Bull. Univ. of Tokyo For, 127, 17 - 34 (2012)

Original Article

Sources and Generation Mechanisms of High SO₄²⁻ Concentrations in Soil water, Groundwater and Streamwater in a Small Watershed, Lambir Hills National Park, Malaysia

Mie GOMYO*, Taeko WAKAHARA**, Katsushige SHIRAKI**, Koichiro KURAJI*, Masakazu SUZUKI***

Introduction

Floodplains, lowlands, swamps, and wetlands are increasingly being developed for agriculture, aquaculture, resorts, and urban use across the world. Significant environmental damage due to drainage and changes in land use has been identified in these areas (LJUNG *et al.*, 2009; BUSCHMANN *et al.*, 2008), which are often underlain by sediments containing sulfide minerals. When the reduced and non-oxidized sulfide materials are exposed to the air by excavation, uplift, or drainage, oxidation occurs and sulfuric acid is ultimately produced when the acid neutralizing capacity of the soil by the adsorbed bases and easily weatherable minerals (e.g., CaCO₃) is exceeded (LJUNG *et al.*, 2009; WHITE *et al.*, 1997). Such soils, commonly described as acid sulfate soils, have been reported mainly from coastal mangrove forests (SIMPSON and PEDINI, 1985) and coastal wetlands (DENT and PONS, 1995; LIN *et al.*, 1995; SAMMUT *et al.*, 1995; 1996; WILLETT *et al.*, 1982) underlain by Holocene marine sediments.

In recent years, however, similar acidification of soils and rocks has been identified further inland, along former coastlines and in marine sedimentary rock of Tertiary age (VUAI *et al.*, 2003). It has become apparent that extensive distribution of metal sulfide and sulfate materials in the geological units in a region has the potential to affect the environmental performance and structural integrity of other types of earthworks and construction (FITZPATRICK *et al.*, 1996; SAMMUT, 2004).

In Malaysia and Indonesia, oil palm plantations were not only developed in the flatlands, but also in the sloped areas along former coastlines and in marine sedimentary rocks. Although the acidification of soils due to such disturbances has not yet been reported, such agricultural land development requires the cutting and excavation of soil and rock. The subsequent oxidation of sulfidic materials, the acidification of soils and waters, and the leaching of toxic metals such as Al and Fe may occur, which can affect oil palm growth and damage surrounding aquatic ecosystems.

To predict the magnitude of the impact of such anthropogenic land development, it is vital to

^{*} Ecohydrology Research Institute, The University of Tokyo Forests, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo.

^{**} Institute of Symbiotic Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology

^{* **} Laboratory of Forest Hydrology and Erosion Control Engineering, Department of Forest Sciences, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo

study natural systems in undisturbed areas. Even in undisturbed tropical lowland rain forests, one of the highest SO_4^{2-} concentrations in streamwater in the tropics has been reported from Lambir Hills National Park (LHNP), Sarawak, Malaysian Borneo (287.4µmol_cL⁻¹; GOMYO *et al.*, 2009). In contrast, some ecological studies have indicated that the toxic effects of Al and Fe leaching may be one of the possible explanations for reduced seedling growth in LHNP (PALMIOTTO *et al.*, 2004). To understand the relationship between high SO_4^{2-} concentration and the leaching of Al and Fe into soil water, groundwater, and streamwater in undisturbed natural lowland rain forests, it is necessary to investigate (1) the temporal and spatial variation of SO_4^{2-} concentration in the watershed, (2) the relationships among SO_4^{2-} , Fe, and Al concentrations and pH, and (3) the origin of SO_4^{2-} , Fe, and Al in soil water, groundwater, and streamwater. Thus, in this paper we describe a case study that used nested experimental watersheds covered by undisturbed tropical lowland rain forests in LHNP to better understand the above-mentioned topics.



Fig. 1. Maps showing the location and topography of the LC and LM watersheds in Lambir Hills National Park (LHNP), Sarawak, Malaysian Borneo. The locations of the streamwater, soil water, and groundwater sampling sites are shown. The LM watershed was one of the sub watersheds of LC; sampling points No. 8 and No.9 appear on the LC map at the same places as LMS1 and LMS4 on the LM map, respectively.

Materials and methods

Study watershed

Two experimental nested watersheds were set up in LHNP, 25 km southwest of the city of Miri in the state of Sarawak, Malaysian Borneo (Fig. 1): Lambir Crane (LC; elevation 180–212 m above sea level (asl); watershed area 22.0 ha) and Lambir Micro, a sub-watershed of Lambir Crane (LM; elevation 190–212 m asl; watershed area 0.59 ha). Between 2000 and 2007, the annual mean temperature and rainfall in the area were 25.9°C and 2649 mm, respectively. This area has a humid tropical maritime climate and no distinct seasonal change in air temperature. The monthly rainfall during our study period (November 2005–January 2008) is shown in Fig. 2, and was never <90 mm. A higher amount of rainfall was observed in December than in any of the other months.

Geologically, the study area is situated within the approximately 2100 m thick Lambir Formation, a muddy sandstone unit between 13 and 6 million years old (Middle and Late Miocene) (ASHTON, 1998). The sea probably reached its greatest depth near Lambir about 12.8 million years ago, as shown by the concentration of fossils in massive blue clay stones, which are thought to represent a peak in the abundance and biodiversity of the animals living in, on, and above the sea bed (HAZEBROEK and ABANG KASHIM, 2001). The rocks of the Lambir Hills were uplifted and gently folded from the seabed at the beginning of the Pleistocene (WILFORD, 1961). From previous studies of soil chemical properties in LHNP, the soils of the study area can be roughly divided into two groups: the Sandy Humult Ultisols (hereafter referred to as SHU), and the Clay Udult Ultisols (hereafter referred to as CUU) (ASHTON, 1998; PALMIOTTO *et al.*, 2004).



