-1}|_{V_1} \), then

\[
f^*: T_{n_1, \ldots, n_n}(V_2, \bar{D}_2) \longrightarrow T_{n_1, \ldots, n_n}(V_1, \bar{D}_1)
\]

\[
\downarrow \quad f^* \\
T_{n_1, \ldots, n_n, 0, \ldots, 0}(V_1, f^{-1}\bar{D}_2).
\]

Note that \( f \) is proper if and only if \( \bar{D}_1 = f^{-1}\bar{D}_2 \). Moreover, assume that \( V_1 = V_2 \). Then

\[
\dim T_{n_1, \ldots, n_n}(V_1, \bar{D}_1) \geq \dim T_{n_1, \ldots, n_n}(V_2, \bar{D}_2).
\]

In [2], we proved that if \( \bar{D}_1 \) is a divisor of normal crossing type, \( \dim T_{n_1, \ldots, n_n}(V_1, \bar{D}_1) \) is determined only by \( V_1 = V_1 - \bar{D}_1 \). Hence we write

\[
T_{n_1, \ldots, n_n}(V_1) = T_{n_1, \ldots, n_n}(V_1, \bar{D}_1)
\]

Thus letting \( \bar{V} \) be a smooth compactification of \( V_1 = V \) with boundary \( \bar{D} \), we have

\[
\bar{p}_{n_1, \ldots, n_n}(V) = \dim T_{n_1, \ldots, n_n}(V) \geq \dim T_{n_1, \ldots, n_n}(\bar{V}, \bar{D})
\]

**PROBLEM:** \( \bar{p}_{n_1, \ldots, n_n}(V) = \dim T_{n_1, \ldots, n_n}(\bar{V}, \bar{D}) \)?

2. Write \( T_i(V) = T_{0, \ldots, 0, \ldots, 0}(V) \) and \( T_i(\bar{V}, \bar{D}) = T_{0, \ldots, 0, \ldots, 0}(\bar{V}, \bar{D}) \).

**THEOREM 1.** \( T_i(V) = T_i(\bar{V}, \bar{D}) \).

**PROOF.** Let \( \{V_a = \text{Spec } A_a\} \) be a covering of affine open subsets of \( V \) and for each \( \bar{D}_j \), fix a regular function \( F_{a, j} \in A_a \) which defines \( D_j \cap V_a \). Then \( (F_{a, j}/F_{b, j}) = e_{a, b, j} \in H^1(V, \mathcal{O}^*) \), which we write \( \delta(\bar{D}_j) \). Thus we have a homomorphism:

\[
\delta: E = \sum Z\bar{D}_j \to H^1(V, \mathcal{O}^*).
\]

Consider the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^1(V, \mathcal{O}) & \cong & 0 \\
\downarrow j & & \\
E = \sum Z\bar{D}_j & \to & H^1(V, \mathcal{O}^*) \cap H^1(V, \mathcal{O}^*) \\
\downarrow \vartheta & \cap & \\
H^2(V, Z) & \to & H^2(\bar{V}, C)
\end{array}
\]

Put \( \text{Ker } (\vartheta \vartheta) = E_0 \subset E \). Take \( A \in E_0 \). On each \( V_a \), \( A \) is defined by

\[
F_a = c_a \Pi F_{a, j}, \quad n_j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad c_a \in A_a^*.
\]
\$\partial(\mathcal{A})=0\$ implies the existence of \(\{a_{a,\beta}\} \in H^1(\overline{V}, \mathcal{O})\) such that

\[
F' = (\exp 2\pi \sqrt{-1} a_{a,\beta}) F_{\beta} \quad \text{on} \quad V_a \cap V_{\beta}.
\]

From this follows

\[
\frac{dF_a}{F_a} = 2\pi \sqrt{-1} da_{a,\beta} + \frac{dF_{\beta}}{F_{\beta}}.
\]

On the other hand \(o(a_{a,\beta})=0\) implies \(da_{a,\beta} = (\omega_a - \omega_{\beta})/2\pi \sqrt{-1}\), where \(\omega_a\) is a holomorphic 1-form on \(V_a\). Hence

\[
\frac{dF_a}{F_a} - \omega_a = \frac{dF_{\beta}}{F_{\beta}} - \omega_{\beta}.
\]

Thus we get a logarithmic form \([dF_a/F_a - \omega_a] \in T_1(\overline{V}, \overline{D})\). However \([\omega_a]\) is unique up to an addition of logarithmic 1-form. Hence, if we fix \(F_{a,1}, \ldots, F_{a,r}\), we obtain a homomorphism \(d\log\):

\[
E_0 \ni \mathcal{A} = \{a_{a,1} F_{a,1}^a, \ldots, F_{a,r}^a\} \mapsto \left\{ \sum a_{i,j} \frac{dF_{a,j}}{F_{a,j}} - \omega_{a}\right\} \in T_1(\overline{V}, \overline{D})/T_1(\overline{V})\).
\]

If \(d\log \mathcal{A}=0\), then \(dF_{a}/F_a\) is holomorphic. Hence \(F_a \in A^a\), and so \(\mathcal{A}=0\) in \(E_0\). Put \(r=\text{rank } E_0\). Then we have a base \(\{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}\). By \(F_{a,j}\) we denote the \(F_a\) for \(\mathcal{A}\).

We get \(Q\)-linearly independent \(d\log a_1, \ldots, d\log a_r\). We prove that these are \(C\)-linearly independent by the following

**Lemma 1.** Let Spec \(A\) be a \(C\)-algebraic non-singular variety and let \(F_1, \ldots, F_r \in A\) and \(\hat{F}_i := \Pi F_i^{a_i}\). Assume that \(d\hat{F}_1/\hat{F}_1, \ldots, d\hat{F}_r/\hat{F}_r\) are \(Q\)-linearly independent modulo holomorphic 1-forms. Then these are \(C\)-linearly independent modulo holomorphic 1-forms.

**Proof.** Assume that

\[
\lambda_1 d\hat{F}_1/\hat{F}_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r d\hat{F}_r/\hat{F}_r = \text{holom.}, \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \in C.
\]

Let \(\beta = \text{dim}_Q(\Sigma Q\lambda_i)\).

We choose a base \(\{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_\beta\}\) of \(\Sigma Q\lambda_i\). Hence

\[
\lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^\beta \nu_{ij} \mu_j, \quad \nu_{ij} \in Q.
\]

Thus

\[
\Sigma \lambda_i d\hat{F}_i/\hat{F}_i = \Sigma (\Sigma \nu_{ij} d\hat{F}_i/\hat{F}_i) \mu_j.
\]

Put \(\hat{F}_j := \Pi \hat{F}_i^{a_i}\), where \(c=0\) is an integer such that \(c\nu_{ij} \in Z\). Hence

\[
\mu_i d\hat{F}_i/\hat{F}_i + \cdots + \mu_r d\hat{F}_r/\hat{F}_r = \text{holomorphic}.
\]
Then if $d\tilde{F}_1/\tilde{F}_1$ is not holomorphic, we have $F_1$ such that

$$\tilde{F}_1 = c_1 F_1^\# \cdots , \quad e_1 \neq 0 .$$

We choose a point $p \in (V(F_1) - \cup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_2} V(F_j)) \cap \text{Reg} (V(F_1))$. Then we have a system of regular parameters $(f_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_n)$ at $p$. Write $\tilde{F}_j = F_j^\# \cdot G_j$, in which $G_j$ is non-vanishing at $p$. Thus

$$\sum \mu_j d\tilde{F}_j/\tilde{F}_j = (\sum \mu_j e_j) dF_1/F_1 + \text{holom.} = \text{holom}.$$ 

