Doctoral Dissertation (Censored)

博士論文 (要約)

Studies on the regulatory mechanism of leaf cell number and cell size focusing on ANGUSTIFOLIA3

(ANGUSTIFOLIA3 に焦点を当てた葉の細胞数および細胞サイズ制御機構の解明)

A Dissertation Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy April 2020

令和2年4月博士(理学)申請

Department of Biological Sciences, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo

東京大学大学院理学系研究科

生物科学専攻

Kazune Ezaki

江崎 和音

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Dr. Ichiro Terashima, Dr. Mitsutomo Abe, Dr. Kyoko Ohashi-Ito, and Dr. Munetaka Sugiyama for their advice and critical discussion for this thesis. I would like to express my humble gratitude for Dr. Hirokazu Tsukaya for all his help throughout my study in Tsukaya lab. I would like to express my great appreciation for all collaborators, Dr. Ushio Fujikura, Mr. Yuta Otsuka, Dr. Munetaka Sugiyama, Mr. Taichi Sugiyama, Dr. Hiroyuki Koga, Dr. Takumi Higaki, Dr. Kazuo Ebine, Dr. Shingo Sakamoto, Dr. Kiminori Toyooka, and Dr. Noriko Takeda. I also greatly appreciate Dr. Kensuke Kawade, Dr. Ali Ferjani, and Dr. Gorou Horiguchi for their critical discussion. I am also grateful to all of the present and previous members in Tsukaya lab for their critical discussion and pleasant time. Finally, I thank my family and friends for their kind help and support.

Abstract

Leaves and floral organs exhibit determinate growth and their size is determined by cell number and cell size. In those organs, decreased cell number is often seen with increased cell size. This phenomenon is called compensation, since enhanced cell expansion seems to compensate deficient cell proliferation. Compensation has been found in many mutants, transgenics, and natural variants of various species. A number of examples imply the coordination system between cell proliferation and cell expansion. However, the mechanism of compensation has not been revealed in most of the cases. Since it has been suggested that compensation is mediated by heterogeneous pathways, detailed analyses of each case are required. In this study, I focused on a mutant of *angustifolia 3 (an3)* and analyzed *an3* compensation from several aspects.

AN3 encodes a transcriptional coregulator which plays a significant role in cell proliferation regulation. In *an3* mutant, leaf cell number is decreased to be about 30% of wild type, while cell size is increased to about 150% of wild type. Although much knowledge has been accumulated about AN3 function, its roles in cell size regulation have not been known. I utilized *extra-small sisters 2 (xs2)* mutant, which has been identified as a suppressor of *an3* compensation, to reveal a role of *xs2* in compensated cell enlargement (CCE) in *an3*. I also observed leaf development in detail to find out factors acting in *an3* CCE. In this study, I showed several factors taking a role in *an3* CCE and found novel phenotypes of *an3* mutant.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements

Abstract

Table of Contents

Abbreviations and Parameters

Chapter I: General Introduction

Chapter II: Characterization of extra-small sisters2, putative an3 compensation suppressor

Introduction

Results

Discussion

Materials and Methods

Figures

Chapter III: Examinations of compensated cell enlargement mechanism in angustifolia3

mutant

Introduction

Results

Discussion

Materials and Methods

Figures and Tables

Chapter IV: General Discussion

References

Abbreviations

AN	ANGUSTIFOLIA
ANT	AINTEGUMENTA
APC/C	anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome
AVP	ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA V-PPASE
BCECF	2',7'-Bis-(2-carboxyethyl)-5-(and-6)-carboxyfluorescein, acetoxymethyl ester
CCE	compensated cell enlargement
CCX	CATION CALCIUM EXCHANGER
CDK	CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASE
CESA	CELLULOSE SYNTHASE A
Col-0	Columbia-0
DAS	day-after-stratification
DET	DE-ETIOLATED
DEG	differentially expressed gene
ECH	ENOYL-COA HYDRATASE
EdU	5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine
ER	ERECTA
F1	filial 1
FAA	formalin-acetic acid-alcohol
FAS	FASCIATA
FDR	false discovery rate
FE-SEM	field emission-scanning electron microscope
FM4-64	N-(3-Triethylammoniumpropyl)-4-(6-(4-(Diethylamino) Phenyl) Hexatrienyl)
	Pyridinium Dibromide
GA	gibberellin
GFP	green fluorescent protein
GIF	GRF-INTERACTING FACTOR
GRA	GRANDIFOLIA
GRF	GROWTH-REGULATING FACTOR
ICS	isochorismate synthase, ISOCHORISMATE SYNTHASE
KRP	KIP-RELATED PROTEIN

miR	microRNA
mRNA-seq	messenger RNA sequencing
NAC	NO APICAL MERISTEM/ATAF/CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON
NPR	NONEXPRESSER OF PR GENES, NPR1-LIKE PROTEIN
OX	overexpression
PAL	phenylalanine ammonia-lyase
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
qRT-PCR	quantitated real-time PCR
RbohD	RESPIRATORY BURST OXIDASE HOMOLOGUE D
ROS	reactive oxygen species
SA	salicylic acid
SNH	SYT N-terminal homology
SWI/SNF	SWITCH/SUCROSE NONFERMENTING
SYT	synovial translocation
T-DNA	transfer DNA
UV-B	ULTRAVIOLET-B
UVI	ULTRAVIOLET-B INSENSITIVE
V-ATPase	vacuolar-type H+-ATPase
VND	VASCULAR RELATED NAC-DOMAIN
WT	wild type
XS	EXTRA-SMALL SISTERS

Chapter I: General introduction

In organ development, cell proliferation and cell expansion are coordinated. Decreased cell number and increased cell size sometimes co-occur in determinate organs in plants, such as leaves and floral organs. This phenomenon is called compensation because increased cell expansion seems to compensate deficient cell proliferation. Compensation has been seen in many mutants, transgenics, as well as natural variations (Tsukaya 2002, 2003, Beemster 2003). The mechanism of compensation has been investigated and it shows that compensation occurs via heterogeneous pathways (Ferjani et al. 2010, Horiguchi and Tsukaya 2011, Hisanaga et al. 2015). Since cell number and cell size are two main determining factors of plant organ size, especially in the determinate organs, the coordination mechanism between cell proliferation and expansion is one of the significant issues.

Compensation system for deficient cell proliferation by enhanced cell enlargement

One of the oldest reports of compensation is by Haber (1962) on a gamma-irradiated *Triticum vulgare* leaf. After heavy gamma irradiation, wheat seeds produce much smaller leaves in which cell division is almost inhibited but cell enlargement is strongly increased (Haber 1962). In addition, compensation phenomenon has been found in many mutants and transgenics of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. (hereafter Arabidopsis), *Nicotiana tabacum*, and *Oryza sativa* as described below. It is also seen in some cases of dwarf natural variants which are specialized to live in island or alpine environment (Körner 1999, Tsukaya 2002). While compensation has been found in various plant species, in most of the cases, mechanisms have not been elucidated.

During leaf and floral organ development, cell proliferation firstly occurs and cell expansion follows in many species. In Arabidopsis leaves for example, after the emergence of a leaf primordium, cell division occurs throughout the primordium for first few days. While cells in the basal part of a leaf blade continue to proliferate, cells near the leaf tip and around the midrib cease to proliferate earlier. It makes cell proliferation zone restricted to the leaf base and blade-and-petiole junctions for a while. Finally, the cell proliferation zone disappears and the leaf rapidly expands by cell expansion (Pyke et al. 1991, Donnelly et al. 1999, Kazama et al. 2010, Andriankaja et al. 2012). Considering the order from proliferation to expansion during the leaf development, the compensatory system for deficient cell proliferation by enhanced cell expansion seems reasonable. Although the opposite pattern also has been found where cell number is increased and cell size is decreased (Usami et al. 2009), in terms of "compensation" for deficient cell proliferation, the cases where cell number is decreased and cell size is increased are focused. Here, several types of compensation are reviewed. In many cases, the cause of cell proliferation defect is clear but the mechanism of enhanced cell expansion in compensation, which is specifically called "compensated cell enlargement (CCE)," has not been known.

