

Galois extensions associated to deformations of formal A -modules^{)}*

By Kevin KEATING

Abstract. Let A be the ring of integers in a finite extension \mathcal{K} of \mathbf{Q}_p with residue field k_0 . Let \bar{G}/k_0 be a formal A -module of height $h < \infty$ and let $\Gamma/k_0[[t]]$ be a universal deformation of \bar{G} to height $h-1$. In this paper we study the kernel of the Galois character associated to Γ . Our main tool is Fontaine and Wintenberger's theory of the field of norms.

Let \bar{G}/\mathbf{F}_p be a one-parameter formal group law of height 2 and let $\Gamma/\mathbf{F}_p[[t]]$ be a universal deformation of \bar{G} to complete noetherian local rings of characteristic p . Set $F = \mathbf{F}_p((t))$ and let F^{ab} be a maximal abelian extension of F . There is a character $\chi: \text{Gal}(F^{ab}/F) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ given by the action of Galois on the p^n -torsion of Γ . Let $F' \subset F^{ab}$ be the fixed field of $\ker \chi$, and let $F^{nr} \subset F^{ab}$ be the maximal unramified extension of F . In [4, Th. 1] and [7, Th. 3.3] the compositum $F'F^{nr}$ is characterized in terms of the action of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{F}_p}(\bar{G})$ on F . The purpose of this paper is to generalize these results to the case where \bar{G} is a formal A -module of height $h < \infty$ and Γ is a universal deformation of \bar{G} to height $h-1$. As applications we give independent computations of the ramification breaks of the Galois character associated to Γ [6, Th. 3.5 2b], and of the endomorphism ring of the reduction of $\Gamma \pmod{t^n}$ [8, Th. 1.2].

1. Preliminaries and statement of the theorem

Let \mathcal{K} be a complete discretely valued field with ring of integers A , uniformizer π_A , and finite residue field $k_0 \cong \mathbf{F}_q$. Let K be a finite separable extension of \mathcal{K} of degree $h > 1$, with ring of integers \mathcal{O} , uniformizer $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$, and residue field k . Let $(\bar{G}, \bar{\alpha})$ be a pair consisting of a formal A -module \bar{G}/k and an A -algebra homomorphism $\bar{\alpha}: \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \text{End}_k(\bar{G})$ which makes \bar{G} a formal \mathcal{O} -module of height 1 (see [1, § 1A]).

Let \mathcal{R} be a complete noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebra with residue field k . A deformation of the formal A -module \bar{G} over \mathcal{R} is a pair (\tilde{G}, i) , where \tilde{G}/\mathcal{R} is a formal A -module and $i: \tilde{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} k \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{G}$ is an isomorphism of formal A -modules.

^{*)} The author was partially supported by NSF contract DMS 88-05216.

A morphism between the deformations $(\tilde{G}, i)/\mathcal{R}$ and $(\tilde{G}', i')/\mathcal{R}'$ of \bar{G} consists of a homomorphism $\phi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'$ of local \mathcal{O} -algebras and a map $f: \tilde{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}' \rightarrow \tilde{G}'$ of formal A -modules whose special fiber \bar{f} satisfies $i = i' \circ \bar{f}$. Usually we have $\tilde{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} k = \bar{G}$ and $i = id$, in which case we write \tilde{G} for (\tilde{G}, i) . Given \tilde{G}/\mathcal{R} and $a \in A$ we denote by $[a]_{\tilde{G}}$ the endomorphism of \tilde{G} induced by a . (We also use this notation for formal \mathcal{O} -modules.) If \tilde{G} and \tilde{G}' are isomorphic in the category of deformations of \bar{G} we say that they are $*$ -isomorphic, and write $\tilde{G} \cong^* \tilde{G}'$.

Let R denote the \mathcal{O} -algebra $k[[t]]$, let $F = k((t))$ denote the field of fractions of R , and let v_i denote the normalized valuation on F . By the proof of [1, Prop. 4.2] there exists a deformation Γ/R of \bar{G} such that

$$[\pi_A]_{\Gamma}(x) = a_0 x^{q^{h-1}} + \dots,$$

with $v_i(a_0) = 1$. Let F^{sep} be a separable closure of F , and denote by F^{ab}/F the maximal abelian subextension of F^{sep}/F . We will associate to Γ a Galois character $\chi: \text{Gal}(F^{ab}/F) \rightarrow A^\times$, as follows.