Fig. 2. Monthly rainfall in Lambir Hills National Park (LHNP) during the study period. The two-headed arrow, the black star, and the white star indicate the long-term sampling period, the spatial sampling of subwatersheds in LC on 22 November 2005, and the intensive soil water and streamwater sampling at LM, respectively.

The SHU are present on sandstone ridges and dip slopes, and are characteristically deep, yellow, nutrient poor, leached, and drought-prone, with a thick (5–15 cm) surface horizon of densely rooted raw humus. In contrast, the CUU are present in gullies and lower areas overlying shale, and are characteristically deep and yellowed, with higher nutrient concentrations and a greater water-holding capacity than the SHU, and a thin (<1 cm) surface organic layer of leaves lacking a root mat. The study area is located in a tropical lowland mixed Dipterocarp forest (YAMAKURA *et al.*, 1995; ASHTON, 1998; POTTS *et al.*, 2002), within which the spatial distribution of tree species is related to soil variation. *Dryobalanops aromatica* and *Shorea lava* naturally aggregate on the SHU, and *Dryobalanops lanceolata* and *Hopea dryobalanoides* on the CUU (PALMIOTTO *et al.*, 2004).

Sampling design and chemical analyses Water

We sampled streamwater at the LC watershed outlet weekly for two years (2006–2007), and collected a total of 123 samples. On 22 November 2005, we also sampled streamwater at the nine different sub-watersheds within LC, including the outlet of LC (No. 1), the outlet of LM (No. 8, also LMS1), and the stream source in LM (No. 9, also LMS4). These sampling points were numbered and are shown in Fig. 1.

In LM we sampled soil water at five different sites along the main stream (Fig. 1). LM1 represents the lowest point near the watershed outlet (LMS1), and LM4 represents the point just above the spring (LMS4). At all sites, we sampled the soil water using a suction soil water sampler (DIK-8392; Daiki Rika Kogyo Co., Ltd., Japan), which consisted of a ceramic porous cup (18×95 mm), a lead pipe, and a syringe buried in the soil to extract the soil water from three different depths (10-20, 50-60, and 90-100 cm), except at LM2 (10-20 and 50-60 cm only). A groundwater well made of a PVC pipe (10 cm diameter) was installed at LM4 for groundwater sampling. The average groundwater depth for two years (January 2007-December 2008) was 170 cm (WAKAHARA, unpublished data). Streamwater was sampled at LMS1 and LMS4. Soil water, groundwater and streamwater were sampled in LM was performed on 6 days: 30 and 31 December 2007 and 3, 4, 11, and 13 January 2008. During this period, the mean volumetric ratio of soil water contents at depths of 10, 30, and 60 cm at LM4, observed continuously by thermotime domain reflectometry sensors (CS616; Campbell Scientific, Inc., USA), was 0.35, 0.32, and 0.34, respectively, slightly higher than the two-year averages from January 2007 to December 2008 (0.33, 0.31, and 0.33, respectively) (WAKAHARA, unpublished data). pH was measured on site using the glass electrode of a pH meter (D-54; Horiba Co., Kyoto, Japan). The calibration of the pH meter was confirmed with standard solutions of pH 4 and 7.

Bottles containing the samples were brought to the field laboratory within 30 min of sampling and were immediately stored at 2°C. All samples were filtered through a 0.2 μ m hydrophilic regenerated cellulose filter (Minisart RC15; Sartorius Stedim Japan Co., Ltd., Japan), kept in a cold box with refrigerant, and transported to Japan for chemical analysis. The cation (Na⁺, NH₄⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, and Ca²⁺) and anion (Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, and SO₄²⁻) concentrations were analyzed using an ion chromatograph analyzer (HIC-6A; Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan). The total dissolved Fe and Al concentrations were analyzed with inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry (SPS 1500VR; Seiko Instruments, Inc., Japan) for soil water, groundwater, and streamwater from the LM watershed. In this report, we define the total anion concentration (TA) as ([Cl⁻] + [NO₃⁻] + $2[SO_4^{2-}] + [OH^-]$) and the total cation concentration (TC) as ([Na⁺] + [NH₄⁺] + [K⁺] + $2[Mg^{2+}] + 2[Ca^{2+}] + [H^+]$), where [X] represents the concentration of X measured in μ mol_cL⁻¹.

Soil

Soils were sampled on 18 June 2009 at LM1, LM4, and LM5 (Fig. 1). The depths of sampling were 10–20 and 30–40 cm at LM1; 10–20, 30–40, 50–60, and 90–100 cm at LM4; and 10–20, 30–40, and 50–60 cm at LM5. All soil samples were filtered without drying through a 2-mm mesh sieve, immediately followed by pH (H₂O) measurements in the field laboratory. The pH was measured with a glass electrode pH meter (D-54; Horiba Co.) using a fresh soil-to-solution (H₂O) ratio of 1:5.

