

# On the Structure of Spinor Groups with Positive Index

By Takashi TASAKA

Department of Mathematics, College of General Education, University of Tokyo.  
Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153

(Received January 28, 1975)

In this paper, we study the structure of the spinor group  $\text{Spin}(V)$ , where  $V$  is a quadratic space with positive index over a field  $K$  of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . We will show that  $\text{Spin}(V)$  is generated by two subgroups which are isomorphic to a certain subspace of  $V$ , when  $\dim V \geq 3$ .

## 1. Preliminaries.

Assume that  $K$  be an arbitrary field of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional non-degenerate quadratic space over the field  $K$  with quadratic form  $Q$ . We denote also by  $Q(x, y)$  the symmetric bilinear form which determines the quadratic form  $Q$ , that is, for any  $x \in V$ , we have  $Q(x) = Q(x, x)$ . We take an orthogonal base  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $V$ :  $Q(e_i, e_j) = \alpha_i \delta_{ij}$ , with  $\alpha_i \in K^*$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

In a vector space  $V$ , we denote by  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle$  the subspace of  $V$  spanned by the vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_r$ .

We assume that the index of  $V$  is positive. So we may take an orthogonal base  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  such that  $Q(e_1) = 1$  and  $Q(e_2) = -1$ . Put

$$(1) \quad a = e_1 + e_2, \quad b = 2^{-1}(e_1 - e_2),$$

then  $\{a, b\}$  is a hyperbolic pair in  $V$  (i.e.,  $Q(a) = Q(b) = 0$  and  $Q(a, b) = 1$ ), and we have a hyperbolic plane  $H = \langle a, b \rangle = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$  in  $V$ . We denote by  $U$  the orthogonal complement of  $H$ . Thus,  $U = \langle e_3, \dots, e_n \rangle$ . It is clear that  $Q$  induces a non-degenerate quadratic form on  $U$ .

Let  $C(V)$  be the Clifford algebra of  $V$  which contains  $V$  canonically, and let  $C_0(V)$  be the even Clifford algebra of  $V$ . We denote by  $J$  the main involution of  $C(V)$ , that is,  $J(x_1 \cdots x_r) = x_r \cdots x_1$ , for  $x_i \in V$ . From the definition, we have,  $x^2 = Q(x)$  and  $xy + yx = 2Q(x, y)$ , in  $C(V)$ , where  $x, y \in V$ . For an invertible element  $X$  of  $C(V)$ , we denote by  $\phi_X$  the inner automorphism of  $C(V)$  defined by  $X$ , that is, for  $Y \in C(V)$ ,  $\phi_X(Y) = XYX^{-1}$ . The Clifford group  $\Gamma(V)$  of  $V$  is defined by

$$\Gamma(V) = \{X \in C(V) : \phi_X(V) \subset V\}.$$

For  $X \in I(V)$ , the restriction of  $\phi_X$  to  $V$  is an automorphism of the quadratic space  $V$  which we will denote by  $\varphi_X$  or  $\varphi(X)$ . Clearly the mapping  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \varphi(X)$  is a homomorphism of  $I(V)$  into the orthogonal group  $O(V)$  of  $V$ , which we call the vector representation of  $I(V)$ .

We note that, for a non-singular vector  $x$ , we have  $x \in I(V)$ , and  $\varphi_x = -\tau_x$ , where  $\tau_x$  denotes the symmetry defined by  $x$ . Thus we have

$$(2) \quad xyx = -Q(x) \cdot \tau_{xy},$$

for a non-singular vector  $x$  of  $V$  and any vector  $y$  of  $V$ .

The even Clifford group  $I_0(V)$  is defined by  $I_0(V) = I(V) \cap C_0(V)$ . Then, for an element  $X$  of  $I_0(V)$ , we have  $\varphi_X \in SO(V)$ . The kernel of  $\varphi$  in  $I_0(V)$  is the intersection of  $I_0(V)$  and the center of  $C(V)$  which is the multiplicative group  $K^\times$  of  $K$ . Note that the field  $K$  is contained in the center of  $C(V)$  canonically.