Hence $\sum \mu_j e_j = 0$. This contradicts the choice of $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_p$. Therefore we obtain

$$\rho = \text{rank } E_0 \leq \dim T_1(V, \bar{D})/T_1(V) .$$

By duality and the fundamental exact sequence, writing $i : D \hookrightarrow V$, we get

$$H_1(V) = H_1(V - \bar{D}) \hookrightarrow H^{2n-1}(V, \bar{D}),$$

and

$$H^{2n-2}(V^*) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^{2n-2}(\bar{D}) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^{2n-1}(V, \bar{D}) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(V) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^{2n-1}(\bar{D}) = 0 .$$

Hence $b_1(V) = b_1(V) + r - \dim \text{Im } i^*$. By Poincaré's duality we have the dual $'i^*$ of $i^*$:

$$'i^* : H^0(\bar{D}) = \sum ZD_j \rightarrow H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Clearly, $'i^*(\mathcal{A})$ is the cohomology class of $\mathcal{A}$. Hence,

$$'i^* = \partial \partial^* .$$

And so $\rho = \dim \text{Ker } 'i^* = r - \dim \text{Im } i^*$. Hence

$$b_1(V) - b_1(V) = \rho .$$

**Proposition 1.** (Deligne).

$$\bar{q}V - q\bar{V} = b_1(V) - b_1(V) .$$

This follows from his theorem, the degeneracy of the spectral sequence of the cohomology of logarithmic forms ([I], (3.2.13), (ii)). Thus

$$\rho = \bar{q}V - q\bar{V} = \dim (T_1(V)/T_1(V)) .$$

Consequently, $\dim T_1(V) = \dim T_1(V, \bar{D})$. Hence $T_1(V, \bar{D}) = T_1(V)$. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

**Example 1.** Let $\bar{S}$ be an algebraic $K3$ surface and $\bar{D}$ a purely 1-dimensional curve on it. Assume $\bar{s}(\bar{S} - \bar{D}) = 0$. Then $\bar{D} = \sum D_j$ satisfies 1) $D_j^2 = -2$ and 2) $\langle D_i, D_j \rangle$
is negative definite (see Example 4 of [2]). Hence we have a Dynkin diagram: \( A_n, D_n, \cdots \). Anyway 2) implies that \( D_1, \cdots, D_r \) are linearly independent in \( H^2(\bar{S}, \mathbb{Z}) \). Thus \( q(\bar{S} - \bar{D}) = 0 \). Note that these \( \bar{S} - \bar{D} \) may be regarded as some versions of K3 surfaces. We have a sublattice \( \sum Z D_i \subset H^2(\bar{S}, \mathbb{Z}) \). By the theory of elementary divisors, we have a base \( \{ \tau_1, \cdots, \tau_{2n} \} \) of \( H^2(\bar{S}, \mathbb{Z}) \) such that \( D_1 = d_1 \tau_1, \cdots, D_r = d_r \tau_r \). Then \( \tau_1^2 = 2 = d_1^2 \). Hence \( d_1 = 1 \). Moreover, the intersection form of \( H^2(\bar{S}, \mathbb{Z}) \) is of the form \( E_8 \oplus E_8 \oplus U \oplus U \oplus U \), where \( U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) and \( E_8 \) denotes a matrix corresponding to the Dynkin diagram \( E_8 \). Hence we get \( r \leq 16 \).

3. In [1], Deligne proves that logarithmic \( q \)-forms are \( d \)-closed. Hence fixing a point \( o \in V \), we have a multivalued function \( \int_0^p \omega \) for \( \omega \in T_1(V) \).

Choose a base \( \{ \omega_1, \cdots, \omega_r \} \) of \( T_1(V) \) and a base \( \{ \omega_q, \varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_r \} \) of \( T_1(V) \), where \( q = q(V) \) and \( t = q(V) - q(V) \). Let \( \{ \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{2n} \} \) be a base of the free part of \( H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}) \) and consider the exact sequence:

\[
H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_1(\bar{V}, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(V, V; \mathbb{Z})
\]

\[
H^{2n-1}(D^0; \mathbb{Z}) = 0, \quad i \text{ being the immersion: } V \hookrightarrow \bar{V}.
\]

Hence we choose \( \gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_r \in \text{Ker } i_* \) such that \( \{ \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{2n}, \gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_r \} \) forms a base of the free part of \( H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}) \). Put vectors in \( \mathbb{C}^x \):

\[
A_1 = \left( \int_{\xi_1} \omega_1, \cdots, \int_{\xi_1} \omega_r, \cdots, \int_{\xi_1} \varphi_1 \right)
\]

\[
A_2 = \left( \int_{\xi_2} \omega_1, \cdots, \int_{\xi_2} \omega_r, \cdots, \int_{\xi_2} \varphi_1 \right)
\]

\[
B_1 = \left( \int_{\gamma_1} \omega_1, \cdots, \int_{\gamma_1} \omega_r, \cdots, \int_{\gamma_1} \varphi_1 \right)
\]

\[
B_2 = \left( \int_{\gamma_2} \omega_1, \cdots, \int_{\gamma_2} \omega_r, \cdots, \int_{\gamma_2} \varphi_1 \right).
\]

Note that

\[
\int_{\gamma_i} \omega_j = \int_{\gamma_i} i^* \omega_j = \int_{i^* \gamma_i} \omega_j = 0.
\]
Proposition 2. These vectors $A_1, \cdots, A_{2r}$, $B_1, \cdots, B_l$ are $R-C$ linearly independent.

Proof. Assume
\[ \sum a_i A_i + \sum b_j B_j = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad a_i \in R, \quad b_j \in C. \]
From the note above, we see that $a_1, \cdots, a_{2r}$ are all 0, because the former parts of $A_i$ are $R$-linearly independent. Put
\[ \hat{B}_j = \left( \int_{v_j} \varphi_1, \cdots, \int_{v_j} \varphi_l \right). \]
Then we have only to prove that $\hat{B}_1, \cdots, \hat{B}_l$ are $C$-linearly independent. This is equivalent to saying that $\det (\langle B_1, \cdots, B_l \rangle) \neq 0$. Thus by the following lemma we complete the proof.

Lemma 2. Let $\varphi \in T_1(V)$ and assume $\int_{\eta} \varphi = 0$ for any $\eta \in$ the free part of $H_1(V, Z)$. Then $\varphi \in T_1(\overline{V})$.

Proof. If $\varphi \in T_1(\overline{V})$, $\varphi$ has a pole along some $\overline{D}_j$. Let $p$ be a general point of $\overline{D}_j$. Then we have a system of local coordinates $(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_k)$ around $p$ such that $z_i = 0$ defines $\overline{D}_j$. Hence
\[ \varphi = \alpha(z) \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + \text{holomorphic 1-form}. \]
Here we may assume $\alpha(z) = \alpha(z_2, \cdots, z_k)$. Since $d\varphi = 0$, we get
\[ d\varphi = d\alpha \wedge \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + d(\text{holom}) = 0. \]
Hence $d\alpha = 0$. This implies $\alpha$ is a constant. Consider a 1-circle $\gamma_j$ around $\overline{D}_j$ at $p$. Then
\[ 0 = \int_{\gamma_j} \varphi = \alpha \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} = a2\pi \sqrt{-1}. \]
Hence $\alpha = 0$. This implies that $\varphi$ is holomorphic at $p$.

In view of Lemma 2, we can choose $\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_l$ such that
\[ \int_{v_j} \varphi_i = 2\pi \sqrt{-1} \delta_{i,j}. \]
Therefore let $L = \sum ZA_i + \sum ZB_j$ and $L = \sum ZA_i$ modulo $C^i$ and $L_0 = \sum Z\hat{B}_j$. We get
\[ 0 \rightarrow C^i/L_0 \rightarrow C^{i+1}/L \rightarrow C^i/L \rightarrow 0. \]

Here \( T = C^i/L_0 \) is an algebraic torus \( G^*_a \) and \( \widetilde{A}_V = C^i/L \) is the Albanese variety of \( V \). Hence we may call \( \widetilde{A}_V = C^{i+1}/L \) the quasi-Albanese variety of \( V \).