Discharge

Discharge data were collected from an adjacent watershed (23.3 ha). Water height was measured every 10 minutes by an automatic water level data recorder (KADEC21-MIZU, Northone Co. Ltd. Japan) in a natural pool on exposed bedrock where a rapid flow was generated at the outlet. SHIRAKI and WAKAHARA (2005) describe how to convert from water level to the discharge. Hourly discharge data for 2 years (2006 – 2007) were used in this study to determine the relationship between discharge and SO₄²⁻ concentration.

Results

Long-term spatial variation in streamwater chemistry in LC and its sub-watersheds

Fig. 3(a) shows the relationship between SO_4^{2-} concentration and hourly discharge and Fig. 3(b) shows the relationship between SO_4^{2-} concentration and watershed area. The mean concentration of SO_4^{2-} was $135.2 \pm 55.3 \ \mu mol_c L^{-1}$ (\pm standard deviation). The relationship between the concentrations of SO_4^{2-} and the areas of the sub-watersheds in LC observed on a single day are shown in Fig. 3(b). The range of SO_4^{2-} concentrations among the sub-watersheds in LC was within the range from 65 to 341 $\mu mol_c L^{-1}$ (Fig. 3(a)), which is comparable to the range of long-term variation in SO_4^{2-} concentrations in LC (from 30 to 272 $\mu mol_c L^{-1}$: Fig. 3(b)).

Fig. 4 shows the SO_4^{2-} concentrations in soil water, groundwater, and streamwater at the five sites and at various depths in LM. The SO_4^{2-} concentration in soil water was lowest at LM2 (0.5 μ mol_cL⁻¹), followed by LM1. The concentration increased from LM3 to the upstream sites. The highest value of 420.5 μ mol_cL⁻¹ was observed at LM5. At LM4, the concentration of SO_4^{2-} did not differ significantly between groundwater and soil water (Fig. 4). The concentration of SO_4^{2-} in streamwater at LMS1 was significantly higher than those in soil water at LM1, LM2, and LM3, but was significantly lower than that in soil water at LM5 (Fig. 4). The concentration of SO_4^{2-} in streamwater at LMS4 was significantly higher than that in soil water from a depth of

10–20 cm at LM4, but was significantly lower than that in soil water from depths of 50–60 and 90–100 cm at LM5 (Fig. 4).

Fig. 5 shows the relationship between SO_4^{2-} and Mg^{2+} concentrations, and Fig. 6 shows the relationship between SO_4^{2-} and Ca^{2+} concentrations. The SO_4^{2-} concentration in soil water increased with Mg^{2+} concentration. A similar relationship was observed in groundwater and streamwater. However, if the SO_4^{2-} concentration in soil water is the same as that in groundwater and streamwater, the Mg^{2+} concentration in soil water is always 40–60 μ mol_cL⁻¹ higher than that in groundwater and streamwater. The Ca²⁺ concentration was higher in the lower part of the LM watershed (LM1 through LM3) than in the upper part (LM4 through LM5) (Fig. 6).

Fig. 7 shows the relationship between SO_4^{2-} and the anion charge deficit (TC–TA), and Fig. 8 shows the relationship between SO_4^{2-} and the pH. The anion charge deficit was positive in the lower part of the LM watershed, but zero or negative in the upper part of the LM watershed except at a depth of 10–20 cm at LM4. The pH was higher in the upper part of LM than that in the lower part of the LM watershed. The streamwater in LC and its sub-watersheds including LM



Fig. 3. The relationship between SO₄²⁻ concentrations and (a) discharge in the adjacent watershed used for long-term sampling at the outlet of LC and (b) the watershed areas used for spatial sampling of the subwatersheds in LC observed on 22 November 2005. The numbers on (b) indicate spatial sampling points on the LC map (Fig. 1). The [X] indicates the concentration of X (µmol_cL⁻¹).

(LMS1 and LMS4) was of the same quality for Ca^{2+} , TC-TA and pH as the soil water in the upper part of LM, except at the sampling point No. 4, located at the outlet of a northern subwatershed of the LC watershed (Fig. 1). The streamwater from the LM watershed does not reach the sampling point No.4.

Figs. 9 and 10 show the relationship between the concentration of SO_4^{2-} and the concentrations of total dissolved Fe and Al, respectively. Although the concentration of total dissolved Fe was less than 15 μ mol_cL⁻¹ in most cases, exceptionally high concentrations (34.5 and 53.8 μ mol_cL⁻¹) were measured at LM5 at depths of 50–60 and 90–100 cm, respectively. The higher the SO₄²⁻ concentration, the higher the total dissolved Al concentration. A similarly high total dissolved Al concentration (15–25 μ mol_cL⁻¹) was also observed in the streamwater at LMS1 and LMS4.

Table 1 lists the pH of soil water in LM and the soil pH (H_2O) at LM1, LM4, and LM5. The soil pH (H_2O) values (4.34–5.64) were similar to the soil water pH values at the equivalent sites and depths, as well as with the soil pH (H_2O) reported by HIRAI *et al.* (1997) from a 52 ha permanent vegetation plot in LHNP (4.18–5.49).



Fig. 4. Mean SO_4^{2-} concentrations in soil water, groundwater, and streamwater in the LM watershed. The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of samples, and the bars are the standard deviations. * and ** (on the right side of the figure) indicate significant differences between LMS1 streamwater and LMS4 streamwater; between all soil water and groundwater; and between LMS4 streamwater and LM4 and LM5 soil water and groundwater (*t*-test; **, *p* <0.001; *, *p* < 0.01).



