# Moishezon-Fano Threefolds of Index Three

# By Iku NAKAMURA

Abstract. We consider an analogue of a Fano threefold of index three in the category of Moishezon spaces. This is by definition a (compact complex) Moishezon threefold with the first Betti number  $b_1=0$  whose anticanonical line bundle  $c_1$  is effective and divisible by three. We prove that if moreover its linear system  $|c_1/3|$  is free from fixed components, it is isomorphic to either a smooth quadric hypersurface in  $P_C^4$  or a certain  $P_C^2$ -bundle over  $P_C^1$ .

# § 0. Introduction.

This is a continuation of [N1], where we study threefolds with their first Chern class  $c_1$  divisible by three and the second Betti number  $b_2(X)$  equal to one. The purpose of the present article is to study threefolds with  $c_1$  divisible by three and possibly with  $b_2(X) \ge 2$  under certain mild conditions. This class of threefolds is an analogue of Fano threefolds of index three in the category of Moishezon spaces. A smooth quadric hypersurface  $Q_c^3$  in  $P_c^4$  is, up to isomorphism, the unique projective Fano threefold of index three [Is]. However there are many Moishezon threefolds with their first Chern class equal to  $3c_1(D)$  for some nonample effective divisor D. Our consequence is summarized as follows.

THEOREM. Any Moishezon threefold with the first Betti number  $b_1$  equal to zero and with the first Chern class  $c_1$  (the anti-canonical line bundle) divisible by three is isomorphic to either  $Q_c^3$  or a  $P_c^2$ -bundle over  $P_c^1$  if the linear system  $|c_1/3|$  has no fixed components.

We recall that any Moishezon threefold with  $c_1$  divisible by at least four is isomorphic to  $P_c^3$  under a similar assumption. See (2.1) and (5.1) in this article. The remaining case in the above theorem where the linear system  $|c_1/3|$  has fixed components will be studied in [N4] under the stronger condition that the threefold is a global deformation of a  $P_c^2$ -bundle over  $P_c^1$ .

Part of our consequence in the present article was announced in [N3].

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classifiaction. Primary 14J45; Secondary 14E35, 14J30.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to express his hearty gratitude to F. Hidaka for his advices during the preparation of the article. The first version of the article contained a gap in the proof of (4.1), which was pointed out to us by the referee. The author would also like to express his hearty gratitude to the referee for his advices and suggestion of an improvement of (5.5) into the present form.

Notation.

```
\operatorname{Bs}|L|
                    the scheme-theoretic base locus of |L|
c_i(X)
                    the i-th Chern class of X
\mathcal{F}(a,b,c)
                    O_{P1}(a) \bigoplus O_{P1}(b) \bigoplus O_{P1}(c)
                    Proj(O_{P1}(b) \bigoplus O_{P1})
F_b
                    \{g*D; D\in |L|\}
g*|L|
h^q(X, F)
                    \dim H^q(X, F) for a coherent sheaf F
\kappa(X, L)
                    L-dimension of X [Ii]
N_{c/N}
                    the normal bundle of C in X
O_X, O_S, O_Z
                    the structure sheaf of X, S, Z respectively
\hat{O}_{x}
                    the formal completion of O_x
\omega_X (or K_X)
                    the dualising sheaf (canonical line bundle) of X
\omega_S, \omega_l, \omega_C
                    the dualising sheaf of S, l, C respectively
                    the relative dualising sheaf of X over \Delta
\omega_{X/\Delta}
P(\mathcal{F}(a,b,c))
                    Proj(\mathfrak{T}(a,b,c))
\chi(X, F)
                    \sum_{q \in \mathcal{T}} (-1)^q h^q(X, F)
( )_S, ( )_X
                    the intersection numbers on S. X
```

### § 1. Some $P^2$ -bundles over $P^1$ .

In this section we work over an algebraically closed field k of any characteristic.

First we start with recalling some algebraic 3-folds with  $c_1(X) = 3c_1(L)$ , that is,  $P^2$ -bundles over  $P^1$ . Choose integers  $a \ge b \ge 0$  such that a+b-2 is divisible by 3. Let  $3n = a+b-2 \ge 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{T}:=\mathfrak{T}(a,b,0) = O_{P^1}(a) \oplus O_{P^1}(b) \oplus O_{P^1}, X=P(\mathfrak{T})$  and let  $\pi:X\to P^1$  be the natural projection. Let H be a tautological line bundle of X with  $\pi_*H\simeq \mathfrak{T}$ . Then the canonical sheaf  $K_X$  of X is given by the formula,

$$K_{x} = -3H + \pi^{*}(\det \mathcal{G} + K_{P1}) = -3H + (a+b-2)F$$

where F is a fiber of  $\pi$ . Letting  $L:=L(\mathcal{F})=H-nF$ , we have  $K_x=-3L$ ,

 $L^3 = \deg \pi_* L = 2$ . Since  $\pi_* L \simeq \mathcal{I} \otimes O_{P1}(-n)$ , and  $R^q \pi_* L = 0 (q \ge 1)$ , we have

$$H^q(X, L) \simeq H^q(\mathfrak{F} \otimes O_{p_1}(-n)) \quad (q \ge 0).$$

We see that  $R^q\pi_*(-pL)=0$   $(q\geq 0, p=1, 2)$ , whence  $H^q(X, -pL)=0$  for the same values of q and p. There are 3 cases.

Case 1. n=0, a>b>0.

Case 2. a > b > n > 1.

Case 3.  $a \ge n > b \ge 0$ .

Case 1-1. Assume that a=b=1. Then  $h^{0}(X,L)=5$  and  $\operatorname{Bs}|L|=\phi$ . The morphism  $h_{0}:X\to P^{4}$  associated with |L| has a hyperquadric W with Hessian-rank 4 as its image. In fact, we can choose elements  $x_{0}, x_{1}$  (resp.  $x_{2}, x_{3}$ ) from  $H^{0}(O_{P^{1}}(a-n)\oplus 0\oplus 0)$  (resp.  $H^{0}(0\oplus O_{P^{1}}(b-n)\oplus 0)$ ) such that  $x_{0}x_{3}=x_{1}x_{2}$ .  $h_{0}$  is a small resolution of W whose exceptional set is  $P(0\oplus 0\oplus O_{P^{1}})\cong P^{1}$  with normal bundle  $\cong O_{P^{1}}(-1)\oplus O_{P^{1}}(-1)$ .

Case 1-2. Assume that a=2, b=0. Then  $h^0(X, L)=5$  and  $\operatorname{Bs}|L|=\phi$ . The morphism  $h_0: X \to P^4$  associated with |L| has a hyperquadric W with Hessian-rank 3 as its image. In fact, we can choose elements  $x_0, x_1$  and  $x_2$  from  $H^0(O_{P1}(a) \oplus 0 \oplus 0)$  such that  $x_1^2 = x_0 x_2$ .  $h_0$  is a divisorial contraction whose exceptional set is  $E:=P(0 \oplus O_{P1}(b) \oplus O_{P1}) \cong P^1 \times P^1$ . The restriction map  $h_{0|E}: E \to P^1$  is a  $P^1$ -bundle whose fiber C has the normal bundle  $N_{C|X} \cong O_{P1} \oplus O_{P1}(-2)$ .

Case 2. In this case,  $h^{0}(X, L) = n+4$ ,  $B := \operatorname{Bs}|L| \simeq P(0 \oplus 0 \oplus O_{P^{1}}) \simeq P^{1}$ . Since  $\pi_{*}L \simeq \mathcal{F} \otimes O_{P^{1}}(-n)$ , any element of  $H^{0}(X, L)$  is written as  $s_{0}(x)y_{0} + s_{1}(x)y_{1} + s_{2}(x)y_{2}$  for some  $(s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}) \in H^{0}(\mathcal{F} \otimes O_{P^{1}}(-n))$  and suitable homogeneous coordinates  $y_{i}$ . In particular,  $h^{0}(X, L) = a + b - 2n + 2 = n + 4$ . Since  $s_{2}(x) \equiv 0$ ,  $B := \operatorname{Bs}|L| = \{y_{0} = y_{1} = 0\} \simeq P^{1}$ . Let D and D' be members of |L| defined by

$$D: s = \sum_{i=0}^{2} s_i(x) y_i = 0, \quad D': s' = \sum_{i=0}^{2} s'_i(x) y_i = 0.$$

The intersection  $l:=D\cap D'$  is the locus of s=s'=0. If four elements  $s_i$  and  $s_j'$  (i,j=0,1) have common zeroes  $w\in P^1$ , then l contains a surface  $\pi^{-1}(w)$ . Assume that D and D' have no irreducible component in common. Let  $\Delta:=s_0s_1'-s_1s_0'\in H^0(O_{P^1}(n+2))$ . For any zero w of  $\Delta$ , we have a line  $C_w:=(\{s=s'=0\}\cap\pi^{-1}(w))_{\mathrm{red}}$  in  $\pi^{-1}(w)$  with multiplicity  $\mathrm{ord}_w\ \Delta\geq 1$ . Hence we have

$$l \simeq B + \sum_{w \in \text{zero}(\Delta)} \text{ord}_w(\Delta) \cdot C_w$$

where deg  $\Delta = n+2$ . It is clear that  $(LC_w)_x = 1$ . Hence  $(LB)_x = (L^3)_x - (n+2) = -n$ . Let  $f: Y \to X$  be the blowing-up of X with B center, E the total transform of B, and  $N:=f^*L-E$ . We see also that  $N_{B/X} \simeq O_B(-a) \oplus O_B(-b)$  and  $E \simeq F_{a-b}(a-b \ge 0)$ .