For any  $X \in C(V)$ , we define the norm  $\nu(X)$  by  $\nu(X) = X \cdot J(X)$ . It is well-known that the mapping  $\nu$  induces a homomorphism of  $I_0(V)$  into the multiplicative group  $K^\times$  of  $K$ . The spinor group  $\text{Spin}(V)$  of  $V$  is defined as the kernel of this homomorphism, that is,

$$\text{Spin}(V) = \{X \in I_0(V) : \nu(X) = 1\}.$$

In our case ( $\text{ind}(V) > 0$ ), the homomorphism  $\nu$  is surjective. (For these facts, see E. Artin [1].)

## 2. Elementary Subgroups.

From now on, we assume that  $n = \dim V \geq 3$ . We have fixed a hyperbolic pair  $\{a, b\}$  in  $V$  by (1). For any  $x \in U = \langle e_3, \dots, e_n \rangle$ , we put

$$(3) \quad E(x) = 1 + ax,$$

$$(4) \quad F(x) = 1 + bx,$$

then we have the following two propositions.

PROPOSITION 1.

(a)  $E(x) \in \text{Spin}(V)$  and  $E(x)E(y) = E(x+y)$  for  $x, y \in U$ .

(b) For  $v \in V$ , we have

$$(5) \quad \varphi_{E(x)}(v) = v + 2Q(x, v)a - 2Q(a, v)Q(x)a - 2Q(a, v)x.$$

(c)  $\mathfrak{E} = \{E(x) : x \in U\}$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Spin}(V)$  which is isomorphic to the additive group of  $U$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $E(x) \in C_0(V)$ . The norm of  $E(x)$  is given by

$$\nu(E(x)) = (1+ax)(1-ax) = 1 - axax = 1.$$

Calculating the inner automorphism  $\phi_{E(x)}$ , we have

$$\phi_{E(x)}(v) = (1+ax)v(1-ax) = v + 2Q(x, v)a - 2Q(a, v)Q(x)a - 2Q(a, v)x,$$

for any  $v \in V$ . Thus,  $\phi_{E(x)}(v) \in V$ . This shows the first part of (a) and (b). Clearly

$$E(x)E(y) = 1 + ax + ay + axay = 1 + a(x+y) = E(x+y).$$

Thus all are proved.

The same holds for  $F(x)$ , that is,

PROPOSITION 2.

(a)  $F(x) \in \text{Spin}(V)$  and  $F(x)F(y) = F(x+y)$  for  $x, y \in U$ .

(b) For  $v \in V$ , we have

$$(6) \quad \varphi_{F(x)}(v) = v + 2Q(x, v)b - 2Q(b, v)Q(x)b - 2Q(b, v)x.$$

(c)  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F(x) : x \in U\}$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Spin}(V)$  which is isomorphic to the additive group of  $U$ .

We call these subgroups  $\mathfrak{E}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  the elementary subgroups of  $\text{Spin}(V)$  defined by the hyperbolic pair  $\{a, b\}$ . Note that, in Eichler's notation [2], p. 13, we have

$$\varphi(E(x)) = E_{-2x}^a \text{ and } \varphi(F(x)) = E_{-2x}^b.$$

LEMMA 1. We put  $\varepsilon = e_1e_2$ , then  $\varepsilon^2 = 1$ , and

(a)  $\varepsilon x = x\varepsilon$ , for any  $x \in U$ .

(b)  $-\varepsilon a = a\varepsilon = a$  and  $\varepsilon b = -b\varepsilon = b$ .

(c)  $ab = 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $ba = 1 + \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* These can be proved by direct calculations.

Putting, for  $\alpha \in K^*$ ,

$$(7) \quad P(\alpha) = 2^{-1}\{(1+\alpha) + (1-\alpha)\varepsilon\},$$

we have

PROPOSITION 3.

(a)  $P(\alpha) \in \Gamma_0(V)$  and  $\nu(P(\alpha)) = \alpha$ .

(b)  $P(\alpha)P(\beta) = P(\alpha\beta)$ .

*Proof.* For  $\alpha \in K^*$ ,  $a+b$  and  $a+ab$  are non-singular vectors, and we have  $(a+b)(a+ab) = 2P(\alpha)$ . Thus  $P(\alpha) \in \Gamma_0(V)$ . The other statements can be proved by direct calculations.