 \Box LM Streamwater OLC Streamwater Δ LC subwatershed Streamwater

- ●LM Soil water ▲LM Groundwater
- Fig. 5. The relationship between [SO4²⁻] and [Mg²⁺] for long-term LC streamwater observations (means), LC sub-watershed streamwater observation, and LM soil water, groundwater, and streamwater. The numbers on △ indicate spatial sampling points on the LC map (Fig. 1) observed on 22 November 2005. The [X] indicates the concentration of X (µmol_cL⁻¹).



Fig. 6. The relationship between [SO₄²⁻] and [Ca²⁺] for long-term LC streamwater observations (means), LC sub-watershed streamwater observations, and LM soil water, groundwater, and streamwater. The numbers on △ indicate spatial sampling points on the LC map (Fig. 1) observed on 22 November 2005. The [X] indicates the concentration of X (µmol_cL⁻¹).



□LM Streamwater
 ○LC Streamwater △LC subwatershed Streamwater
 ●LM Soil water
 ▲LM Groundwater

Fig. 7. The relationship between [SO4²⁻] and [TC – TA] for long-term LC streamwater observations (means), LC sub-watershed streamwater observations, and LM soil water, groundwater, and streamwater. The numbers on △ indicate spatial sampling points on the LC map (Fig. 1) observed on 22 November 2005. The [X] indicates the concentration of X (µmol_cL⁻¹).



Fig. 8. The relationship between $[SO_4^{2-}]$ and pH for long-term LC streamwater observations (means), LC subwatershed streamwater observations, and LM soil water, groundwater, and streamwater. The numbers on \triangle indicate spatial sampling points on the LC map (Fig. 1) observed on 22 November 2005. The [X] indicates the concentration of X (µmol_cL⁻¹).



□LM Streamwater ●LM Soil water ▲LM Groundwater
Fig. 9. The relationship between [SO₄²⁻] and [Fe] is only shown for LM soil water, groundwater, and streamwater. The [X] indicates the concentration of X (µmol_cL⁻¹).



□LM Streamwater ●LM Soil water ▲LM Groundwater

Fig. 10. The relationship between $[SO_4^{2-}]$ and [AI] is only shown for LM soil water, groundwater, and streamwater. The [X] indicates the concentration of X (μ mol_cL⁻¹).

| | Soil water | Soil |
|--------------|------------|-----------------------|
| | pН | pH (H ₂ O) |
| LM1 10-20cm | 5.19 | 5.64 |
| LM1 30-40cm | | 5.52 |
| LM1 50-60cm | 5.27 | |
| LM1 90-100cm | 5.27 | |
| LM4 10-20cm | 4.50 | 4.61 |
| LM4 30-40cm | | 4.81 |
| LM4 50-60cm | 4.68 | 4.62 |
| LM4 90-100cm | 4.75 | 4.57 |
| LM5 10-20cm | 4.41 | 4.42 |
| LM5 30-40cm | | 4.41 |
| LM5 50-60cm | 4.24 | 4.34 |
| LM5 90-100cm | 4.44 | |

Table 1. The pH of soil water and soil samples.

Discussion

SO₄²⁻ concentration in streamwater

The SO₄²⁻ concentration in streamwater (arithmetic mean) in LC, LMS1, and LMS4 ranged from 135.2 to 259.7 μ mol_cL⁻¹. This level is 9.4–18.0 times higher than that of rain water, which contains concentrations of SO₄²⁻ (volume weighted mean 14.4 μ mol_cL⁻¹: GOMYO, 2010). Thus, the high SO₄²⁻ concentration in streamwater cannot be explained by condensation via evapotranspiration alone; rather, the SO₄²⁻ in streamwater probably originates from a terrestrial source within a watershed. The oxidation of sulfide may be one of the principal reactions producing SO₄²⁻ (e.g., STRAUSS, 1997; KOHFAHL *et al.*, 2008; FITZHUGH *et al.*, 2001).

In the Mendolong 1 experimental watershed (18.2 ha, hereafter referred to as M1) in Sabah, Malaysia, G_{RIP} *et al.* (1994) reported a high concentration of SO₄²⁻ in streamwater (volume weighted mean 142.8 μ mol_cL⁻¹), which was comparable to that in LC. The SO₄²⁻ concentration in rain water at M1 (1.17 μ mol_cL⁻¹) was 122 times lower than that in streamwater (G_{RIP} *et al.*, 1994) which is too low to explain the high concentration in streamwater. In contrast, the SO₄²⁻ concentration in an adjacent experimental watershed (volume weighted mean 11.8 μ mol_cL⁻¹) named Mendolong 2 (4.5 ha, hereafter referred to as M2) was 12 times lower than that at M1. G_{RIP} *et al.* (1994) showed that the SO₄²⁻ concentration difference between M1 and M2 may have been the result of a high S concentration in the soil and bedrock at M1; weathering would thus produce a higher SO₄²⁻ concentration at M1 than at M2.