Let  $N_E:=N\otimes O_E$ , and  $e_0$  (resp.  $e_\infty$  or  $f_0$ ) a section (resp. a section or a fiber) of  $f_{1E}:E\to B$  with  $(e_0^2)_E=a-b$  (resp.  $(e_\infty^2)_E=-a+b$ ). Then we see

$$(f^*L)_E \simeq f^*(L_B) \simeq -nf_0$$
,  $N_E \simeq e_0 + (b-n)f_0$ ,  $E_E \simeq -e_0 - bf_0$ ,  $(N_E^2)_E = n+2$ ,  $h^0(Y,N) = n+4$ ,  $Bs|N| = \phi$ ,  $H^0(Y,N) \simeq H^0(E,N_E)$ .

Let  $\hat{C}_w$  be a proper transform of a line  $C_w$  in  $F(\cong P^2)$  by f. Since  $C_w$  intersects B transversally at one point,  $(E\hat{C}_w)_Y=1$  and  $(N\hat{C}_w)_Y=0$ . Hence the morphism  $g:Y\to P^{n+3}$  associated with |N| has an image  $g(Y)\cong g(E)$ . Since  $(N_E^z)_E=n+2$  and  $h^0(E,N_E)=n+4\geq 5$ , the image g(E) is a cone over a smooth variety of minimal degree. In fact, if b>n, then  $g(E)\cong E\cong F_{a-b}$  and Y is a  $P^1$ -bundle over g(E). If b=n, then  $g_{|E|}$  contracts  $e_\infty$  so that g(E) is a cone over a smooth rational curve  $g(e_0)$  of degree n+2 with  $g(e_\infty)$  its vertex.

Case 3. In this case,  $h^0(X, L) = a - n + 1 \ge n + 4$ ,  $B := \operatorname{Bs}|L| \simeq P(0 \bigoplus O_{P^1}(b) \bigoplus O_{P^1}) \simeq F_b$  and |L| = |(a - n)F| + B. The image of a morphism  $h_0$  associated with |L| is  $P^1$ . The natural projection  $\pi$  is the same as that associated with |F|.

Note. Some  $P^2$ -bundles  $P(\mathcal{F})$  over a curve C of genus  $g \ge 1$  can satisfy  $c_1(X) = 3c_1(L)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a locally free sheaf of rank 3 on C. However we have  $h^1(X, O_X) = g \ge 1$ .

# § 2. Threefolds with $K_x = -3L$ .

In the sections 2-4 we work over an algebraically closed field k of any characteristic.

(2.1) PROPOSITION [N1, (A.1)]. (char  $k \ge 0$ ) Let X be an algebraic (or a Moishezon) 3-fold defined over k and L a line bundle on X. Assume that  $h^1(X, O_X) = 0$ ,  $K_X = -aL$  for some integer  $a \ge 4$  and  $h^0(X, L) \ge 2$ . Assume moreover that there is an irreducible reduced member in |L|. Then  $X = P^3$ .

PROOF. We have  $h^1(X, -pL) = 0$  for  $p \ge 0$  by the assumption. See (2.3). Hence  $h^2(X, -pL) = h^1(X, -(a-p)L) = 0$  for  $p \le a$ . Therefore  $h^q(X, -pL) = 0$  for  $1 \le p \le a - 1$ . Since  $\chi(X, -pL)$  is a cubic polynomial in  $p, \chi(X, -pL)$  is identically zero if  $a \ge 5$ , which contradicts  $\chi(X, O_X) = 1$ . Hence a = 4 and we have  $\chi(X, -pL) = (1-p)(2-p)(3-p)/6$ , whence  $L^3 = 1$ . Since  $h^2(X, L) = h^1(X, -5L) = 0$ , we have  $h^0(X, L) \ge 4$  by Riemann-Roch theorem. Let l be a complete intersection of two general members of |L|. Then by the same argument as in [N1, (A.1)], we see that  $l = P^1$ . It follows that  $X = P^3$ .

See also (5.1). By (2.1) it is natural to study the case of index three. The sections 2-4 are devoted to proving

(2.2) THEOREM. (char  $k \ge 0$ ) Let X be a Moishezon 3-fold or an algebraic 3-fold defined over k (we assume projective if char k > 0) and L a line bundle on X. Assume that  $h^1(X, O_X) = 0$ ,  $K_X = -3L$  and  $h^0(X, L) \ge 2$ . Assume moreover that there is an irreducible reduced member in |L|. Then  $X = Q^3$  or  $P(\mathcal{F}(a, b, 0))$   $(a \ge b \ge n \ge 0, a + b = 3n + 2)$ .

We note that some of  $P^2$ -bundle over  $P^1$  may have no irreducible reduced members in  $|c_1/3|$  even when  $c_1$  is divisible by three. See § 1. See also (5.2). The assumption of projectivity for char k>0 in (2.2) is necessary in the proof of (4.1) because Kodaira-Enriques classification of surfaces in char k>0 is available only in the projective case [Mu]. In what follows in the sections 2-4, we consider the 3-fold X satisfying the conditions in (2.2) Our proof of (2.2) is completed in (4.2).

- (2.3) LEMMA. Let  $l := D \cap D'$  for distinct members  $D, D' \in |L|$ . Assume that D and D' have no irreducible components in common. Then
  - (2.3.1)  $h^1(X, -pL) = 0$  for  $p \ge 1$ ,
  - $(2.3.2) \quad h^2(X, -pL) = 0 \quad for \ 0 \le p \le 3,$
  - (2.3.3)  $h^0(l, O_l) = 1, h^1(l, O_l) = 0.$

(2.3.4) 
$$L^3 = Ll = 2$$
,  $\chi(X, -pL) = \frac{1}{6}(1-p)(2-p)(3-2p)$ .

PROOF. By the assumption a general member of |L| is connected reduced. Choose a general member D of |L|. Then  $h^0(O_D)=1$ . We also see that  $h^0(O_{D_1+\cdots+D_m})=1$  for general  $D_i\in |L|$  and any positive integer m. Then the above assertions (2.3.1)-(2.3.3) follow easily. See [N1, (1.4) and (1.6)]. By (2.3.1) and (2.3.2) we have  $\chi(X,-pL)=0$  for p=1,2, while  $\chi(X,-pL)=1$  (resp. -1) for p=0 (resp. p=3). Since  $\chi(X,-pL)$  is a

cubic polynomial in p, we have (2.3.4).

q.e.d.

- (2.4) LEMMA [N1]. Let  $l := D \cap D'$  as in (2.3) and B := Bs|L|.
- (2.4.1) Any irreducible component of l is a smooth rational curve C. For the component C, one of the following is true if C is not contained in B.
  - (2.4.1.1) LC=2,  $N_{C/X}\simeq O_C(2)^{\oplus 2}$ .
  - (2.4.1.2) LC=1,  $N_{C/X} \simeq O_C \bigoplus O_C(1)$ .
  - (2.4.1.3) LC=0,  $N_{C/X} \simeq O_C \bigoplus O_C(-2)$  or  $O_C(-1)^{\oplus 2}$ .
- (2.4.2) For any pair C, C' of irreducible components of l, C and C' intersect nowhere or transversally at a point, while no triple of irreducible components of l meet at any point.
  - (2.4.3) l is one of the following.
  - (2.4.3.1)  $l \simeq C$  and LC = 2.
  - (2.4.3.2)  $l \simeq 2C$ ,  $l_{red} \simeq C$  and LC = 1.
- (2.4.3.3)  $l \simeq 2C + C'$ ,  $l_{\rm red} \simeq C + C'$ , LC = 1, LC' = 0 where C and C' intersect.
- (2.4.3.4)  $l \simeq C_0 + C_1 + \cdots + C_m$  with  $LC_0 = LC_m = 1$ ,  $LC_i = 0$  ( $1 \le i \le m 1$ ) where  $C_{i-1}$  and  $C_i$  ( $1 \le i \le m$ ) intersect, while  $C_i \cap C_i = \phi$  (otherwise).
- (2.4.3.5)  $l \simeq m_1 C_1 + \cdots + m_r C_r + B$ ,  $LC_i = 1$ ,  $LB = -(m_1 + \cdots + m_r) + 2 < 0$  where  $B \simeq P^1$ ,  $C_i \cap C_j = \phi$   $(i \neq j)$ , while  $C_i$  and B intersect transversally at a point  $p_i$ .
- See [N1, (3.1)–(3.5)] for the precise structures of l. The assertions follow from the proofs of [N1, (3.1)–(3.5) and § 8]. Compare § 1.
- (2.5) COROLLARY.  $h^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(X,L)\!=\!5$  (resp.  $-LB+4\!\geq\!5$ ) and  $B\!:=\!\mathrm{Bs}|L|\!=\!\phi$  (resp.  $P^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ ).