Various compensation examples – Cell cycle inhibition, deficient ribosome biogenesis, and reduced cell proliferation activity

Compensation is often seen in mutants or transgenics of cell cycle regulators, such as overexpression lines of *KIP-RELATED PROTEIN 1* (*KRP1*), *KRP2*, *KRP3*, dominant-negative mutated *CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASE A* (*CDKA*) or *CDKB1;1* in Arabidopsis, tobacco, and rice (Hemerly et al. 1995, Wang et al. 2000, De Veyler et al. 2001, Boudolf et al. 2004, Verkest et al. 2005, Barrôco et al. 2006, Jun et al. 2013). In addition, a mutant of anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) inhibitor and mutants of 26S proteasome subunit components also show compensation (Hase et al. 2006, Kurepa et al. 2009, Sonoda et al. 2009). Since those genes control cell cycle regulators, mutated genes could interrupt the mitosis. Taken together, in above cases, cell cycle is inhibited and it leads to deficient cell proliferation. In many cases, the ploidy level is often increased suggesting that interrupted cell cycle leads to early exit from mitosis and endoreduplication onset. Since increased

ploidy level is sometimes show a correlation with cell size (Melaragno et al. 1993, Roeder et al. 2010), it may have a partial role in CCE. However, petals or rice leaves also exhibit increased cell size (Barrôco et al. 2006, Kurepa et al. 2009, Tsukaya 2013) in which endoreduplication is limited or does not occur (Barow and Meister 2003, Hase et al., 2005). It suggests that another factor is also required. Other than one case of *KRP2*ox, which will be described below, CCE-inducing factors have not been revealed in cell cycle-inhibited cases.

Some mutants of ribosome proteins or factors involved in ribosome processing also exhibit compensation (Lijsebettens et al. 1994, Ito et al. 2000, Fujikura et al. 2009, Yuan et al. 2010, Horiguchi et al. 2011b). In animals, perturbed ribosome biogenesis causes a stress response called ribosomal stress response which leads to cell cycle arrest, senescence, or apoptosis (Olausson et al. 2012). In plants, similar stress response is induced in ribosome-related mutants and they exhibit characteristic phenotypes such as narrow and pointed leaf shape (Byrne 2009, Horiguchi et al. 2011b, 2012, Tsukaya et al. 2013, Ohbayashi and Sugiyama 2018). In those mutants, cell number is decreased to various degrees. On the other hand, cell size seems to be increased only when cell number is severely decreased (Horiguchi et al. 2011b). It implies a threshold system which senses decreased cell number or proliferation ability and induces CCE. Although a key mediator of ribosomal stress response in plants has been revealed (Ohbayashi et al. 2017), regulators of CCE and the putative threshold mechanism have not been clarified.

A mutant of APETALA2-like transcription factor *AINTEGUMENTA* (*ANT*), which positively regulates cell proliferation (Krizek 1999), also shows compensation in petals and leaves (Mizukami and Fisher 2000). In *ANT* overexpression line, while cell number is increased, cell size is comparable to that of wild type (Mizukami and Fisher 2000). It suggests that the opposite induction of smaller cells does not occur. Although it is clear that the mutation causes cell proliferation defect, the trigger of CCE has not been revealed.

Three classifications of compensation in Arabidopsis

Although various mutants and transgenics show compensation in different manners, some common pathways may exist. In Arabidopsis compensation-exhibiting mutants, classification has been attempted based on the mode of CCE (Ferjani et al. 2007, 2013a). There are three classes differing in the increase rate of cell expansion and a timing of CCE. In class I, cell expansion rate is increased in post-mitotic phase. In class II, cell expansion duration is extended. In class III, CCE occurs from cell proliferation phase with high cell expansion rate (Ferjani et al. 2007). Suppressor mutants have been found for each class and they do not suppress CCE of the other classes (Ferjani et al. 2013, Katano et al. 2016, Fujikura et al. 2020), suggesting that these compensation phenomena are mediated by different mechanisms.

Class I includes *angustifolia 3 (an3)*, *fugu 2/fasciata 1 (fas1)*, and *erecta (er)* (Ferjani et al. 2007). In *fas1*, which has a deficit in a large subunit of Chromatin Assembly Factor 1 (Kaya et al. 2001), DNA damage response is activated and it leads to delayed cell cycle and earlier endocycle entry, resulting in fewer and larger cells (Hisanaga et al. 2013). On the other hand, CCE in *an3* and *er* cannot be explained by enhanced endoreduplication (Ferjani et al. 2007). A mutant named *extra-small sisters 2 (xs2)* was reported to suppress all class I CCE (Fujikura et al. 2020).

Class II is apparent in cotyledons and weakened in foliage leaves. Similar compensation phenotypes have been found in several mutants such as *fugu5/arabidopsis thaliana v-ppase 1*, glyoxylate enzyme mutants, and gluconeogenesis-defective mutant. It has been suggested that sucrose deficiency, which is caused by perturbed gluconeogenesis, triggers cell proliferation defect and CCE in class II compensation (Ferjani et al. 2011, Takahashi et al. 2017). In addition, a mutant of *ENOYL-COA HYDRATASE 2* (*ECH2*) specifically suppresses CCE regardless of cell number (Katano et al. 2016, Takahashi et al. 2017). ECH2 catalyzes the conversion of indole-3-butyric acid to IAA (Strader et al. 2010), suggesting that class II CCE may be related to auxin response and/or homeostasis. Since

auxin has a role in cell wall loosening (reviewed by Majda and Robert 2018), it is possible that auxin alters cell wall extensibility leading to larger cell size.

In class III, *KRP2*ox shows CCE from proliferation phase. It is caused by increased activity of vacuolar-type H⁺-ATPase (V-ATPase) (Ferjani et al. 2013) and suppressed by a mutant *de-etiolated 3* which has a defect in a subunit C of the V-ATPase (Shumacher et al. 1998, Fukao and Ferjani 2011, Fukao et al. 2011, Ferjani et al. 2013). Thus, early vacuolar development appears to cause CCE in class III compensation. It should be noted that in some *KRP2*ox lines showing severe phenotypes, ploidy level is unchanged. It indicates that endoreduplication is not related to CCE in this case (De Veylder et al. 2001, Ferjani et al. 2007). Although the mechanism is not known how the V-ATPase activity is activated, it might be possible that it is shared in several cell cycle-inhibited cases.

As shown in the above-mentioned studies, compensation found in various species would be heterogeneous phenomena. On the other hand, several compensations share the same feature suggesting that several common pathways may also exist, as seen in class II compensation. In this study, compensation in the *an3* mutant (*an3* compensation, afterward) was focused. Since AN3 has a significant role in plant development and its functions have been widely studied as mentioned below, it could be a model system to examine the compensation phenomenon.

AN3 roles in the organ development

AN3, also known as GRF-INTERACTING FACTOR 1 (GIF1), is a member of GIF family which consists of AN3, GIF2, and GIF3 in Arabidopsis. They work redundantly in various tissues and AN3 has a main role among them (Kim and Kende 2004, Lee et al. 2009, Lee et al. 2014, 2018, Ercoli et al. 2018). GIFs are homologs of human synovial translocation (SYT) protein also known as synovial sarcoma associated protein (SS18) and they conserve SYT N-terminal homology (SNH) domain (Clark et al. 1994, Thaete et al. 1999, Kim and Kende 2004, de Bruijn and Kessel 2006). SYT interacts

with BRAHMA and BRAHMA HOMOLOG 1, which are components of SWITCH/SUCROSE NONFERMENTING (SWI/SNF) chromatin remodeling complex, thorough SNH domain and work as a transcriptional coactivator (Nagai et al. 2001, Perani et al. 2003, Middeljans et al. 2012). Similarity to SYT, AN3 itself lacks DNA binding domain and it recruits SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex to regulate the expression of target genes (Vercruyssen et al. 2014, Debernardi et al. 2014, Nelissen et al. 2015). In the plant lineage, AN3 may have obtained a new role as a transcriptional coactivator (Nelissen et al. 2015, Ercoli et al. 2018).

GROWTH-REGULATING FACTORs (GRFs) are plant specific transcription factors and some of them interact with AN3 (Kim and Kende 2004, Horiguchi et al. 2005, Vercruyssen et al. 2014, Debernardi et al. 2014). Most of the GRFs enhance cell division activity. For example, overexpression of *GRF2*, *GRF3*, or *GRF5* leads to a larger leaf because of increased cell number (Horiguchi et al. 2005, Gonzalez et al. 2010, Rodriguez et al. 2010, Debernardi et al. 2014, reviewed by Omidbakhshfard et al. 2015, Kim 2019). In maize leaf development, different GRFs are interacted with AN3 in cell proliferation and cell expansion phases and it is suggested that AN3 plays a significant role in regulating the transition from proliferation to expansion by changing the interactors (Nelissen et al. 2015).

Relationship between AN3 and ANT has been also suggested. In flower development, *AN3*, *GRF8*, and *KLUH/CYTOCHROME P450 FAMILY 78 SUBFAMILY A POLYPEPTIDE 5* have been suggested as potential targets of ANT (Krizek et al. 2020). In addition, the expression levels of *AN3* and *ANT* are decreased in *ant* and *an3* mutant, respectively (Jun et al. 2019). These indicates that significant regulators of cell proliferation may regulate each other to mediate cell proliferation activity.