Soil and streamwater chemistry comparisons

Table 2 shows selected streamwater chemistry values for M1 and M2 from GRIP *et al.* (1994) and for LC, LMS1, and LMS4 from this study. The SO_4^{2-} concentrations in LC, LMS1, and LMS4 were comparable with that in M1 (142.8 μ mol_cL⁻¹), but higher than that in M2 (11.8 μ mol_cL⁻¹). The pH in LC, LMS1, and LMS4 ranged from 4.2 to 4.3, which was comparable with that in M2 (4.9), but lower than that in M1 (6.3). These results show that the streamwater

| | рН | | SO ₄ ²⁻ (µmol _c L ⁻¹) | | TC-TA (µmol _c L ⁻¹) | | Ca ²⁺ (µmol _c L ⁻¹) | | | Ca ²⁺ /SO ₄ ²⁻ | | | | | |
|------|-----|-----|--|-------|--|------|---|-----|------|---|-----|------|------|-----|-----|
| | | n | SD | | n | SD | | n | SD | | n | SD | | n | SD |
| LC | 4.3 | 123 | 0.8 | 135.2 | 123 | 55.5 | -7.9 | 123 | 62.4 | 14.1 | 123 | 10.2 | 0.10 | 123 | 0.2 |
| LMS1 | 4.2 | 6 | 0.6 | 175.0 | 6 | 9.0 | -7.7 | 6 | 37.6 | 5.1 | 6 | 2.8 | 0.03 | 6 | 0.0 |
| LMS4 | 4.2 | 5 | 0.4 | 259.7 | 6 | 71.4 | -53.1 | 6 | 67.0 | 1.9 | 6 | 2.2 | 0.01 | 6 | 0.0 |
| M1 | 6.3 | NA | NA | 142.8 | NA | NA | 202.7 | NA | NA | 84.8 | NA | NA | 0.59 | NA | NA |
| M2 | 4.9 | NA | NA | 11.8 | NA | NA | 58.1 | NA | NA | 11.0 | NA | NA | 0.93 | NA | NA |

Table 2. The pH, SO₄²⁻, Total cation minus total anion, Ca²⁺ concentration, Ca²⁺ : SO₄²⁻ ratios, total Fe and Al concentrations of streamwater at LC, LMS1, LMS4, M1 and M2.

| | Fe | (µmoll | _ ⁻¹) | A | AI (µmolL ⁻¹) | | | |
|------|-----|--------|-------------------|------|---------------------------|-----|--|--|
| | | n | SD | | n | SD | | |
| LC | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | | |
| LMS1 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 2 | 4.2 | | |
| LMS4 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 2 | 6.5 | | |
| M1 | 2.0 | NA | NA | 1.5 | NA | NA | | |
| M2 | 7.2 | NA | NA | 10.4 | NA | NA | | |

Note: TC = Total cation ([H⁺]+[Na⁺]+[NH₄⁺]+[K⁺]+2[Mg²⁺]+2[Ca²⁺]), TA = Total anion ([Cl⁻]+[NO₃⁻]+2[SO₄²⁻]+[OH⁻]), where [X] shows the concentration of X (μ mol_cL⁻¹), M1 and M2 stands for Mendolong W3 and W6, respectively (GRIP *et al.* 1994). n = The number of samples, NA = Not available, SD = standard deviation.

chemistry in LC, LMS1, and LMS4 is similar to that in M1 but different from that in M2 in terms of SO_4^{2-} concentration, whereas in terms of pH it is similar to M2 but different from M1. To explain the similarities and differences between Lambir and Mendolong, we compared the anion charge deficits (TC–TA), Ca²⁺, and the total dissolved A1 and Fe concentrations in the two watersheds.

Table 2 shows that the anion charge deficit of streamwater in LC, LMS1, and LMS4 was negative, but was positive in M1 and M2. This anion charge deficit difference was mainly due to the lower Ca^{2+} concentration in Lambir than in Mendolong. The Ca^{2+} / SO_4^{2-} was higher in M1 and M2 (0.594 and 0.929, respectively) than that in LC, LMS1, and LMS4 where it ranged from 0.007 to 0.104. GRIP *et al.* (1994) showed that the large anion charge deficit (about 40% of the total cation charge in M1 and 70% in M2) may partly be due to organic acids, and partly to hydrogen carbonate not accounted for in HCO₃ (as calculated from the temperature and pH of streamwater in equilibrium with atmospheric CO₂). In LMS1, and LMS4, however, the cation charge deficit may partly be due to other metal cations such as Al and Fe, which may leach under low pH conditions. The low Ca^{2+} concentrations in streamwater in LC, LMS1, and LMS4 may be the result of all the calcium in the soil and bedrock having been leached by sulfuric acid.

The total dissolved Al concentration was lower in the higher pH watershed (M1) than in the lower pH watersheds (M2, LMS1, and LMS4). However the leaching mechanisms in M2 and Lambir may be very different. In M2, the total dissolved Al was leached while neutralizing the HCO_3^- and organic acid, whereas in LMS1 and LMS4, the total dissolved Al was leached while neutralizing SO_4^{2-} that exceeded the total cation charge from the concentrations of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Na^+ , and K^+ . The total dissolved Fe concentration pattern was different from that for Al; it was higher in M2 (7.2 μ mol_cL⁻¹) but lower in LMS1 and LMS4 (0.4–0.9 μ mol_cL⁻¹). This difference

suggests that the leaching mechanism of Al and Fe in LMS1 and LMS4 differs from that in M2. We assume that in LMS4 and LMS1, the Fe in the soil water is precipitated rapidly by bacterially-induced (SMITH and TUOVINEN, 1985), redox-controlled reactions when Fe appears to the stream. In M2, however, no such reactions were occurred, and there were no SO_4^{2-} sources in the soil.