4. For a point \( p \in V \), we define

\[ \alpha_V(p) = \left( \int_0^p \omega_1, \ldots, \int_0^p \omega_s, \int_0^p \varphi_1, \ldots, \int_0^p \varphi_t \right) \in \widetilde{A}_V. \]

This point does not depend on the choice of the path from 0 to \( p \). Thus we get the quasi-Albanese map:

\[ \alpha_V : V \rightarrow \widetilde{A}_V. \]

**Proposition 3.** \( \alpha_V \) is a rational map from \( V \).

**Proof.** May assume \( \tilde{D} \) to be a divisor of simple normal crossing type. Let \( p \in \tilde{D} \) and choose a system of regular parameters \((z_1, \ldots, z_n)\) such that \( z_1 \cdots z_r = 0 \) defines \( \tilde{D} \) around \( p \). As in the proof of Proposition 2,

\[ \varphi_j = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{ij} dz_i/z_i + \tilde{\varphi}_j, \]

where \( \alpha_{ij} \in C \) and the \( \tilde{\varphi}_j \) are holomorphic 1-forms around \( p \). Let \( \delta_j \) be a 1-circle around \( D_j \) near \( p \). Then \( i_{*} \delta_j = 0 \). Hence,

\[ \delta_j = \sum m_{ij} \eta_i + \text{a torsion}, \quad m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}. \]

From this follows

\[ \alpha_{ij} = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_j} \varphi_i = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \sum m_{ij} \int_{\gamma_i} \varphi_i = m_{ij}. \]

For a point \( p' \in V \) near \( p \),

\[ \exp \left( \int_{0}^{p'} \varphi_i \right) = \exp \sum m_{ij} \log z_i \cdot \exp \left( \int_{0}^{p'} \tilde{\varphi}_i \right) = \Pi z_{m_{ij}} \exp \left( \int_{0}^{p'} \tilde{\varphi}_i \right). \]

This implies that \( \alpha_V \) is a rational map from \( V \). Thus we have proved that \( \widetilde{A}_V \) is a quasi-abelian variety and \( \alpha_V \) is a morphism in the category of \( C \)-schemes. Clearly,

\[ \alpha_V : T_1(\widetilde{A}_V) \cong T_1(V) \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha_V)_*: H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\widetilde{A}_V, \mathbb{Z}) \]

and \( \text{Ker } (\alpha_V)_* = H_1(V, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tor}}. \)
Let $f : V_1 \to V_2$ be a morphism. Then $f$ induces $f_* : \overline{A}_V \to \overline{A}_V$ which satisfies $f_* \cdot \alpha_{V_2} = \alpha_{V_1} \cdot f$. Let $\mu : V' \to V$ be a proper birational morphism. Then $\mu^* : T_1(V) \cong T_1(V')$ and $H_1(V, Z) \cong H_1(V', Z)$. Hence $\mu_* : \overline{A}_V \cong \overline{A}_V$. Thus any strictly rational map $f : V_1 \to V_2$ induces a morphism $f_* : \overline{A}_V \to \overline{A}_V$.

In case $V$ is singular, we take a non-singular model $(V^*, \mu)$ of $V$, that is, $V^*$ being non-singular, $\mu : V^* \to V$ being a proper birational morphism. Define the quasi-Albanese variety of $V$ by $\overline{A}_V = \overline{A}_V$. Hence we have a strictly rational map $\alpha_V = \alpha_{V^*} \cdot \mu^{-1} : V \to V^* \to \overline{A}_V$. Summarizing the argument above, we obtain

**Proposition 4.** For any algebraic variety $V$, the quasi-Albanese variety $\overline{A}_V$ of $V$ and the quasi-Albanese map $\alpha_V : V \to \overline{A}_V$ are defined. $\alpha_V$ is strictly rational and is defined at non-singular points of $V$. Any strictly rational map $f : V_1 \to V_2$ induces the morphism $f_* : \overline{A}_{V_1} \to \overline{A}_{V_2}$ which satisfies the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
V_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & V_2 \\
\downarrow^{\alpha_{V_1}} & & \downarrow^{\alpha_{V_2}} \\
\overline{A}_{V_1} & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \overline{A}_{V_2}
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, the morphism $f_*$ satisfying $f_* \alpha_{V_1} = \alpha_{V_2} \cdot f$ is unique.

From this we get the universal property of the quasi-Albanese map: Let $\varphi : V \to \overline{A}$ be a strictly rational map from $V$ into a quasi-abelian variety $\overline{A}$. Then there exists a morphism $\varphi_1 : \overline{A}_V \to \overline{A}$ such that $\varphi_1 \cdot \alpha_V = \varphi$. Such $\varphi_1$ is unique and $\varphi_1$ is a translation of a homomorphism as an algebraic group. Hence we obtain a group homomorphism:

$$
\gamma_V : \text{P Bir} \,(V) \to \text{Aut} \,(\overline{A}_V).
$$

We make the following

**Conjecture:** If $\kappa V \geq 0$, then Ker $(\gamma_V)^n$ is an algebraic torus.

**Proposition 5.** Let $B$ be the closure of $\alpha_V(V)$ in $\overline{A}_V$. Then $\overline{B} = \overline{qV}$ and $(\alpha_V)_* : \overline{A}_V \cong \overline{B}$. Moreover, $\alpha_V(V)$ generates $\overline{A}_V$ as an algebraic group.

**Proof.** Decompose $\alpha_V$ into a composition of $\alpha : V \to B$ and $j : B \to \overline{A}_V$. Then
and \( \alpha^* \circ j^* = \alpha \circ j = \text{id} \). \( \alpha \) is dominant and so \( \alpha^* \) is injective. Hence \( \alpha^* \) is bijective. Thus \( \overline{q} V = \overline{q} B \). Moreover \( (\alpha_V)^* = j_* \cdot \alpha_* \) is the isomorphism: \( \overline{\mathcal{A}}_V \rightleftarrows \overline{\mathcal{A}}_B \). Hence \( \alpha_* \) is injective. Thanks to \( \dim \overline{\mathcal{A}}_V = \dim \overline{\mathcal{A}}_B = \alpha_* \) turns out to be isomorphic. Hence \( j_* \) is also isomorphic.

**Corollary 1.** If \( V \) is non-singular and \( \dim B = 1 \), then \( B \) is non-singular and a general fiber of \( \alpha_V \) is irreducible.

**Proof.** Since \( \dim B = 1 \), \( B \) coincides with \( \alpha_V(V) \). Consider the normalization of \( \alpha_V : V \to B \) and denote it by \( \alpha_V^* : V \to B^* \). Assume \( \dim V = 1 \). Then by \( \overline{q} V = \overline{q} (B^*) \) we see that \( \alpha_V^* \) is the isomorphism or \( \overline{q} V = \alpha \) and \( \alpha_V^* \) is étale by Theorems 1 and 2 in [2]. In the latter case, \( V = G_m \) or an elliptic curve and so \( \alpha_V = \alpha_V^* = \text{id} \). On the other hand, if \( \overline{q} V \geq 2 \), \( |K + \overline{D}| \) has no base points. Hence \( B \) is non-singular and so \( V = B \). Then assume \( \dim V \geq 2 \). \( \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{B^*} \rightleftarrows \overline{\mathcal{A}}_V \) and so the normalization \( \lambda : B^* \to B \) induces \( \lambda_* : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{B^*} \to \overline{\mathcal{A}}_V \). Since \( B^* \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{B^*} \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_V \) and \( B \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_V \), \( \lambda_* \mid B^* : B^* \to B \). Hence \( B \) is non-singular.