PROOF: See [N1, (3.7) and (8.8)]. Note  $B := \text{Bs}|L| = \phi$  except (2.4.3.5).

## § 3. The case where $B=\phi$ .

(3.1) Lemma. Assume  $B = \phi$ . Let  $h: X \rightarrow P^4$  be a morphism associated with |L|, W:=h(X) and  $d:=\deg W$ . Then  $\dim W=3$ , d=2, and h is a birational morphism of X onto an irreducible quadric hypersurface W.

PROOF: Since  $B = \phi$ , we have  $h^0(X, L) = 5$  by (2.5). Hence we have a morphism  $h: X \to P^4$  associated with |L|. Then we have  $d \ge 5 - \dim W$ .

If dim W=1, then d=1 by the irreducibility of a member of |L|, a contradiction. If dim W=2, then  $d\geq 3$ , which shows that a general complete intersection  $l:=D\cap D'(D,D'\in |L|)$  has 3 movable irreducible components  $C_i$  with  $LC_i\geq 1$ . However there are no such cases by (2.4). Hence  $\dim W=3$ ,  $d=L^3=Ll=2$  by (2.5).

(3.2) LEMMA. If W is smooth, then  $X \simeq W \simeq Q^3$ .

PROOF: By the assumptions,  $K_x = -3L \approx -3h^*H$  for a hyperplane H of W. Let  $\omega$  be a meromorphic 3-form on W with its polar divisor 3H. Then the pull back  $h^*(\omega)$  is a meromorphic 3-form on X with  $(h^*\omega) = K_X \approx -3h^*H$ . Hence  $h^*\omega$  has no new zeroes caused by the vanishing of the Jacobian of h, whence h is unramified. Consequently  $X \approx W$  by (3.1). q.e.d.

The following lemma is more or less well-known.

(3.3) LEMMA. If  $W = \{(x_i) \in P^4; f(x) = 0\}$  is a singular quadric hypersurface in  $P^4$ , then  $f(x) = x_1^2 - x_0x_2$  or  $x_0x_3 - x_1x_2$  by choosing suitable homogeneous coordinates of  $P^4$ .

PROOF: A singular quadric hypersurface W is a cone over either a smooth conic in  $P^2$  or a smooth quadric in  $P^3$ . Since a smooth conic in  $P^2$  is a rational curve, its normal form is given by  $f(x) = x_1^2 - x_0 x_2$ . Therefore it suffices to prove that a smooth quadric surface Q in  $P^3$  is defined by  $f(x) := x_0 x_3 - x_1 x_2 = 0$ . Let p be a point of Q,  $T_p Q$  the tangent plane of Q at p. We may normalize  $p: (x_i) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$  and  $T_p Q: x_3 = 0$  by choosing suitable homogeneous coordinates  $x_i$ . Then the equation f(x) defining Q is of the form

$$f(x) = (x_0 + g(x_1, x_2, x_3))x_3 + h(x_1, x_2).$$

Therefore by taking  $x_0 + g(x)$  instead of  $x_0$  and by redefining  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  suitably, we have  $f(x) = x_0x_3 - x_1x_2$  as desired. q.e.d.

- (3.4) LEMMA. Assume that  $B=\phi$  and  $Sing W \neq \phi$ . Then
- (3.4.1) No divisor on X is contracted to a point by h.
- (3.4.2) There is an irreducible curve C on X with LC=0. If LC=0 for an irreducible curve C on X, then it is a smooth rational curve with  $N_{C/X} \cong O_C(-1)^{\oplus 2}$   $O_C \bigoplus O_C(-2)$ .
- (3.4.3) W is the unique normal algebraic variety with the properties that

(3.4.3.1)  $X \setminus h^{-1}(\operatorname{Sing} W) \simeq W \setminus \operatorname{Sing} W$ ,

(3.4.3.2)  $h^{-1}(q)$  is a smooth rational curve C with LC=0 for any  $q \in \operatorname{Sing} W$ .

PROOF: First we prove (3.4.1). Let H be a hyperplane of W. Assume that there is an irreducible divisor E on X such that h(E) is a smooth point of W, say q. Let p be a point of E, (s, t, u) a coordinate system at p such that E is given by s=0. If q is a smooth point of W, then we choose a local coordinate  $y_i$  at q and write a local generator  $\omega = dy_1 \wedge dy_2 \wedge dy_3$  of the dualising sheaf  $\omega_W$ . Since  $h(E) = \{p\}$ , we may assume that  $h^*y_i = s^{e_i}f_i(s, t, u)$  for some integer  $e_i \ge 1$  and regular  $f_i$ . Let the divisor  $(h^*\omega)$  be  $eE + \cdots$ . Then  $e \ge e_1 + e_2 + e_3 - 1 \ge 2$ . However since  $K_X = -3L = -3h^*H$ , we have  $(h^*\omega) = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

We can derive a contradiction in a similar manner if q is a singular point of W. For instance, assume that  $(W,q) \simeq \{(y_i) \in (A^4,o); \ y_0y_3=y_1y_2\}$  with suitable coordinates  $y_i$ . Then a local generator of the dualising sheaf of W at q is given by  $\omega:=(1/y_3)dy_1\wedge dy_2\wedge dy_3$ . Let  $h^*y_i=s^{\epsilon_i}f_i(s,t,u)$  for some  $e_i\geq 1$ . Then we have  $(h^*\omega)=eE+\cdots$ ,  $e\geq e_1+e_2-1\geq 1$ , a contradiction. If  $(W,q)\simeq \{(y_i)\in (A^4,o); \ y_1^2=y_0y_2\}$ , then  $\omega:=(1/y_2)dy_1\wedge dy_2\wedge dy_3$ ,  $(h^*\omega)=eE+\cdots$  and  $e\geq e_1+e_3-1\geq 1$ . Thus we derive a contradiction in any case.

Next we prove (3.4.2). If LC<0, then C is contained in  $B(=\phi)$ . Hence  $LC\geq 0$  for any irreducible curve C on X. Since W is singular, the birational morphism h contracts some curves. Hence there is an irreducible curve C with LC=0. Let q:=h(C). Choose two general hyperplane sections H and H' of W which pass through q. Then their pull-backs D:=g\*H and D':=g\*H' are irreducible by (3.4.1) if H and H' are general enough. Therefore the structure of  $l:=D\cap D'$  is given by (2.4), where C is a component of l. By (2.4) C is a smooth rational curve with  $N_{C/X} \approx O_C(-1)^{\oplus 2}$  or  $O_C \oplus O_C(-2)$ .

Finally we prove (3.4.3). The Jacobian of h is nonvanishing on  $X \setminus h^{-1}(\operatorname{Sing} W)$  by the proof of (3.2). Hence h is unramified and birational there so that it is an isomorphism there. Let q be a singular point of W, p any point of  $h^{-1}(q)$ . If  $\dim h^{-1}(q) = 0$ , then (X, p) = (W, q) by Zariski main theorem, which contradicts that (W, q) is singular. Hence  $\dim h^{-1}(q) = 1$  by (3.4.1). Any general member of  $|O_W(1)|$  passing through the point q of W is reduced, possibly reducible. Therefore any general member D of |L| passing through p is reduced by (3.4.1). For general D and  $D' \in |L|$  passing through p, a complete intersection  $l:=D \cap D'$  is of type (2.4.3.4),

say,  $l = C_0 + \cdots + C_m$  with the notation there. Since  $LC_0 = LC_m = 1$ ,  $C_0$  and  $C_m$  are mapped onto lines on W respectively. Any pair of lines on W passing through the point q are algebraically equivalent to each other (as lines passing through q), so that  $C_0$  and  $C_m$  meet the same component  $C_1$  for general D and D', whence m=2. Therefore  $h^{-1}(q)_{red} = C_1$ .

It remains to prove the uniqueness of W. Assume that we are given an algebraic veriety V and a morphism  $g: X \rightarrow V$  with the property (3.4.3).  $\Sigma:=h^{-1}(\operatorname{Sing}W)$  is the union of all rational curves C on X with LC=0. Then  $W\backslash\operatorname{Sing}W\simeq X\backslash\Sigma\simeq V\backslash\operatorname{Sing}V$  by (3.4.3.1). By (3.4.3.2) we have a natural bijection from W onto V, which induces an isomorphism of  $W\backslash\operatorname{Sing}W$  onto  $V\backslash\operatorname{Sing}V$ . Since both W and V are normal, we have  $O_W\simeq i_*(O_{W\backslash\operatorname{Sing}W})\simeq j_*(O_{V\backslash\operatorname{Sing}V})\simeq O_V$ , where  $i:W\backslash\operatorname{Sing}W\to W$  and  $j:V\backslash\operatorname{Sing}V\to V$  are inclusion maps. Hence W and V are isomorphic. q.e.d.

