J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo
**4** (1997), 403–415.

# *On a Family of Subgroups of the Teichmüller Modular Group of Genus Two Obtained from the Jones Representation*

By Masanori MORISHITA

**Abstract.** We study the image of the reduction of the specialized Jones representation of the Teichmüller modular group $\Gamma$ of genus 2. As a result, we give a family of normal subgroups of $\Gamma$ with finite unitary groups as quotients. We also show that they do not contain the Torelli subgroup of $\Gamma$.

## 0. Introduction

The purpose of the present paper is to give a family of "non-congruence" subgroups of the Teichmüller modular group of genus 2 by confirming a conjecture, posed by Takayuki Oda, on the image of the Jones representation.

In [J], Jones attached to a Young diagram a Hecke algebra representation of the braid group $B_n$ on $n$ strings. As was shown in [ibid,10], the Jones representation of $B_6$ corresponding to the three by two rectangular Young diagram factors through the Teichmüller modular group $\Gamma$ of genus 2, namely, the mapping class group of a closed orientable surface of genus 2, and we thus get the representation $\pi : \Gamma \longrightarrow GL_5(\mathbf{Z}[x, x^{-1}])$ which is explicitly given [ibid, p362]. Now, for a certain natural number $n$, specializing $x$ to $exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n)$, we get a representation $\pi_n : \Gamma \longrightarrow GL_5(\mathcal{O}_K)$, where $\mathcal{O}_K$ is the ring of integers in the $n$-th cyclotomic field $K$. Let $F$ be the maximal real subfield of $K$ and take a non-zero ideal $I$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$, the ring of integers of $F$. The reduction of $\pi_n$ modulo $I_K = I\mathcal{O}_K$ gives a representation $\pi_{n,I} : \Gamma \longrightarrow GL_5(\mathcal{O}_K/I_K)$. Then, Oda conjectured that the image of $\pi_{n,I}$ is a certain unitary group if $I$ is prime to an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$ containing $(n)$. (For the precise formulation, see Section 2).

The main result of this paper is to confirm Oda's conjecture when $I$ is a product of prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_F$ which are inert in $K/F$ (Main Theorem

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F34; Secondary 20F38.

4.3). The proof consists of two steps. We first show that $\pi_{n,\wp}$ is irreducible under certain conditions on $n$ and a prime $\wp$, and then investigate the list of all irreducible subgroups of $PSL_5(\mathcal{O}_K/\wp_K)$ due to Martino and Wagoner [M-W]. For the case of a product of inert primes, we apply a criterion of Weisfeiler [W] on the approximation of a Zariski-dense subgroup in a semisimple group over a finite ring. This proof is similar to that of Oda and Terasoma ([O-T]) on the similar problem for the Burau representations, where they use the induction after working with $2 \times 2$ matrices (see also [Be]). Our case is more complicated in the respect that we work with $5 \times 5$ matrices and so the finite group theory is more involved.

We also check that the kernel of $\pi_{n,I}$ does not contain the Torelli group using its explicit generator given by Birmann [Bi1].

Since the Teichmüller modular group is the fundamental group of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of compact Riemann surfaces of genus 2, our result gives a tower of 3-folds, namely, finite Galois coverings of $\mathcal{M}$ with the Galois groups of finite unitary groups.

Finally, we mention that Kasahara ([Ka]) studied the image of the Torelli group of genus 2 under the specialized Jones representation at the 4-th root of unity and its abelianization in connection with the Johnson homomorphism.

*Acknowledgement*. I would like to thank Takayuki Oda for explaining his conjecture and problems related to the moduli space of curves and useful discussions. My thanks also go to Eiichi Bannai for supplying some ideas and proofs in Section 3. Actually, this part is a key in our proof of Main Theorem. A part of this work was done while I stayed at RIMS, Kyoto University, in the fall of 1995. It is my pleasure to thank Professor Yasutaka Ihara for giving me the opportunity to join his friendly Number Theory Seminar. Finally, I would like to thank Makoto Matsumoto, Hiroshi Yamashita and the referee for useful comments.

*Notation*. For an associative ring $R$ with identity , $M_n(R)$ denotes the total matrix algebra over $R$ of degree $n$, and $GL_n(R)$ denotes the groups of invertible elements of $M_n(R)$. We write $R^\times$ for $GL_1(R)$. For $A \in M_n(R)$, ${}^tA$, $tr(A)$, and $det(A)$ stand for the transpose, trace, and determinant of $A$, respectively. We write $0_n$ and $1_n$ for the zero and identity matrix in $M_n(R)$, respectively, and $e_{ij}$ for the matrix unit and $diag(\cdot)$ for the diagonal matrix.