Let \( \phi \cdot \beta : V \to B^* \to B \) be the Stein factorization of \( \alpha_V : V \to B \), in which \( B^* \) is the normalization of \( B \) in \( k(V) \). Then \( \beta : V \to B^* \leftarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{B^*} \) is written as \( \beta_* \cdot \alpha_V \), where \( \beta_* : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_V = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_B \to \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{B^*} \). \( \phi : B^* \to B \) induces \( \phi_* : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{B^*} \to \overline{\mathcal{A}}_V \) and \( \phi_* \mid B^* = \phi \). Hence \( \phi_* \cdot \beta = \alpha_V \). Thus by the universality, we have \( \phi_* \cdot \beta = \text{id} \). Hence \( \phi_* \) is surjective and \( \beta_* \) is injective. Note that \( \overline{q} B^* = \overline{q} B \) by the inequalities: \( \overline{q} V \geq \overline{q} B^* \geq \overline{q} B = \overline{q} V \). Hence \( \beta_* \) is isomorphic and \( \phi_* \) is so too. Q.E.D.

**Proposition 6.** Let \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \) be algebraic varieties. Then

\[
T_1(V_1 \times V_2) = T_1(V_1) \oplus T_{-1}(V) \otimes T_1(V_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus T_1(V_2).
\]

Hence

\[
\overline{q}_1(V_1 \times V_2) = \overline{q}_1(V_1) + \overline{q}_{-1}(V) \cdot \overline{q}_1(V_2) + \cdots + \overline{q}_1(V_2).
\]

Moreover, \( \overline{p}_m(V_1 \times V_2) = \overline{p}_m(V_1) \overline{p}_m(V_2) \). Thus \( \overline{q}(V_1 \times V_2) = \overline{q}_1(V_1) + \overline{q}_1(V_2) \) and \( \overline{q}(V_1 \times V_2) = \overline{q}_1(V_2) + \overline{q}_1(V_2) \).

**Proof.** We may assume \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \) to be non-singular. By \( \overline{V}_i \) we denote compactifications of \( V_i \) with smooth boundaries \( \overline{D}_i \). Then \( \overline{V}_1 \times \overline{V}_2 \) turns out to be the compactification of \( V_1 \times V_2 \) with smooth boundary \( \overline{D} = \overline{D}_1 \times \overline{V}_2 + \overline{V}_1 \times \overline{D}_2 \). It is easy to check that

\[
\Omega^1 \log \overline{D} = \Omega^1 \log \overline{D}_1 \otimes \Omega_{V_2} \oplus \Omega^{i-1} \log \overline{D}_2 \otimes \Omega^1 \log \overline{D}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega^{i} \log \overline{D}_2.
\]
Since $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ are complete, we have

$$H^q(\mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{O}^{i-j}) \log \mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}^j \log \mathcal{D}_2 = H^q(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{O}^{i-j}) \log \mathcal{D}_1 \otimes H^q(\mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{O}^j \log \mathcal{D}_2).$$

Q.E.D.

By $p_1: V_1 \times V_2 \to V_1$, $p_2: V_1 \times V_2 \to V_2$ we denote the projections. $\varphi = (p_1, p_2)$:

$\mathcal{A}_{V_1 \times V_2} \to \mathcal{A}_{V_1} \times \mathcal{A}_{V_2}$ induces

$$T_1(\mathcal{A}_{V_1} \times \mathcal{A}_{V_2}) = T_1(\mathcal{A}_{V_1}) \oplus T_1(\mathcal{A}_{V_2}) = T_1(V_1) \oplus T_1(V_2) \cong T_1(\mathcal{A}_{V_1 \times V_2}) \cong T_1(V_1 \times V_2)$$

and

$$H_i(\mathcal{A}_{V_1 \times V_2}, \mathcal{Z}) = H_i(V_1 \times V_2, \mathcal{Z})_0 \cong H_i(V_1, \mathcal{Z})_0 \oplus H_i(V_2, \mathcal{Z})_0
= H_i(\mathcal{A}_{V_1}, \mathcal{Z}) \oplus H_i(\mathcal{A}_{V_2}, \mathcal{Z}) = H_i(\mathcal{A}_{V_1} \times \mathcal{A}_{V_2}, \mathcal{Z}).$$

Hence $\varphi$ is isomorphic.

By this we prove

**Proposition 7.** Let $V_1$ and $V_2$ be algebraic varieties and $\varphi: V_1 \times V_2 \to \mathcal{A}$ a morphism into a quasi-abelian variety $\mathcal{A}$. Then there exist morphisms $\phi_1: V_1 \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi_2: V_2 \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\varphi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$, that is, $\phi(x, y) = \phi_1(x) + \phi_2(y)$, where $x \in V_1$, $y \in V_2$. Such a pair $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ is unique up to translation. This implies that another pair $(\phi_1', \phi_2')$ is written as $\phi_1' = \varphi_1 + c$ and $\phi_2' = \varphi_2 - c$, where $c \in k$.

**Proof.** $\phi_1: \mathcal{A}_{V_1 \times V_2} \to \mathcal{A}_{V_1} \times \mathcal{A}_{V_2} \to \mathcal{A}$ is written easily as $\phi_1 + \phi_2$, where $\phi_1: \mathcal{A}_{V_1} \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi_2: \mathcal{A}_{V_2} \to \mathcal{A}$ are linear maps between the universal covering manifolds. Define $\phi_1 = \phi_1 \cdot \alpha_{V_1}$ and $\phi_2 = \phi_2 \cdot \alpha_{V_2}$. Then $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ is unique up to translation. We complete the proof if we show that these $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ are really morphisms. Fix $y \in \text{Reg}(V_2)$. Then $\phi_1(x) + \phi_2(y) = \phi(x, y)$ for $x \in \text{Reg}(V_1)$. Thus a rational map $\phi_1 + \phi_2(y)$ is the morphism $\phi(\cdot, y)$. Hence $\phi_1$ is the morphism. And so is $\phi_2$.

**Theorem 2.** Let $G$ be a connected algebraic group and $\mathcal{A}$ a quasi-abelian variety regarded as an algebraic group. Then any strictly rational map $\varphi: G \to \mathcal{A}$ is a translation of a homomorphism.

**Proof.** Let $0 \in \mathcal{A}$ be the neutral element of $\mathcal{A}$ and assume $\varphi(1) = 0$. Consider $\mathcal{F}: G \times G \to \mathcal{A}$ that is defined by $\mathcal{F}(x, y) = \varphi(xy)$. Then by Proposition 7, we obtain $\phi_1: G \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi_2: G \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\phi_1(1) = 0$ and $\phi_1(x) + \phi_2(y) = \mathcal{F}(x, y)$ where $(x, y) \in G \times G$. Hence $\phi_1(1) + \phi_2(y) = \mathcal{F}(1, y) = \varphi(y)$. This implies $\phi_2 = \phi_1 = \varphi$.

**Corollary to Proposition 7 and Theorem 2 (Unit Theorem by Ax and Lichtenbaum).** Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero and $A$, $B$ $k$-domains such
that \( Q(A)/k \) and \( Q(B)/k \) are algebraically closed extensions. Consider the group \( U(A) \) of units of \( A \) and write \( U^*(A) = U(A)/k^* \), that is a free abelian group. Then

\[
U^*(A \otimes_k B) = U^*(A) \times U^*(B).
\]

Moreover, for connected affine algebraic groups, the only invertible regular functions are scalar multiples of multiplicative characters.