Another important feature of AN3 is the intercellular movement. In leaf primordia, *AN3* is expressed in mesophyll cells and synthesized protein moves from mesophyll to epidermal cells (Kawade et al. 2013). It also makes a gradation in the proximal-distal direction where the protein level

is high in the proximal part and low in the distal (Kawade e al. 2017). If AN3 movement is perturbed, cell proliferation activity is limited resulting in less cell number compared to wild type (Kawade et al. 2013, 2017). The protein movement and tissue-specific expression are also seen in rice leaves, though the protein movement direction is opposite (Shimano et al. 2018). Furthermore, protein movement is also suggested in floral organs (Kinoshita et al. unpublished). Thus, the ability of intercellular movement is conserved among several tissues and species and it would be important to coordinate proliferation activity between tissues.

While AN3 functions in cell proliferation during organ development have been revealed as summarized above, *an3* compensation mechanism has not been revealed.

Compensation in an3 mutant

In *an3* mutant, leaf cell number decreases to about 30% of wild type and cell size is increased to about 150% (Horiguchi et al. 2005). In kinematic analyses, it has been suggested that *an3* mutant ceases cell proliferation earlier and starts cell expansion earlier compared to wild type (Ferjani et al. 2007, Lee et al. 2009). In addition, the cell expansion rate in post-mitotic phase was increased in *an3* (Ferjani et al. 2007, Lee et al. 2009). The timing when the cell expansion rate becomes the highest was similar or only slightly earlier in *an3* mutant compared with that of wild type (Ferjani et al. 2007, Nozaki et al. 2020), suggesting that similar length of the cell expansion phase. It has not been known how cell expansion rate is increased in *an3*.

In *AN3* RNAi lines, CCE was induced when cell number is severely decreased, suggesting a threshold in reduction of cell number (Fujikura et al. 2009). As a further investigation, *an3* mutant was crossed with *grandifolia1-D* (*gra1-D*) mutant to increase cell number. In *gra1-D* mutant, a part of the chromosome is duplicated and cell number is more than double of wild type. It is due to longer cell proliferation duration (Horiguchi et al. 2009). In the *an3 gra1-D* double mutant, cell number in a

mature leaf was comparable to that of wild type, whereas cell size was increased as much as *an3* cells. It suggests that the cell proliferation activity more likely affects CCE induction, not the final cell number (Horiguchi et al. unpublished). This result can be interpreted that cell proliferation rate in *an3 gra1-D* was low enough to induce CCE regardless of longer cell proliferation to produce as many cells as in wild type.

Analyses utilizing *AN3*-chimeric leaf system, in which *AN3* can be induced partially in a leaf, revealed that if *an3* cells and *AN3*-expressing cells coexist in a leaf, both cells exhibit CCE. It indicates that *an3* CCE-inducing factor(s) works non-cell-autonomously (Kawade et al. 2010). It should be noted that it is, however, not seen in epidermal cells. In epidermis, only *an3* cells exhibit CCE in *AN3*-chimeric leaves (Nozaki et al. 2020). These results suggest that *an3* CCE behavior is different depending on tissues, though the detailed mechanisms have not been revealed yet.

Based on these previous studies, *an3* compensation mechanism has been proposed as follows. In *an3* mutant, cell proliferation activity is severely reduced because of the absence of AN3, which is a cell proliferation promoting factor. Reduced cell proliferation triggers CCE post-mitotically and noncell autonomously in palisade tissue cells (Horiguchi et al. 2005, Ferjani et al. 2007, Fujikura et al. 2007b, Kawade et al. 2010, Hisanaga et al. 2015). However, CCE-inducing factors and detailed CCE processes have not been uncovered to date.

In this study, I attempted to find out the factors which is involved in *an3* CCE to reveal the mechanism of *an3* compensation. To this end, I used *xs2/ccx4* mutant, which has been identified as *an3* compensation suppressor, to examine the role of XS2 in *an3* CCE (Chapter II). In addition, I investigated processes of *an3* CCE in detail to uncover factors which contribute cell size increase (Chapter III).

Chapter II: Characterization of *extra-small sisters 2*, *an3* compensation suppressor Introduction

In the large mutagenesis experiment and following selection of altered leaf size/shape mutants (Horiguchi et al. 2006a, 2006b), extra-small sisters (xs) have been isolated as cell expansion deficient mutants. Out of ten xs mutants, four lines including xs2 have been identified as a suppressor of compensated cell enlargement (CCE) in angustifolia3 (an3) mutant. Since those xs mutants specifically suppressed an3 CCE not affecting cell number, they were expected to be good materials to explore an3 compensation mechanism (Fujikura et al. 2007a). Recently, Fujikura et al. (2020) have found that xs2 mutant has 8-bp deletion within CATION CALCIUM EXCHANGER 4 (CCX4) which encodes a putative membrane cation transporter (Morris et al. 2008). A mutant line having T-DNA insertion in CCX4 also shows smaller leaves as well as smaller cells, and F1 generation of xs2 and ccx4 mutants did not show any phenotypic differences compared to each parent, indicating that ccx4 is a causal mutation of xs2. In xs2 and ccx4 mutants, salicylic acid (SA) level was increased 1.96- and 2.59-fold higher, respectively, compared to that in wild type. In an experiment spraying exogenous SA, cell size is reduced in wild type and more reduced in an3 mutant, suggesting that high SA accumulation causes smaller cells in xs2/ccx4 mutants and it also negatively affects CCE in an3 compensation (Fujikura et al. 2020). Thus, further analysis on the role of xs2/ccx4 in an3 CCE was expected to give more insights about an3 compensation.

SA is a phytohormone playing a role in biotic and abiotic stress responses as well as growth control in acclimation to environment. It is synthesized in a plastid and cytosol from chorismate, via two independent pathways: isochorismate synthase (ICS) pathway or phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) pathway. ICS pathway is thought as a main pathway and it has not been known whether these two pathways have division of roles. Genes involved in these SA-biosynthesis pathways are upregulated in response to pathogen infection (Nawrath and Métraux 1999, Wildermuth et al. 2001,

Garcion et al. 2008, Huang et al. 2010). There are two types of SA receptors, one is NONEXPRESSER OF PR GENES 1 (NPR1) working as a transcriptional coactivator and the other is NPR1-LIKE PROTEIN 3 (NPR3) and NPR4 as transcriptional corepressors (Fu et al. 2012, Wu et al. 2012, Ding et al. 2018). High level endogenous SA often leads to not only enhanced pathogen resistance but also growth defect, as seen in mutants of *CONSTITUTIVE EXPRESSION OF PR GENES 5* (Bowling et al. 1997), *ACCELERATED CELL DEATH 6* (Rate et al. 1999), and the small ubiquitin-related modifier E3 ligase, *SAP AND MIZ1 DOMAIN CONTAINING-LIGASE 1* (Catala et al. 2007, Lee et al. 2007). Those mutants exhibit several features such as small or abnormal cell shape, cell death, and defects in trichome development. These traits are suppressed by introducing *npr1* mutation or *NahG* transgene which encodes bacterial SA hydroxylase (Delaney et al. 1994, Bowling et al. 1997, Rate et al. 1999, Kirik et al. 2001, Miura et al. 2010). Some of the features induced by highly accumulated SA are associated with less endoreduplication or changed expression patterns of xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase genes (Kirik et al. 2001, Miura et al. 2010).

The causal gene of xs2 mutant, CCX4 encodes a putative H⁺-dependent Na⁺, K⁺ exchanger (Morris et al. 2008) but the detailed function in plants remain to be delineated. In the CCX family, CCX3 is the most closely related gene to CCX4 (Shigaki et al. 2006). CCX3 is about 80% identical to CCX4 in nucleotide sequences, and they share a similar structure having five and seven transmembrane domains with a long interval of hydrophilic region (Morris et al. 2008). In Arabidopsis, it is suggested that CCX3 localizes in vacuolar membrane and has a role in Na⁺, K⁺, and Mn²⁺ homeostasis. Overexpression of AtCCX3 in tobacco, it causes necrotic lesion in leaves with the increased level of protein oxidation. It is argued that altered cellular cation levels lead to accumulate excess reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Morris et al. 2008). As ROS and SA signaling show interactive activation (León et al. 1995, Neuenschwander et al. 1995, Rao et al.1997, Shirasu et al. 1997), SA level could be also increased in the AtCCX3-overexpressed tobacco. Considering the similarity of CCX3 and CCX4,

defects in *CCX4* may change the cation balance in xs2 mutant leading to excess accumulation of ROS and SA. Since information described so far fragmentarily connects ccx4 mutation, SA accumulation, and small cell phenotype in leaves, further examinations were done in this study to examine the involvement of SA response pathway in *an3* CCE. In addition, to investigate the relationship between xs2/ccx4 and *an3*, kinematic analysis of leaf development was conducted.