We put  $\mathfrak{P} = \{P(\alpha) : \alpha \in K^*\}$ , then  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma_0(V)$  which is isomor-

phic to  $K^\times$ . Prop. 3. shows that  $\Gamma_b(V)$  is a semi-direct product of  $\text{Spin}(V)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}$  in which  $\text{Spin}(V)$  is normal.

From Lemma 1, it follows that  $P(\alpha)a = \alpha a$ ,  $aP(\alpha) = a$ ,  $P(\alpha)b = b$ ,  $bP(\alpha) = \alpha b$ , and  $P(\alpha)x = xP(\alpha)$  for any  $x \in U$ . So we have  $\varphi_{P(\alpha)}(a) = \alpha a$ ,  $\varphi_{P(\alpha)}(b) = \alpha^{-1}b$ , and  $\varphi_{P(\alpha)}(x) = x$  for any  $x \in U$ . Also we have

$$(8) \quad P(\alpha)E(x)P(\alpha)^{-1} = E(\alpha x),$$

$$(9) \quad P(\alpha)F(x)P(\alpha)^{-1} = F(\alpha^{-1}x).$$

That is,  $\mathfrak{K}$  normalises  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$ , respectively.

Now, for  $\alpha \in K^\times$ , we put

$$(10) \quad A(\alpha) = \alpha^{-1}P(\alpha^2).$$

Then we have

PROPOSITION 4.

(a)  $A(\alpha) \in \text{Spin}(V)$  and  $A(\alpha)A(\beta) = A(\alpha\beta)$ .

(b)  $\mathfrak{A} = \{A(\alpha) : \alpha \in K^\times\}$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Spin}(V)$  which is isomorphic to  $K^\times$ .

Especially  $A(-1) = -1$ .

*Proof.* These are clear from the definition of  $A(\alpha)$ .

*Remark.* If  $\dim V = 2$ , it is easy to see that  $\text{Spin}(V) = \mathfrak{A}$ .

### 3. The Structure of spinor group.

Let  $U$  be the orthogonal complement of  $H = \langle a, b \rangle = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$ . We denote by  $U^\times$  the set of all non-singular vectors in  $U$ . For  $x \in U^\times$ , we put  $\xi = (2Q(x))^{-1}$ , and put

$$(11) \quad W(x) = E(x)F(\xi x)E(x).$$

From (8) and (9), we have

$$(12) \quad P(\alpha)W(x)P(\alpha)^{-1} = W(\alpha x).$$

LEMMA 2. For  $x \in U^\times$ , we have

$$(13) \quad W(x) = (a + \xi b)x,$$

where  $\xi = (2Q(x))^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* In the algebra  $C(V)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) &= (1+ax)(1+\xi bx)(1+ax) \\ &= 1 + 2ax + \xi bx - \xi Q(x)(ba+ab) - \xi Q(x)abax \end{aligned}$$

$$=ax + \xi b \cdot x = (a + \xi b) \cdot x.$$

Note that  $aba = 2a$ .

q. e. d.

From Lemma 2, it follows  $\varphi_{W(x)} = \tau_{a+\xi b} \cdot \tau_x$ , for  $x \in U$ . This shows that  $\varphi_{W(x)}(U) = U$  and  $\varphi_{W(x)}(H) = H$ . The restriction of  $\varphi_{W(x)}$  to  $U$  is the symmetry in  $U$  defined by the non-singular vector  $x$  which is nothing but the restriction of the symmetry  $\tau_x$  in  $V$  to the subspace  $U$ .

PROPOSITION 5. For  $x, y \in U^*$ , we have

$$(14) \quad W(x)W(y) = -Q(x)^{-1}P(Q(x)|Q(y))xy.$$

*Proof:* From Lemma 2, we have

$$W(x)W(y) = -(a + \xi b)(a + \eta b)xy$$

where  $\xi = (2Q(x))^{-1}$  and  $\eta = (2Q(y))^{-1}$ . It is easy to show that  $(a + \xi b)(a + \eta b) = 2\xi P(\eta/\xi)$ . q. e. d.