(3.5) LEMMA. If  $B=\phi$  and if dim Sing W=0, then  $X \simeq P(\mathcal{F}(1,1,0))$ .

PROOF: Step 1. Assume dim Sing W=0. This means that W has isolated singularities. Then by (3.3) we may assume  $W=\{(x_k)\in P^4;\ x_0x_3-x_1x_2=0\}$ . Let q be a unique singular point of W. Let  $W_{ij}=W_{ji}:=\{(x_k)\in P^4;\ x_i=x_j=0\}(\cong P^2),\ \text{where}\quad (i,j)=(0,1),\ (0,2),\ (3,1),\ (3,2).$  Then  $H_i:=W_{ij}+W_{ik}\in |O_W(1)|$  for  $j\neq k$ , whence  $D_i:=h^*(H_i)\in |L|$ . Since dim  $h^{-1}(q)=1$  by  $(3.4.2),\ D_i$  has two irreducible components  $Z_{ij}$  and  $Z_{ik}(j\neq k)$  with  $W_{ij}=h(Z_{ij}),\ W_{ik}=h(Z_{ik}).$  We define  $Z_{ji}:=Z_{ij}.$  Each  $Z_{ij}$  is nonsingular outside  $h^{-1}(q)$  by (3.4.1). We see that  $Z_{01}$  (resp.  $Z_{02}$ ) is linearly equivalent to  $Z_{32}$  (resp.  $Z_{21}$ ). Let  $C:=h^{-1}(q),\ \bar{C}_i:=W_{ij}\cap W_{ik}\cong P^1$ , and let  $C_i$  be the proper transform of  $\bar{C}_i$ . Since  $C_i\cap C\neq \phi$ , we set  $p_i:=C_i\cap C$ .

Step 2. Since  $D_i$  contains C, either  $Z_{ij}$  or  $Z_{ik}$  contains C. Assume  $C \subset Z_{ij}$ . Then we prove  $C \subset Z_{ik}$ . We may assume (i, j, k) = (0, 1, 2) without loss of generality. So we assume  $C \subset Z_{01}$ . A complete intersection  $l := D_0 \cap D_3$  contains both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  as well as C. Since  $LC_i = 1$  for any i, l is of type (2.4.3.4) with m = 2. By (2.4.3) or [N1, (3.5)],

$$I_{l,p} = I_{C,p} = (x, y) \ (p \neq p_1, p_2).$$

In particular, both  $D_0$  and  $D_3$  are smooth along  $C\setminus\{p_1, p_2\}$ , whence  $C \subset \mathbb{Z}_{02}$ . Similarly it follows that  $C \subset \mathbb{Z}_{32}$ , while  $C \subset \mathbb{Z}_{31}$ .

Step 3. By STEP 2, we (may) assume from now on that  $C \subset Z_{01}$ . Now we prove  $p_0 = p_2$ ,  $p_1 = p_3$  and  $Z_{02} \cap Z_{21} = \phi$ . Since  $D_0$  is singular along  $C_0$ , it is singular at  $p_0$ . Hence  $p_0 = p_1$  or  $p_0 = p_2$ , because  $D_0$  is smooth along

 $C \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}$  by STEP 2. If  $p_0 = p_1$ , then  $Z_{02} \cap Z_{31} \neq \phi$ , whence  $Z_{02} \cap Z_{31}$  contains a curve. As  $W_{02} \cap W_{31} = \{q\}$ , we have  $Z_{02} \cap Z_{31} = h^{-1}(q)$ , contradicting STEP 2. Therefore  $p_0 = p_2$ . Similarly  $p_1 = p_3$ .

Step 4. We prove that  $Z_{01} \simeq Z_{32} \simeq F_1$  and  $Z_{02} \simeq Z_{21} \simeq P^2$ . Since  $W_{ij} \simeq P^2$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $Z_{ij}$  is smooth. Consider  $l = D_0 \cap D_3$  at  $p_0 (= p_2)$ . By [N1, (3.5)],

$$I_{l,p_0} = I_{C,p_0} = (x, yz).$$

As we saw above,  $D_0$  and  $D_3$  are smooth along  $C \setminus \{p_0, p_1\}$ . Since  $D_0 = Z_{01} + Z_{02}$  is singular along  $C_0$ , we may assume by the form of the ideal  $I_{l,p_0}$  that  $I_{D_0,p_0} = (yz)$  and  $I_{D_3,p_0} = (x)$ , whence  $Z_{01}$ ,  $Z_{02}$  and  $Z_{32}$  are smooth at  $p_0$ . Similarly we also see that  $Z_{01}$ ,  $Z_{32}$ , and  $Z_{31}$  are smooth at  $p_1(=p_3)$ . Consequently  $Z_{ij}$  is smooth everywhere.

Step 5. Let  $F:=O_X(Z_{02})\simeq O_X(Z_{31})\in \operatorname{Pic} X$  by Step 1. Since  $Z_{02}\cap Z_{31}=\phi$  by Step 3,  $h^0(X,F)=2$  and  $\operatorname{Bs}|F|=\phi$ . Therefore we have a morphism  $\pi:X\to P^1$  with general fiber  $F\simeq P^2$ , where we may view  $F=Z_{02}$  or  $Z_{31}$ . The morphism  $\pi$  is given by the rational function  $h^*(x_0/x_1)=h^*(x_2/x_3)$ . Then  $\pi_*(L)$  is a torsion free sheaf of rank 3 because  $L_{Z_{02}}\simeq h^*H_{W_{02}}\simeq O_{P^2}(1)$ . Therefore by a theorem of Grothendieck we have  $\pi_*(L)\simeq \mathcal{F}(a,b,c)$  for some  $a\geq b\geq c$  under the notation in § 1. As  $h^0(X,L)=5$  and  $\operatorname{Bs}|L|=\phi$  by (2.5), we have  $a+b=2,\ a\geq b\geq 0,\ c=0$ . It follows from  $H^0(X,L)\simeq H^0(W,O_W(1))$  that a=b=1 and that  $h^*(x_0)$  and  $h^*(x_1)$  (resp.  $h^*(x_2)$  and  $h^*(x_3)$ ) are bases of  $H^0(O_{P^1}(a)\oplus 0\oplus 0)$  (resp.  $H^0(0\oplus O_{P^1}(b)\oplus 0)$ ). Thus we have a birational morphism  $g:X\to P(\mathcal{F}(1,1,0))$  (=:  $P(\mathcal{F})$ ). Since  $K_X\simeq -3L\simeq -3g^*h_0^*(O_W(1))\simeq g^*K_{P(\mathcal{F})}$  by § 1, g is unramified. Hence  $X\simeq P(\mathcal{F})$ . q.e.d.

(3.6) Lemma. If  $B=\phi$  and if dim Sing W=1, then  $X=P(\mathcal{F}(2,0,0))$ .

PROOF: By (3.3) we may assume  $W = \{(x_k) \in P^4; x_1^2 - x_0x_2 = 0\}$ . Let  $W_{ij} = W_{ji} := \{(x_k) \in P^4; x_i = x_j = 0\} \ (\simeq P^2)$ , and let  $Z_{ij}$  be the proper transform of  $W_{ij}$  by h where (i,j) = (0,1), (1,2). Let  $\Sigma := \operatorname{Sing} W$ ,  $E := h^{-1}(\Sigma)_{\text{red}}$  and  $e_{ij} := Z_{ij} \cap E$ . The Cartier divisor  $(x_1)$  of W is  $W_{01} + W_{12}$ , while the divisor  $(x_0)$  (resp.  $(x_2)$ ) of W is  $2W_{01}$  (resp.  $2W_{12}$ ). Hence  $W_{01}$  is linearly equivalent to  $W_{12}$ . We have

$$D_i := (h^*x_i) = 2Z_{i1} + a_iE$$
  $(i = 0, 2), D_1 := (h^*x_1) = Z_{01} + a_1E + Z_{12}$ 

for some positive integers  $a_i$ . Let  $W_i := (x_i) = h(D_i)$ , and let  $\bar{D}$  be a general member of  $|O_W(1)|$  which does not contain  $\Sigma$ ,  $D := h^*\bar{D}$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is a line

in  $P^4$ , the intersection  $\Sigma \cap \overline{D}$  is a single point q. Meanwhile  $W_i$  (i=0,2) is a double plane, whereas  $W_1$  is a union of two copies of  $P^2$ . Hence the intersection  $W_i \cap \overline{D}$  is a double line (i=0,2), or a union of two lines (i=1). By (2.4) and by the same argument as (3.5) STEP 2, we have

$$l_i := D_i \cap D \simeq 2C_i + C_1$$
  $(i = 0, 2), l_1 := D_1 \cap D \simeq C_0 + C_1 + C_2$ 

where  $C_1 := h^{-1}(q)$ , and  $LC_0 = LC_2 = 1$ ,  $LC_1 = 0$ .