**Proof.** Let \( A \) be a \( k \)-algebra. Then \( \text{Hom}(\text{Spec} \ A, G_m) = \text{Hom}_k(k[T, T^{-1}], A) = U(A) \). Therefore if \( k \) is algebraically closed and if \( A \) and \( B \) are finitely generated over \( k \), we get the result from Proposition 7. In the general case in which \( k \) is not algebraically closed, by elementary theory of Galois cohomology, we complete the proof.

7. Let \( V \) be an algebraic variety. Then by the universality of the quasi-Albanese map, we obtain

**Proposition 8.**

\[
U(\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V)) = \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = \text{Hom}(V, G_m) \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_V, G_m) = U(\Gamma(\mathcal{A}_V, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_V})).
\]

Denote by \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A}_V, G_m) \) the group of rational homomorphisms: \( \mathcal{A}_V \to G_m \). Then we have by Proposition 8

\[
\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A}_V, G_m) \simeq U^*(\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V)).
\]

Since \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A}_V, G_m) \) is a free abelian group \( \mathbb{Z}^n \), we indicate the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-base of it by \( m_1, \ldots, m_n \). Then

\[
\tau_V = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) : V \to G_m \times \cdots \times G_m = \mathcal{L}_V.
\]

We say that \( \mathcal{L}_V \) is the universal torus of \( V \) and \( \tau_V \) is the universal torus map. Then \( \mathcal{L}_V = \mathcal{L}_V \) and \( \tau_V = \tau_{\mathcal{L}_V} \cdot \alpha_V; \tau_{\mathcal{L}_V} : \mathcal{A}_V \to \mathcal{L}_V \) is the epimorphism. Hence \( \mathcal{A}_V \simeq \mathcal{A}_V^{\circ} \times \mathcal{L}_V \) where \( \mathcal{A}_V^{\circ} \) is a quasi-abelian variety such that \( U(\Gamma(\mathcal{A}_V^{\circ}, \mathcal{O}_V)) = k^* \). Let \( \varphi : V \to T \) be a morphism from \( V \) into an algebraic torus \( T \). Then there is a homomorphism \( \varphi_V : \mathcal{A}_V \to T \) such that \( \varphi = \varphi_V \cdot \alpha_V + c \). Since \( \mathcal{A}_V = \mathcal{A}_V^{\circ} \times \mathcal{L}_V \) and \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A}_V^{\circ}, G_m) = 0 \), we have the homomorphism \( \varphi_V : \mathcal{L}_V \to T \) such that \( \varphi_V(x, y) = \varphi(y) \).

To establish the universality of \( (\mathcal{L}_V, \tau_V) \), \( \mathcal{L}_V \times_{\mathcal{L}_V} \mathcal{L}_V \simeq \mathcal{L}_{V_1} \times \mathcal{L}_{V_2} \) is equivalent to the unit theorem. We indicate by \( \rho(V) \) the dimension of \( \mathcal{L}_V \). Then \( q(V) - q(V) = \rho(V) \) if and only if \( \mathcal{A}_V = \mathcal{A}_V^{\circ} \times \mathcal{L}_V \), where \( \mathcal{A}_V^{\circ} \) is an abelian variety.
8. Let $V$ be a complete non-singular variety with $q(V)=0$ and $D=\sum_{j=1}^s D_j$ a reduced divisor on $V$. Write $V=\overline{V-D}$ and assume $\dim \alpha_V(V)=1$. Then $q(V)\geq 1$. Hence we have $D'=\sum_{j=1}^s D_j \leq D$ such that $q(V-D')=1$. Let $V'=\overline{V-D'}$. The quasi-Albanese map $\alpha_{V'}: V' \to G_m$ is surjective. Write $j: V \to V'$ and $B=\alpha_V(V)$. $j$ induces $j_a: B \to G_m$ and $k(V')=k(V)=k(B) \subseteq k(G_m)$. Since $k(V')/k(G_m)$ is the algebraically closed extension, $k(B)=k(G_m)$. $B$ is normal. Hence $B=G_m-\{p_2, \ldots, p_q\}$. Let $V^*$ be the fiber product of $V'$ with $V$ over $G_m$. Then $V^* \subseteq V'$ is the open immersion satisfying $V^* \subseteq V' \subseteq V$ and $qV=qV^*$. If $\overline{V}=P^*$, we have $V=V^*$. Let $D_j$ be defined by the homogeneous polynomial $F_j$ of degree $d_j$. Then, letting $\delta_0=g.c.d. (d_0, d_1), \delta_0'=d_0/\delta_0, \delta_1'=d_1/\delta_0$, we get a morphism $\alpha: P^n-D_0 \cup D_1 \to G_m$ sending $p \mapsto F_j^{\delta_0}(p)/F_j^{\delta_0'}(p)$, which is the quasi-Albanese map of $P^n-D_0 \cup D_1$ by the following observation: Let $V=P^n-D, D=D_0+\cdots+D_l$ where the $D_j$ are defined by irreducible homogeneous polynomials $F_j$ of degree $d_j$. Then

$$\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V)=\{x \Pi F_j^{\delta_j}; \sum_j d_j x_j=0, x \in k^n\}.$$ 

Denoting by $m_1=(a_{1,0}, \ldots, a_{1,r}), \ldots, m_{m-1}=(a_{m-1,0}, \ldots, a_{m-1,r}), m_r=(a_{r,0}, \ldots, a_{r,r}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-base of $\text{Ker}(\mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{Z}; \psi(a_0, \ldots, a_r)=\sum a_j d_j)$, we have

$$V \xrightarrow{\omega} G_m \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{Z}; p \mapsto (\psi_1(p), \ldots, \psi_r(p)),$$

where $\psi_1=F_{0,0}^{\delta_0}, \ldots, F_{r,0}^{\delta_0}$.

**PROPOSITION 9.** Let $V=P^n-\{D_0+\cdots+D_l\}$ such that $\dim \alpha_V(V)=1$. Then, letting $\delta_j=g.c.d. (d_0, d_j), d_0'=d_0/\delta_j, d_j'=d_j/\delta_j$, we have $d_j'=d_0'$ and $F_j^{\delta_0'}=\alpha_j F_j^{\delta_0}+\beta_j F_0^{\delta_0}$, where $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in k$.

**PROOF.** Let $V'=P^n-(D_0+D_l), V''=P^n-(D_0+D_l)$. Then

$$k(\alpha_{V'}(V'))=k(F_j^{\delta_0}/F_0^{\delta_0})=k(\alpha_{V}(V))=k(\alpha_{V''}(V''))=k(F_j^{\delta_0}/F_0^{\delta_0}).$$

Hence, there are $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j, \delta_j \in k$ such that

$$\frac{F_j^{\delta_0}}{F_0^{\delta_0}} = \frac{\alpha_j F_j^{\delta_0}+\beta_j F_0^{\delta_0}}{\gamma_j F_j^{\delta_0}+\delta_j F_0^{\delta_0}}.$$ 

Since $F_0, F_1, F_j$ are distinct irreducible polynomials, we conclude that $\gamma_j=0$ and $d_j'=d_0'$.

Note that $d_0/g.c.d. (d_0, d_j)=d_1/g.c.d. (d_1, d_j)$ and $d_0/g.c.d. (d_0, d_1)=d_1/g.c.d. (d_1, d_j).$
Hence, there are mutually coprime numbers $a$, $b$, $c$ and an integer $e$ such that 
$d_0=abe$, $d_1=bce$, $d_2=ace$.