In Section 3, we shall use the notations in the list of [M-W].

## 1. The Jones representation of the Teichmüller modular group of genus 2 and its unitarity

In [J], Jones attached to each Young diagram with $n$ tiles a Hecke algebra representation of the braid group $B_n$ on $n$ strings. As was shown in [ibid, Section 10], the representation of $B_6$ corresponding to the three by two rectangular Young diagram factors through the Teichmüller modular group $\Gamma$ of genus 2, namely, the mapping class group of a closed orientable surface of genus 2. It is known that $\Gamma$ admits the following presentation ([Bi2], Theorem 4.8, p 183-4).

generators: $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5$.

defining relations:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_i\theta_{i+1}\theta_i = \theta_{i+1}\theta_i\theta_{i+1} \ \ (1 \le i \le 4), \\ \theta_i\theta_j = \theta_j\theta_i \ \ (|i-j| \ge 2, 1 \le i, j \le 5), \\ (\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3\theta_4\theta_5)^6 = 1, \\ (\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3\theta_4\theta_5^2\theta_4\theta_3\theta_2\theta_1)^2 = 1, \\ \theta_1\theta_2\theta_3\theta_4\theta_5^2\theta_4\theta_3\theta_2\theta_1 \ \text{ commutes with } \ \theta_i \ \ (1 \le i \le 5). \end{cases}$$

The Jones representation of $\Gamma$ mentioned above is given explicitly on generators as follows ([J], p362).

$$\pi : \Gamma \longrightarrow GL_5(\mathbf{Z}[x, x^{-1}]), \ \ x = t^{1/5};$$

$$\pi(\theta_1) = x^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\pi(\theta_2) = x^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\pi(\theta_3) = x^{-2}\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\pi(\theta_4) = x^{-2}\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & t \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\pi(\theta_5) = x^{-2}\begin{pmatrix} -1 & t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that $det\pi(\theta_i) = -1$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$.

Let $A = A(x) \in M_n(\mathbf{Z}[x, x^{-1}]), x = t^{1/5}$. We write $A^*$ for ${}^tA(x^{-1})$ and call $A$ $x$-hermitian if $A = A^*$ and $A \neq 0_n$. For an $x$-hermitian matrix $A$, we define the unitary group with respect to $A$ by

$$U_n(A) := \{g \in GL_n(\mathbf{Z}[x, x^{-1}]) | g^*Ag = A\}.$$

LEMMA 1.1. *Let $\pi$ be the representation given in Section* 1. *Then, there is an $x$-hermitian matrix $H \in M_5(\mathbf{Z}[x, x^{-1}])$ so that the image of $\pi$ is contained in $U_5(H)$. Moreover, such $H$ is determined up to $\mathbf{Q}(x)^\times$-multiple.*

PROOF. Let $H = (h_{ij}) \in M_5(\mathbf{Z}[x, x^{-1}])$. We just write down the system of linear equations for $h_{ij}$'s:

$$\pi(\theta_i)^* H \pi(\theta_i) = H, \ 1 \leq i \leq 5.$$

We then find the following $x$-hermitian matrix satisfies these equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1+t)(1+t^{-1}) & -(1+t) & 2 & -(1+t) & -(1+t) \\ -(1+t^{-1}) & 1+t+t^{-1} & -(1+t^{-1}) & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -(1+t) & (1+t)(1+t^{-1}) & -(1+t) & -(1+t) \\ -(1+t^{-1}) & 1 & -(1+t^{-1}) & 1+t+t^{-1} & 1 \\ -(1+t^{-1}) & 1 & -(1+t^{-1}) & 1 & 1+t+t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $H'$ is such a matrix, then $H^{-1}H'$ commutes with $\pi(\theta_i), 1 \leq i \leq 5$. By solving the system of linear equation for $a_{ij}$'s : $A\pi(\theta_i) = \pi(\theta_i)A, A = (a_{ij}) \in M_5(\mathbf{Z}[x, x^{-1}]), 1 \leq i \leq 5$, we find $A \in \mathbf{Q}(x)1_5$. □

We write $h = h_t$ for the matrix in the proof. We see that $det(h_t) = (t + t^{-1})^4(1 + t + t^{-1})$.