Let \mathcal{R} be a ring of characteristic p . For $n \geq 0$ let $q^n: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ denote the base change $x \mapsto x^{q^n}$. Then for $r \geq 1$ the power series $[\pi_A]_{\mathcal{R}}^{q^{(h-1)r}}$ is the endomorphism of $\Gamma^{q^{(h-1)r}}$ induced by π_A^r . The F^{ab} -valued points in the kernel of $[\pi_A]_{\mathcal{R}}^{q^{(h-1)r}}$ form a free (A/π_A^r) -module of rank 1. The group $\text{Gal}(F^{ab}/F)$ acts on this (A/π_A^r) -module, giving a map $\chi_r: \text{Gal}(F^{ab}/F) \rightarrow (A/\pi_A^r)^\times$. By taking the projective limit of the χ_r we get the character $\chi: \text{Gal}(F^{ab}/F) \rightarrow A^\times$.

By [1, Prop. 4.2] we see that Γ is a universal deformation of \bar{G} to height $h-1$: Given any deformation $(\tilde{G}, i)/\mathcal{R}$ of \bar{G} to height h or $h-1$, there is a unique map of deformations from Γ/R to $(\tilde{G}, i)/\mathcal{R}$. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}_k(\bar{G})$. Then $(\Gamma, \phi)/R$ is a deformation of \bar{G} to height $h-1$, so there is a unique \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism $\phi^*: R \rightarrow R$ such that ϕ lifts to an isogeny $\check{\phi}: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^{\phi^*}$. By the uniqueness of ϕ^* we see that the map $\phi \mapsto \phi^*$ is an anti-homomorphism from $\text{Aut}_k(\bar{G})$ to $\text{Aut}_k(R)$.

Of course ϕ^* extends uniquely to a k -automorphism of F . Hence ϕ^* induces a map $\phi': \text{Gal}(F^{ab}/F) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F^{ab}/F)$. Let $\chi^{\phi'}$ denote the Galois character associated to $\Gamma^{\phi'}$. By the functoriality of χ we easily see that $\chi^{\phi' \circ \phi} = \chi$. Since $\Gamma^{\phi'}$ is isomorphic (but not $*$ -isomorphic) to Γ , we have $\chi^{\phi'} = \chi$ as well. It follows that $\chi \circ \phi' = \chi$.

Let $F' \subset F^{ab}$ be the fixed field of $\ker \chi$, and let $F^{nr} \subset F^{ab}$ be the maximal unramified extension of F . The identity $\chi \circ \phi' = \chi$ and the k -linearity of ϕ^* imply that ϕ' acts trivially on $\text{Gal}(F'F^{nr}/F)$, for every $\phi \in \text{Aut}_k(\bar{G})$. Our main result shows that $F'F^{nr}$ is the largest abelian extension of F with this property.

THEOREM 1. For $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ let $\phi_a = [a]_{\bar{G}} \in \text{Aut}_k(\bar{G})$. Let F''/F be the maximal subextension of F^{ab}/F such that ϕ_a acts trivially on $\text{Gal}(F''/F)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$. Then $F'' = F'F^{nr}$.

REMARK. The cases with $A = \mathbf{Z}_p$ and $h = 2$ are treated in [4, Th. 1] and [7, Th. 3.3 and Th. 3.4].

2. The field of norms

The proof of Theorem 1 depends on the theory of the field of norms, developed by Fontaine [2] and Wintenberger [13]. We outline a special case of this theory here, in which all the field extensions are abelian.

Let K be a complete discretely valued field with finite residue field and let L be an infinite abelian extension of K which induces a finite extension of the residue field of K . By class field theory [11, XV § 2, Th. 2] the higher ramification subgroups of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ have finite index in $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. Therefore the extension L/K is arithmetically profinite in the sense of [13, 1.2.1]. Let \mathcal{E} denote the set of finite extensions of K which are contained in L . We define

$$X_K(L)^\times = \varprojlim_{M \in \mathcal{E}} M^\times,$$

where the limit is taken with respect to the norms. The group $X_K(L)^\times$ is the multiplicative group of a field $X_K(L)$ in a natural way. More precisely, $X_K(L)$ is a complete discretely valued field of characteristic p whose residue field is isomorphic to the residue field of L [13, Th. 2.1.3]. In § 4 we will describe the additive structure of $X_K(L)$ more explicitly.