Results

II-i. xs2/ccx4 showed cell death phenotype in mature leaves

It has been suggested that highly accumulated SA in *xs2/ccx4* mutants causes smaller cells (Fujikura et al. 2020). To examine more details, cell death phenotype was examined since it is often seen under SA overaccumulation (Vanacker et al. 2001). Mature first leaves were stained with trypan blue which dyes only dead cells. As a result, more densely stained parts were seen in *xs2, ccx4*, and *an3 ccx4* compared to wild type and *an3* (Fig. 1A). In microscopy observation, these stained parts were mostly seen in mesophyll cells (Fig. 1B). This mesophyll specific staining was consistent with a previous study which observed cell death phenotype in a SA overaccumulation mutant (Vanacker et al. 2001). This result supported that *xs2/ccx4* phenotype is strongly related to highly accumulated SA.

II-ii.

(This part contains unpublished data.)

Discussion

In this chapter, the relationship between xs2/ccx4 and an3 CCE was genetically investigated with special emphasis on cell enlargement process. Results obtained in this study strongly suggested that activated SA signaling is the cause of small cell phenotype in xs2/ccx4 mutant. It is consistent with the previous results showing that xs2 and ccx4 accumulate excess amount of SA and that exogenous SA treatment decreases cell size (Fujikura et al. 2020). Cell death phenotype in xs2/ccx4mutants and previously reported lower ploidy level in xs2 (Fujikura et al. 2007a) are also consistent with excess accumulation of SA.

(This part contains unpublished data.)

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

The wild-type accession used in this study was Columbia-0 (Col-0). Seeds of Col-0 was given by Dr. Kawade (NIBB), and those of *an3-2*, *xs2*, *ccx4-1* (SALK_113447) were from Dr. Fujikura (Kobe university). Original descriptions are: *an3-2* in Horiguchi et al. 2005 and *xs2* in Fujikura et al. 2007. *ccx4-1* are obtained from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre (NASC; http://arabidopsis.info/). Seeds were sown on rockwool (Nittobo, Tokyo, Japan; Grodan, Roermond, Netherlands), daily watered with 0.5 g L⁻¹ Hyponex (Hyponex). Plants were grown under white fluorescent lamps (50 – 90 µmol m⁻² sec⁻¹) at 22°C, in a long-day photoperiod of 16-hour light/8-hour dark. For trypan blue staining and shoot morphology comparison, plants were grown in continuous light.

Trypan blue staining

The protocol followed Fernández-Bautista et al. (2016). Leaves were harvested with tweezers and immersed in the fresh trypan blue staining solution (10 mg/ml trypan blue dissolved in solution containing equal amounts of lactic acid (85% w/w), phenol (TE buffered, pH 7.5 – 8.0), glycerol, and distilled water). After one-hour staining, leaves were washed with 99% EtOH, replacing EtOH several times until the leaves were bleached. After the bleaching, leaves were mounted with 60% glycerol solution for the microscopy observation (MZ16a and DM4500; Leica Microsystems).



Figure 1. Trypan blue staining in mature first leaves.

(A) First leaves on 20 DAS of wild type (WT), *an3*, *xs2*, *ccx4*, and *an3 ccx4* stained by trypan blue. Leaves of *xs2*, *ccx4*, and *an3 ccx4* were more stained compared to those of WT and *an3*. Arrows indicate densely stained parts. (B) Cells in *xs2* leaf stained by trypan blue. Palisade tissue, spongy tissue, and abaxial epidermal cells were taken at the same position changing the z-stack position. Dotted lines exhibit representative cell shape. Bars = 1 mm (A), 50 μ m (B).

Chapter III. Examinations of compensated cell enlargement mechanism in *angustifolia3* mutant

(Chapter III will be published within five years, so that it will not be open.)

Chapter IV: General Discussion

Compensation phenomenon in which decreased cell number co-occurs with increased cell size indicates the coordination system between cell proliferation and cell expansion in organogenesis. It has been suggested that compensation mechanism is mediated by several different pathways. Although some key factors of compensated cell enlargement (CCE) have been found in some cases, in many other cases, compensation mechanisms are still unknown. In this study, focusing on compensation in *angustifolia3 (an3)* mutant, which has a defect in key regulator of cell proliferation, the mechanism inducing CCE was investigated.

(This part contains unpublished data.)

References

- Andriankaja, M., Dhondt, S., De Bodt, S., Vanhaeren, H., Coppens, F., De Milde, L., Mühlenbock, P., Skirycz, A., Gonzalez, N., Beemster, G.T.S., and Inzé, D. (2012). Exit from proliferation during leaf development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: A not-so-gradual process. Developmental Cell 22. 64–78.
- **Barow, M., and Meister, A.** (2003). Endopolyploidy in seed plants is differently correlated to systematics, organ, life strategy and genome size. Plant, Cell and Environment **26.** 571–584.
- Barrôco, R.M., Peres, A., Droual, A.-M., Veylder, L.D., Nguyen, L.S.L., Wolf, J.D., Mironov, V., Peerbolte, R., Beemster, G.T.S., Inzé, D., Broekaert, W.F., and Frankard, V. (2006). The cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor Orysa;KRP1 plays an important role in seed development of rice. Plant Physiology 142. 1053–1064.
- Beemster, G.T.S., Fiorani, F., and Inzé, D. (2003). Cell cycle: the key to plant growth control? Trends in Plant Science 8. 154–158.
- Berardini, T.Z., Mundodi, S., Reiser, L., Huala, E., Garcia-Hernandez, M., Zhang, P., Mueller, L.A., Yoon, J., Doyle, A., Lander, G., Moseyko, N., Yoo, D., Xu, I., Zoeckler, B., Montoya, M., Miller, N., Weems, D., and Rhee, S.Y. (2004). Functional annotation of the Arabidopsis genome using controlled vocabularies. Plant Physiology 135. 745–755.
- Boudolf, V., Vlieghe, K., Beemster, G.T.S., Magyar, Z., Acosta, J.A.T., Maes, S., Schueren, E.V.D., Inzé, D., and Veylder, L.D. (2004). The plant-specific cyclin-dependent kinase CDKB1;1 and transcription factor E2Fa-DPa control the balance of mitotically dividing and endoreduplicating cells in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 16. 2683–2692.
- Bowling, S.A., Clarke, J.D., Liu, Y., Klessig, D.F., and Dong, X. (1997). The cpr5 mutant of Arabidopsis expresses both NPR1-dependent and NPR1-independent resistance. Plant Cell 9. 1573–1584.
- Bresso, E.G., Chorostecki, U., Rodriguez, R.E., Palatnik, J.F., and Schommer, C. (2018). Spatial control of gene expression by miR319-regulated TCP transcription factors in leaf development. Plant Physiology 176. 1694–1708.
- Bruijn, D.R.H. de and Kessel, A.G. van (2006). Common origin of the human synovial sarcoma associated *SS18* and *SS18L1* gene loci. Cytogenetic and Genome Research 112. 222–226.
- Byrne, M.E. (2009). A role for the ribosome in development. Trends in Plant Science 14. 512–519.
- Campos, M., Surovtsev, I.V., Kato, S., Paintdakhi, A., Beltran, B., Ebmeier, S.E., and Jacobs-Wagner, C. (2014). A constant size extension drives bacterial cell size homeostasis. Cell 159. 1433–1446.
- Casadevall, R., Rodriguez, R.E., Debernardi, J.M., Palatnik, J.F., and Casati, P. (2013). Repression of growth regulating factors by the microRNA396 inhibits cell proliferation by UV-B radiation in *Arabidopsis* leaves. The Plant Cell 25. 3570–3583.