REMARK 1.2. The representation $\pi$ multiplied by $x^2$ comes from the representation of Hecke algebra over $\mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ which is known to be absolutely irreducible over $\mathbf{Q}(t)$. So, the uniqueness of $H$ up to $\mathbf{Q}(x)^\times$-multiple in Lemma 1.1 follows also from Schur's lemma.

## 2. The reduction of the specialized Jones representation at a root of unity and the conjecture of Oda

Let $n$ be a natural number. We assume that $n$ is bigger than 6 and prime to 30. Let $\eta = exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n)$ and $\zeta = \eta^5$. Set $K = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta), \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta], F = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{O}_F = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta + \zeta^{-1}]$.

By specializing $t \to \zeta, x = t^{1/5} \to \eta$ in the representation $\pi$, we get a representation

$$\pi_n : \Gamma \longrightarrow GL_5(\mathcal{O}_K).$$

Take a non-zero ideal $I$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$ which is prime to $n$, and set $I_K = I\mathcal{O}_K$. The reduction of $\pi_\zeta$ modulo $I_K$ defines the representation

$$\pi_{n,I} : \Gamma \longrightarrow GL_5(\mathcal{O}_K/I_K).$$

Then, $\pi_{n,I}$ certainly inherits the unitarity from $\pi$.

LEMMA 2.1. *The image of $\pi_{n,I}$ is contained in*

$$U_5(\mathcal{O}_K/I_K; h_{n,I}) := \{g \in GL_5(\mathcal{O}_K/I_K) \mid g^* h_{n,I} g = h_{n,I}\},$$

*where $h_{n,I} := h_\zeta \bmod I_K$ and $g^* = {}^t g^\tau$, $\tau$ is the involution induced from the generator of* $\mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$.

PROOF. This is immediate from Lemma 1.1. □

To formulate the conjecture, we twist $\pi_I$ a little bit. Let $\chi : \Gamma \to \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ be the character defined by $\chi(\theta_i) = -1$, and set $\chi_I := \chi \bmod I_K$. We then consider $\rho_{n,I} := \pi_{n,I} \otimes \chi_I$. Since $det(\pi_\zeta(\theta_i)) = -1$, by Lemma 2.1, we have the inclusion

$$\rho_{n,I}(\Gamma) \subset SU_5(\mathcal{O}_K/I_K; h_{n,I}) := \{g \in U_5(\mathcal{O}_K/I_K; h_{n,I}) \mid det(g) = 1\}.$$

Then, the conjecture posed by Oda is formulated as follows.

CONJECTURE 2.2. *There is a non-zero ideal $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$ containing $(n)$ so that the image of $\rho_{n,I}$ coincides with $SU_5(h_{n,I})$ if $I$ is prime to $\mathcal{C}$.*

## 3. The inert prime case

In this section, we verify Conjecture 2.2, when $I$ is a maximal ideal $\wp$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$ which is inert in $K/F$. Set $\mathbf{F}_\wp = \mathcal{O}_F/\wp, \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_{\wp_K} = \mathcal{O}_K/\wp_K$ for simplicity. We simply write $\pi_\wp$ and $\rho_\wp$ for $\pi_{n,\wp}$ and $\rho_{n,\wp}$, respectively, also $h_\wp$ for $h_{n,\wp}$. As in Section 2, we assume that $n$ is bigger than 6 and prime to 30. We note that $\wp$ is prime to an ideal of the form $(1-\zeta^m)$, $m$ is prime to $n$, because $1-\zeta^m$ is a unit when $n$ is a composite number and because $\wp$ is assumed to be inert and $(1-\zeta^m)$ is the maximal ideal of $K$ over a totally ramified prime $p$ when $n$ is a power of $p$. In particular, $h_{n,\wp}$ is non-degenerate.

First, we show that the representation $\pi_\wp$ is irreducible. This can be obtained from the irreducibility of the corresponding specialized representation of the Hecke algebra over a finite field (This was communicated by the referee). Here, we give an elementary proof based on the observation that each $\pi_\wp(\theta_i)$ is a quasi-reflection given explicitly as follows.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $V = \mathbf{F}^{\oplus 5}$ be the representation space of $\pi_\wp$. For each $1 \le i \le 5$, there are subspaces $X_i$ and $Y_i$ of $V$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} V = X_i \oplus Y_i, \qquad & dim X_i = 3,\ dim Y_i = 2, \\ \pi_\wp(\theta_i)|_{X_i} = -\eta^{-2} id_{X_i}, \qquad & \pi_\wp(\theta_i)|_{Y_i} = \eta^3 id_{Y_i}, \end{aligned}$$