The origins of SO₄²⁻ in soil water and streamwater

One of the main novel findings of this study was the large variation in inorganic chemical properties of soil water even within a small watershed (Figs. 4 and 5). The large difference between the SO_4^{2-} concentrations in the upper and lower parts of the watershed (Fig. 4) suggests different sources and generation mechanisms as follows.

The upper part of the LM watershed, including LM4 and LM5, was dominated by *Dryobalanops aromatica* and the soil can be categorized as SHU, whereas the soil of the lower part of the LM watershed including LM1 can be categorized as CUU. The soil water SO_4^{2-} concentration in LM5 was two orders of magnitude higher than that in LM1 (Fig. 4). This result was also supported by HIRAI *et al.* (1997), who examined the relationship between the distribution of tree species and the soils in LHNP and found that *D. aromatica* develops on SHU soil with dominantly drier soil moisture conditions, a low nutrient status (lower exchangeable Ca and Mg), and high acidity. If the origin of high SO_4^{2-} levels was primarily the acidification of organic sulfur, the SO_4^{2-} concentration should be higher in the CUU soil in the lower part of the watershed because of the higher nutrient condition, rather than in the SHU soil in the upper part of the watershed.

PALMIOTTO *et al.* (2004) reported that a 5–15 cm surface horizon of densely rooted raw humus was common in SHU. The SO_4^{2-} concentrations of soil water at LM3, LM4 and LM5 were higher deeper soil depth than shallower in the lower soil depth. The highest concentrations of SO_4^{2-} were detected at 90–100 cm depths in LM5 soil, which is far deeper than the densely rooted raw humus layer common in SHU. These results suggest that the major origin of SO_4^{2-} in LM soil water is not be the organic sulfur in the raw humus, but is that from the acidification of inorganic sulfur, such as FeS₂.

There was a linearly increasing correlation between SO_4^{2-} and Mg^{2+} concentrations in soil water (Fig. 5) in both the lower and the upper watersheds. The relationship between SO_4^{2-} and Ca^{2+} concentrations in soil water (Fig. 6), however, exhibited a different pattern. In the lower part of the watershed, the Ca^{2+} concentration in soil water exceeded 30 µmol_cL⁻¹ and increased with increasing SO_4^{2-} concentration, whereas in the upper part of the watershed, the Ca^{2+} concentration in soil water O_4^{2-} and Ca^{2+} concentration in soil water was less than 20 µmol_cL⁻¹ and remained relatively stable regardless of changes in the SO_4^{2-} concentration.

The soil water in the lower part of the watershed was characterized by a higher Ca^{2+} concentration (Fig. 6), lower SO_4^{2-} concentration, with total cations exceeding total anions (Fig. 7), a higher pH (Fig. 8), and lower total dissolved Fe and Al concentrations (Figs. 9 and 10). The soil water in the upper part of the watershed was characterized by a lower Ca^{2+} concentration

(Fig. 6), higher SO_4^{2-} concentration, with total anions exceeding total cations (Fig. 7), a lower pH (Fig. 8), and higher total dissolved Fe and Al concentrations (Figs. 9 and 10). A possible explanation for this clear difference between the lower and the upper watershed may be the role of SO_4^{2-} in chemical weathering. At CUU sites, the pH was >4.8, the SO_4^{2-} production rate was lower than at SHU sites, and the acid buffering capacity of Ca^{2+} exceeded the SO_4^{2-} concentration. At SHU sites, the pH was <4.4, and a higher production of SO_4^{2-} than at CUU sites exceeded the Ca^{2+} resources, resulting in complete leaching of Ca^{2+} , triggering the leaching of Al and Fe.

If the total dissolved Al exists in the form of Al^{3+} and contributes to the ion balance, the cation charge deficit (TA–TC) of all soil water will be positive, except at 50–60 and 90–100 cm depths of LM5 soil water. The cation charge deficit including Al^{3+} at 50–60 and 90–100 cm depths of LM5 soil water was – 12.8 and – 67.6 μ mol_cL⁻¹, respectively. This means that there are other uncounted cations that can compensate for the negative cation charge deficit at 50–60 and 90–100 cm depths of LM5 soil water. One possibility is the contribution of dissolved Fe to the ion balance. If the total dissolved Fe exists in the form of Fe³⁺, the Fe³⁺ concentrations at 50–60 cm and 90–100 cm depths of LM5 soil water, respectively. This supports the hypothesis that the acidification of FeS₂ may be one of the origins of the high SO₄²⁻ concentrations and the total dissolved Fe concentrations of LM5 soil water at the 50–60 and 90–100 cm depths.

Conclusions

This study found that (1) the spatial variation of streamwater SO_4^{2-} concentrations was greater than the temporal variation, (2) the soil water SO_4^{2-} concentration in the SHU soils distributed on ridges and in headwater areas in the LM watershed was two orders of magnitude greater than that in the CUU soils distributed on the lower slopes of the LM watershed. Al leaching was initiated when the soil water pH dropped below 4.8 and the Ca²⁺ concentration fell below about 20 μ mol_cL⁻¹. Fe leaching began when the SO₄²⁻ concentration reached about 400 μ mol_cL⁻¹.