- Catala, R., Ouyang, J., Abreu, I.A., Hu, Y., Seo, H., Zhang, X., and Chua, N.H. (2007). The *Arabidopsis* E3 SUMO ligase SIZ1 regulates plant growth and drought responses. The Plant Cell 19. 2952–2966.
- Clark, J., Rocques, P.J., Crew, A.J., Gill, S., Shipley, J., Chan, A.M.L., Gusterson, B.A., and Cooper, C.S. (1994). Identification of novel genes, *SYT* and *SSX*, involved in the t(X;18)(p11.2;q11.2) translocation found in human synovial sarcoma. Nature Genetics **7.** 502–508.
- Cui, Y., Cao, W., He, Y., Zhao, Q., Wakazaki, M., Zhuang, X., Gao, J., Zeng, Y., Gao, C., Ding, Y., Wong, H.Y., Wong, W.S., Lam, H.K., Wang, P., Ueda, T., Rojas-Pierce, M., Toyooka, K., Kang, B-H., and Jiang L. (2019). A whole-cell electron tomography model of vacuole biogenesis in Arabidopsis root cells. Nature Plants 5. 95–105.
- Debernardi, J.M., Mecchia, M.A., Vercruyssen, L., Smaczniak, C., Kaufmann, K., Inze, D., Rodriguez, R.E., and Palatnik, J.F. (2014). Post-transcriptional control of *GRF* transcription factors by microRNA miR396 and GIF co-activator affects leaf size and longevity. The Plant Journal 79. 413–426.
- Delaney, T.P., Uknes, S., Vernooij, B., Friedrich, L., Weymann, K., Negrotto, D., Gaffney, T., Gut-Rella, M., Kessmann, H., Ward, E., and Ryals, J. (1994). A central role of salicylic acid in plant disease resistance. Science 266. 1247–1250.
- Ding, Y., Sun, T., Ao, K., Peng, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, X., and Zhang, Y. (2018). Opposite roles of salicylic acid receptors NPR1 and NPR3/NPR4 in transcriptional regulation of plant immunity. Cell 173. 1–14.
- Donnelly, P.M., Bonetta, D., Tsukaya, H., Dengler, R.E., and Dengler, N.G. (1999). Cell cycling and cell enlargement in developing leaves of *Arabidopsis*. Developmental Biology **215**. 407–419.
- Ercoli, M.F., Ferela, A., Debernardi, J.M., Perrone, A.P., Rodriguez, R.E., and Palatnik, J.F. (2018). GIF transcriptional coregulators control root meristem homeostasis. The Plant Cell 30. 347–359.
- Fantes, P.S. (1977). Control of cell size and cycle time in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Journal of Cell Science 24. 51–67.
- Ferjani, A., Horiguchi, G., and Tsukaya, H. (2010). Organ size control in Arabidopsis: Insights from compensation studies. Plant Morphology 22. 65–71.
- Ferjani, A., Horiguchi, G., Yano, S., and Tsukaya, H. (2007). Analysis of leaf development in *fugu* mutants of Arabidopsis reveals three compensation modes that modulate cell expansion in determinate organs. Plant Physiology 144. 988–999.
- Ferjani, A., Ishikawa, K., Asaoka, M., Ishida, M., Horiguchi, G., Maeshima, M., and Tsukaya, H. (2013). Enhanced cell expansion in a *KRP2* overexpressor is mediated by increased V-ATPase activity. Plant and Cell Physiology 54. 1989–1998.

- Ferjani, A., Segami, S., Horiguchi, G., Muto, Y., Maeshima, M., and Tsukaya, H. (2011). Keep an eye on PPi: The vacuolar-type H+-pyrophosphatase regulates postgerminative development in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell 23. 2895–2908.
- Fox, S., Southam, P., Pantin, F., Kennaway, R., Robinson, S., Castorina, G., Sánchez-Corrales, Y.E., Sablowski, R., Chan, J., Grieneisen, V., Marée, A.F.M., Bangham, J.A., and Coen, E. (2018). Spatiotemporal coordination of cell division and growth during organ morphogenesis. PLOS Biology 16. e2005952.
- Fu, Z.Q., Yan, S., Saleh, A., Wang, W., Ruble, J., Oka, N., Mohan, R., Spoel, S.H., Tada, Y., Zheng, N., and Dong, X. (2012). NPR3 and NPR4 are receptors for the immune signal salicylic acid in plants. Nature 486. 228–233.
- Fujikura, U., Horiguchi, G., Ponce, M.R., Micol, J.L., and Tsukaya, H. (2009). Coordination of cell proliferation and cell expansion mediated by ribosome-related processes in the leaves of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The Plant Journal 59. 499–508.
- Fujikura, U., Horiguchi, G., and Tsukaya, H. (2007a). Dissection of enhanced cell expansion processes in leaves triggered by a defect in cell proliferation, with reference to roles of endoreduplication. Plant and Cell Physiology 48. 278–286.
- Fujikura, U., Horiguchi, G., and Tsukaya, H. (2007b). Genetic relationship between *angustifolia3* and extra-small sisters highlights novel mechanisms controlling leaf size. Plant Signaling & Behavior 2. 378–380.
- Fujikura, U., Ezaki, K., Horiguchi, G., Seo, M., Kanno, Y., Kamiya, Y., Lenhard, M., and Tsukaya, H. (2020). Suppression of class I compensated cell enlargement by xs2 mutation is mediated by salicylic acid signaling. Plos Genetics 16: e1008873.
- Fukao, Y. and Ferjani, A. (2011). V-ATPase dysfunction under excess zinc inhibits Arabidopsis cell expansion. Plant Signaling & Behavior 6. 1253–1255
- Fukao, Y., Ferjani, A., Tomioka, R., Nagasaki, N., Kurata, R., Nishimori, Y., Fujiwara, M., and Maeshima, M. (2011). iTRAQ analysis reveals mechanisms of growth defects due to excess zinc in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology 155. 1893–1907.
- Garcion, C., Lohmann, A., Lamodière, E., Catinot, J., Buchala, A., Doermann, P., and Métraux, J.-P. (2008). Characterization and biological function of the *ISOCHORISMATE SYNTHASE2* gene of Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology 147. 1279–1287.
- Gardiner, J.C., Taylor, N.G., and Turner, S.R. (2003). Control of cellulose synthase complex localization in developing xylem. The Plant Cell 15. 1740–1748.
- Ginzberg, M.B., Kafri, R., and Kirschner, M. (2015). On being the right (cell) size. Science 348. 1245075.
- Gonzalez, N., Bodt, S.D., Sulpice, R., Jikumaru, Y., Chae, E., Dhondt, S., Daele, T.V., Milde, L.D., Weigel, D., Kamiya, Y., Stitt, M., Beemster, G.T.S., and Inzé, D. (2010). Increased leaf size:

different means to an end. Plant Physiology 153: 1261–1279.

- Gügel, I.L. and Soll, J. (2017). Chloroplast differentiation in the growing leaves of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Protoplasma 254. 1857–1866.
- Haber, A.H. (1962). Nonessentiality of concurrent cell divisions for degree of polarization of leaf growth. I. Studies with radiation-induced mitotic inhibition. American Journal of Botany 49: 583– 589.
- Hase, Y., Fujioka, S., Yoshida, S., Sun, G., Umeda, M., and Tanaka, A. (2005). Ectopic endoreduplication caused by sterol alteration results in serrated petals in *Arabidopsis*. Journal of Experimental Botany 56. 1263–1268.
- Hase, Y., Trung, K.H., Matsunaga, T., and Tanaka, A. (2006). A mutation in the *uvi4* gene promotes progression of endo-reduplication and confers increased tolerance towards ultraviolet B light. The Plant Journal 46: 317–326.
- Hayashi, K., Hasegawa, J., and Matsunaga, S. (2013). The boundary of the meristematic and elongation zones in roots: endoreduplication precedes rapid cell expansion. Scientific Reports 3. 2723.
- Hemerlyl, A., Engler, J.D.A., Bergounioux, C., Montagu, M.V., Engler, G., Inze D., and Ferreira,
 P. (1995). Dominant negative mutants of the Cdc2 kinase uncouple cell division from iterative plant development. The EMBO Journal 14. 3925–3936.
- Higaki, T., Kutsuna, N., Hosokawa, Y., Akita, K., Ebine, K., Ueda, T., Kondo, N., and Hasezawa,
 S. (2012). Statistical organelle dissection of *Arabidopsis* guard cells using image database LIPS. Scientific Reports 2. 405.
- Hisanaga, T., Ferjani, A., Horiguchi, G., Ishikawa, N., Fujikura, U., Kubo, M., Demura, T., Fukuda, H., Ishida, T., Sugimoto, K., and Tsukaya, H. (2013). The ATM-dependent DNA damage response acts as an upstream trigger for compensation in the *fas1* mutation during Arabidopsis leaf development. Plant Physiology 162. 831–841.
- Hisanaga, T., Kawade, K., and Tsukaya, H. (2015). Compensation: a key to clarifying the organlevel regulation of lateral organ size in plants. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**. 1055–1063.
- Horiguchi, G., Ferjani, A., Fujikura, U., and Tsukaya, H. (2006a). Coordination of cell proliferation and cell expansion in the control of leaf size in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Journal of Plant Research 119. 37–42.
- Horiguchi, G., Fujikura, U., Ferjani, A., Ishikawa, N., and Tsukaya, H. (2006b). Large-scale histological analysis of leaf mutants using two simple leaf observation methods: identification of novel genetic pathways governing the size and shape of leaves. The Plant Journal 48. 638–644.
- Horiguchi, G., Gonzalez, N., Beemster, G.T.S., Inzé, D., and Tsukaya, H. (2009). Impact of segmental chromosomal duplications on leaf size in the *grandifolia-D* mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The Plant Journal 60. 122–133.