9. Let $V_1$ be a non-singular algebraic variety and $F_1$ a Zariski closed subset of 
codim $\geq 2$. Then it is easy to see that 
$$\overline{P}_{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n}(V_1-F_1) = \overline{P}_{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n}(V_1).$$

But if $V_1$ is singular, this does not hold any more. For example, there exists a 
surface $S$ such that $s(S-\{p_1, \ldots, p_\kappa\}) = 0$, but $sS = -\infty$. However, we can prove

**Theorem 3.** $\overline{q}(V_1-F_1) = \overline{q}(V_1)$.

**Proof.** Let $V_1$ be a completion of $V_1$ and $F_1$ the closure of $F_1$ in $V_1$. By 
$\overline{\mu} : V \rightarrow V_1$ we denote the resolution of the singularity of $V_1$ such that 
$\overline{\mu}^{-1}(F_1) = E = \sum_{i=1}^\kappa E_i$ and $\overline{\mu}^{-1}(V_1-F_1) = \overline{D} = \sum_{i=1}^\kappa \overline{D}_i$ are divisors of simple normal crossing type, where 
$V = \overline{\mu}^{-1}(V_1)$ and $\mu = \overline{\mu}|V$. We prove that $E_1, \ldots, E_\kappa$ are $Q$-cohomologically independent 
modulo $\overline{D}_1, \ldots, \overline{D}_\kappa$ by induction on $n = \dim V_1 \geq 2$. May assume $V_1$ to be 
normal. When $n = 2$, $(\langle E_i, E_j \rangle)$ is a negative definite matrix by Mumford's theorem 
and $(E_i, \overline{D}_i) = 0$. Hence we finish the proof in this case. In the $n$-dimensional case 
($n \geq 3$), we first assume 
$$\sum n_i E_i + \sum m_j \overline{D}_j = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H^2(V, Q).$$

Define the subset $J \subset \{1, \ldots, \kappa\}$ by 
$$i \in J \iff \overline{\mu}(E_i) = \text{point}.$$ 

Let $W_1$ be a prime divisor of $V_1$ such that codim$_{W_1}(W_1 \cap F_1) \geq 2$. By $V_2$ we denote 
the proper image of $W_1$ by $\overline{\mu}^{-1}$. Let $\overline{\mu}_2 : V_2^+ \rightarrow V_2$ be the resolution of the singularity of $V_2$. Consider the relation 
$$\sum n_i \overline{\mu}_2(E_i|V_2) + \sum m_j \overline{\mu}_2(\overline{D}_j|V_2) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H^2(V_2^+, Q).$$

By induction hypothesis, if $E_i|V_2 \neq 0$, then $n_i = 0$. Choosing $\overline{W}_1$ properly, we conclude that $n_i = 0$ if $i \in J$. In fact, let $p$ be a general point of $F_1$ and take an affine neighborhood $V(p)$ of $V_1$ with center $p$. Choose an irreducible local divisor 
$H \subset V(p)$ which intersect properly with $F_1$ at $p$. Then the closure $H$ of $H$ in $V_1$ 
can be used as $\overline{W}_1$. Hence we have only to prove under the condition that $F$ is 
finite.

Let $V_2$ be a general hyperplane section of $V$ and define $W_2 = \mu(V_2)$, which is 
a prime divisor. Then $\mu_2 = \overline{\mu}|V_2$ is birational, which satisfies i) $\overline{\mu}_2(\overline{D}_j|V_2)$ are divi-
sors and ii) \( \bar{\mu}_i(E_i|W_i) \) is a finite set. Hence
\[
\sum n_i E_i|V_s + \sum m_j B_j|V_s = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H^2(V_s, \mathcal{O})
\]
implies \( n_i = 0 \) by induction hypothesis. Thus by Theorem 1, \( \bar{q}(V_1-F) = \bar{q}(V_i) \).

**Corollary.** Let \( V \) be an algebraic variety and \( F \) a closed subset of codim \( \geq 2 \). Suppose that \( V-F \) is isomorphic to a quasi-abelian variety \( \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \). Then \( F = \emptyset \).

**Proof.** By the theorem above, \( \bar{q}(V) = \bar{q}(V-F) = \bar{q}(\mathcal{A}) = n, \quad n = \dim V \). Hence the inclusion \( j : V-F \hookrightarrow V \) induces \( j^* : T_j(V) \cong T_j(V-F) \) and \( j_* : \mathcal{A}_{V-F} \cong \mathcal{A}_V \). Hence, \( \alpha_Y : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_V \cong \mathcal{A} \) induces \( \alpha_{\mathcal{A}} \) on \( V-F \cong \mathcal{A} \) by the commutativity of the diagram in Proposition 4. By the following lemma by Kawamata, we complete the proof.

**Lemma 4.** Let \( V \) be an algebraic variety and \( F \) a closed subset of \( V \). Suppose that a morphism \( f : V \rightarrow V-F \) induces the isomorphism \( f|V-F \) on \( V-F \). Then \( F = \emptyset \).

**Proof.** Take a closed point \( p \in F \) and put \( p' = f(p) \). There is \( p_i \in V-F \) such that \( f(p_i) = p' \), \( p \) and \( p_i \) dominate \( p' \) and so \( p = p_i \) by the separability of \( V \).

Q.E.D.

This corollary was proved in (2) under the additional assumption that \( \mathcal{A} \subseteq V \) is \( \mathcal{A} \)-equivariant.

10. Let \( X = \mathcal{A} \) be a quasi-abelian variety, that is a \( G_m(\mathbb{A}) \)-bundle over the abelian variety \( \mathcal{A} \). Let \( T \subseteq \mathcal{P} \) be the natural imbedding, which is \( T \)-equivariant. Hence, we have the \( \mathcal{P} \)-bundle over \( \mathcal{A} \), whose structure group is \( T \). Thus we obtain the projective non-singular variety \( X \) which is the \( \mathcal{P} \)-bundle over \( \mathcal{A} \). Denote \( X - X \) by \( \overline{D} \), which is of normal crossing type. \( X \) is the compactification of \( X \) with the smooth boundary \( \overline{D} \). We are able to verify that \( \omega^0 \log \overline{D} \cong \mathcal{O}_X^n, \quad n \) being \( \dim X \).

Therefore, \( K + \overline{D} = 0, \quad \bar{q}_i(X) = \left( \begin{array}{c} n_i \\ i \end{array} \right), \quad \bar{P}_m(X) = 1, \quad \bar{p}_s(X) = 1 \) and finally \( \varepsilon X = 0 \).

Actually, consider the universal covering map \( \pi : U = C^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \). Then the fiber product of \( U \) with \( \mathcal{A} = X \) over \( \mathcal{A} \) is \( T \times U \) and \( U \times \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P} \times U \). Let \( \omega \) be a logarithmic 1-form of \( X \) along \( \overline{D} \). Then by pulling back, we get the logarithmic 1-form \( \bar{\omega} \) on \( \mathcal{P} \times U \) which is invariant under translations in \( U \) and is logarithmic along \( (\mathcal{P} - T) \times U \). Denote by \( z_1, \ldots, z_m \) and \( w_1, \ldots, w_i \) the coordinate of \( U \) and of \( A' = \mathcal{P} - \) a hyperplane, respectively. Then
\[
\bar{\omega} = \sum a_i \frac{dw_i}{w_i} + \sum b_j dx_j, \quad \text{where} \quad a_i, \quad b_j \in C.
\]
Let \( z_{n+1} = \log w_1, \ldots, z_n = \log w_n \), which are affine coordinates of the universal covering manifold \( \tilde{T} = \mathbb{C}^* \) of \( T \). Hence

\[
\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i dz_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j dz_j
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{O}_x \log (\tilde{D}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_x dz_i \simeq \mathcal{O}_x^\oplus.
\]

We wish to generalize Ueno's theorems concerning subvarieties of an abelian variety ([5], Theorem 10.8).