Since  $D_i \cap D = 2Z_{i_1} \cap D + a_i E \cap D$ , we have  $C_i \simeq Z_{i_1} \cap D$ ,  $a_i = 1$  (i = 0, 2) and  $C_1 \simeq E \cap D$ . Since  $C_i$  is smooth,  $Z_{ij}$  is smooth along  $C_i$  (i = 0, 2). Similarly E is smooth along  $C_1$ . We also have  $D_1 \cap D = Z_{01} \cap D + a_1 E \cap D + Z_{12} \cap D \simeq C_0 + C_1 + C_2$ , whence  $a_1 = 1$ . We note that  $C_1 \not\subset Z_{ij}$ , whence  $C_1 \not\subset e_{ij}$ . In other words,  $h^{-1}(q) \not\subset e_{ij}$  for any  $q \in \Sigma$ . Since  $C_0 \simeq Z_{01} \cap D$  intersects  $C_1$  transversally, we have  $(e_{01}C_1)_E = (Z_{01}C_1)_X = 1$ . Similarly  $(e_{12}C_1)_E = (Z_{12}C_1)_X = 1$ , whence  $e_{ij}$  is bijectively mapped onto  $\Sigma$ . Since  $(e_{ij}D)_X = (Z_{ij}ED)_X = (Z_{ij}C_1)_X = 1$ ,  $Z_{ij}$  intersects E transversally along  $e_{ij}$ , and  $e_{ij}$  is smooth so that  $e_{ij} \simeq \Sigma \simeq P^1$ . Therefore  $Z_{ij}$  and E are smooth along  $e_{ij}$ , whence  $Z_{ij}$  is smooth everywhere. Since  $W_{01} \cap W_{12} \simeq \Sigma$  and  $C_0 \cap C_2 = \phi$ , we have  $Z_{01} \cap Z_{12} = \phi$  and  $e_{01} \cap e_{12} = \phi$ . Thus we see  $Z_{ij} \simeq W_{ij} \simeq P^2$  and  $(e_{ij}^2)_{Z_{ij}} = 1$ . As a Cartier divisor  $D \cap E \simeq C_1$  of E is smooth for any  $q \in \Sigma$ , so is E everywhere too. We have

$$(e_{ij}^2)_E = (Z_{ij}^2 E)_X = ((D_1 - E - Z_{ik}) E Z_{ij})_X = (L e_{ij})_X - (e_{ij}^2)_{Z_{ii}} = 0,$$

whence  $E \simeq P^1 \times P^1$ .

Since  $a_i=1$ ,  $Z_{01}$  is linearly equivalent to  $Z_{12}$ . Let  $Z=Z_{01}$  and  $F:=O_X(Z_{01})\simeq O_X(Z_{12})\in \operatorname{Pic} X$ . As  $O_Z(F)\simeq O_Z(Z_{12})\simeq O_Z$ , we have  $h^0(X,F)=2$  and  $\operatorname{Bs}|F|=\phi$ . Thus we have a morphism  $\pi:X\to P^1$  associated with |F|, where  $\pi$  is given explicitly by a rational function  $h^*(x_1/x_0)=h^*(x_2/x_1)$  on X. Let  $\mathcal{F}:=\pi_*L$ . As  $\mathcal{F}$  is a torsion free sheaf of rank 3 on  $P^1$  by  $L_Z\simeq O_{P^2}(1)$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}\simeq \mathcal{F}(a,b,c)$  for some  $a\geq b\geq c$  under the notation in § 1. Then since  $h^0(X,L)=5$  and  $\operatorname{Bs}|L|=\phi$  by (2.5), we have a+b=2 and c=0. Since  $h^*(x_1)^2=h^*(x_0)h^*(x_2)$ , we have a=2 and b=0, whence we have a birational morphism  $g:X\to P(\mathcal{F})$ . Since  $K_X\simeq -3L\simeq -3g^*h_0^*(O_W(1))\simeq g^*K_{P(\mathcal{F})}$  by § 1, g is unramified. Therefore  $X\simeq P(\mathcal{F}(2,0,0))$ .

(3.7) REMARK. (char  $k \neq 2$ ) Assume that  $B = \phi$ . If there is a smooth rational curve C on X with LC = 0 and  $N_{c/x} \approx O_c(-1)^{\oplus 2}$  (resp.  $N_{c/x} \approx O_c$   $\oplus O_c(-2)$ ), then Hessian-rank W = 4 (resp. 3), or equivalently dim Sing W = 0 (resp. 1).

PROOF: (3.7) is easily proved by applying (3.2), (3.5) and (3.6). Here is however a direct proof as in [Mo, (3.23)]. We consider the normal variety W with the property (3.4.3). Let  $h: X \to W$  be the morphism in (3.4). Let q be a singular point of W,  $C:=h^{-1}(q)_{red} \simeq P^1$ .

Case 1. First consider the case where  $N_{c/x} \simeq O_c(-1)^{\oplus 2}$ . We prove

$$\hat{O}_{W,q} \simeq k[[u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3]]/(u_0u_3 - u_1u_2).$$

Let  $I_c$  be the ideal sheaf of  $O_x$  defining  $C, m_q$  the maximal ideal sheaf of  $O_w$  defining the point q. Note that dim  $m_q/m_q^2=4$ . Then we have a diagram of exact sequences.

Since  $h_*O_X = O_W$  by (3.4.3), the composite of natural homomorphisms  $h_*O_X \to O_W \to O_W/m_q^{n+1}$  is surjective, whence so is  $\phi_n$  for any n. As  $h^0(h_*(O_X/I_C)) = h^0(O_X/I_C) = 1$  and  $h^0(I_C/I_C^2) = 4$ , the homomorphisms  $\phi_0$ ,  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_1$  are isomorphisms.  $S^n(H^0(I_C/I_C^2))$  (resp.  $S^n(m_q/m_n^2)$ ) are naturally mapped onto  $H^0(I_C^n/I_C^{n+1})$  (resp.  $m_q^n/m_q^{n+1}$ ), so that  $\phi_n$  is surjective. Therefore  $\phi_n$  is surjective. Hence we have an epimorphism

$$\hat{\phi}: \lim_{n \to \infty} h_*(O_X/I_{\mathcal{C}}^n) \to O_{W,q}.$$

Now look at  $\phi_i$  and  $\psi_i$  (i=1,2). We can choose generators  $y_0$ ,  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$  and  $y_3$  of  $H^0(I_c/I_c^2) \simeq H^0(O_c(1))^{\oplus 2}$  such that  $y_0$  and  $y_1$  (resp.  $y_2$  and  $y_3$ ) generate the first (resp. the second) factor, satisfying the relation  $y_0y_3 = y_1y_2$  in  $I_c^2/I_c^3$ .

Since  $h^0(I_c^2/I_o^3) = 9$  and  $\phi_2$  is surjective, there is a unique quadratic relation among  $y_i$ , which is just the above one. Therefore we can choose  $x_i \in O_{W,q}$  such that  $\psi_1(y_i) = x_i \mod m_q^2$  and  $x_0x_3 - x_1x_2 = 0 \mod m_q^3$ . It is easy to see that there is a formal solution  $\hat{x}_i \in O_{W,q}$  such that  $\hat{x}_i = x_i \mod m_q^2$ ,  $\hat{x}_0\hat{x}_3 - \hat{x}_1\hat{x}_2 = 0$  in  $O_{W,q}$ . Let  $\hat{R} := k[[u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3]]/(u_0u_3 - u_1u_2)$ . Then we define an epimorphism  $\rho: \hat{R} \to \hat{O}_{W,q}$  by  $\rho(u_i) = \hat{x}_i$ . Since Krull-dim  $\hat{R} = 3$ , it follows that  $\rho$  is (whence  $\phi$  is also) an isomorphism. Since W is a quadric hypersurface in  $P^4$ , this also shows that Hessian-rank W = 4.

Case 2. Next we consider the case where  $N_{c/x} \simeq O_c \oplus O_c(-2)$ . We prove

$$\hat{O}_{W,g} \simeq k[[u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3]]/(u_1^2 - u_0 u_2).$$

With the same notation as in Case 1, we see that  $\phi_n$  and  $\psi_n$  are surjective. It follows that we have an epimorphism

$$\hat{\phi}: \lim_{\longleftarrow} h_*(O_X/I_c^n) \to \hat{O}_{W,q}$$
.