- Horiguchi, G., Kim, G., and Tsukaya, H. (2005). The transcription factor AtGRF5 and the transcription coactivator AN3 regulate cell proliferation in leaf primordia of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The Plant Journal 43. 68–78.
- Horiguchi, G., Lijsebettens, M.V., Candela, H., Micol, J.L., and Tsukaya, H. (2012). Ribosomes and translation in plant developmental control. Plant Science 191. 24–34.
- Horiguchi, G., Mollá-Morales, A., Pérez-Pérez, J.M., Kojima, K., Robles, P., Ponce, M.R., Micol, J.L., and Tsukaya, H. (2011a). Differential contributions of ribosomal protein genes to *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaf development. The Plant Journal 65. 724–736.
- Horiguchi, G., Nakayama, H., Ishikawa, N., Kubo, M., Demura, T., Fukuda, H., and Tsukaya,
 H. (2011b). ANGUSTIFOLIA3 plays roles in adaxial/abaxial patterning and growth in leaf morphogenesis. Plant and Cell Physiology 52. 112–124.
- Horiguchi, G. and Tsukaya, H. (2011). Organ size regulation in plants: Insights from compensation. Frontiers in Plant Science 2. 24.
- Huang, J., Gu, M., Lai, Z., Fan, B., Shi, K., Zhou, Y.-H., Yu, J.-Q., and Chen, Z. (2010). Functional analysis of the Arabidopsis *PAL* gene family in plant growth, development, and response to environmental stress. Plant Physiol 153. 1526–1538.
- Ito, T., Kim, G.-T., and Shinozaki, K. Disruption of an *Arabidopsis* cytoplasmic ribosomal protein S13-homologous gene by transposon- mediated mutagenesis causes aberrant growth and development. The Plant Journal 22. 257–264.
- Jambunathan, N., Siani, J.M., and McNellis, T.W. (2001). A humidity-sensitive Arabidopsis copine mutant exhibits precocious cell death and increased disease resistance. The Plant Cell 13. 2225– 2240.
- Johnston (1977). Coordination of growth with cell division in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Experimental Cell Research **105.** 79–98.
- Jones, A.R., Forero-Vargas, M., Withers, S.P., Smith, R.S., Traas, J., Dewitte, W., and Murray, J.A.H. (2017). Cell-size dependent progression of the cell cycle creates homeostasis and flexibility of plant cell size. Nature Communications 8. 15060.
- Jorgensen, P. and Tyers, M. (2004). How cells coordinate growth and division. Current Biology 14. R1014–R1027.
- Jun, S.E., Kim, J.H., Hwang, J.Y., Le, T.T.H., and Kim, G.-T. (2019). ORESARA15 acts synergistically with ANGUSTIFOLIA3 and separately from AINTEGUMENTA to promote cell proliferation during leaf growth. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **21**. 241.
- Jun, S.E., Okushima, Y., Nam, J., Umeda, M., and Kim, G.-T. (2013). Kip-related protein 3 is required for control of endoreduplication in the shoot apical meristem and leaves of *Arabidopsis*. Molecules and Cells 35. 47–53.
- Katagiri, Y., Hasegawa, J., Fujikura, U., Hoshino, R., Matsunaga, S., and Tsukaya, H. (2016).

The coordination of ploidy and cell size differs between cell layers in leaves. Development **143**. 1120–1125.

- Katano, M., Takahashi, K., Hirano, T., Kazama, Y., Abe, T., Tsukaya, H., and Ferjani, A. (2016). Suppressor screen and phenotype analyses revealed an emerging role of the monofunctional peroxisomal enoyl-CoA hydratase 2 in compensated cell enlargement. Frontiers in Plant Science 7. 132
- Kawade, K., Horiguchi, G., and Tsukaya, H. (2010). Non-cell-autonomously coordinated organ size regulation in leaf development. Development 137. 4221–4227.
- Kawade, K., Horiguchi, G., Usami, T., Hirai, M.Y., and Tsukaya, H. (2013). ANGUSTIFOLIA3 signaling coordinates proliferation between clonally distinct cells in leaves. Current Biology 23. 788–792.
- Kawade, K., Tanimoto, H., Horiguchi, G., and Tsukaya, H. (2017). Spatially different tissue-scale diffusivity shapes ANGUSTIFOLIA3 gradient in growing leaves. Biophysical Journal 113. 1109– 1120.
- Kawade, K., Horiguchi, G., Hirose, Y., Oikawa, A., Hirai, Y.M., Saito, K., Fujita, T., and Tsukaya,
 H. (2020) Metabolic Control of Gametophore Shoot Formation through Arginine in the Moss *Physcomitrium patens*. Cell Reports 32. 108127.
- Kazama, T., Ichihashi, Y., Murata, S., and Tsukaya, H. (2010). The mechanism of cell cycle arrest front progression explained by a *KLUH/CYP78A5*-dependent mobile growth factor in developing leaves of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant and Cell Physiology **51**. 1046–1054.
- Keifenheim, D., Sun, X.-M., D'Souza, E., Ohira, M.J., Magner, M., Mayhew, M.B., Marguerat, S., and Rhind, N. (2017). Size-dependent expression of the mitotic activator Cdc25 suggests a mechanism of size control in fission yeast. Current Biology 27. 1491–1497.
- Kim, J. (2019). Biological roles and an evolutionary sketch of the GRF-GIF transcriptional complex in plants. BMB Reports 52. 227–238.
- Kim, J.H. and Kende, H. (2004). A transcriptional coactivator, AtGIF1, is involved in regulating leaf growth and morphology in *Arabidopsis*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101. 13374–13379.
- Kirik, V., Bouyer, D., Schöbinger, U., Bechtold, N., Herzog, M., Bonneville, J.-M., and Hülskamp,
 M. (2001). *CPR5* is involved in cell proliferation and cell death control and encodes a novel transmembrane protein. Current Biology 11. 1891–1895.
- Körner, C. (1999). Cell division and tissue formation. *In* "Alpine Plant Life: Functional Plant Ecology of High Mountain Ecosystems" (C. Körner, Ed.), pp. 235-245. Springer-Verlag Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany.
- Kotogány, E., Dudits, D., Horváth, G.V., and Ayaydin, F. (2010). A rapid and robust assay for detection of S-phase cell cycle progression in plant cells and tissues by using ethynyl

deoxyuridine. Plant Methods 6. 5.

- Krizek, B.A. (1999). Ectopic expression of AINTEGUMENTA in Arabidopsis plants results in increased growth of floral organs. Developmental Genetics 25. 224–236.
- Krizek, B.A., Blakley, I.C., Ho, Y., Freese, N., and Loraine, A.E. (2020). The Arabidopsis transcription factor AINTEGUMENTA orchestrates patterning genes and auxin signaling in the establishment of floral growth and form. The Plant Journal. 14769.
- Kubo, M., Udagawa, M., Nishikubo, N., Horiguchi, G., Yamaguchi, M., Ito, J., Mimura, T., Fukuda, H., and Demura, T. (2005). Transcription switches for protoxylem and metaxylem vessel formation. Genes & Development 19. 1855–1860.
- Kurepa, J., Wang, S., Li, Y., Zaitlin, D., Pierce, A.J., and Smalle, J.A. (2009). Loss of 26S proteasome function leads to increased cell size and decreased cell number in Arabidopsis shoot organs. Plant Physiology 150. 178–189.
- Lee, B.H., Ko, J.-H., Lee, S., Lee, Y., Pak, J.-H., and Kim, J.H. (2009). The Arabidopsis *GRF-INTERACTING FACTOR* gene family performs an overlapping function in determining organ size as well as multiple developmental properties. Plant Physiology 151. 655–668.
- Lee, B.H., Wynn, A.N., Franks, R.G., Hwang, Y., Lim, J., and Kim, J.H. (2014). The Arabidopsis thaliana *GRF-INTERACTING FACTOR* gene family plays an essential role in control of male and female reproductive development. Developmental Biology **386**. 12–24.
- Lee, J., Nam, J., Park, H.C., Na, G., Miura, K., Jin, J.B., Yoo, C.Y., Baek, D., Kim, D.H., Jeong, J. C., Kim, D., Lee, S.Y., Salt, D.E., Mengiste, T., Gong, Q., Ma, S., Bohnert, H.J., Kwak, S.-S., Bressan, R.A., Hasegawa, P.M., and Yun, D.-J. (2007). Salicylic acid-mediated innate immunity in Arabidopsis is regulated by SIZ1 SUMO E3 ligase. The Plant Journal 49. 79–90.
- Lee, S.-J., Lee, B.H., Jung, J.-H., Park, S.K., Song, J.T., and Kim, J.H. (2018). GROWTH-REGULATING FACTOR and GRF-INTERACTING FACTOR specify meristematic cells of gynoecia and anthers. Plant Physiology 176. 717–729.
- Legland, D., Arganda-Carreras, I., and Andrey, P. (2016). MorphoLibJ: integrated library and plugins for mathematical morphology with ImageJ. Bioinformatics **32**. 3532–3534.
- León, J., Lawton, M.A., and Raskin, I. (1995). Hydrogen Peroxide Stimulates Salicylic Acid Biosynthesis in Tobacco. Plant Physiology 108. 1673-1678.
- Lijsebettens, M.V., Vanderhaeghen, R., Block, M.D., Bauw, G., Villarroel, R., and Montagu, M.V. (1994). An S18 ribosomal protein gene copy at the *Arabidopsis PFL* locus affects plant development by its specific expression in meristems. The EMBO journal 13. 3378–3388.
- Löfke, C., Dünser, K., Scheuring, D., and Kleine-Vehn, J. (2015). Auxin regulates SNAREdependent vacuolar morphology restricting cell size. eLife 4. e05868.
- Majda, M. and Robert, S. (2018). The role of auxin in cell wall expansion. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 19. 951.