COROLLARY 1. For  $x \in U^*$  and  $\lambda \in K^*$ , we have

$$(15) \quad W(x)^{-1} = W(-x) = -W(x).$$

$$(16) \quad W(\lambda x)W(x)^{-1} = A(\lambda) \text{ and } W(x)^{-1}W(\lambda x) = A(\lambda^{-1}).$$

*Proof.* These can be deduced from (14) and the definition of  $W(x)$ .

COROLLARY 2. If we denote by  $G$  the subgroup of  $\text{Spin}(V)$  generated by  $\mathfrak{E}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\mathfrak{L}$  is contained in  $G$ .

*Remark.* Interchanging  $E$  and  $F$ , we put  $W'(x) = F(x)E(\xi x)F(x)$ , then we have  $W'(x)W(x)^{-1} = A(\xi)$ , where  $\xi = (2Q(x))^{-1}$ .

PROPOSITION 6. For  $x \in U^*$ , we have

$$(17) \quad W(x)E(y)W(x)^{-1} = F(-\xi \cdot \tau_x y),$$

$$(18) \quad W(x)F(y)W(x)^{-1} = E(-\xi^{-1} \cdot \tau_x y),$$

where  $\xi = (2Q(x))^{-1}$  and  $\tau_x$  is the symmetry in  $V$  defined by  $x$ .

*Proof.* We put  $c = a + \xi b$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} W(x)E(y)W(x)^{-1} &= -cx(1+ay)cx = -(cxcx + cxa y c x) \\ &= Q(c)Q(x) + cac \cdot xyx. \end{aligned}$$

As  $Q(c) = 2\xi = Q(x)^{-1}$ , we have, from (2),

$$W(x)E(y)W(x)^{-1} = 1 + \tau_{ca} \cdot \tau_x y = 1 - \xi b \cdot \tau_x y = F(-\xi \cdot \tau_x y).$$

Also (18) can be proved in the similar way.

q. e. d.

DEFINITION. We denote by  $\mathfrak{B}$  the subgroup of  $\text{Spin}(V)$  generated by  $W(x)$  with  $x \in U^*$  and by  $\mathfrak{H}$  the subgroup of  $\text{Spin}(V)$  generated by  $W(x)W(y)^{-1}$  with

$x, y \in U^\times$ . It follows from (16) that  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

It is already shown that  $\varphi_{W(x)}(U) = U$ . So, for any  $X \in \mathfrak{Q}$ , the restriction  $\omega_X$  of  $\varphi_X$  to  $U$  is an automorphism of the quadratic space  $U$ . Thus we have a homomorphism  $\omega$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  into  $O(U)$ . Clearly  $\omega$  is surjective, and the restriction of  $\omega$  to  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{H}$  onto  $SO(U)$ .

**PROPOSITION 7.** *The kernel of the homomorphism  $\omega$  is the subgroup  $\mathfrak{Q}$ , and  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is central in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .*

*Proof.* From Cor. 1 to Prop. 5, we have

$$W(x)A(\lambda)W(x)^{-1} = A(\lambda^{-1}).$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is central in  $\mathfrak{H}$ , but is not central in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . As  $\mathfrak{H}$  has index 2 in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , it suffices to show that the kernel of  $\omega$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$  is  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . Assume that  $X \in \mathfrak{H}$  be in the kernel. We write

$$X = W(x_1)W(x_2)\cdots W(x_{2h}),$$

with  $x_i \in U^\times$ . By Prop. 5, we can write  $X = \alpha P(\beta)x_1x_2\cdots x_{2h}$ , with certain scalars  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . As  $\alpha P(\beta)$  commutes with every vector of  $U$ , the element  $x_1\cdots x_{2h}$  of  $C_0(U)$  should be central in the algebra  $C(U)$  of  $U$ . That is,  $x_1\cdots x_{2h}$  is a scalar. Thus  $X = \alpha' P(\beta)$ . From  $1 = \nu(X) = \alpha'^2 \cdot \beta$ , it follows that  $X \in \mathfrak{Q}$ . q. e. d.

