

***On some nonlinear wave equations II:  
global existence and energy decay of solutions***

By Masanori HOSOYA and Yoshio YAMADA

**§ 1. Introduction.**

This paper is concerned with the initial boundary value problem of the form

$$(1.1) \quad u_{tt} - M\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u + \delta |u|^{\alpha} u + \gamma u_t = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty),$$

$$(1.2) \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \times [0, \infty),$$

$$(1.3) \quad u(x, 0) = u^0(x), \quad u_t = u^1(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $R^n$  with smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  are given constants and  $M(r)$  is a positive  $C^1$ -function on  $[0, \infty)$ . In our previous paper [4], we have discussed local existence and regularity properties for (1.1)-(1.3) in the case  $\gamma = 0$ . Our interest of the present paper is to derive global existence and decay properties of solutions to (1.1)-(1.3) in the presence of restoring term  $\delta |u|^{\alpha} u$  and damping term  $\gamma u_t$ .

We mention here some related global existence results for (1.1). When initial data  $\{u^0, u^1\}$  and  $\Gamma$  are analytic, there is a pioneering work of Pohozaev [11], who has established the global existence theory for (1.1)-(1.3) in the case  $\delta = \gamma = 0$ . His result is extended by Arosio and Spagnolo [1] and Nishihara [8] in each direction (see also the paper of Nishihara [9], where the exponential decay of solutions is studied in the case  $\delta = 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$ ). When analyticity or sufficient smoothness of  $\{u^0, u^1\}$  is not assumed, it seems very difficult to get the global existence for (1.1) in the case  $\gamma = 0$ . Under the presence of a linear damping term (i.e.,  $\gamma > 0$ ), some authors (see, e.g., Brito [2, 3], Ikehata [5] and Yamada [12]) have shown global existence results for (1.1) with  $\delta = 0$  by putting some smallness conditions on  $\{u^0, u^1\}$ .

The purpose of the present paper is to show that the restoring term does not give any serious effects on the global existence properties

for (1.1)–(1.3) in the case  $\gamma > 0$  when  $\{u^0, u^1\} \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$  is small in a sense. Moreover, we will give a simple proof for deriving decay rates of solutions. Finally we should refer the works of Nakao [7] and Nishihara [11], who intend to look for an unbounded set of  $\{u^0, u^1\}$  which assures the global existence for (1.1)–(1.3) ( $\delta=0$ ).

In the following sections we take  $\delta=1$  without loss of generality. Section 2 contains main results; Theorem I (existence of global solution) and Theorem II (decay of global solution). In Sections 2 and 3 we give the proofs of Theorems I and II.

In the course of writing the manuscript the first author has not been able to continue the work because of illness. This paper is completed in the present style by the second author.

*Notation.* For any Banach space  $X$ , its norm is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_X$ . Especially, for  $X=L^2(\Omega)$ , its norm and inner product are simply denoted by  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . By  $B([0, \infty); X)$  we mean the space of all functions  $u: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  such that  $u$  is bounded and continuous. Moreover, we denote by  $B_w([0, \infty); X)$  the space of bounded functions  $u$  such that  $u$  is continuous in the weak topology of  $X$  with respect to  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

## § 2. Assumptions and results.

Throughout this paper we impose the following assumptions on  $M$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $u^0$ ,  $u^1$  and  $f$ :

- (A.1)  $M \in C^1[0, \infty)$  and  $M(r) \geq m_0 > 0$  for  $r \geq 0$ ,
- (A.2)  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2/(n-4)$  if  $n \geq 5$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$  if  $n=1, 2, 3, 4$ ,
- (A.3)  $u^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$  and  $u^1 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,
- (A.4)  $f \in L^1(0, \infty; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$ .

Our global existence result reads as follows.

**THEOREM I.** *Under assumptions (A.1)–(A.4), there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon_0$  (depending on  $\|\nabla u^0\|$ ,  $\|u^0\|_{L^{\alpha+2}}$ ,  $\|u^1\|$  and  $\|f\|_{L^1(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))}$ ) such that, if  $\{u^0, u^1, f\}$  satisfies*

$$\|\Delta u^0\| + \|\nabla u^1\| + \int_0^\infty \|\nabla f(t)\| dt < \varepsilon_0,$$

*then there exists a unique solution  $u$  for (1.1)–(1.3) in the class*

$$(2.1) \quad u \in B([0, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap B_w([0, \infty); H^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, \infty; H^2(\Omega)),$$

$$(2.2) \quad u_t \in B([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \cap B_w([0, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, \infty; H_0^1(\Omega)),$$

$$(2.3) \quad u_{tt} \in L^\infty(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)).$$

The solution  $u$  in Theorem I actually decays to zero (in a sense) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Indeed, the mapping  $t \rightarrow \|\nabla u(t)\|^2$  is integrable on  $[0, \infty)$  by (2.1) and uniformly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  by (2.1) and (2.2); so that  $\|\nabla u(t)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In the similar manner we see from (2.2) and (2.3) that  $\|u_t(t)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . We can also derive some decay rates for  $u$ .