Let L_1 be a finite extension of L such that L_1 is abelian over K . By [13, Th. 3.1.2] there is an embedding

$$X_K(L) \longrightarrow X_K(L_1)$$

which makes $X_K(L_1)$ a Galois extension of $X_K(L)$. The action of $\text{Gal}(L_1/L)$ on the subextensions of L_1/K induces an action of $\text{Gal}(L_1/L)$ on $X_K(L_1)$. By [13, Th. 3.1.2] this action induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(L_1/L) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Gal}(X_K(L_1)/X_K(L)).$$

If L' is an infinite extension of L such that L'/K is abelian define

$$X_{L/K}(L') = \varprojlim X_K(L_1),$$

with the limit taken over the finite subextensions of L'/L . By [13, Th. 3.2.2] we have

$$\mathrm{Gal}(L'/L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gal}(X_{L/K}(L')/X_K(L)).$$

In the case that interests us K is the field we considered in § 1. To describe L we let G/\mathcal{O} be a formal \mathcal{O} -module such that $G \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k = \bar{G}$. (The existence and uniqueness of G will be proved in Proposition 2.) Let K^{sep} be a separable closure of K , let $K'_s \subset K^{sep}$ be the extension of K generated by the roots of $[\pi_A^s]_G$, and let L' be the union of the K'_s for $s \geq 1$. By the theory of Lubin-Tate [10, p. 386] L' is a maximal totally ramified abelian extension of K , and $\mathrm{Gal}(L'/K) \cong \mathcal{O}^\times$. Define $L \subset L'$ to be the fixed field of $A^\times \subset \mathcal{O}^\times$; then $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \cong \mathcal{O}^\times/A^\times$. Let $L^{nr} \subset K^{sep}$ denote the maximal unramified extension of L . By class field theory we see that the compositum $L'L^{nr} \subset K^{sep}$ is equal to the maximal abelian extension K^{ab} of K .

In § 6 we show that $X_K(L)$ is isomorphic to the field F defined in § 1. Then in § 7 we prove the following (non-canonical) isomorphisms of fields over $F \cong X_K(L)$:

$$F' \cong X_{L/K}(L')$$

$$F'' \cong X_{L/K}(K^{ab}).$$

(The first follows from Proposition 10, and the second follows from Proposition 9.) Since the residue field of $X_K(L)$ is isomorphic to the residue field of L , we also have

$$F^{nr} \cong X_{L/K}(L^{nr}).$$

Then by [13, Th. 3.2.2] we get

$$\begin{aligned} X_{L/K}(L')X_{L/K}(L^{nr}) &= X_{L/K}(L'L^{nr}) \\ &= X_{L/K}(K^{ab}). \end{aligned}$$

Since all of our fields are abelian extensions of F , we conclude that $F'F^{nr} = F''$, which gives Theorem 1.

REMARK. Let F be a complete discretely valued field of characteristic p with perfect residue field, and let \mathcal{G} be a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ which is an abelian p -adic Lie group. By [12] there exists an infinite abelian extension of local fields L/K such that the pairs (F, \mathcal{G}) and $(X_K(L), \mathrm{Gal}(L/K))$ are isomorphic. It seems likely that in the present case (with $F = k((t))$ and $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{O}^\times/A^\times$) one might be able use this result to prove Theorem 1 without determining L and K explicitly. Such an approach would depend heavily on the ramification data computed in [6, Th. 3.5 2b] and [8, Th. 1.2]. The method presented here is preferable in that it is more explicit, and yields independent proofs of [6, Th. 3.5 2b] and [8, Th. 1.2].

3. Canonical and quasi-canonical liftings

In order to construct the field isomorphisms of the previous § we need to develop a generalization of the theory of canonical and quasi-canonical liftings (cf. [5]). We say that the deformation G/\mathcal{O} of \bar{G} is the canonical lifting associated to $\bar{\alpha} : \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \text{End}_k(\bar{G})$ if G lifts \bar{G} as a formal \mathcal{O} -module.