*where $\eta$ denotes a primitive $n$-th root of 1 in $\mathbf{F}$ by abuse of notation. Note that $-\eta^{-2} \neq \eta^3$ for $(n, 10) = 1$*

PROOF. Using the explicit matrix form of each $\pi(\theta_i)$ given in Section 1, we easily find the eigenspaces $X_i$ and $Y_i$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
X_1 &= \{{}^t(x_1, x_2, 0, x_4, 0)\}, \quad Y_1 = \{{}^t(y_1, y_2, (1+\zeta)y_2, y_2, (1+\zeta^{-1})y_1)\} \\
X_2 &= \{{}^t(0, 0, x_3, x_4, x_5)\}, \quad Y_2 = \{{}^t((1+\zeta)y_1, (1+\zeta^{-1})y_2, y_2, y_1, y_1)\} \\
X_3 &= \{{}^t(x_1, x_2, 0, 0, x_5)\}, \quad Y_3 = \{{}^t(y_1, y_2, (1+\zeta)y_2, (1+\zeta^{-1})y_1, y_2)\} \\
X_4 &= \{{}^t(0, x_2, x_3, x_4, 0)\}, \quad Y_4 = \{{}^t((1+\zeta)y_1, y_1, y_2, y_1, (1+\zeta^{-1})y_2)\} \\
X_5 &= \{{}^t(x_1, 0, 0, x_4, x_5)\}, \quad Y_5 = \{{}^t(y_1, (1+\zeta^{-1})y_1, (1+\zeta)y_2, y_2, y_2)\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $x_i$'s and $y_i$'s run over $\mathbf{F}$ and $\zeta = \eta^5$. □

LEMMA 3.2. *The representation $\pi_\wp$ is irreducible.*

PROOF. Suppose that $V$ has $\pi_\wp(\Gamma)$-invariant subspace $W \neq 0, V$. First, assume $\dim(W) = 1$. Let $w$ be a base of $W$ and write $w = x + y, x \in X_1, y \in Y_1$. If $\pi_\wp(\theta_1)w = \alpha w, \alpha \in \mathbf{F}^\times$, by Lemma 4.1, we have $(\alpha + \eta^2)x + (\alpha - \eta^3)y = 0$, from which we see that $w \in X_1$ or $w \in Y_1$. Let $w = {}^t(x_1, x_2, 0, x_4, 0) \in X_1$. Then, $\pi_\wp(\theta_2)w = \eta^{-2}\ {}^t(\zeta x_1, \zeta x_2, \zeta x_2, x_1 - x_4, x_1)$ should be in $X_1$ and so we get $w = 0$. This is a contradiction. Similarly, $w$ can not be in $Y_1$. Hence, $\dim(W) > 1$. We may assume $\dim(W) = 2$, since the orthogonal complement of $W$ with respect to $h_{n,\wp}$ is $\pi_\wp(\Gamma)$-invariant. For this case, consider the exterior square representation $\bigwedge^2 \pi_\wp : \Gamma \longrightarrow GL(\bigwedge^2 V)$. Then, $\bigwedge^2 W$ is an invariant subspace of $\bigwedge^2 V$ and $\dim(\bigwedge^2 W\ ) = 1$, and the similar argument to the above can be applied. Fix a basis of $X_1; v_1 = {}^t(1,0,0,0,0), v_2 = {}^t(0,1,0,0,0), v_3 = {}^t(0,0,0,1,0)$ and a basis of $Y_1; v_4 = {}^t(1,0,0,0,1+\zeta^{-1}), v_5 = {}^t(0,1,1+\zeta,1,0)$ and set $V_1 = \mathbf{F}v_1 \wedge v_2 + \mathbf{F}v_2 \wedge v_3 + \mathbf{F}v_1 \wedge v_3$, $V_2 = \mathbf{F}v_4 \wedge v_5$, and $V_3 = \mathbf{F}v_1 \wedge v_4 + \mathbf{F}v_1 \wedge v_5 + \mathbf{F}v_2 \wedge v_4 + \mathbf{F}v_2 \wedge v_5 + \mathbf{F}v_3 \wedge v_4 + \mathbf{F}v_3 \wedge v_5$. Then, we get the decomposition $\bigwedge^2 V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$, and by Lemma 3.1, $\pi_\wp(\theta_1)$ acts on $V_1, V_2, V_3$ by the scalar multiples $\eta^{-4}, \eta^6, -\eta$, respectively, from which we see that $\bigwedge^2 W$ sits in one of $V_i$'s. Suppose $W = \mathbf{F}w \subset V_1$. Then, $\bigwedge^2 \pi_\wp(\theta_j)w$, $2 \leq j \leq 5$, should be in $V_1$. We write $w$ as the linear combination of the above basis of $V_1$ and write down the condition of these coefficients so that $\bigwedge^2 \pi_\wp(\theta_j)w = 0, 2 \leq j \leq 5$. Then, we easily get $w = 0$. Similarly, $W$ can not be in $V_2, V_3$, where we use the assumption on $\wp$. We conclude that $\pi_\wp$ is irreducible. □