THEOREM II. Assume (A.1)–(A.4) and let  $u$  be the solution in Theorem I.

(i) Define

$$(2.4) \quad E(u(t)) = \|u_t(t)\|^2 + \bar{M}(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2) + \frac{2}{\alpha + 2} \|u(t)\|_{L^{\alpha+2}}^{\alpha+2}$$

with  $\bar{M}(r) = \int_0^r M(s) ds$ . Then there exist positive constants  $\theta$  and  $C$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad E(u(t))^{1/2} \leq C \left\{ E(u(0))^{1/2} e^{-\theta t} + \int_0^t e^{\theta r(s-t)} \|f(s)\| ds \right\}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ .

(ii) Define

$$(2.6) \quad E^*(u(t)) = \|\nabla u_t(t)\|^2 + \|\Delta u(t)\|^2;$$

then there exist positive constants  $\omega$  and  $C^*$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad E^*(u(t))^{1/2} \leq C^* \left\{ E^*(u(0))^{1/2} e^{-\omega t} + \int_0^t e^{\omega r(s-t)} \|\nabla f(s)\| ds \right\}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ .

$$(iii) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(u(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E^*(u(t)) = 0.$$

As a result of Theorem II, we see that, if  $\|f(t)\|$  and  $\|\nabla f(t)\|$  decay exponentially to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , then both  $E(u(t))$  and  $E^*(u(t))$  decay exponentially to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

REMARK 2.1. It is possible to show (2.5) for every solution in the class (2.1)–(2.3) without any restrictions on  $\{u^0, u^1, f\}$  (see also Remark 4.1).

§ 3. Proof of Theorem I.

As in our previous paper [4], we employ the Galerkin method to construct a global solution to (1.1)-(1.3). Let  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of eigenvalues for

$$-\Delta w = \lambda w \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and } w = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

Let  $w_j \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$  be the corresponding eigenfunction to  $\lambda_j$  and take  $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  as a completely orthonormal system in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . We construct approximate solutions  $u_m$  ( $m=1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) in the form

$$u_m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{jm}(t) w_j,$$

where  $g_{jm}$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are determined by

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &(u_m''(t), w_j) + M(\|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2)(\nabla u_m(t), \nabla w_j) + (|u_m(t)|^\alpha u_m(t), w_j) \\ &+ \gamma(u_m'(t), w_j) = (f(t), w_j), \quad j=1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

( $' = \partial/\partial t$  and  $'' = \partial^2/\partial t^2$ ) with initial conditions

$$(3.2) \quad u_m(0) = u_m^0 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^m (u^0, w_j) w_j \longrightarrow u^0 \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(3.3) \quad u_m'(0) = u_m^1 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^m (u^1, w_j) w_j \longrightarrow u^1 \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

We concentrate our analysis in deriving some global estimates (independent of  $m$ ) for  $u_m$  because the limiting procedure is a routine work (for details, see, e.g., [4]). In what follows, we sometimes drop the subscript of  $u_m$  for the sake of simplicity.

We use the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose that a positive continuous function  $X(t)$  satisfies*

$$X(t)^2 \leq A + 2 \int_0^t B(s) X(s) ds \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

where  $A$  is a positive constant and  $B$  is a nonnegative integrable function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Then

$$X(t) \leq \sqrt{A} + \int_0^t B(s) ds \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is elementary; so we omit it. Making use of this lemma we first derive the following estimate.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $u_m$  be defined by (3.1)–(3.3). Then there exists a positive constant  $C_1$  depending on  $\|u^0\|_{L^{\alpha+2}}$ ,  $\|\nabla u^0\|$ ,  $\|u^1\|$  and  $\|f\|_{L^1(0,\infty);L^2(\Omega)}$  such that*

$$(3.4) \quad \|u'_m(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 + \|u_m(t)\|_{L^{\alpha+2}}^{\alpha+2} + \int_0^t \|u'_m(s)\|^2 ds \leq C_1^2$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ .

PROOF. Multiplying the  $j$ -th equation (3.1) by  $g'_{jm}$  and summing up with respect to  $j$  we have

$$(3.5) \quad (u''(t), u'(t)) + M(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2)(\nabla u(t), \nabla u'(t)) \\ + (|u(t)|^\alpha u(t), u'(t)) + \gamma \|u'(t)\|^2 = (f(t), u'(t)),$$

where the subscript “ $m$ ” is dropped. Define the functional  $E(u)$  by (2.4). Then (3.5) leads to

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E(u(t)) + 2\gamma \|u'(t)\|^2 = 2(f(t), u'(t)).$$

Integration of (3.6) over  $[0, t]$  gives

$$(3.7) \quad E(u(t)) + 2\gamma \int_0^t \|u'(s)\|^2 ds \leq E(u(0)) + 2 \int_0^t \|f(s)\| \|u'(s)\| ds \\ \leq E(u(0)) + 2 \int_0^t \|f(s)\| E(u(s))^{1/2} ds.$$

By (A.2) and Sobolev’s lemma,  $H^2(\Omega)$  is embedded in  $L^{\alpha+2}(\Omega)$ ; so that it follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that  $E(u(0))$  is bounded by a positive constant independent of  $m$ . We apply Lemma 3.1 to (3.7) with

$$X(t) = \left\{ E(u(t)) + 2\gamma \int_0^t \|u'(s)\|^2 ds \right\}^{1/2}, \\ A = E(u(0)) \quad \text{and} \quad B(t) = \|f(t)\|.$$

If we note  $\bar{M}(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2) \geq m_0 \|\nabla u(t)\|^2$  by (A.1), then (3.4) easily follows. At the same time, this estimate implies that  $u_m$  exists globally in  $[0, \infty)$ .  
q.e.d.

We next derive estimates for  $\|\Delta u_m(t)\|$  and  $\|\nabla u'_m(t)\|$  by putting some restrictions on the size of the given data.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $u_m$  be defined by (3.1)–(3.3). Then there exists a constant  $\varepsilon_0$  depending on  $\|\nabla u^0\|$ ,  $\|u^0\|_{L^{a+2}}$ ,  $\|u^1\|$  and  $\|f\|_{L^1(0,\infty;L^2(Q))}$  such that, if*

$$\|\Delta u^0\| + \|\nabla u^1\| + \int_0^\infty \|f(t)\| dt < \varepsilon_0,$$

then

$$(3.8) \quad E^*(u_m(t)) \leq C_2 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \int_0^t E^*(u_m(s)) ds \leq C_3 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

with some positive constants  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  independent of  $m$ , where  $E^*(u)$  is defined by (2.6).

PROOF. Replace  $w_j$  in (3.1) by  $-\Delta w_j/\lambda_j$ ; then

$$(3.10) \quad (\nabla u''(t), \nabla w_j) + M(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2)(\Delta u(t), \Delta w_j) - (|u(t)|^\alpha u(t), \Delta w_j) \\ + \gamma(\nabla u'(t), \nabla w_j) = (\nabla f(t), \nabla w_j), \quad j=1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Multiplying the  $j$ -th equation of (3.10) by  $g'_{jm}(t)$  and summing up with respect to  $j$  one can obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u'(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} M(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2) \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta u(t)\|^2 + \gamma \|\nabla u'(t)\|^2 \\ = (|u(t)|^\alpha u(t), \Delta u'(t)) + (\nabla f(t), \nabla u'(t)).$$

If we define

$$G(u(t)) = \|\nabla u'(t)\|^2 + M(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2) \|\Delta u(t)\|^2,$$

then it follows from (3.11) that

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{d}{dt} G(u(t)) + 2\gamma \|\nabla u'(t)\|^2 = 2M'(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2) (\nabla u(t), \nabla u'(t)) \|\Delta u(t)\|^2 \\ + 2(|u(t)|^\alpha u(t), \Delta u'(t)) + 2(\nabla f(t), \nabla u'(t)).$$

By virtue of Lemma 3.2, the first term in the right-hand side of (3.12) is bounded from above by

$$2M_1 C_1 \|\nabla u'(t)\| \|\Delta u(t)\|^2,$$

where  $M_1 = \max\{|M'(r)|; 0 \leq r \leq C_1^2\}$ . The second term can be estimated as in [4]; integration by parts yields

$$(|u(t)|^\alpha u(t), \Delta u'(t)) = -(\alpha + 1)(|u(t)|^\alpha \nabla u(t), \nabla u'(t)).$$