The special orthogonal group  $SO(H)$  of the hyperbolic plane  $H = \langle a, b \rangle$  is isomorphic to the multiplicative group  $K^\times$  of  $K$  in the following way. Let  $\sigma \in SO(H)$ , then  $\sigma(a)$  is a scalar multiple of  $a$ . We denote this scalar by  $\pi_\sigma$ . Then  $\pi$  is an isomorphism of  $SO(H)$  onto  $K^\times$ .

For  $X \in \mathfrak{H}$ , the restriction  $\pi_X$  of  $\varphi_X$  to the hyperbolic plane  $H$  induces an element of  $SO(H)$ . We also denote by  $\pi_X$  the corresponding scalar of this restriction. Thus we have a homomorphism  $\pi$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  into  $K^\times$ . From (14), we have  $\pi(W(x)W(y)) = Q(x)/Q(y)$ . Thus the image of  $\pi$  is a subgroup of  $K^\times$  generated by  $Q(x)/Q(y)$  with  $x, y \in \mathfrak{H}^\times$ . Also it is clear that the kernel of  $\pi$  is isomorphic to the spinor group  $\text{Spin}(U)$  of  $U$ .

#### 4. A generator system for the spinor group $\text{Spin}(V)$ .

We will show that  $\text{Spin}(V)$  is generated by the two elementary subgroups  $\mathfrak{E}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *For  $T \in \text{Spin}(V)$ , if  $\varphi_T(a) = \beta^{-1}a$  and  $\varphi_T(b) = \beta b$ , with  $\beta \in K^\times$ , then  $T$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .*

*Proof.* We put  $\tau = \varphi_T$ . As  $\tau(H) = H$ , we have  $\tau(U) = U$ . Thus  $\tau$  induces an element of  $SO(U)$  which we will denote by  $\tau'$ . By the theorem of Cartan-Dieudonné, there exist even number of non-singular vectors  $z_i (1 \leq i \leq 2h)$  in  $U$

such that  $\tau' = \tau_{z_1} \cdots \tau_{z_{2h}}$ , where  $\tau_z$  means the symmetry in  $U$  defined by  $z$ , in this case. The spinor norm of  $\tau$  is a class of  $K^*/(K^*)^2$  which contains the scalar  $\beta^{-1}Q(z_1) \cdots Q(z_{2h})$ . As  $\tau = \varphi_T$  with  $T \in \text{Spin}(V)$ , this scalar is equal to a square  $\mu^2$  with  $\mu \in K^*$ . We put

$$T_1 = W(z_1) \cdots W(z_{2h}) \cdot I(\lambda).$$

Clearly we have  $\omega_{T_1} = \tau' = \omega_T$ . Taking the scalar  $\lambda$  suitably, we can show that  $\pi(T_1) = \pi(T)$ . More exactly, it suffices to put

$$\lambda = \mu(Q(z_1)Q(z_2) \cdots Q(z_{2h-1}))^{-1}.$$

Thus  $\varphi_T = \varphi_{T_1}$ , that is,  $T$  and  $T_1$  differ only by the factor  $\pm 1$ . As  $-1 = I(-1) \in \mathfrak{U}$ ,  $T = \pm T_1$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{U}$ . q. e. d.

For an element  $T \in \text{Spin}(V)$ , we put  $\beta(T) = Q(a, \varphi_T(b))$ , and we define a subset  $\mathfrak{X}$  of  $\text{Spin}(V)$  in the following way;

$$\mathfrak{X} = \{T \in \text{Spin}(V) : \beta(T) \neq 0\}.$$

PROPOSITION 8. *Notation being as above, we have  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{U}$ , and for  $T \in \mathfrak{X}$ , the decomposition  $T = E(x)F(y)X$ , with  $X \in \mathfrak{U}$ , is unique.*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $T = E(x)F(y)X$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{X}$ , because

$$\varphi_T(b) = \varphi_{E(x)}(\pi_X^{-1} \cdot b) = \pi_X^{-1}(b - 2Q(x)a - 2x),$$

that is,  $\beta(T) = \pi_X^{-1}$ . Now we prove the uniqueness of the decomposition. Put  $E(x)F(y)X = E(x_1)F(y_1)X_1$ , with  $X, X_1 \in \mathfrak{U}$ , then we have