PROPOSITION 2. *There exists a canonical lifting G/\mathcal{O} of \bar{G} associated to $\bar{\alpha}$. This lifting is unique up to $*$ -isomorphism.*

PROOF. By [10, Th. 1] there exists a formal \mathcal{O} -module (G', α') of height 1 defined over \mathcal{O} . Let $K^{nr} \subset K^{sep}$ be the maximal unramified extension of K , with residue field k^{nr} . Then $(\bar{G}, \bar{\alpha})$ is isomorphic over k^{nr} to the special fiber $(\bar{G}', \bar{\alpha}')$ of (G', α') , and hence corresponds to an element of $H^1(\text{Gal}(k^{nr}/k), \text{Aut}(\bar{G}', \bar{\alpha}'))$. Since $\text{Aut}(\bar{G}', \bar{\alpha}') \cong \text{Aut}(G', \alpha') \cong \mathcal{O}^\times$ there is an isomorphism

$$H^1(\text{Gal}(k^{nr}/k), \text{Aut}(\bar{G}', \bar{\alpha}')) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(\text{Gal}(K^{nr}/K), \text{Aut}(G', \alpha')).$$

Therefore there exists a formal \mathcal{O} -module $(G, \alpha)/\mathcal{O}$ whose special fiber is $(\bar{G}, \bar{\alpha})$. By [1, Prop. 4.2] the moduli space of deformations of (G, α) is trivial. Therefore G is determined up to $*$ -isomorphism. \square

Choose $s \geq 1$. Then $\ker[\pi_A^s]_G$ is a free (\mathcal{O}/π_A^s) -module of rank 1 and a free (A/π_A^s) -module of rank h , with an action by $\text{Gal}(K^{sep}/K)$. Let $D \subset \ker[\pi_A^s]_G$ be a free (A/π_A^s) -submodule of rank 1 such that $\mathcal{O} \cdot D = \ker[\pi_A^s]_G$. We say that D is a generic submodule of G of level s . Let I'_s denote the ring of integers of the field $K'_s \subset K^{sep}$ generated over K by the roots of $[\pi_A^s]_G$. By [9, Th. 1.4] there exists over I'_s a formal A -module G_D and an isogeny $g : G \rightarrow G_D$ with kernel D . The isogeny g may be written explicitly as

$$g(x) = \prod_{\delta \in D} G(x, \delta).$$

The special fiber of g is $\bar{g}(x) = x^{q^s}$, so G_D is a deformation of \bar{G}^{q^s} . We say that G_D is a quasi-canonical lifting of \bar{G}^{q^s} of level s . (This generalizes [5, §5].) The endomorphism ring of G_D is the A -subalgebra of \mathcal{O} which stabilizes D . The constraints on D imply that

$$\text{End}(G_D) = A + \pi_A^s \mathcal{O}.$$

We denote this A -algebra by \mathcal{O}_s .

PROPOSITION 3 (cf. [5, Prop. 5.3]). a) All of the quasi-canonical liftings of \bar{G}^{q^s} of level s are defined over the ring of integers I_s of a totally ramified abelian extension K_s of K of degree

$$|\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathcal{O}_s^\times| = \frac{q^f - 1}{q - 1} \cdot q^{(h-1)s - f + 1}.$$

The norm group $N_s \subset K^\times$ of K_s satisfies $N_s \cap \mathcal{O}^\times = \mathcal{O}_s^\times$.

b) The group $\text{Gal}(K_s/K) \cong \mathcal{O}^\times/\mathcal{O}_s^\times$ acts simply transitively on the set of quasi-canonical liftings of \bar{G}^{q^s} of level s .

c) For each $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ the automorphism $[a]_{\bar{G}}^{q^s}$ of \bar{G}^{q^s} lifts to an isogeny $\bar{a}: G_D \rightarrow G_D$, where $D' = [a]_G(D)$.

REMARK. The union of the K_s for $s \geq 1$ is the field L defined in § 2.

PROOF. The formal \mathcal{O} -module structure on G gives a transitive action of \mathcal{O}^\times on the roots of $[\pi_A^s]_G$. This induces a transitive action of \mathcal{O}^\times on the set of generic submodules of G of level s . For $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ let σ_a be the element of $\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$ which corresponds to a via class field theory. By the theory of Lubin-Tate [10, p. 386] we see that $\sigma_a \delta = [a^{-1}]_G(\delta)$ for every $\delta \in \ker[\pi_A^s]_G$. Therefore the stabilizer of D in $\text{Gal}(K'_s/K) \cong \mathcal{O}^\times/(1 + \pi_A^s \mathcal{O})^\times$ is $\mathcal{O}_s^\times/(1 + \pi_A^s \mathcal{O})^\times$. Thus g and G_D can be defined over the ring of integers I_s of the subfield K_s of K'_s fixed by \mathcal{O}_s^\times . This proves a) and b).