**Theorem 4.** Let \( W \) be a closed subvariety of the quasi-abelian variety \( \tilde{A} \). Put \( r = \dim W \). Then \( \bar{q}_i(W) \geq \binom{r}{i} \), \( \bar{p}_i(W) \geq 1 \), \( \bar{P}_m(W) \geq 1 \), and \( \bar{e}(W) \geq 0 \). Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent to each other:

a) \( W \) is a quasi-abelian variety,

b) \( \bar{q}_i(W) = \binom{r}{i} \), for some \( i \in [1, r] \),

c) \( \bar{p}_i(W) = 1 \),

d) \( \bar{P}_m(W) = 1 \), for some \( m \geq 1 \),

e) \( \bar{e}(W) = 0 \).

**Proof.** Clearly, a) implies b), c), d) and e). e) implies d), which yields c).

Let \( W \) be a closed subvariety of dimension \( r \). Choose a general point \( p \in W \), around which we take a system of local parameters \( (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_r, \zeta_{r+1}, \ldots, \zeta_n) \) such that \( \zeta_{r+1} = \cdots = \zeta_n = 0 \) define \( W \). Let \( \pi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \tilde{A} \) be the universal covering map. Choose \( p_n \in \pi^{-1}(p) \) and assume \( z_1(p_n) = \cdots = z_n(p_n) = 0 \). Hence \( (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \) can be regarded as the system of local analytic parameters around \( p \). By performing a suitable linear transformation we have \( \zeta_j = z_j - \varphi_j(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \), where \( \varphi_j(0) = 0 \) and \( \partial \varphi_j / \partial z_l(0) = 0 \) for any \( j, l \in [1, n] \). The \( dz_j \) are logarithmic 1-forms and hence \( dz_j \) are elements of \( T_1(W) \). Since \( d\zeta_1, \ldots, d\zeta_r \) are linearly independent holomorphic 1-forms of \( W \) around \( p \), \( dz_1|W, \ldots, dz_r|W \) are also linearly independent. By

\[
dz_j|W - \sum_{l=1}^{r} \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial z_l} |W \cdot dz_l|W = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j \in [r+1, n],
\]

we get

\[
\sum_{l=r+1}^{n} \left( \delta_{j,l} - \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_l} |W \right) dz_l|W = - \sum_{l=1}^{r} \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_l} |W \cdot dz_l|W.
\]

Thus for \( l \in [r+1, n] \), we have

\[
dz_l|W = \sum_{i=1}^{r} A_{il}(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_r) \cdot dz_i|W,
\]
where the $A_{i1}$ are holomorphic functions such that $A_{i1}(0)=0$, $dz_1\mid W$, $\cdots$, $dz_r\mid W \in T_1(W)$, which are linearly independent. Hence $\tilde{q}_i(W) \cong \mathbb{K}$. Similarly,

$$T_1(W) \ni (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_i)\mid W, \cdots, (dz_{r-i} \wedge dz_i)\mid W,$$

which are linearly independent. Hence $q_i(W) \cong \mathbb{K}^{r\choose i}$.

Now, assume $W$ satisfies the condition c). Then

$$(dz_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_r \wedge dz_i)\mid W = \alpha_{i1}(dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_i)\mid W \in T_i(W) = k(dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_r)\mid W,$$

where $\alpha_{i1} \in k$. On the other hand,

$$(dz_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_r \wedge dz_i)\mid W = \pm A_{i1}(dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_i)\mid W.$$

Hence, $\pm A_{i1}=\alpha_{i1}$. From this, $A_{i1}=0$ follows, because $A_{i1}(0)=0$. In the same way, we get $A_{i2}=0$. Thus $dz_{r+1}W=\cdots=dx_nW=0$. This means that

$$\pi^{-1}(W)\subset \{z_{r+1}=\cdots=z_n=0\} \text{ near } p_n.$$

$\{z_{r+1}=\cdots=z_n=0\}$ is of dimension $r$ and is irreducible. Hence $\pi^{-1}(W)=\{z_{r+1}=\cdots=z_n=0\}$, and so $W$ is a quasi-abelian variety whose uniformizing parameters are $z_1, \cdots, z_r$. Similarly, we can show that $\tilde{q}_i(W) \cong \mathbb{K}^{r\choose i}$ yields a).

**Corollary.** Let $W$ be an $r$-dimensional closed subvariety of an algebraic torus $T=G^n_m$. Assume $\varepsilon W=0$. Then $W=G^n_m$ and $T \cong W \times G^{n-r}_m$.

**Proof.** By the proof of Theorem 4, $W$ is defined by the following equations

$$\Pi_{i=1}^n w_i^{m_{i,j}}=1 \text{ where } 1\leq j \leq n-r.$$

The elementary divisors of the matrix $(m_{i,j})$ are denoted by $d_1, \cdots, d_{n-r}$. Then changing the coordinates, we have $w_i^{d_j}=1$. Since $W$ is irreducible, $d_i=\pm 1$. Q.E.D.

**Theorem 5.** Let $W$ be an $r$-dimensional closed subvariety of $\mathbb{A}$. If $\varepsilon(W)>0$, then there exists an étale covering $\pi: \mathbb{A}^* \to \mathbb{A}$ such that $\pi^{-1}(W)=\mathbb{A}^*_1 \times W_1$, where $W_1 \subset \mathbb{A}^*$ that is a quasi-abelian variety of dimension $n-\varepsilon$.

**Proof.** easy and so omitted.

**Theorem 6.** Let $\mathbb{A}$ be a quasi-abelian variety and $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \to W$ a dominant strictly rational map. Then $\varepsilon W \leq 0$.

**Proof.** Let $\overline{X}$ be the compactification of $X=\mathbb{A}$ defined in the beginning of §10. Let $\overline{W}^*$ be a compactification of a non-singular model of $W$ with smooth boundary $\overline{A}$. $\varphi$ determines the rational map $\overline{\varphi}: \overline{X} \to \overline{W}^*$. Performing monoidal transformations we have $\overline{\varphi}^* : X^* \to \overline{X}$ such that $\overline{\varphi}=\overline{\varphi}^* \cdot \overline{\varphi}^{-1}$ is a morphism. Let $\omega \in$
\( T_{0,...,0,m}(W) \), which determines \( \varphi^*(\omega) \in T_{0,...,0,m,...,0}(\mathcal{X}) \). Then using the notation in [2], we can write
\[
\varphi^*\omega = a_I \left( \frac{dw_{i_1}}{w_{i_1}} \wedge \cdots \right)^\omega + \cdots , \text{ where } a_I \in k .
\]
On the other hand,
\[
\omega = b_\alpha \left( \frac{d\zeta_i}{\zeta_i} \wedge \cdots \right)^\omega \in H^0(m(K+B)) .
\]
Hence, letting \( (\omega) = L \), we have
\[
\text{Supp } (\varphi^*(L)) \subset R_\alpha .
\]
Therefore,
\[
1 \leq \tilde{P}_{m_1}(W) = l_W(\nu L) \leq l_{\mathcal{X}}(\varphi^*(\nu L)) \leq l(\nu m_1 R_\beta) = 1 \text{ for some } m_1 .
\]
Next we consider open subvarieties of a quasi-abelian variety.

**PROPOSITION 10.** Let \( D \) be a reduced divisor of an algebraic torus \( G_\mathbb{A}^n \). Let \( V=G_\mathbb{A}^n - D \). Then the following conditions are equivalent to each other:

a) \( D = \emptyset \), in other words, \( V = G_\mathbb{A}^n \),
b) \( \bar{q}_i(V) = \binom{n}{i} \), for some \( i \in [1, n] \),
c) \( \bar{p}_m(V) = 1 \),
d) \( \bar{P}_m(V) = 1 \), for some \( m \geq 1 \),
e) \( \bar{k} V = 0 \).