We can choose generators  $y_0$ ,  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$  and  $y_3$  of  $H^0(I_c/I_c^2) \cong H^0(O_c(2)) \bigoplus H^0(O_c)$  such that  $y_0$ ,  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  (resp.  $y_3$ ) generate the first (resp. the second) factor, satisfying the relation  $y_1^2 = y_0 y_2$  in  $I_c^2/I_c^3$ . Since char  $k \neq 2$ , we can choose  $x_i \in O_{W,q}$  such that  $\psi_1(y_i) = x_i \mod m_q^2$  and  $x_1^2 - x_0 x_2 = 0 \mod m_q^3$ . It is easy to see that there is a formal solution  $\hat{x}_i \in O_{W,q}$  such that either  $\hat{x}_1^2 - \hat{x}_0 \hat{x}_2 = 0$  or  $\hat{x}_1^2 - \hat{x}_0 \hat{x}_2 - \hat{x}_0^* = 0$  for some  $m \geq 3$ .

Let  $\hat{R} := k[[u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3]]/(u_1^2 - u_0 u_2)$  or  $\hat{R} := k[[u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3]]/(u_1^2 - u_0 u_2 - u_3^m)$ . Then  $\hat{R} \simeq O_{W,q}$  by the same argument as in Case~1. Since W is a quadric hypersurface in  $P^5$ , the second case is impossible and Hessian-rank W=3. q.e.d.

# § 4. The case where $B \neq \phi$ .

(4.1) Lemma. If  $B \neq \phi$  and if dim  $B \leq 1$ , then  $X \approx P(\mathfrak{F}(a,b,0))$   $(a \geq b \geq n \geq 1, a+b=3n+2)$ .

PROOF: By(2.4),  $B \simeq P^1$ . Let the normal bundle  $B_{B/X} \simeq O_B(-a) \bigoplus O_B(-b)$   $(a \ge b)$  and n := -LB. By [N1, (8.8) + (8.10)], we have  $a \ge b \ge n \ge 1$ , while a+b=3n+2 by the relation  $c_1(N_{B/X}) = (c_1(X)B)_X - c_1(B) = 3(LB)_X - 2$ . Let  $f: Y \to X$  be the blowing-up of X with B center, E the total tranform of B and  $N:=f^*L-E$ . Then by [N1, §8], we see that with the notation in [ibid.], (compare also §1)

$$E \simeq F_{a-b}, \ (f^*L)_E \simeq f^*(L_B) \simeq -nf_0, \ N_E \simeq e_0 + (b-n)f_0,$$
  $E_E \simeq -e_0 - bf_0, \ (N_E^2)_E = n+2, \ (f^*L^2E)_Y = 0,$   $(f^*LE^2)_Y = ((f^*L)_E E_E)_E = n, \ (E^3)_Y = 3n+2, \ (N^3)_Y = 0,$   $h^0(Y,N) = n+4, \ \mathrm{Bs}|N| = \phi, \ H^0(Y,N) \simeq H^0(E,N_E).$ 

Let W be the image of Y by the morphism  $g: Y \rightarrow P^{n+3}$ . Then  $W \simeq E$  if b > n, while if b = n, then W is a normal surface obtained from E by

contracting a unique smooth rational curve  $e_{\infty}$  with  $(e_{\infty}^2)_E = -c = -(n+2)$ . Note that a = 2n + 2 if b = n.

Now we prove that there is a surjective morphism  $\pi: X \to P^1$  whose general fibers are  $P^2$ . First we consider the case where b > n. Then  $g(f_0)$  is a line of  $P^{n+3}$ . We can choose a hyperplane section H of W containing exactly (a-n) distinct lines because  $g^*(H)_E \equiv N_E \equiv e_\infty + (a-n)f_0$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a general line  $g(f_0)$  on W and  $\tilde{F}:=g^{-1}(\sigma)$ . Let  $\tilde{l}_q=g^{-1}(q)$   $(q\in\sigma)$  be a fiber of  $g_{|F|}$  and  $\tau:=E\cap \tilde{F}$ . Then  $\tilde{l}_q\cong P^1$  for general q and the divisor  $\tilde{F}$  is irreducible. Since  $g_{|E|}$  is an isomorphism,  $\tau\in |f_0|$  on E. The curves  $\tau$  and  $\tilde{l}_q$  on  $\tilde{F}$  intersect at a unique point transversally. Therefore we have

$$\begin{split} (E_F^2)_F &= (E^2 \tilde{F})_Y = (E_E \tilde{F}_E)_E = - \left( (e_0 + b f_0) f_0 \right)_E = -1 \\ & (f^* (L)_F^2)_F = \left( (E + N)_F^2 \right)_F = \left( (E_F + \tilde{l}_g)^2 \right)_F = -1 + 2 (\tau \tilde{l}_g)_F = 1 \; , \end{split}$$

whence  $\kappa(\tilde{F}, f^*(L)) = 2$ . Note that the above intersection numbers on  $\tilde{F}$  make sense because  $\tilde{F}$  is smooth along  $\tilde{l}_q$  for general  $q \in \sigma$  and E,  $h^*(L)$  are Cartier divisors on Y.

Let  $F = f(\tilde{F})$ , and  $h: S \rightarrow F$  the minimal resolution of the normalisation of F. Then there exists an effective divisor P on S such that the canonical bundle of S is given by

$$K_S = h^*(K_X + F) - P$$
.

See [N2, (2.A)]. By the choice of  $\tilde{F}$ , we have an effective divisor  $\tilde{Q}$  of Y such that  $N=(a-n)\tilde{F}+\tilde{Q}$ . Hence L=(a-n)F+Q where  $Q=f_*(\tilde{Q})$ . Therefore we have

$$K_S = -(3a-3n-1)h^*(F) - 3h^*(Q) - P.$$

Therefore S is either  $P^2$  or ruled because S is Moishezon or projective by the assumption. If S has a pencil  $f_t$  of smooth rational curves with  $(f_t^2)_S = 0$ , then we have

$$2 = -\left(K_s f_t\right)_s = \left(3a - 3n + 1\right) \left(h^*(F) f_t\right)_s + 3(h^*(Q) f_t)_s + (P f_t)_s.$$

Since  $a \ge b$  and a+b=3n+2, we see  $3a-3n-1 \ge a+1 \ge n+3$ . Hence  $(h^*(F)f_t)_s = (h^*(Q)f_t)_s = 0$ , whence  $(h^*(L)f_t)_s = 0$ . This implies that  $\kappa(F, L) = \kappa(S, h^*(L)) \le 1$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $S = P^2$  and that  $P = h^*(F) = 0$ . Since P = 0, F has only isolated singularities. (This is true in arbitrary characteristic because F is a Cartier divisor of X.) This also

implies that F is normal. Since  $P^2$  has no curves with negative self-intersection numbers,  $F \simeq P^2$ . Therefore  $O_F(F) \simeq O_F$ . Thus we have a morphism  $\pi: X \to P^1$  associated with the linear system |F|.

We consider next the case where b=n. Then W has a unique isolated singular point  $v_0$ . A general hyperplane section of W passing through  $v_0$  is the union of mutually distinct (n+2) lines in  $P^{n+3}$ , any of which passes through  $v_0$ . Let  $\sigma$  be one of the these lines,  $\tilde{F}$  the unique irreducible component of the divisor  $g^{-1}(\sigma)$  on Y which is mapped onto  $\sigma$  by g. Let  $F=f(\tilde{F})$ . We define  $\tilde{l}_q=g^{-1}(q)(q\in\sigma)$  to be a fiber of  $g_{|F|}$  and  $\tau:=E\cap \tilde{F}$ . Then  $\tilde{l}_q\simeq P^1$  for general q. Since  $g_{|E|}$  is an isomorphism outside  $e_{\infty}$ , the curves  $\tau$  and  $\tilde{l}_q$  meet at a unique point transversally, while  $\tilde{F}$  is smooth along  $\tilde{l}_q$  for general  $q\in\sigma$ . Therefore in the same manner as above, we have  $(f^*(L)_F^2)_F=1$ , whence  $\kappa(\tilde{F},f^*(L))=2$ . Then  $\kappa(F,L)=2$ . Moreover by the choice of  $\tilde{F}$ , we have an effective divisor  $\tilde{Q}$  of Y such that  $N=(n+2)\tilde{F}+\tilde{Q}$ . Hence L=(n+2)F+Q where  $Q=f_*(\tilde{Q})$ . Then by the same argument as above we see that  $F\simeq P^2$  and that  $O_F(F)\simeq O_F$ .

Thus in either case we have a surjective morphism  $\pi: X \rightarrow P^1$  associated with |F|.

Next we prove that  $\pi$  is a  $P^2$ -bundle. Let  $F' = \sum_{i=0}^r m_i F_i$  be any fiber of  $\pi$ ,  $F_i$  irreducible components of F'. We prove that  $F' \simeq P^2$ . By the upper semi-continuity, we have for any positive integer m,

$$h^0(F', mL_{F'}) \ge h^0(P^2, O_{P^2}(3m)),$$

whence there is an irreducible component  $F_0$  of F' such that  $\kappa(F_0, L_{F_0}) = 2$ . Let  $h: S \to F_0$  the minimal resolution of the normalization of  $F_0$ . Then the canonical bundle of S is given by  $K_S = h^*(K_X + F_0) - P$  for some effective divisor P of S. Hence we have

$$m_0 K_s = -3m_0 h^*(L) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} m_i h^*(F_i) - m_0 P.$$

Therefore S is either  $P^2$  or a ruled surface. If S has a pencil of smooth rational curves  $f_t$  with  $(f_t^2)_S = 0$ , then we have

$$2 = -(K_s f_t)_s \ge 3(h^*(L)f_t)_s$$
 ,

whence  $(h^*(L)f_t)_S = 0$ . This contradicts  $\kappa(S, h^*(L)) = \kappa(F_0, L_{F_0}) = 2$ . Hence  $S = P^2$ .