To prove c) choose $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ and lift $[a]_{\bar{G}}^{q^s} \in k[[x]]$ arbitrarily to a power series $\hat{a} \in I_s[[x]]$ such that $\hat{a}(0) = 0$. Then \hat{a} is an invertible power series, so there is a unique formal A -module G'_D/I_s such that $\hat{a}: G_D \rightarrow G'_D$ is an isogeny. Since $[a]_{\bar{G}}^{q^s}$ is an automorphism of \bar{G}^{q^s} we see that G'_D is a deformation of \bar{G}^{q^s} . Define $h: G \rightarrow G'_D$ by the formula $h = \hat{a} \circ g \circ [a^{-1}]_G$. The special fiber of h is $\bar{h}(x) = x^{q^s}$, and the kernel of h is $D' = [a]_G(D)$. Let $g': G \rightarrow G_D$ be the isogeny

$$g'(x) = \prod_{\delta \in D'} G(x, \delta).$$

Since $\ker g' = \ker h$, by [9, Th. 1.5] there exists an isomorphism of formal A -modules $j: G'_D \rightarrow G_D$ such that $j \circ h = g'$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \xrightarrow{[a]_G} & G & & \\ g \downarrow & & h \downarrow & \searrow g' & \\ G_D & \xrightarrow{\hat{a}} & G'_D & \xrightarrow{j} & G_D. \end{array}$$

The special fiber of j is the identity. Therefore $\bar{a} = j \circ \hat{a}$ lifts $[a]_{\bar{G}}^{q^s}$ to an

isogeny $G_D \rightarrow G_{D'}$. \square

4. Construction of $X_K(L)$

For $s \geq 2$ set $n_s = q^{(h-1)s-h}$ and define $\bar{I}_s = I_s / (\pi_s^{n_s})$. For $s' > s \geq 2$ let $\bar{N}_{s',s} : \bar{I}_{s'} \rightarrow \bar{I}_s$ denote the map induced by the norm $N_{K_{s'}/K_s} : I_{s'} \rightarrow I_s$. In this § we use the results of [13] to show that $\bar{N}_{s',s}$ is a surjective ring homomorphism. We then show that $\varinjlim \bar{I}_s$ is naturally identified with the ring of integers of $X_K(L)$. In §6 we will use this explicit construction to give isomorphisms between F and $X_K(L)$.

PROPOSITION 4. For $s' > s \geq 2$ the map $\bar{N}_{s',s} : \bar{I}_{s'} \rightarrow \bar{I}_s$ is a surjective ring homomorphism.

PROOF. It suffices to consider the case $s' = s + 1$. For $s \geq 1$ let v_s denote the valuation on K^{sep} normalized so that $v_s(K_s^\times) = \mathbf{Z}$. For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_{s+1}/K_s)$ define

$$i(\sigma) = \min_{y \in I_{s+1}} v_{s+1}(\sigma y - y).$$

By [13, Prop. 2.2.1] the proposition is true for $s' = s + 1$ as long as

$$(*) \quad n_s \leq \frac{p-1}{p} \cdot (i(\sigma) - 1)$$

for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_{s+1}/K_s)$. This inequality is a consequence of the following lemma.

LEMMA 5. For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_{s+1}/K_s)$ we have

$$i(\sigma) \geq \frac{q^{(h-1)s}}{q-1}.$$

PROOF. Let $\pi'_{s+1} \in K^{sep}$ be a generator for the \mathcal{O} -module $\ker[\pi_A^{s+1}]_G$, and set $K'_{s+1} = K(\pi'_{s+1})$. Let v'_{s+1} denote the normalized valuation on K'_{s+1} , and let I'_{s+1} denote the ring of integers of K'_{s+1} . For $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K'_{s+1}/K_s)$ define

$$i'(\tau) = \min_{y \in I'_{s+1}} v'_{s+1}(\tau y - y).$$

The group

$$\text{Gal}(K'_{s+1}/K_{s+1}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{s+1}^\times / (1 + \pi_A^{s+1} \mathcal{O})^\times$$

has order $(q-1)q^s$. Therefore by [11, IV §1, Prop. 3], for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_{s+1}/K_s)$ we have

$$i(\sigma) = \frac{1}{(q-1)q^s} \sum i'(\tau),$$

where the sum is taken over all $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K'_{s+1}/K_s)$ whose image in $\text{Gal}(K_{s+1}/K_s)$ is σ .