Now, we shall determine the image of $\rho_\wp$ by investigating the list of irreducible subgroups of $PSL_5(\mathbf{F})$ due to Martino and Wagoner [M-W].

Further, we assume that $\wp$ is prime to 2. By abuse of notation we write $\rho_\wp$ for the associated projective representation and set $G = \rho_\wp(\Gamma)$, which is an irreducible subgroup of $PSL_5(\mathbf{F})$ by Lemma 3.2.

First, we have the following

LEMMA 3.3. *The group $G$ can not be realized over $\mathbf{F}_{p^a}, a < 2f$, where $p^{2f}$ is the cardinality of $\mathbf{F}$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $G$ is a subgroup of $PSL_5(\mathbf{F}_{p^a}), a < 2f$. Then, the characteristic polynomial $(X - \eta^{-2})^3(X + \eta^3)^2$ of $\rho_\wp(\theta_1)$ is invariant under the action of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{p^{2f}}/\mathbf{F}_{p^a}) = <\sigma>$, where $\sigma =$ Frobenius automorphism. Hence, $(\eta^{-2})^\sigma = \eta^{-2p^a} = \eta^{-2}$ and so $p^a \equiv 1 \bmod n$, for $(n, 30) = 1$. This contradicts to the minimality of $2f$ so that $p^{2f} \equiv 1 \bmod n$. □

By Lemma 3.2, the following groups in the list of Martino-Wagoner can not be $G$: (1.3)-(a), (1.5), (1.7), (1.10)-(a), (1.12), (1.13), (1.14)-(a), (1.15), (1.16), where the numbers are those in [M-W].

Next, since the image of $\rho_\wp$ is contained in $SU_5(\mathcal{O}_K/\wp_K; h_\wp) \simeq SU_5(\mathbf{F})$, $G$ can not be $PSL_5(\mathbf{F}), PSO_5(\mathbf{F})$ and $P\Omega_5(\mathbf{F})$, by comparing the orders. So, the groups (1.4), (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10)-(b) in [M-W] are excluded.

The following useful lemma was suggested by Eiichi Bannai.

LEMMA 3.4. *The subgroup of $G$ generated by $\rho_\wp(\theta_1)$ and $\rho_\wp(\theta_3)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/2n\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/2n\mathbf{Z}$.*

PROOF. Using Lemma 3.1, we easily see that the order of $\rho_\wp(\theta_i)$ is $2n$ and $<\rho_\wp(\theta_1)> \cap <\rho_\wp(\theta_3)> = id$. □

The group (1.2) in [M-W] is a subgroup of the group which is an extension of a cyclic subgroup by $\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}$. So, by Lemma 3.4, $G$ can not be this group. Next, (1.11) is $PSL_2(\mathbf{F})$ or $PGL_2(\mathbf{F})$. We have a list of subgroups of $PSL_2(\mathbf{F})$ due to Dickson, [H], p213, Satz 8.27. Looking at this, by Lemma 3.3, $G$ can not be a subgroup of $PSL_2(\mathbf{F})$. Since $PGL_2(\mathbf{F})$ is an extension of $PSL_2(\mathbf{F})$ by a cyclic subgroup of order 2, $G$ can not be in $PGL_2(\mathbf{F})$. The similar argument can be applied to the groups (1.3)-(b),(c).