Since  $S \simeq P^2$ , we have P = 0,  $h^*(F_i) = 0$   $(i \neq 0)$ ,  $h^*(L) \simeq O_{P^2}(1)$ . Hence  $F' = m_0 F_0$  because F' is connected. Since P = 0,  $F_0$  has only isolated

singularities. Since  $F_0$  is a divisor of X, this implies that  $F_0$  is normal. Hence  $F_0 \simeq S \simeq P^2$ . Since  $O_{F_0}(m_0F_0) \simeq O_{F_0}$ , we have  $O_{F_0}(F_0) \simeq O_{F_0}$  and  $h^q(F_0, O_{F_0}) = 0$  for any  $q, k \ge 1$ . It is easy to see that  $h^0(F', O_{F'}) = m_0$  and  $h^q(F', O_{F'}) = 0$  for  $q \ge 1$ , whence

$$m_0 = \chi(F', O_{F'}) = \chi(F, O_F) = \chi(P^2, O_{P^2}) = 1.$$

This implies that F' is reduced. Therefore  $F' \simeq P^2$ .

The direct image sheaf  $\pi_*(L)$  of L is a torsion free (hence a locally free)  $O_{p^1}$ -module of rank 3. It is clear that X is isomorphic to  $P(\pi_*(L))$  and  $\pi_*(L) \simeq \mathcal{F}(a',b',c')$  for some a',b' and c' with the notation in § 1. Since Pic  $X(\simeq Z^{\oplus 2})$  has no torsions, L is uniquely determined by  $K_X \simeq -3L$ , whence B is also uniquely determined. Hence as  $N_{B/X} \simeq O_B(-a) \oplus O_B(-b)$ , we have a=a'-c' and b=b'-c' by § 1. We have  $\chi(X,O_X)=1$  by (2.3.2) by  $h^3(X,O_X)=0$ , whence

$$c_2 L = c_1 c_2 / 3 = 8\chi(X, O_X) = 8$$

$$\chi(X, L) = \chi(X, O_X) + (c_1^2 + c_2)L/12 + c_1L^2/4 + L^3/6 = 5.$$

It follows from  $\chi(X, L) = \chi(P^1, \mathcal{F})$  that a'+b'+c'=2. Since  $a \ge b \ge n \ge 1$  and a+b=3n+2, we have a'=a-n, b'=b-n and c'=-n. Thus X = P  $(\mathcal{F}(a, b, 0))$ .

(4.2) COMPLETION OF THE PROOF OF (2.2). If  $B = \phi$ , then  $X \simeq Q^3$  or  $P(\mathcal{F}(1,1,0))$  or  $P(\mathcal{F}(2,0,0))$  by (3.2), (3.5) and (3.6). If  $B \neq \phi$  and if dim  $B \leq 1$ , then by (4.1)  $X \simeq P(\mathcal{F}(a,b,0))$   $(a \geq b \geq n \geq 1, a+b=3n+2)$ . This completes the proof of (2.2).

### § 5. Theorems.

In the present section we work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero.

[N2, (3.3)] contains a gap in the proof. Here we correct it.

(5.1) THEOREM [N2, (3.3)]. (char k=0) Let X be an algebraic (or a Moishezon) 3-fold defined over k and L a line bundle on X. Assume that  $c_1(X)=ac_1(L)$  for some integer  $a\geq 4$  and  $h^o(X,L)\geq 2$ . Then  $(X,L)\simeq (P^3,O_{P^3}(1))$ .

PROOF: Let  $\rho := \rho_L$  be the rational map associated with |L|. Let

F be the fixed components of |L|, d the number of movable irreducible  $Z_i$  of a general member  $D \in |L|$ . Then we have  $c_1(X) = adc_1(N) + ac_1(F)$  where  $N := Z_1$ . Let  $Z := Z_1$ . Then by Bertini's theorem Z is smooth outside Bs|L|. Let  $h: S \rightarrow Z$  be the minimal resolution of the normalisation of Z. For simplicity we assume  $K_X = -adN - aF$ . Then we have

$$K_S = h^*(K_X + Z) - E - G = -(ad - 1)h^*N - ah^*(F) - E - G$$

where E and G are some effective divisors of S with  $\text{Supp}(E+G) \subset h^{-1}(\text{Supp }B)$  which measures the singularities of Z and the intersection of Z with the other irreducible components  $Z_i$ . See [N2, (2.A)].

If  $h^*(N+F) \neq 0$ , then either  $S \simeq P^2$  or S has a movable rational curve f with  $(f^2)_s = 0$ . In the second case  $(K_s f)_s = -2$ , whence  $(h^*(N)f)_s \geq 1$  or  $(h^*(F)f)_s \geq 1$ . However

$$2 = (ad-1)(h^*(N)f)_s + a(h^*(F)f)_s + ((E+G)f)_s \ge a-1 \ge 3$$

a contradiction. Hence  $S \simeq P^2$ . Then  $X \simeq P^3$  by the same argument as in [N2, (3.3)].

Next we consider the case where  $h^*(N) = h^*(F) = 0$ . (The proof of [N2, (3.3)] ignores this case.) Then we have E = G = 0. Since  $Z_i$ , E and G are effective divisors, this implies that  $Z_i \cap Z = F \cap Z = \phi$ , E = G = 0, whence  $B = \phi$ . By Bertini's theorem Z is smooth. Therefore S = Z and S is an algebraic surface with  $K_s = 0$ . Moreover  $\rho$  is a morphism of X onto an algebraic curve  $\overline{W}$ .

By blowing up X suitably we have a projective 3-fold  $\hat{X}$ . Let  $\phi: \hat{X} \to X$  be the natural morphism,  $\pi:=\rho \cdot \phi$ , g the genus of  $\overline{W}$ , and  $\omega_{\hat{X}/\overline{W}}$  the relative dualising sheaf of  $\pi$ . By Fujita [F2, (2.7)],  $\deg \pi_*(\omega_{\hat{X}/\overline{W}}) \geq 0$ . Therefore we have

$$h^{0}(X, K_{X} - \rho^{*}(\omega_{\mathcal{W}}) + gN) = h^{0}(K_{\hat{X}} - \pi^{*}(\omega_{\mathcal{W}}) + g\phi^{*}(N))$$
$$= h^{0}(\overline{W}, \rho_{*}(\omega_{\hat{X}/\mathcal{W}}) + gp) \ge 1$$

where  $p:=\rho(N)$ . However  $K_X-\rho^*(\omega_{\overline{w}})+gN$  is algebraically equivalent to a (strictly negative) Cartier divisor -(ad+g-2)N-aF. Since X is Moishezon, we have  $h^0(K_X-\rho^*(\omega_{\overline{w}})+gN)=0$ , a contradiction.

Now we assume  $c_1(X) = ac_1(L)$  for some  $a \ge 4$  instead of  $K_x = -aL$ . Then we can argue as above so as to prove  $X = P^3$  in the first case where  $h^*(N+F) \ne 0$ . In the second case where  $h^*(N) = h^*(F) = 0$ , we see  $c_1(S) = 0$ , whence S is either an abelian surface, or an algebraic K3 surface or a

hyperelliptic surface. Hence by Kawamata [K, Theorem 1] deg  $\pi_*(12\omega_{\hat{\chi}/\pi})$   $\geq 0$ , whence we derive a contradiction in the same manner as above. This completes the proof of (5.1).

(5.2) THEOREM. (char k=0) Let X be an algebraic (or a Moishezon) 3-fold defined over k and L a line bundle on X. Assume that  $h^1(X, O_X) = 0$ ,  $c_1(X) = 3c_1(L)$ ,  $h^0(X, L) \ge 2$ , and that |L| has no fixed components. Then  $X = Q^3$  or  $P(\mathcal{F}(a, b, 0))$   $(a \ge b \ge n \ge 0, a + b = 3n + 2)$ .

PROOF: In view of (2.2) it suffices to prove that any general member of |L| is irreducible. Assume the contrary. Let  $D=D_1+\cdots+D_r$   $(r\geq 2)$  be a general member of |L|, smooth outside B by Bertini's theorem. We note that any  $D_i$  is linearly equivalent to each other by  $h^1(X,O_X)=0$ . Let  $Z=D_1$ , and let  $\nu:\hat{Z}\to Z$  be the normalization,  $\tau:S\to \hat{Z}$  the minimal resolution and  $\sigma:=\nu\cdot\tau$ . Then we have  $K_S=\sigma^*(K_X+Z)-E-G$  for some effective divisors E and G as in [N2, (3.3)], whence  $c_1(S)=(3r-1)c_1(\sigma^*D_i)+c_1(E+G)$ . If  $\sigma^*D_i=0$ , then we can derive a contradiction in the same manner as in (5.1). Hence  $D_{i|Z}$  is nonzero effective on Z, so that S is either  $P^2$  or ruled.