$$E(x - x_1)F(y) = F(y_1)X_1X^{-1} = X_1X^{-1}F(y_2),$$

where  $y_2$  is a vector in  $U$  determined by (17) and (18). That is,  $E(x - x_1)F(y - y_2) = X_1X^{-1}$ . Consider the operation on  $b$  of the vector representation of both sides of the above formula, then we have

$$\varphi_{E(x_2)F(y_2)}(b) = b - 2Q(x_2)a - 2x_2, \text{ and } \varphi_Y(b) = \pi_Y^{-1} \cdot b,$$

where  $x_2 = x - x_1$ ,  $y_2 = y - y_1$  and  $Y = X_1X^{-1}$ . Thus we have  $x_2 = 0$ , that is,  $x = x_1$ . As  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{U} = \{1\}$ , the equality  $F(y)X = F(y_1)X_1$  means that  $y = y_1$  and  $X = X_1$ . Note that every element  $F(y) \neq 1$  does not leave invariant the subspace  $U$ . (cf. Prop. 2).

Finally, assume that  $T \in \mathfrak{X}$ , then we can write

$$\varphi_T(b) = \alpha a + \beta b + x,$$

where  $\beta = \beta(T) \neq 0$ , and  $x \in U$ . As  $Q(\varphi_T(b)) = 0$ , we have  $2\alpha\beta + Q(x) = 0$ . We put

$$T' = E((2\beta)^{-1}x)T,$$

then we have  $\varphi_{T'}(b) = \beta b$ . Writing  $\varphi_{T'}(a) = \gamma a + \delta b + y$ , we have  $\gamma = \beta^{-1}$  and  $2\gamma\delta + Q(y) = 0$ . Put

$$T'' = F(2^{-1}\beta y)T',$$

then we have  $\varphi_{T''}(a) = \beta^{-1}a$  and  $\varphi_{T''}(b) = \beta b$ . From Lemma 3, it follows that  $T'' \in \mathfrak{ll}$ . That is,

$$T = E(-(2\beta)^{-1}x)F(-2^{-1}\beta y)T'',$$

with  $T'' \in \mathfrak{ll}$ .

q. e. d.

PROPOSITION 9.

$$\text{Spin}(V) = \bigcup_{x \in U} F(x)\mathfrak{K}F(x)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* For  $T \in \text{Spin}(V)$ , if  $\beta(T) = 0$ , then we can write  $\varphi_T(b) = \alpha a + y$ . Putting  $T^* = F(x)TF(-x)$ , we will show that  $T^* \in \mathfrak{K}$  for some  $x \in U$ . As  $\varphi_{T^*}(b) = \varphi_{F(x)}(\alpha a + y)$ , we have  $\beta(T^*) = 2(-\alpha Q(x) + Q(x, y))$ . Changing  $x$  by  $\lambda x$ , if necessary, we can show that there exists a vector  $\lambda x$  such that  $T^* \in \mathfrak{K}$ . Note that  $|K| \geq 3$  from the assumption. q. e. d.

These proofs are analogy of Eichler's one [2].

As  $\mathfrak{ll}$  normalises the subgroup  $\mathfrak{K}$ , Prop. 8 and 9 shows that

$$(19) \quad \text{Spin}(V) = \mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{ll} \mathfrak{K}.$$

*Remark 1.* From (19), we can explain the cellular decomposition of the quadratic surface in the projective space  $P(V)$  of  $V$  defined by the equation  $Q(x) = 0$ .

*Remark 2.* If  $\text{ind}(U) = 0$ , then the subgroups  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{ll}$  and  $\mathfrak{K}$  make the  $B$ - $N$  pair for the group  $\text{Spin}(V)$  in the sense of Tits. But this is already known, because  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{ll}$  is a minimal  $K$ -parabolic subgroup of  $\text{Spin}(V)$  [3]. If  $\text{ind}(U) > 0$ , we could not seek any  $B$ - $N$  pair in  $\text{Spin}(V)$ .

### References

- [1] Artin, E., *Geometric algebra*, Interscience, 1957.
- [2] Eichler, M., *Quadratische Formen und orthogonale Gruppen*. Springer-Verlag, 1952.
- [3] Tits, J., Algebraic and abstract simple groups. *Ann. of Math.* **80**, 313-329 (1964).