- Martin, S.G. and Berthelot-Grosjean, M. (2009). Polar gradients of the DYRK-family kinase Pom1 couple cell length with the cell cycle. Nature **459**. 852–857.
- Melaragno, J.E., Mehrotra, B., and Coleman, A.W. (1993). Relationship between endopolyploidy and cell size in epidermal tissue of arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 5. 1661–1668.
- Middeljans, E., Wan, X., Jansen, P.W., Sharma, V., Stunnenberg, H.G., and Logie, C. (2012). SS18 Together with animal-specific factors defines human BAF-type SWI/SNF complexes. PLoS ONE 7. e33834.
- Miura, K., Lee, J., Miura, T., and Hasegawa, P.M. (2010). SIZ1 controls cell growth and plant development in Arabidopsis through salicylic acid. Plant and Cell Physiology **51**. 103–113.
- Mizukami, Y. and Fischer, R.L. (2000). Plant organ size control: *AINTEGUMENTA* regulates growth and cell numbers during organogenesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 97. 942–947.
- Morris, J., Tian, H., Park, S., Sreevidya, C.S., Ward, J.M., and Hirschi, K.D. (2008). AtCCX3 is an Arabidopsis endomembrane H⁺-Dependent K⁺ Transporter. Plant Physiology **148**. 1474–1486.
- Moseley, J.B., Mayeux, A., Paoletti, A., and Nurse, P. (2009). A spatial gradient coordinates cell size and mitotic entry in fission yeast. Nature 459. 857–861.
- Nagai, M., Tanaka, S., Tsuda, M., Endo, S., Kato, H., Sonobe, H., Minami, A., Hiraga, H., Nishihara, H., Sawa, H., and Nagashima, K. (2001). Analysis of transforming activity of human synovial sarcoma-associated chimeric protein SYT-SSX1 bound to chromatin remodeling factor hBRM/hSNF2α. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 98. 3843–3848.
- Nakayama, H., Kawade, K., Tsukaya, H. and Kimura, S. (2015). Detection of the cell proliferation zone in leaves by using EdU. Bio-protocol 5. e1600.
- Nawrath, C. and Métraux, J.-P. (1999). Salicylic acid induction-deficient mutants of Arabidopsis express PR-2 and PR-5 and accumulate high levels of camalexin after pathogen inoculation. Plant Cell 11. 1393–1404.
- Nelissen, H., Eeckhout, D., Demuynck, K., Persiau, G., Walton, A., Bel, M.V. Vervoort, M., Candaele, J., Block, J.D., Aesaert, S., Lijsebettens, M.V., Goormachtig, S., Vandepoele, K., Leene, J.V., Muszynski, M., Gevaert, K., Inzé, D., and Jaeger, G.D. (2015). Dynamic changes in ANGUSTIFOLIA3 complex composition reveal a growth regulatory mechanism in the maize leaf. The Plant Cell 27. 1605–1619.
- Neuenschwander, U., Vernooij, B., Friedrich, L., Uknes, S., Kessmann, H., and Ryals, J. (1995). Is hydrogen peroxide a second messenger of salicylic acid in systemic acquired resistance? The Plant Journal 8. 227–233.
- Nozaki, M., Kawade, K., Horiguchi, G., and Tsukaya, H. (2020) *an3*-mediated compensation is dependent on a cell-autonomous mechanism in leaf epidermal tissue. Plant Cell Physiology **61**. 1181–1190.

Nurse, P. (1990). Universal control mechanism regulating onset of M-phase. Nature 344. 503–508.

- Ohbayashi, I., Lin, C.-Y., Shinohara, N., Matsumura, Y., Machida, Y., Horiguchi, G., Tsukaya, H., and Sugiyama, M. (2017). Evidence for a role of ANAC082 as a ribosomal stress response mediator leading to growth defects and developmental alterations in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 29. 2644–2660.
- **Ohbayashi, I. and Sugiyama, M.** (2018). Plant nucleolar stress response, a new face in the NACdependent cellular stress responses. Frontiers in Plant Science **8**. 2247.
- Olausson, K.H., Nistér, M., and Lindström, M.S. (2012). p53 -dependent and -independent nucleolar stress responses. Cells 1. 774–798.
- **Omidbakhshfard, M.A., Proost, S., Fujikura, U., and Mueller-Roeber, B.** (2015). Growth-Regulating Factors (GRFs): A Small Transcription Factor Family with Important Functions in Plant Biology. Molecular Plant **8**. 998–1010.
- Palatnik, J.F., Allen, E., Wu, X., Schommer, C., Schwab, R., Carrington, J.C., and Weigel, D. (2003). Control of leaf morphogenesis by microRNAs. Nature 425. 257–263.
- Peaucelle, A., Braybrook, S.A., Le Guillou, L., Bron, E., Kuhlemeier, C., and Höfte, H. (2011). Pectin-induced changes in cell wall mechanics underlie organ initiation in *Arabidopsis*. Current Biology 21. 1720–1726.
- Pelloux, J., Rustérucci, C., and Mellerowicz, E.J. (2007). New insights into pectin methylesterase structure and function. Trends Plant Sci 12. 267–277.
- Perani, M., Ingram, C.J., Cooper, C.S., Garrett, M.D., and Goodwin, G.H. (2003). Conserved SNH domain of the proto-oncoprotein SYT interacts with components of the human chromatin remodelling complexes, while the QPGY repeat domain forms homo-oligomers. Oncogene 22. 8156–8167.
- Pyke, K.A., Marrison, J.L., and Leech, A.M. (1991). Temporal and spatial development of the cells of the expanding first leaf of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. Journal of Experimental Bottany 42. 1407–1416.
- Rao, M.V., Paliyath, G., Ormrod, D.P., Murr, D.P., and Watkins, C.B. (1997). Influence of salicylic acid on H₂O₂ production, oxidative stress, and H₂O₂-metabolizing enzymes. Salicylic acid-mediated oxidative damage requires H₂O₂. Plant Physiology **115.** 137–149.
- Rate, D.N., Cuenca, J.V., Bowman, G.R., Guttman, D.S., and Greenberg, J.T. (1999). The gainof-function Arabidopsis acd6 mutant reveals novel regulation and function of the salicylic acid signaling pathway in controlling cell death, defenses, and cell growth. The Plant Cell 11. 1695– 1708.
- Robinson, D.O., Coate, J.E., Singh, A., Hong, L., Bush, M., Doyle, J.J., and Roeder, A.H.K. (2018). Ploidy and size at multiple scales in the Arabidopsis sepal. The Plant Cell 30. 2308–2329.
 Rodriguez, R.E., Mecchia, M.A., Debernardi, J.M., Schommer, C., Weigel, D., and Palatnik, J.F.

(2010). Control of cell proliferation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by microRNA miR396. Development **137**. 103–112.