Since $K_{s+1} \cap K'_s = K_s$, there exists $\tau_0 \in \text{Gal}(K'_{s+1}/K'_s)$ whose image in $\text{Gal}(K_{s+1}/K_s)$ is σ . By the theory of Lubin-Tate [10, Th. 2] there exists $u \in (1 + \pi_A^s \mathcal{O})^\times$ such that

$$\tau_0 \pi'_{s+1} = [u^{-1}]_G(\pi'_{s+1}).$$

Since $u^{-1} \in (1 + \pi_A^s \mathcal{O})^\times$ and \bar{G} is a formal A -module of height h we have

$$[u^{-1}]_G(x) \equiv x \pmod{(x^{q^{sh}}, \pi_{\mathcal{O}})}.$$

The field K'_{s+1} is a totally ramified extension of K of degree $(q^f - 1)q^{(s+1)h-f} \geq q^{sh}$. Therefore

$$[u^{-1}]_G(\pi'_{s+1}) \equiv \pi'_{s+1} \pmod{(\pi'_{s+1})^{q^{sh}}}.$$

Then since $I'_{s+1} = \mathcal{O}[\pi'_{s+1}]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} i'(\tau_0) &\geq q^{sh} \\ i(\sigma) &\geq \frac{1}{(q-1)q^s} \cdot q^{sh}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the lemma. \square

Since $s \geq 2$ and $i(\sigma)$ is an integer the lemma implies

$$\begin{aligned} i(\sigma) - 1 &\geq \frac{q^{(h-1)s} - 1}{q-1} \\ &\geq q^{(h-1)s-1} \\ \frac{p-1}{p} \cdot (i(\sigma) - 1) &\geq q^{-1} \cdot q^{(h-1)s-1} \\ &\geq n_s, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (*). This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

This proposition allows us to define

$$A_K(L) = \varprojlim I_s.$$

By [13, Prop. 2.3.1], the ring of integers of $X_K(L)$ is

$$\varprojlim I_s \subset X_K(L),$$

and the map

$$\nu : \varprojlim I_s \longrightarrow A_K(L)$$

induced by the projections $I_s \rightarrow \bar{I}_s$ is an isomorphism. We wish to endow $X_K(L)$ with an \mathcal{O} -algebra structure by constructing a map $j' : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow A_K(L)$. Let $j_s : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \bar{I}_s$ denote the composition of natural maps $\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow I_s \rightarrow \bar{I}_s$. Since we do not have $j_{s'} = j_s \circ \bar{N}_{s',s}$ in general, the j_s do not induce a map from \mathcal{O} to $A_K(L)$. To remedy this we need to define an \mathcal{O} -algebra structure for the \bar{I}_s which makes $\bar{N}_{s',s}$ an \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism.

Since K_s is a totally ramified extension of K of degree greater than n_s , we see that $j_s : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \bar{I}_s$ factors through the projection $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow k$. Therefore the map $j'_s : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \bar{I}_s$ defined by $j'_s(x) = j_s(x^{q^s})$ is a ring homomorphism. Henceforth we view \bar{I}_s as an \mathcal{O} -algebra with structure map j'_s . Since $K_{s'}/K_s$ is a totally ramified extension of degree $q^{(h-1)(s'-s)}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}_{s',s}(j_{s'}(x^{q^{s'}})) &= j_s((x^{q^{s'}})^{q^{(h-1)(s'-s)}}) \\ &= j_s(x^{q^s}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\bar{N}_{s',s}$ is an \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism. (However, the projection $I_s \rightarrow \bar{I}_s$ need not be an \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism.)