These findings suggest that the source of SO_4^2 - may be FeS_2 that is unevenly distributed in the watershed and that different mechanisms may control the leaching of dissolved Al and Fe into the soil water.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Japan Science and Technology Corporation (JST) Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology (CREST) program, "Effects of rainfall variability on water cycles and ecosystems in tropical forests under an Asian monsoon climate," and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science JSPS (no. 21405021). The first author also received a Grant-in-Aid from the JSPS Fellows (19 · 4231). The authors thank Dr. Nobuhito Ohte (The University of Tokyo) for providing warm encouragement and helpful advice, Dr. Tomoki Oda (The University of Tokyo) for useful comments during the initial stages of field work and for on-site assistance, and Dr. Masamitsu Fujimoto (Kyoto University) for assisting with the laboratory work. The authors also thank staff members of the Sarawak Forestry Department at the Lambir Hills site for their support of the research activities.

Summary

To understand the origin of the high SO_4^{2-} concentrations in soil water, groundwater, and streamwater in undisturbed natural lowland rain forests and the link between such high SO_4^{2-} concentrations and Al and Fe leaching, we undertook a case study in nested experimental watersheds covered by undisturbed tropical lowland rain forests in Lambir Hills National Park, Sarawak, Malaysia. Streamwater at the outlet of a 22 ha watershed was sampled weekly for 2 years and an intensive study of soil water, groundwater, and streamwater was conducted within a 0.59 ha sub-catchment. It was found that that (1) the spatial variation of SO_4^{2-} concentrations in the Sandy Humult Ultisols distributed on the ridges and in the headwater areas in the sub-watershed were two orders of magnitude greater than in the Clay Udult Ultisols distributed on the lower slopes of the sub-watershed. Al leaching began when soil water pH dropped below 4.8 and Ca²⁺ concentration levels fell below approximately 20 μ mol_cL⁻¹. Fe leaching started when the SO₄²⁻ may be derived from FeS₂, which is unevenly distributed in the watershed, and that different mechanisms may control the leaching of dissolved Al and Fe into the soil water.

Keywords: Lambir Hills National Park, SO42- concentration, Fe and Al, Soil water, Streamwater

References

- ASHTON, P.S. (1998) Lambir's forest: The world's most diverse known tree assemblage? Ecol. Studies 174: 191-216
- BUSCHMANN, J., BERG, M., STENGEL, C., WINKEL, L., SAMPSON, M. L., TRANG, P. T., and VIET, P. H. (2008) Contamination of drinking water resources in the Mekong delta floodplains: arsenic and other trace metals pose serious health risks to population. Environ. Int. 34:756-765.

DENT, D. L., and PONS, L. J. (1995) A world perspective on acid sulphate soils. Geoderma 67:263-276.

- FIGZHUGH, R. D., FURMAN, T., and KORSAK, A. K. (2001) Sources of stream sulphate in headwater catchments in Otter Creek Wilderness, West Virginia, USA. Hydrol. Proc. 15:541-556.
- FITZPATRICK, R. W., FRITSCH, E., and SELF, P. G. (1996) Interpretation of soil features produced by ancient and modern processes in degraded landscapes : V. Development of saline sulfidic features in non-tidal seepage areas. Geoderma 69:1-29.
- GOMYO, M., WAKAHARA, T., SHIRAKI, K., KURAJI, K., KITAYAMA, K., and SUZUKI, M. (2009) Characteristics of streamwater concentrations of inorganic ions in a montane forest and a tropical lowland forest in Malaysian Borneo. In: Proceeding of the Second international conference on forests and water in a

changing environment. (eds.), Raleigh, North Carolina, 47-48.