- Roeder, A.H.K., Chickarmane, V., Cunha, A., Obara, B., Manjunath, B.S., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (2010). Variability in the control of cell division underlies sepal epidermal patterning in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PLoS Biology 8. e1000367.
- Sakamoto, S., Somssich, M., Nakata, M.T., Unda, F., Atsuzawa, K., Kaneko, Y., Wang, T., Bågman, A.-M., Gaudinier, A., Yoshida, K., Brady, S.M., Mansfield, S.D., Persson, S., and Mitsuda, N. (2018). Complete substitution of a secondary cell wall with a primary cell wall in Arabidopsis. Nature Plants 4. 777–783.
- Sakamoto, S., Yoshida, K., Sugihara, S., and Mitsuda, N. (2015). Development of a new highthroughput method to determine the composition of ten monosaccharides including 4-O-methyl glucuronic acid from plant cell walls using ultra-performance liquid chromatography. Plant Biotechnology 32. 55–63.
- Schaechter, M., Williamson, J.P., Hood, J.R.Jr., and Koch, A.L. (1962). Growth, cell and nuclear divisions in some bacteria. The Journal of General Microbiology 29. 421–434.
- Scheuring, D., Löfke, C., Krüger, F., Kittelmann, M., Eisa, A., Hughes, L., Smith, R.S., Hawes, C., Schumacher, K., and Kleine-Vehn, J. (2016). Actin-dependent vacuolar occupancy of the cell determines auxin-induced growth repression. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113. 452–457.
- Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch,
 S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.Y., White, D.J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri,
 K., Tomancak, P., and Cardona, A. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods 9. 676–682.
- Schmoller, K.M., Turner, J., Kõivomägi, M., and Skotheim, J.M. (2015). Dilution of the cell cycle inhibitor Whi5 controls budding-yeast cell size. Nature **526**. 268–272.
- Serrano-Mislata, A., Schiessl, K., and Sablowski, R. (2015). Active control of cell size generates spatial detail during plant organogenesis. Current Biology **25**. 2991–2996.
- Sheahan, M.B., Rose, R.J., and McCurdy, D.W. (2007). Actin-filament-dependent remodeling of the vacuole in cultured mesophyll protoplasts. Protoplasma 230. 141–152.
- Shigaki, T., Rees, I., Nakhleh, L., and Hirschi, K.D. (2006). Identification of three distinct phylogenetic groups of CAX cation/proton antiporters. Journal of Molecular Evolution 63. 815– 825.
- Shimano, S., Hibara, K., Furuya, T., Arimura, S., Tsukaya, H., and Itoh, J.-I. (2018). Conserved functional control, but distinct regulation of cell proliferation in rice and *Arabidopsis* leaves revealed by comparative analysis of *GRF-INTERACTING FACTOR 1* orthologs. Development 145. 159624.

- Shirasu, K., Nakajima, H., Rajasekhar, V.K., Dixon, R.A., and Lamb, C. (1997). Salicylic acid potentiates an agonist-dependent gain control that amplifies pathogen signals in the activation of defense mechanisms. Plant Cell 9. 261–270.
- Sonoda, Y., Sako, K., Maki, Y., Yamazaki, N., Yamamoto, H., Ikeda, A., and Yamaguchi, J. (2009). Regulation of leaf organ size by the Arabidopsis RPT2a 19S proteasome subunit. The Plant Journal 60. 68–78.
- Strader, L.C., Culler, A.H., Cohen, J.D., and Bartel, B. (2010). Conversion of endogenous indole-3-butyric acid to indole-3-acetic acid drives cell expansion in Arabidopsis seedlings. Plant Physiology 153. 1577–1586.
- Sugimoto-Shirasu, K. and Roberts, K. (2003). "Big it up": endoreduplication and cell-size control in plants. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **6**. 544–553.
- Sun, J., Nishiyama, T., Shimizu, K., and Kadota, K. (2013). TCC: an R package for comparing tag count data with robust normalization strategies. BMC Bioinformatics 14. 219.
- Takahashi, K., Morimoto, R., Tabeta, H., Asaoka, M., Ishida, M., Maeshima, M., Tsukaya, H., and Ferjani, A. (2017). Compensated cell enlargement in *fugu5* is specifically triggered by lowered sucrose production from seed-storage lipids. Plant & Cell Physiology. 58. 668–678.
- Taylor, N.G., Howells, R.M., Huttly, A.K., Vickers, K., and Turner, S.R. (2003). Interactions among three distinct CesA proteins essential for cellulose synthesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 100. 1450–1455.
- Thaete, C., Brett, D., Monaghan, P., Whitehouse, S., Rennie, G., Rayner, E., Cooper, C.S., and Goodwin, G. (1999). Functional domains of the SYT and SYT-SSX synovial sarcoma translocation proteins and co-localization with the SNF protein BRM in the nucleus. Human Molecular Genetics 8. 585–591.
- Tsukaya, H. (2008). Controlling size in multicellular organs: Focus on the leaf. PLoS Biology 6. e174.
- **Tsukaya, H.** (2013). Does ploidy level directly control cell size? Counterevidence from Arabidopsis genetics. PLoS ONE **8**. e83729.
- **Tsukaya, H.** (2019). Has the impact of endoreduplication on cell size been overestimated? New Phytologist **223.** 11–15.
- Tsukaya, H. (2002). Interpretation of mutants in leaf morphology: Genetic evidence for a compensatory system in leaf morphogenesis that provides a new link between cell and organismal theories. International Review of Cytology 217. 1–39.
- **Tsukaya, H.** (2003). Organ shape and size: a lesson from studies of leaf morphogenesis. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **6**. 57–62.
- Tsukaya, H., Byrne, M.E., Horiguchi, G., Sugiyama, M., Lijsebettens, M., and Lenhard, M. (2013). How do 'housekeeping' genes control organogenesis? —unexpected new findings on the role of housekeeping genes in cell and organ differentiation. Journal of Plant Research 126. 3–15.

- Usami, T., Horiguchi, G., Yano, S., and Tsukaya, H. (2009). The more and smaller cells mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana identify novel roles for *SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN-LIKE* genes in the control of heteroblasty. Development **136**. 955–964.
- Vercruyssen, L. et al. (2014). ANGUSTIFOLIA3 binds to SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complexes to regulate transcription during *Arabidopsis* leaf development. The Plant Cell 26. 210–229.
- Verkest, A., Manes, C.-L. de O., Vercruysse, S., Maes, S., Schueren, E.V.D., Beeckman, T., Genschik, P., Kuiper, M., Inzé, D., and Veylder, L.D. (2005). The cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor KRP2 controls the onset of the endoreduplication cycle during Arabidopsis leaf development through inhibition of mitotic CDKA;1 kinase complexes. The Plant Cell 17. 1723– 1736.
- Veylder, L.D., Beeckman, T., Beemster, G.T.S., Krols, L., Terras, F., Landrieu, I., Schueren, E.V.D., Maes, S., Naudts, M., and Inzé, D. (2001). Functional analysis of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors of Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 13. 1653–1668.
- Viotti, C., Krüger, F., Krebs, M., Neubert, C., Fink, F., Lupanga, U., Scheuring, D., Boutté, Y., Frescatada-Rosa, M., Wolfenstetter, S., Sauer, N., Hillmer, S., Grebe, M., and Schumacher, K. (2013). The endoplasmic reticulum is the main membrane source for biogenesis of the lytic vacuole in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell 25. 3434–3449.
- Wan, D., Li, R., Zou, B., Zhang, X., Cong, J., Wang, R., Xia, Y., and Li, G. (2012). Calmodulinbinding protein CBP60g is a positive regulator of both disease resistance and drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell Reports **31**. 1269–1281.
- Wang, H., Zhou, Y., Gilmer, S., Whitwill, S., and Fowke, L.C. (2000). Expression of the plant cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor ICK1 affects cell division, plant growth and morphology. The Plant Journal 24. 613–623.
- Wargent, J.J., Gegas, V.C., Jenkins, G.I., Doonan, J.H., and Paul, N.D. (2009). UVR8 in Arabidopsis thaliana regulates multiple aspects of cellular differentiation during leaf development in response to ultraviolet B radiation. New Phytologist 183. 315–326.
- Wildermuth, M.C., Dewdney, J., Wu, G., and Ausubel, F.M. (2001). Isochorismate synthase is required to synthesize salicylic acid for plant defence. Nature 414. 562–571.
- Willis, L., Refahi, Y., Wightman, R., Landrein, B., Teles, J., Huang, K.C., Meyerowitz, E.M., and Jönsson, H. (2016). Cell size and growth regulation in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* apical stem cell niche. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113. E8238–E8246.
- Wu, Y., Zhang, D., Chu, J.Y., Boyle, P., Wang, Y., Brindle, I.D., De Luca, V., and Després, C. (2012). The *Arabidopsis* NPR1 protein is a receptor for the plant defense hormone salicylic acid. Cell Reports 1. 639–647.
- Yuan, Z., Luo, D., Li, G., Yao, X., Wang, H., Zeng, M., Huang, H., and Cui, X. (2010).

Characterization of the *AE7* gene in Arabidopsis suggests that normal cell proliferation is essential for leaf polarity establishment. The Plant Journal **64.** 331–342.

- Zhang, D., Sun, W., Singh, R., Zheng, Y., Cao, Z., Li, M., Lunde, C., Hake, S., and Zhang, Z. (2018). *GRF-interacting factor1 (gif1)* Regulates shoot architecture and meristem determinacy in maize. The Plant Cell. **30.** 360–374.
- Zhang, T., Tang, H., Vavylonis, D., and Cosgrove, D.J. (2019) Disentangling loosening from softening: insights into primary cell wall structure. The Plant Journal 100. 1101–1117.
- Zhou, J., Zhong, R., and Ye, Z.-H. (2014). Arabidopsis NAC domain proteins, VND1 to VND5, are transcriptional regulators of secondary wall biosynthesis in vessels. PLoS ONE 9. e105726.