We prove that both the cases are impossible. In fact, if  $S = P^2$ , then  $D_i \cap Z = \phi$  for  $i \ge 2$  because  $r \ge 2$ . However  $0 = r^3 Z^2 D_i = L^3 \ge 1$ , a contradiction. If S is ruled, then there is a pencil of rational curves F on S with  $F^2 = 0$ . Hence we have

$$2 = -K_s F = (3r - 1)\sigma^*(D_i)F + (E + G)F.$$

It follows that  $\sigma^*(D_i)F=0$  and (E+G)F=2. However since  $E_{\text{red}}+G_{\text{red}}\subset \sigma^*(D_i)$  for general  $D_i$ , we have EF=GF=0, a contradiction. Thus  $r\geq 2$  is impossible.

(5.3) Moishezon-Fano Threefolds of Index 3. We call a Moishezon 3-fold X a Moishezon-Fano 3-fold of index 3 if  $h^1(O_X)=0$  and if X has a line bundle L such that  $c_1(X)=3c_1(L)$ ,  $\kappa(X,L)\geq 1$ . It is natural to exclude those threefolds with  $\kappa(X,L)\leq 0$  because there are examples far from being Fano threefolds. In the present article we studied Moishezon-Fano 3-folds of index three under the condition that  $h^0(X,L)\geq 2$  and |L| has no fixed components. In [N4] we study those 3-folds in the fifth class of the table (5.4) under some stronger conditions, which are satisfied by any global deformation of  $P(\mathcal{F}(1,1,0))$  or  $P(\mathcal{F}(2,0,0))$ .

REMARK.  $\kappa(X, L) \ge 1$  is equivalent to the condition that  $h^0(X, mL) \ge 2$ 

for some positive integer m.

(5.4) Table.	Threefolds	with	$h^1(X, C)$	(0,x)=0	$c_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(X)$ :	$=3c_1(L)$ ,	$h^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(X,$	$L) \ge 2$
--------------	------------	------	-------------	---------	---------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------------	------------

	$\operatorname{Bs} L $	$C(P \cong 1)$ with $LC = 0$	dim W*	Sing W	X
1	φ	none	3	φ	$Q^3$
2	φ	$N_{C/X} \simeq O_C(-1)^{\oplus 2}$	3	one point	<b>P</b> (\mathcal{P}(1, 1, 0))
3	$\phi$	$N_{C/X} \simeq O_C \oplus O_C(-2)$	3	P1	$P(\mathfrak{F}(2,0,0))$
4	curve	none	2	at most one point	$P(\mathcal{F}(a,b,0)) \begin{array}{c} a \ge b \ge n \ge 1 \\ a+b = 3n+2 \end{array}$
5	surface	?	?	?	?**

- \* W is the image of the rational map  $h: X \to P^m$  associated with |L|,  $m = h^0(X, L) 1$ .
- \*\* The only known examples are those in Section 1 Case 3.

(5.5) THEOREM. (char k=0) Let X be an algebraic (or a Moishezon) 3-fold defined over k and L a line bundle on X. Assume that  $h^1(X, O_X) \ge 1$ ,  $c_1(X) = 3c_1(L)$  and  $\kappa(X, L) \ge 1$ . Then X is isomorphic to a  $P^2$ -bundle over a smooth algebraic curve  $\Delta$  of genus  $h^1(X, O_X)$ .

PROOF: First we prove  $h^0(X, mK_x) = 0$  for any  $m \ge 1$ . Otherwise, we have a nonzero effective divisor D of X which is algebraically equivalent to zero. Since X is Moishezon, we have movable curves  $C_t$  on X intersecting D properly. Hence  $DC_t \ge 1$ , which contradicts that D is algebraically equivalent to zero.

Let  $alb: X \rightarrow Alb(X)$  be the Albanese mapping, T the image of alb, and  $\Delta$  the normalization of T. We have a morphism  $\pi: X \rightarrow \Delta$ . If dim  $\Delta=3$ , then  $h^0(X,K_x)=h^0(X,\Omega_x^3)\geq 1$ , a contradiction. If dim  $\Delta=2$ , and if the genus of a general fiber is positive, then  $\kappa(X):=\kappa(X,K_x)\geq 0$  by Viehweg [V], a contradiction. Hence any general fiber of  $\pi$  is the disjoint union of smooth rational curves. Let C be an irreducible component of a general fiber of  $\pi$ . Then  $\omega_c \simeq K_x \otimes O_c \simeq -3L_c$ , which contradicts  $C \simeq P^1$ . It follows that dim  $\Delta=1$ .

Let F be a general fiber of  $\pi$ . Then we prove that F is an irreducible smooth algebraic surface. We have a morphism  $\hat{\pi}: X \to \hat{J}$  by the Stein factorization of  $\pi$ . Let  $\hat{g}$  (resp. g) be the genus of  $\hat{J}$  (resp. J). If  $g \ge 2$  and if  $\hat{J}$  is not isomorphic to J, then  $\hat{g} \ge g+1$ , which contradicts  $h^1(X, O_X) = \dim Alb(X) = g$ . Hence  $\hat{J} = J$ . If g = 1, then Alb(X) = T = J. In this case if  $\hat{g} \ge 2$ , then we have a contradiction in the same manner.

If  $\hat{g}=1$ , then  $\hat{\varDelta} \simeq Alb(X) \simeq \varDelta$ . It follows that  $\hat{\varDelta} \simeq \varDelta$ . Therefore in either case any fiber of  $\pi$  is connected. In particular, any general fiber F of  $\pi$  is an irreducible smooth algebraic surface. We note that  $O_F(F) \simeq O_F$ .

We see  $c_1(\omega_F) = c_1((K_X + F)_F) = -3c_1(L_F)$ . If some multiple of  $L_F$  is zero, then F is either a minimal abelian surface, or a minimal K3 surface or a minimal hyperelliptic surface. In either case we have  $\deg \pi_*(\omega_{X/d}) \ge 1$  by [K, Theorem 1], whence  $h^0(X, 12K_X) \ge 1$  because  $\Delta$  is an algebraic curve of genus  $\ge 1$ . This contradicts  $h^0(X, mK_X) = 0$ . Hence some positive multiple of  $L_F$  is nonzero effective so that  $(F, L_F) \simeq (P^2, O_{P^2}(1))$ .

We can prove in the same manner as in (4.1) that any fiber of  $\pi$  is isomorphic to  $P^2$ . The direct image sheaf  $\pi_*(L)$  of L is a torsion free (hence a locally free)  $O_{\mathcal{A}}$ -module of rank 3. It is clear that X is isomorphic to  $P(\pi_*(L))$ .

#### Bibliography

- [F1] Fujita, T., Classification of polarized varieties, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, Cambridge Univ. Press 155 (1990).
- [F2] Fujita, T., On Kähler fiber spaces over curves, J. Math. Soc. Japan 30 (1978), 779-794.
- [Ii] Iitaka, S., On D-dimensions of algebraic varieties, J. Math. Soc. Japan 23 (1971), 356-373.
- [Is] Iskovskikh, V.A., Fano 3-folds I., Math. USSR-Izv. 11 (1977), 485-527.
- [K] Kawamata, Y., Kodaira dimension of algebraic fiber spaces over curves, Invent. Math. 66 (1982), 57-71.
- [Mo] Mori, S., Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective, Ann. of Math. 116 (1982), 133-176.
- [Mu] Mumford, D., Enriques's classification of surfaces in char p:I, Global Analysis, Papers in Honor of K. Kodaira, Univ. of Tokyo Press and Princeton Univ. Press (1969), 325-339.
- [N1] Nakamura, I., Threefolds homeomorphic to a hyperquadric in P<sup>4</sup>, Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of M. Nagata, Kinokuniya, Tokyo Japan (1987), 379-404.
- [N2] Nakamura, I., On Moishezon manifolds homeomorphic to  $P_C^n$ , J. Math. Soc. Japan 44 (1992), 667-694.
- [N3] Nakamura, I., Moishezon fourfolds homeomorphic to  $Q_C^4$ , Proc. Japan Acad. 67A (1991), 329-332.
- [N4] Nakamura, I., Global deformations of  $P_C^2$ -bundles over  $P_C^1$ , preprint (1992).
- [V] Viehweg, E., Klassifikationstheorie algebraischer Varietäten der Dimension drei Compositio Math. 41 (1980), 361-400.

(Received March 18, 1993) (Revised May 18, 1993)

Department of Mathematics Hokkaido University Sapporo 060 Japan