Therefore, on account of (A.2), Hölder's inequality combined with Sobolev's inequality implies that the second term is bounded by

$$C_4 \|\Delta u(t)\|^{\alpha+1} \|\nabla u'(t)\| \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla u'(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\gamma} C_4^2 \|\Delta u(t)\|^{2\alpha+2}$$

with some positive constant  $C_4$  (see the proof of (3.12) in [4]). In this proof we denote by  $C_i$  various positive constants independent of  $m$ . Making use of these bounds we rearrange (3.12) to get

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} G(u(t)) + \frac{3\gamma}{2} \|\nabla u'(t)\|^2 \\ & \leq 2M_1 C_1 \|\nabla u'(t)\| \|\Delta u(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\gamma} C_4^2 \|\Delta u(t)\|^{2\alpha+2} + 2\|\nabla f(t)\| \|\nabla u'(t)\|. \end{aligned}$$

We next multiply the  $j$ -th equation of (3.10) by  $g_{jm}$  and sum up with respect to  $j$ ;

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\nabla u''(t), \nabla u(t)) + M(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2) \|\Delta u(t)\|^2 \\ & + (\nabla(|u(t)|^\alpha u(t)), \nabla u(t)) + \gamma(\nabla u'(t), \nabla u(t)) = (\nabla f(t), \nabla u(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(\nabla(|u(t)|^\alpha u(t)), \nabla u(t)) = (\alpha + 1)(|u(t)|^\alpha \nabla u(t), \nabla u(t)) \geq 0,$$

it follows from (A.1) and (3.14)

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ (\nabla u'(t), \nabla u(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla u(t)\|^2 \right\} + m_0 \|\Delta u(t)\|^2 \\ & \leq \|\nabla u'(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla f(t)\| \|\nabla u(t)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Addition of (3.13) and (3.15)  $\times \gamma$  gives

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} H(u(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla u'(t)\|^2 \\ & + \left\{ m_0 \gamma - 2M_1 C_1 \|\nabla u'(t)\| - \frac{1}{2\gamma} C_4^2 \|\Delta u(t)\|^{2\alpha} \right\} \|\Delta u(t)\|^2 \\ & \leq \|\nabla f(t)\| (2\|\nabla u'(t)\| + \gamma \|\nabla u(t)\|), \end{aligned}$$

where  $H(u(t)) = G(u(t)) + \gamma(\nabla u'(t), \nabla u(t)) + \gamma^2 \|\nabla u(t)\|^2/2$ . We observe here that

$$(3.17) \quad H(u(t)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u'(t)\|^2 + m_0 \|\Delta u(t)\|^2.$$

Furthermore, since  $\|\Delta u\| \geq \sqrt{\lambda_1} \|\nabla u\|$  ( $\lambda_1$  = the least eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  with zero Dirichlet condition) for every  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ , we see

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} H(u(t)) &\leq \|\nabla u'(t)\|^2 + M_2 \|\Delta u(t)\|^2 + \gamma \|\nabla u'(t)\| \|\nabla u(t)\| + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \|\nabla u(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2} \|\nabla u'(t)\|^2 + \left(M_2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{\lambda_1}\right) \|\Delta u(t)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_2 = \max\{M(r); 0 \leq r \leq C_1^2\}$ . By (3.17) and (3.18),

$$(3.19) \quad C_5 E^*(u(t)) \leq H(u(t)) \leq C_6 E^*(u(t))$$

with some  $C_5, C_6 > 0$ .

We are ready to deduce a priori estimates for  $E^*(u(t))$  with the aid of (3.19). Take  $\{u_m^0, u_m^1\}$  satisfying

$$(3.20) \quad 2M_1 C_1 \|\nabla u_m^1\| + \frac{1}{2\gamma} C_4^2 \|\Delta u_m^0\|^{2\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{4} m_0 \gamma.$$

We will show

$$(3.21) \quad 2M_1 C_1 \|\nabla u'(t)\| + \frac{1}{2\gamma} C_4^2 \|\Delta u(t)\|^{2\alpha} < \frac{1}{2} m_0 \gamma,$$

for all  $0 \leq t < \infty$  by putting some additional size conditions on  $\{u_m^0, u_m^1, f\}$ . Suppose that there exists a positive number  $\tau$  such that (3.21) holds for  $0 \leq t < \tau$  and

$$(3.22) \quad 2M_1 C_1 \|\nabla u'(\tau)\| + \frac{1}{2\gamma} C_4^2 \|\Delta u(\tau)\|^{2\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} m_0 \gamma.$$