Finally, the group (1.1) in [M-W] can be excluded as follows. The following argument was also communicated by E. Bannai. The group (1.1) is an

irreducible subgroup of $A$, where $A$ is a global stabilizer in $PSL_5(\mathbf{F})$ of a simplex. Note that $A$ is a monomial group and has a normal abelian subgroup $N$ (diagonal group) so that $A/N \simeq S_5$= the symmetric group on 5 letters. Assume that $G$ is an irreducible subgroup of $A$. Then, $\bar{G} = G/(G \cap N)$ is a subgroup of $S_5$. Let $\rho_i$ be the image of $\rho_{\wp_i}(\theta_i)$ in $\bar{G}$. Since the order of $\rho_{\wp_i}(\theta_i)$ is $2n$ and $(n, 15) = 1$, the order of $\rho_i$ is 1 or 2. On the other hand, $\rho_i$'s satisfies the relations $\rho_i\rho_{i+1}\rho_i = \rho_{i+1}\rho_i\rho_{i+1}$ $(1 \leq i \leq 4)$, $\rho_i\rho_j = \rho_j\rho_i$ $(|i-j| \geq 2, 1 \leq i, j \leq 5)$, in particular, $\rho_i$'s are conjugate each other. Suppose all $\rho_i = 1$. Then, $G \subset N$. This is not the case. Suppose all $\rho_i$'s are permutations. Then, it is clear that the second relation in the above is not satisfied. Thus, the group (1.1) is excluded.

Summing up the above, we have

THEOREM 3.5. *Assume that $n$ is prime to* 30 *, bigger than* 6 *and that a prime ideal $\wp$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$ does not divide* 2 *and is inert in $K/F$. Then, the image of $\rho_\wp$ coincides with $SU_5(\mathcal{O}_K/\wp_K; h_\wp)$.*

## 4. The case of a product of inert primes

In this section, we extend Theorem 3.5 to the case where the ideal $I$ is a product of non-split primes. For this, we apply a criterion of Weisfeiler [W] on the approximation of a Zariski-dense subgroup in a semisimple group scheme over a finite ring to our situation.

Let $I$ be a product of different prime ideals $\wp_i$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$, $I = \prod_{i=1}^r \wp_i^{e_i}$, where each $\wp_i$ is inert in $K/F$ and prime to 6. Set $A = \mathcal{O}_F/I$ and $B = \mathcal{O}_K/I_K, I_K = I\mathcal{O}_K$. We also set $A_i = \mathcal{O}_F/\wp_i^{e_i}, B_i = \mathcal{O}_K/\wp_{i_K}^{e_i}, \wp_{i_K} = \wp_i\mathcal{O}_K$, and $k_i = \mathcal{O}_F/\wp_i = \mathbf{F}_{q_i}, \mathcal{O}_K/\wp_{i_K} = \mathbf{F}_{q_i^2}, q_i = N\wp_i$. So, we have decompositions $A = \oplus_{i=1}^r A_i, B = \oplus_{i=1}^r B_i$. The radical of $A$ is $R = \prod_{i=1}^r \wp_i$ modulo $I$. We write $B = A \oplus A\beta, \beta^2 \in A$. Since each $\wp_i$ is inert in $K/F$, we see that $\beta \in B^\times$.

By the assumption on $I$, $h_I := h_\zeta$ modulo $I_K$ defines a non-degenerate hermitian form on the free $B$-module $M = B^{\oplus 5}$. The following lemma which assures the existence of a unitary basis is well known for the case where $I_K$ is a prime. For its proof, we refer to Propositions 2.3.1, 2.3.2 of [K-L]. For our general $I$, it follows easily by the argument using the decompositions $A = \oplus_{i=1}^r A_i, A^\times = \oplus_{i=1}^r A_i^\times$ etc.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $J$ be the hermitian form on $M$ given by the matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Then, we have an isometry of hermitian modules*

$$\phi : \ (M; h_I) \simeq (M; J).$$

Let $SU_h$ and $SU_J$ be the special unitary group schemes over $A$ with respect to the hermitian forms $h_I$ and $J$ on $M$, respectively. Our task is to show $SU_h(A) = \rho_{n,I}(\Gamma)$. By Lemma 4.1, it is reduced to show $SU_J(A) = \Gamma'$, where $\Gamma' = \phi\rho_{n,I}(\Gamma)\phi^{-1}$.

Now, let us recall Weisfeiler's criterion for our pair $\Gamma' \subset SU_J(A)$.

The group $A$-scheme $SU_J$ is a connected and simply-connected absolutely almost simple and quasi-split over $A$. Let $T$ be a maximal $A$-torus of $SU_J$ contained in a Borel $A$-subgroup. We say that $T(k_i)$ (= prime to $p_i$-part of $T(A_i)$, $p_i$ = char. $k_i$) distinguishes the roots of $T$ on $SU_J$ if for two roots $r_1, r_2$ of $T$ on $SU_J$, $r_1|T(k_i) = r_2|T(k_i)$ implies $r_1 = r_2$. For each $i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, let $N_i$ be the natural number such that if $q_i = N\wp_i > N_i$ then $T(k_i)$ distinguishes the roots of $T$.