Our choice of \mathcal{O} -algebra structure for \bar{I}_s has one more pleasant consequence. The map j'_s induces an isomorphism of k with the residue field of \bar{I}_s . We easily see that this isomorphism identifies the special fiber of $\bar{G}_D = G_D \otimes_{I_s} \bar{I}_s$ with \bar{G}/k . Thus \bar{G}_D is a deformation of \bar{G} (rather than \bar{G}^{q^s}).

5. Newton polygons

In this § we show that \bar{G}_D has height $h-1$ or h . Since Γ/R is a universal deformation of \bar{G} to height $h-1$ this implies that there is a unique \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism $\varepsilon_D : R \rightarrow \bar{I}_s$ such that $\bar{G}_D \cong^* \Gamma^{\varepsilon_D}$. In §6 we will use the homomorphisms ε_D to construct isomorphisms between F and $X_K(L)$, as promised in §2.

In order to determine the height of \bar{G}_D we need to determine the Newton polygon of $[\pi_A]_{G_D}$. The first step is to compute the Newton polygon of $[\pi_A]_G$. Let e denote the ramification degree of K/\mathcal{K} and let f denote the degree of the residue field extension k/k_0 induced by K/\mathcal{K} . We have then $ef = h$.

LEMMA 6. *The vertices of the Newton polygon of $[\pi_A]_G \in \mathcal{O}[[x]]$ are the points $(q^{if}, e-i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq e$.*

REMARK. This shows that $[\pi_A]_G$ has $q^{if} - q^{(i-1)f}$ roots of K -valuation $\frac{1}{q^{if} - q^{(i-1)f}}$, for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

PROOF. We have $\pi_A = \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^e u$ for some $u \in \mathcal{O}^\times$. We easily see that the vertices of the Newton polygon of $[\pi_{\mathcal{O}}]_G \in \mathcal{C}[[x]]$ are the points $(1, 1)$ and $(q^f, 0)$, and that the only vertex of the Newton polygon of $[u]_G$ is the point $(1, 0)$. Since

$$[\pi_A]_G = [\pi_{\mathcal{O}}]_G \circ \cdots \circ [\pi_{\mathcal{O}}]_G \circ [u]_G,$$

an easy calculation shows that the vertices of the Newton polygon of $[\pi_A]_G$ are the points $(q^{if}, e-i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq e$. \square

We now compute the Newton polygon of G_D .

PROPOSITION 7. *The vertices of the Newton polygon of $[\pi_A]_{G_D} \in I_s[[x]]$ are the points*

$$P_i = \left(q^{if}, (e-i) \cdot \frac{q^f - 1}{q - 1} \cdot q^{(h-1)s-f+1} - \frac{(q^{(h-1)s} - 1)(q^{if} - 1)}{q^{h-1} - 1} \right)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq e-1$, plus the two points $(q^{h-1}, 1)$ and $(q^h, 0)$.

REMARKS. 1) If $f=1$ then the vertices P_{e-1} and $(q^{h-1}, 1)$ coincide.

2) Let (q^{h-2}, y_0) denote the intersection of the Newton polygon with the line $x=q^{h-2}$. Then G_D has height $h-1$ as long as $1 < n_s \leq y_0$. If $f > 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= 1 + \frac{q^{h-1} - q^{h-2}}{q^{h-1} - q^{h-f}} \cdot \left(\frac{q^f - 1}{q - 1} \cdot q^{(h-1)s-f+1} - \frac{(q^{(h-1)s} - 1)(q^{h-f} - 1)}{q^{h-1} - 1} \right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{q^f - 1}{q^{f-1} - 1} \cdot q^{(h-1)s-1} - \frac{q-1}{q^{f-1} - 1} \cdot \frac{q^{h-f} - 1}{q^{h-1} - 1} \cdot (q^{(h-1)s} - 1) \cdot q^{f-2} \\ &\geq 1 + q \cdot q^{(h-1)s-1} - q^{2-f} \cdot q^{1-f} \cdot q^{(h-1)s} \cdot q^{f-2} \\ &\geq n_s, \end{aligned}$$

while if $f=1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= 2q^{(h-1)s} - \frac{(q^{(h-1)s} - 1)(q^{h-2} - 1)}{q^{h-1} - 1} \\ &\geq 2q^{(h-1)s} - q^{(h-1)s} \cdot q^{-1} \\ &\geq n_s. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore \bar{G}_D has height $h-1$ unless $s=h=2$, in which case \bar{G}_D has height $h=2$.