**PROOF.** a) implies b), c), d) and e). e) implies d) and d) yields c). Hence it suffices to prove that b) implies a) and that c) implies a). Let \( G_\mathbb{A}^n = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, 1/x_1, \ldots, 1/x_n] \). Then \( dx_1/x_1, \ldots, dx_n/x_n \in T_1(G_\mathbb{A}^n) \). By \( g \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, 1/x_1, \ldots, 1/x_n] \) we denote the defining equation of \( D \). Then \( dg/g \in T_1(V) \), and
\[
\frac{dg}{g} \wedge \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n} = \lambda_i \cdot \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n} \in T_1(V) ,
\]
\( \lambda_i \) being elements of \( k \). Hence
\[
x_i \frac{dg}{dx_i} = \pm \lambda_i g \quad \text{for } i=1, 2, \ldots, n .
\]
Since \( g = \sum a_j x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_s^{i_s} \), we have
\[
x_i \frac{dg}{dx_i} = \sum a_j x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_s^{i_s} = \pm \lambda_i \sum a_j x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_s^{i_s} .
\]
Accordingly, \( a_j(j \neq i) = 0 \) for any \( J = (j_1, \ldots, j_n) \). Hence if \( j_i \neq \pm i \), then \( a_i = 0 \).

In other words, if \( a_i \neq 0 \), then \( j_1 = \pm i_1 \), \( j_2 = \pm i_2 \), \ldots, \( j_n = \pm i_n \). Hence \( g \) turns out to be a unit and so \( D(g) = \emptyset \). Similarly, the condition b) implies that \( D(g) = \emptyset \).

Q.E.D.

**Theorem 7.** Let \( \bar{\epsilon} = \bar{\epsilon}(G_m^* - D) \geq 0 \). Then there exists a reduced divisor \( D_i \subset G_m^* \) such that \( V = G_m^* - D_i \). Hence \( V_1 \) is of hyperbolic type.

**Proof.** By the fundamental theorem of logarithmic Kodaira dimension ([2] Theorem 5), we have a proper birational morphism \( \mu : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{W} \) and a surjective morphism \( f : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W} \) in which \( \mathcal{V} \) is non-singular, \( \mathcal{W} \) is an irreducible constructible set of dimension \( \bar{\epsilon} \), any general fiber \( \mathcal{V}^* = f^{-1}(w) \) is a non-singular variety with \( \bar{\epsilon}(\mathcal{V}^*) = 0 \). Let \( \mathcal{V}_u = \mu(\mathcal{V}^*_u) \), which is a closed subvariety of dimension \( n - \bar{\epsilon} \). Since \( w \) is a general point, \( \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_u) \) is an irreducible variety of dimension \( n - \bar{\epsilon} \).

Then \( \mathcal{V}^*_u \subset \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_u) \) leads to the fact that \( \mathcal{V}^*_u = \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_u) = \mathcal{V}_u \times \mathcal{V}^* \). Hence, \( \mu|\mathcal{V}^*_u : \mathcal{V}^*_u \to \mathcal{V}_u \) is proper birational. Thus \( \bar{\epsilon}(\mathcal{V}^*_u) = \bar{\epsilon}(\mathcal{V}^*_u) = 0 \). Denoting by \( \mathcal{V}_u \) the closure of \( \mathcal{V}_u \) in \( G_m^* \), we have \( 0 \leq \bar{\epsilon}(\mathcal{V}_u) \leq \bar{\epsilon}(\mathcal{V}_u) = 0 \), and so \( \bar{\epsilon}(\mathcal{V}_u) = 0 \). Hence by Theorem 4 we have \( \mathcal{V}_u = G_m^* \). Furthermore, \( \bar{\epsilon}(G_m^* - G_m^* \cap D) = \bar{\epsilon}(\mathcal{V}_u) = 0 \) implies \( G_m^* \cap D = \emptyset \) by Proposition 10.

Thus \( \mathcal{V}_u = \mathcal{V}_u = G_m^* \) and \( G_m^* = G_m^* \times G_m^* \) by Corollary to Theorem 4. Hence we have a reduced divisor \( D_i \subset G_m^* \) such that \( V = G_m^* - (G_m^* - D_i) \) by the following easy lemma.

**Lemma 5.** Let \( V \) and \( W \) be algebraic varieties and \( D \) an irreducible divisor of \( V \times W \) such that \( D(V \times w) = V \times w \) for any general point \( w \in W \). Then \( D = V \times D_i \), \( D_i \) being an irreducible divisor of \( W \).

**Corollary.** Let \( D \) be a union of hyperplanes \( L_i \) \( (0 \leq i \leq t) \) in \( \mathbb{P}^n \). Then \( V = A^* \times G_m^* \times V_i \), where \( V_i \) is of hyperbolic type which can be realized as a complement of a union of hyperplanes in \( \mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}^n \).

**Proof.** Consider the affine space \( A^{n+1} \) whose \( n \)-dimensional linear subspaces determine the points of \( \mathbb{P}^n \). Let \( k\mathfrak{a}_i \) be the 1-dimensional vector space \( kA^{n+1} \) corresponding dually to \( L_i \). Let \( 1 + r = \dim (k\mathfrak{a}_0 + \cdots + k\mathfrak{a}_i) \). Then choosing a suitable homogeneous coordinates of \( \mathbb{P}^n \), we have the equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
X_0 &= 0 \quad \text{of} \quad L_0, \\
X_1 &= 0 \quad \text{of} \quad L_1, \\
\cdots & \cdots \\
X_r &= 0 \quad \text{of} \quad L_r,
\end{align*}
\]
Therefore, \( V = \mathcal{A}^{n-1} \times V' \).

\[ V' = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \ldots, x_r, 1/x_1, \ldots, 1/x_r, 1/\sum a_{r+1,j}x_j, \ldots, 1/\sum a_{s,j}x_j] \subset G_m. \]

Hence by Theorem 7, \( V' = G_m^{n-1} \times V_1 \), where \( \bar{\kappa} = \bar{\kappa}(V') \). Q.E.D.

**Proposition 11.** Let \( D \) be a divisor of an abelian variety \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( V = \mathcal{A} - D \). Then the following conditions are equivalent to each other:

a) \( V = \mathcal{A} \),

b) \( \bar{\kappa} \emptyset = 0 \).

If \( \bar{\kappa}(\mathcal{A} - D) > 0 \), then there is an unramified covering map \( \pi : \mathcal{A}' \to \mathcal{A} \) such that \( \mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \) and \( D = D_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \), \( D_1 \) being a reduced divisor \( \subset \mathcal{A}_1 \).

**Proof.** By \( \kappa(\mathcal{A}) = 0 \), \( \bar{\kappa}(\mathcal{A} - D) = \kappa(D, \mathcal{A}) \) (Example 4, [2]). If \( D \neq \emptyset \), then \( \kappa(D, \mathcal{A}) > 0 \) and \( |2D| \) has no base points. Thus we have the morphism \( f = \Phi_{\ast D} : \mathcal{A} \to B \) for sufficiently large \( m \). Then \( B \) is of dimension \( \bar{\kappa} = \kappa(D, \mathcal{A}) \) and a general fiber \( \mathcal{A}_3 = f^{-1}(b) \) is an abelian subvariety \( \mathcal{A}_3 \). Hence \( D = f^{-1}(D_3), D_3 \subset \mathcal{A}_3 \). Q.E.D.

**Remark.** T. Fujita proved that the similar statement as Proposition 11 holds for a quasi-abelian variety. Note that Proposition 10 cannot be generalized for a quasi-abelian variety. For instance, let \( V \) an elliptic curve—one point. Then \( \bar{\kappa} \emptyset = 1 \) but \( \bar{\kappa} \emptyset = 1 \).
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