Finally, let $su_J$ be the Lie algebra of $SU_J$ and $Ad : SU_J(A) \to GL(su_J(A))$ be the adjoint representation.

LEMMA 4.2 ([W], Theorem (7.2)). *Notation being as above, assume that*

*(1)* $q_i \geq max(10, N_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$,

*(2) The image of* $\Gamma'$ *in* $SU_J(k_i)$ *under the reduction modulo* $\wp_i/\wp_i^{e_i} \oplus \oplus_{j\neq i}\mathcal{O}_F/\wp_j^{e_j}$ *is the whole* $SU_J(k_i)$,

*(3)* $\mathbf{Z}[trAd(\Gamma')mod.R^2] = A/R^2$.

*Then, we have the equality* $\Gamma' = SU_J(A)$.

REMARK 4.3. The assumption in (7.1) of [W] that the only proper ideal of the Lie algebra $su_J(k_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, are central is satisfied for our $su_J$ (*Remark* to (7.1) of [W]).

Let $R_{B/A}(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m},B})$ be the Weil restriction of scalers from $B$ to $A$ of the split $B$-torus $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m},B}$ of dimension 1 and $z \mapsto \bar{z}$ is the automorphism of $R_{B/A}(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m},B})$ induced from the non-trivial automorphism of $B/A$. For $z = x + y\beta \in B^{\times} = R_{B/A}(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m},B})(A)$, $\bar{z} = x - y\beta$. Then, a maximal $A$-torus $T$ of $SU_J$ is given by

$$T := \{t = diag(t_1, t_2, t_3, \bar{t_2}^{-1}, \bar{t_1}^{-1}) |\ t_i \in R_{B/A}(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m},B}),\ t_3 = t_1^{-1}\bar{t_1}t_2^{-1}\bar{t_2}\},$$

which contains the maximal $A$-split torus

$$S := \{s = diag(s_1, s_2, 1, s_2^{-1}, s_1^{-1}) |\ s_i \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m},A}\}.$$

Next, a $A$-basis of the Lie algebra $su_J(A)$ is given as follows:
$e_{11} - e_{55}, e_{22} - e_{44}, \beta(e_{11} + e_{55} - 2e_{33}), \beta(e_{22} + e_{44} - 2e_{33}), e_{12} - e_{45}, \beta(e_{12} + e_{45}), e_{14} - e_{25}, \beta(e_{14} + e_{25}), e_{13} - e_{35}, \beta(e_{13} + e_{35}), e_{23} - e_{34}, \beta(e_{23} + e_{34}), e_{21} - e_{54}, \beta(e_{21} + e_{54}), e_{41} - e_{52}, \beta(e_{41} + e_{52}), e_{31} - e_{53}, \beta(e_{31} + e_{53}), e_{32} - e_{43}, \beta(e_{32} + e_{43}), \beta e_{15}, \beta e_{24}, \beta e_{51}, \beta e_{42}$.

Now, by the computation using this basis, we can describe the roots of $T$ on $SU_J$. Define the characters $\epsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq 5$, of $T$ by the following: for $t = diag(t_1, t_2, t_3, \bar{t_2}^{-1}, \bar{t_1}^{-1}) \in T(A)$, $\epsilon_i(t) = t_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3, \epsilon_4(t) = \bar{t_2}^{-1}, \epsilon_5(t) = \bar{t_1}^{-1}$. Then, $\pm(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq 5$, are all roots of $T$ and positive roots $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j, 1 \leq i \leq 5$, correspond to a Borel $A$-subgroup consisting of upper triangular matrices and containing $T$. The relative roots of $S$ are $\pm(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2), \pm\epsilon_i, \pm 2\epsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq 2$. Then, we first see that each $q_i > 5$ by our assumptions and so $k_i$ is large enough so that $S(k_i)$ distinguishes the roots of $S$ for any $i$. Next, we also see easily that $T(k_i)$ distinguishes 2 roots of $T$ restricted to the same root of $S$ for each $i$. Hence, the assumption (1) of Lemma 4.2 is just $q_i \geq 10,\ 1 \leq i \leq r$. The assumption (2) is a consequence of Theorem 3.5.

Finally, using the above basis of $su_J(A)$, a straightforward calculation shows that $tr(Ad(g)) = N_{B/A}(tr(g)) - 1$ for $g \in SU_J(A)$, where $N_{B/A}$ is the norm map attached to $B/A$ and $N_{B/A}(tr(\rho_{n,I}(\theta_1))) = 13 - 6(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$ modulo $I$. Therefore, the assumption (3) is certified.