Then it follows from (3.16) together with (3.17) and (3.18) that

$$(3.23) \quad \frac{d}{dt} H(u(t)) + 2\omega \gamma H(u(t)) \leq 2C_7 \|\nabla f(t)\| H(u(t))^{1/2}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau,$$

with some positive constants  $\omega$  and  $C_7$ . Since (3.23) is rewritten in the following differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{e^{2\omega \gamma t} H(u(t))\} \leq 2C_7 e^{\omega \gamma t} \|\nabla f(t)\| \{e^{2\omega \gamma t} H(u(t))\}^{1/2},$$

it is easy to deduce



$$(3.24) \quad e^{\alpha\tau} H(u(\tau))^{1/2} \leq H(u(0))^{1/2} + C_7 \int_0^\tau e^{\alpha s} \|\nabla f(s)\| ds$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq \tau$ . Especially, (3.24) together with (3.19) implies

$$(3.25) \quad \max\{\|\nabla u'(\tau)\|, \|\Delta u(\tau)\|\} \leq E^*(u(\tau))^{1/2} \leq \{H(u(\tau))/C_5\}^{1/2} \\ \leq H_0 \equiv \left\{ H(u(0))^{1/2} + C_7 \int_0^\infty \|\nabla f(t)\| dt \right\}^{1/2}.$$

We make  $H_0$  sufficiently small so that

$$(3.26) \quad 2M_1 C_1 H_0 + \frac{1}{2\gamma} C_4^2 H_0^{2\alpha} < \frac{1}{2} m_0 \gamma$$

holds. Then it follows from (3.25) that

$$2M_1 C_1 \|\nabla u'(\tau)\| + \frac{1}{2\gamma} C_4^2 \|\Delta u(\tau)\|^{2\alpha} < \frac{1}{2} m_0 \gamma,$$

which contradicts to (3.22). Thus we have shown (3.21).

If  $\|\Delta u^0\|$ ,  $\|\nabla u^1\|$  and  $\|\nabla f\|_{L^1(0,\infty;L^2(\mathcal{Q}))}$  are sufficiently small, one can easily see that (3.20) and (3.26) are valid if  $m$  is sufficiently large; so that (3.8) follows from (3.21). In order to show (3.9), we use (3.16) and (3.21) to derive

$$\frac{d}{dt} H(u(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \{\|\nabla u'(t)\|^2 + m_0 \|\Delta u(t)\|^2\} \leq C_8 \|\nabla f(t)\|$$

with some  $C_8$ . Integration of the above inequality yields (3.9). q.e.d.

Finally we multiply (3.1) by  $g''_{jm}$  and sum up with respect to  $j$ . Then we obtain

$$\|u''_m(t)\| \leq M_2 \|\Delta u_m(t)\| + C_9 \|u_m(t)\|_{L^{2\alpha+2}}^{\alpha+1} + \gamma \|u'_m(t)\| + \|f(t)\|;$$

so that it follows with the aid of Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and Sobolev's inequality that

$$\|u''_m(t)\| \leq C_{10}$$

with some  $C_{10}$ . Thus we have established all estimates which enable us to carry out the limiting procedure. The rest of proof can be done in the standard manner (for details, see, e.g., [4]).

#### § 4. Proof of Theorem II.

(i) Although we can study the rate of decay for  $u$  with use of Nakao's technique [6] (see also [7] and [9]), we will give another simple proof.

As in the proof of Theorem I, define  $u_m$  by (3.1)–(3.3). To show (2.5), it suffices to derive the corresponding rate of decay for  $u_m$ . In what follows we drop the subscript. Clearly, we have

$$(4.1) \quad (u''(t), u(t)) + M(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2) \|\nabla u(t)\|^2 + \|u(t)\|_{L^{\alpha+2}}^{\alpha+2} + \gamma(u'(t), u(t)) \\ = (f(t), u(t)),$$

which is obtained by multiplying (3.1) by  $g_{jm}$  and summing up with respect to  $j$ . We define the functional  $F(u)$  by

$$F(u(t)) = E(u(t)) + k\{2(u'(t), u(t)) + \gamma\|u(t)\|^2\},$$

where  $k$  is a positive number to be determined later. Then it follows from (3.6) and (4.1) that