- GOMYO, M. (2010) Studies on water and nutrient balances and their mechanisms in tropical lowland and montane rainforests in Malaysian Borneo. 138pp, PhD Thesis, The University of Tokyo, Japan.
- GRIP, H., MALMER, A., and WONG, F.K. (1994) Converting tropical rain forest to forest plantation in Sabah, Malaysia. Part 1. Dynamics and net losses of nutrients in control catchment streams. Hydrol. Proc. 8: 179–194.
- HAZEBROEK, H.P., and ABANG KASHIM, A.M. (2001) National Parks of Sarawak. Natural History Publications (Borneo), 502pp, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia.
- HIRAI, H., MATSUMURA, H., HIROTANI, H., SAKURAI, K., OGINO, K., and LEE, H.S. (1997) Soils and the distributon of Dryobalanops aromatic and D. lanceolata in Mixed Dipterocarp forest - A case study at Lambir Hills National Park, Sarawak, Malaysia. Tropics 7: 21-33.
- ISHIZUKA, S., TANAKA, S., SAKURAI, K., HIRAI, H., HIROTANI, H., OGINO, K., LEE, H.S., and KENDAWANG, J.J. (1998) Characterization and distribution of soils at Lambir Hills National Park in Sarawak, Malaysia, with special reference to soil hardness and soil texture. Tropics 8: 31-44.
- JAVIE, H. P., HAYGARTH, P. M., NEAL, C., BUTLER, P., SMITH, B., NADEN, P. S., JOYNES, A., NEAL, M., WICKHAM, H., ARMSTRONG, L., HARMAN, S., and PALMER-FELGATE, E.J. (2008) Streamwater chemistry and quality along an upland-lowland rural land-use continuum, south west England. J. Hydrol. 350:215-231.
- KOHFAHL. C., BROWN, P. L., LINKLATER, C. M., MAZUR, K., IRANNEJAD, P., and PEKDEGER, A. (2008) The impact of pyrite variability, dispersive transport and precipitation of secondary phases on the sulphate release. Appl. Geochem. 23:3783-3798.
- LIN, C., MELVILLE, M. D., and HAFER, S. (1995) Acid sulphate soil landscape relationships in an undrained, tide – dominated estuarine floodplain, Eastern Australia. Catena 24:177-194.
- LIUNG, K., MALEY, F., COOK, A., and WEINSTEIN, P. (2009) Acid sulfate soils and human health A Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. Environ. Int. 35:1234-1242.
- PALMIOTTO, P. A., DAVIS, S. J., VOGT, K. A., ASHTON, M. S., VOGT, D. J., and ASHOTON, P. S. (2004) Soilrelated habitat specialization in dipterocarp rain forest tree species in Borneo. J. Ecol. 92:609-623.
- POTTS, M.D., ASHTON, P.S., KAUFMAN, L.S., and PLOTKIN, J.B. (2002) Habitat patterns in tropical rain forests: A comparison of 105 plots in northwest Borneo. Ecol. 83: 2782-2797.
- SAMMUT, J., NELVILLE, M. D., CALLINAN, R.D., and FRASER, G. C. (1995) Estuarine acidification: impacts on aquatic biota of draining acid sulphate soils. Australian Geographical Studies 33:89-100.
- SAMMUT, J., WHITE, I., and MELVILLE, M. D. (1996) Acidification of an estuarine tributary in eastern Australia due to drainage of acid sulfate soils. Mar. Freshwater Res. 47:669-684.
- SAMMUT, J. (2004) An introduction to acid sulfate soils. Sydney, NSW, NSW Department of Primary Industries; Agriculture.
- SHIRAKI, K. and T. WAKAHARA. (2005) Runoff characteristics and water balance at Lambir hills catchment. In: Proceedings of International Symposium on Forest Ecology, Hydrometeorology and Forest Ecosystem Rehabilitation in Sarawak (Malaysia), pp.133–136.
- SIMPSON, H. J., and PEDINI, M.(1985) Brackishwater aquaculture in the tropics: the problem of acid sulfate soil environment. 32pp, FAO Fisheries Circular No. 791 Rome, Italy.
- SMITH, S. A., and TUOVINEN, O. H. (1985) Environmental analysis of iron-precipitating bacteria in ground water and wells. Ground Water Monitoring & Remediation 5:45-52.
- STRAUSS, H. (1997) The isotopic composition of sedimentary sulfur through time. Palaeogeography, palaeoclimatology, palaeoecology 132:97-118.
- VUAI, S. A., NAKAMURA, K., and TOKUYAMA, A. (2003) Geochemical characteristics of runoff from acid sulfate soils in the northern area of Okinawa Island, Japan. Geochemi. J.37:579-592.
- WHITE, I., MELVILLE, M. D., WILSON, B. P., and SAMMUT, J. (1997) Reducing acidic discharges from coastal wetland in eastern Australia. Wetlands Ecology and Management 5:55-72.
- WILFORD, G.E. (1961) The Geology and Mineral Resources of Brunei and Adjacent parts of Sarawak. 319pp, Geology Survey Department, British Territory of Borneo.

- WILLETT, I. R., and WALKER, P. H. (1982) Soil morphology and distribution of iron and sulphur fractions in a coastal flood plain toposequence. Australian Journal of Soil Research 20:283-294.
- YAMAKURA, T., KANZAKI, M., ITOH, A., OHKUBO, T., OGINO, K., ERNEST, C., LEE, H.S., and ASHTON, P.S. (1995) Topography of a large-scale research plot established within a tropical rain forest at Lambir, Sarawak. Tropics 5: 41–56.

(Received Feb. 22, 2011) (Accepted Jan. 11, 2012) Mie Gomyo et al.

マレーシア・ランビル国立公園小流域における土壌水, 地下水,渓流水の高濃度硫酸の起源と生成機構

五名美江*·若原妙子**·白木克繁**·藏治光一郎*·鈴木雅一***

* 東京大学大学院農学生命科学研究科附属演習林生態水文学研究所 **東京農工大学大学院農学研究院

***東京大学大学院農学生命科学研究科森林科学専攻森林理水及び砂防工学研究室

要 旨

低地熱帯雨林における土壌水,地下水,渓流水の SO_4^{2-} 濃度の起源とメカニズム,および, 高 SO_4^{2-} 濃度とAl, Fe 溶出との関係を明らかにするために,マレーシアランビルヒルズ国立公 園内の低地熱帯雨林に覆われた小流域を対象に,ケーススタディを実施した。22haの流域末端 部で週1回,渓流水の採水を2年間継続し,0.59haの支流で土壌水,地下水,渓流水の集中観 測を実施した。(1)渓流水 SO_4^{2-} 濃度の空間分布の範囲は,時系列分布の範囲よりも大きいこと, (2)支流の尾根および上流域に分布する砂質土壌の土壌水 SO_4^{2-} 濃度は,下流域の斜面下部に分 布する粘土質土壌の土壌水 SO_4^{2-} 濃度より2オーダー大きいことが明らかになった。土壌水の pHが4.8以下, Ca^{2+} 濃度が約20 μ mol_cL⁻¹以下になるとAlの溶出が始まり,さらに, SO_4^{2-} 濃 度が約400 μ mol_cL⁻¹に達するとFeの溶出が始まった。これらの結果は, SO_4^{2-} 濃度の起源は, 流域内に不均一に分布するFeS2である可能性を,また,Alの土壌水への溶出は,Feの溶出と は異なるメカニズムで起きていると推察された。

キーワード:ランビル国立公園・硫酸イオン濃度・鉄とアルミニウム・土壌水・渓流水