Hence, by Lemma 4.2, we have

Main Theorem 4.4. *Assume that $n$ is prime to 30, bigger than 6. Let $I$ be a product of prime ideals $\wp_i$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$. Assume that each $\wp_i$ is inert in $K/F$ and prime to 6 and $N\wp_i \geq 10$. Then, the image of $\rho_{n,I}$ coincides with $SU_5(\mathcal{O}_K/I_K, h_I)$.*

## 5. Comparison with the Torelli group and coverings of the moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces of genus 2

Let $Sp_2(\mathbf{Z})$ be the Siegel modular group of degree 4, namely, the group consisting of all $X \in GL_4(\mathbf{Z})$ satisfying

$$X \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & 1_2 \\ -1_2 & 0_2 \end{pmatrix} {}^tX = \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & 1_2 \\ -1_2 & 0_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\theta : \Gamma \to Sp_2(\mathbf{Z})$ be the canonical homomorphism induced by the abelianization map of $\Gamma$ and the Nielsen isomorphism. We call the kernel of $\theta$ the Torelli group of genus 2 and write $\Gamma(N)$ for $\theta^{-1}(Sp_2(\mathbf{Z}; N))$, where $Sp_2(\mathbf{Z}; N)$ is the principal congruence subgroup of $Sp_2(\mathbf{Z})$ modulo a natural number $N$. The following result of Birmann allows us to compare our groups $\Gamma_{n,I}$ with the "congruence subgroups" $\Gamma(N)$ of $\Gamma$.

LEMMA 5.1 ([Bi1], Theorem 2). *The Torelli group of genus 2 is generated by the normal closure of* $(\theta_1\theta_2\theta_1)^4$.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Under the same assumptions in Theorem 4.4, the group* $\Gamma_{n,I}$ *does not contain the Torelli group, hence any* $\Gamma(N)$.

PROOF. We see that 1-1 entry of $\rho_{n,I}((\theta_1\theta_2\theta_1)^4) = \eta^6$ which is not 1 modulo $I$ by our assumptions. □

The geometrical interpretation of the above result is as follows.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the Teichmüller space of genus 2 and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T}/\Gamma$ be the moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces of genus 2. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the Siegel upper half space of degree 4 and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}/Sp_2(\mathbf{Z})$ be the moduli space of principally polarized abelian surfaces. The period map $\mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{S}$ is compatible with the actions of $\Gamma, Sp_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and $\theta$, and thus we obtain the Torelli map $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

The Galois covering $\mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{S}/Sp_2(\mathbf{Z}; N)$ over $\mathcal{A}$ with the Galois group $Sp_2(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})$ is the moduli space of principally polarized abelian surfaces with level $N$-structure. Then, Corollary 5.2 tells us that the spaces $\mathcal{T}/\Gamma_{n,I}$ give a family of Galois coverings over $\mathcal{M}$ with the Galois groups $SU_5(\mathcal{O}_K/I_K)$, which can not be obtained by the pull-back of any $\mathcal{A}_N$ via the Torelli map.

## References


[Be] Berger, G., Fake congruence modular curves and subgroups of the modular group, (1995), preprint.

[Bi1] Birman, J., On Siegel modular group, Math. Ann. **191** (1971), 59–68.

[Bi2] Birman, J., Braids, links and mapping class groups, Ann. Math. Studies **82** (1974).

[J] Jones, V. F. R., Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials, Ann. of Math. **126** (1987), 335–388.

[H] Huppert, B., Endliche Gruppen I (Glundlehren Math. Wiss. Bd **134**) Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer (1967).

[Ka] Kasahara, Y., Jones' representation of the mapping class group of genus 2 surface at the 4-th root of unity on the Torelli group, preprint, (1996).

[K-L] Kleidman, P. and M. Liebeck, The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **129**, Cambridge Univ. Press (1990).

[M-W] Martino, L. D. and A. Wagoner, The irreducible subgroups of $PSL(V_5, q)$, where $q$ is odd, Resultate d. Math. **2** (1978), 54–61.

[O-T] Oda, T. and T. Terasoma, Surjectivity of reduction of the Burau representations of Artin braid groups, (1996), in preparation.

[W] Weisfeiler, B., Strong approximation for Zariski-dense subgroups of semisimple algebraic groups, Ann. of Math. **120** (1984), 271–315.


(Received August 23, 1996)

Department of Mathematics
Kanazawa University
Kakuma, Kanazawa 920-11
Japan