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} F(u(t)) + 2(\gamma - k)\|u'(t)\|^2 + 2km_0\|\nabla u(t)\|^2 + 2k\|u(t)\|_{L^{\alpha+2}}^{\alpha+2} \\ \leq 2\|f(t)\|(\|u'(t)\| + 2k\|u(t)\|).$$

Since  $\|\nabla u\|^2 \geq \lambda_1\|u\|^2$  for  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $M(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2)$  is uniformly bounded in  $[0, \infty)$ , there exists a positive constant  $D_1$  such that

$$(4.3) \quad F(u(t)) \leq D_1(\|u'(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|^2 + \|u(t)\|_{L^{\alpha+2}}^{\alpha+2}).$$

On the contrary one can also show

$$(4.4) \quad F(u(t)) \geq \left(1 - \frac{k}{\gamma}\right)\|u'(t)\|^2 + m_0\|\nabla u(t)\|^2 + \frac{2}{\alpha+2}\|u(t)\|_{L^{\alpha+2}}^{\alpha+2}.$$

Hence, by taking  $k = \gamma/2$ , (4.2) leads us to

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} F(u(t)) + 2\theta\gamma F(u(t)) \leq 2D_2\|f(t)\|F(u(t))^{1/2}$$

with some  $D_2 > 0$ . Therefore, solving (4.5) we see that  $F(u)$  satisfies (2.5) with  $E(u)$  replaced by  $F(u)$ . Since (4.3) and (4.4) imply

$$D_3 E(u(t)) \leq F(u(t)) \leq D_4 E(u(t)), \quad t \geq 0,$$

with some  $D_3, D_4 > 0$ , (2.5) easily follows with the aid of the limiting procedure  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

(ii) The proof is the same as (i). We use (3.23) in place of (4.5). Since (3.19) is valid, (2.7) is derived as (2.5).

(iii) In order to show (iii), it suffices to make use of (A.4), (2.5) and (2.7). q.e.d.

REMARK 4.1. As is seen from the proof of Theorem II, any solution in the class (2.1)–(2.3) satisfies (2.5), while smallness conditions on  $\{u^0, u^1, f\}$  are required to get (2.7).

*Acknowledgement.*

The first author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Professor H. Fujita for his valuable comments and encouragement. He also wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professors Y. Konishi and S. Kaizu for their useful advices.

**References**

- [1] Arosio, A. and S. Spagnolo, Global solutions to the Cauchy problem for a nonlinear hyperbolic equation, *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Their Applications*, (edited by H. Brezis and J. L. Lions), Collège de France Seminar, Vol. 6, Longman, London, 1984.
- [2] Brito, E. H., The damped elastic stretched string equation generalized: existence, uniqueness, regularity and stability, *Appl. Anal.* **13** (1982), 219–233.
- [3] Brito, E. H., Decay estimates for the generalized damped extensible string and beam equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **8** (1984), 1489–1496.
- [4] Hosoya, M. and Y. Yamada, On some nonlinear wave equations I: local existence and regularity of solutions, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **38** (1991), 225–238.
- [5] Ikehata, R., On the existence of global solutions for some nonlinear hyperbolic equations with Neumann conditions, *TRU Math.* **24** (1988), 1–17.
- [6] Nakao, M., Convergence of solutions of the wave equations with a nonlinear dissipative term to the steady state, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A* **30** (1976), 257–265.
- [7] Nakao, M., On the global smooth solutions to the initial boundary value problem for a weakly damped quasilinear hyperbolic equation, to appear.
- [8] Nishihara, K., On a global solution of some quasilinear hyperbolic equation, *Tokyo J. Math.* **7** (1984), 437–459.
- [9] Nishihara, K., Exponential decay of solutions of some quasilinear hyperbolic equations with linear damping, *Nonlinear Anal.* **8** (1984), 623–636.
- [10] Nishihara, K., Global existence and asymptotic behaviour of the solution of some quasilinear hyperbolic equation with linear damping, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **32** (1989), 343–355.
- [11] Pohozaev, S. I., On a class of quasilinear hyperbolic equations, *Math. USSR-Sb.* **25**

(1975), 145-158.

- [12] Yamada, Y., On some quasilinear wave equations with dissipative terms, Nagoya Math. J. **87** (1982), 17-39.

(Received July 22, 1987)

(Revised April 17, 1989)

Masanori Hosoya  
Department of Applied Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Science University of Tokyo  
Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku  
Tokyo  
162 Japan

Yoshio Yamada  
Department of Mathematics  
Waseda University  
Ohkubo 3-4-1, Shinjuku-ku  
Tokyo  
169 Japan