PROOF. It suffices to show that the K_s -valuations of the roots of $[\pi_A]_{G_D}$ are given by the following table.

Valuation	Multiplicity
∞	1
$\frac{q^{(h-1)s-f+1}}{q-1} + \frac{q^{(h-1)s}-1}{q^{h-1}-1}$	q^f-1
$\frac{q^{(h-1)s-2f+1}}{q-1} + \frac{q^{(h-1)s}-1}{q^{h-1}-1}$	$q^{2f}-q^f$
\vdots	\vdots
$\frac{q^{(h-1)s-(e-1)f+1}}{q-1} + \frac{q^{(h-1)s}-1}{q^{h-1}-1}$	$q^{(e-1)f}-q^{(e-2)f}$
$\frac{q^{(h-1)s-ef+1}}{q-1} + \frac{q^{(h-1)s}-1}{q^{h-1}-1}$	$q^{ef-1}-q^{(e-1)f}$
$\frac{q^{1-h}}{q-1}$	$q^{ef}-q^{ef-1}$

Let $C_0 \subset \ker[\pi_A]_G$ be the image of $\ker[\pi_A]_G$ under the map $[\pi_C]_G$. Since D is a generic submodule of G we clearly have $D \cap C_0 = \{0\}$. Let M be an A -submodule of $\ker[\pi_A]_G$ such that $M \supset C_0$, $M \cap D = \{0\}$, and M has index q in $\ker[\pi_A]_G$. The isogeny g maps M onto a submodule of $\ker[\pi_A]_{G_D}$ of index q . For $\mu \in M$ we have

$$v_s(g(\mu)) = \sum_{\delta \in D} v_s(G(\mu, \delta)).$$

Let $\delta_0 \in D \cap \ker[\pi_A]_G$ with $\delta_0 \neq 0$. Since $\delta_0 \notin C_0$, Lemma 6 implies that δ_0 has K -valuation $\frac{1}{q^{ef}-q^{(e-1)f}}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} v_s(\delta_0) &= [K_s : K] \cdot \frac{1}{q^{ef}-q^{(e-1)f}} \\ &= \frac{q^{(h-1)s-h+1}}{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if $\delta \in D$ satisfies $[\pi_A^{i-1}]_G(\delta) = \delta_0$ then $v_s(\delta) = \frac{q^{(h-1)s-ih+1}}{q-1}$.

The constraints on M imply that

$$v_s(G(\mu, \delta)) = v_s(\delta)$$

for $\mu \in M, \delta \in D \setminus \{0\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta \in D} v_s(G(\mu, \delta)) &= v_s(\mu) + \sum_{i=1}^s (q^i - q^{i-1}) \frac{q^{(h-1)s - ih + 1}}{q-1} \\ &= v_s(\mu) + q^{(h-1)s} \sum_{i=1}^s q^{-(h-1)i} \\ &= v_s(\mu) + \frac{q^{(h-1)s} - 1}{q^{h-1} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6 gives the following values for $v_s(\mu)$, with the indicated multiplicities.

Valuation	Multiplicity
∞	1
$\frac{q^{(h-1)s - if + 1}}{q-1}$	$q^{if} - q^{(i-1)f} \quad (1 \leq i < e)$
$\frac{q^{(h-1)s - ef + 1}}{q-1}$	$q^{ef-1} - q^{(e-1)f}$

This confirms all but the last line of the table. To get that part let δ_1 be a generator for the A -module D and choose $\delta_2 \in \ker[\pi_A^{s+1}]_G$ with $[\pi_A]_G(\delta_2) = \delta_1$. Then $g(\delta_2) \in \ker[\pi_A]_{G_D}$. As above we compute $v_s(\delta_2) = \frac{q^{-h-s+1}}{q-1}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} v_s(g(\delta_2)) &= \sum_{\delta \in D} v_s(G(\delta_2, \delta)) \\ &= q^s v_s(\delta_2) \\ &= \frac{q^{1-h}}{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the last line of the table. \square

6. Isomorphisms of $X_K(L)$ with F

Let T denote the Tate module of G ; then T is free of rank 1 over \mathcal{O} and free of rank h over A . We say that V is a generic submodule of T if

- 1) V is free of rank 1 over A
- 2) $\mathcal{O} \cdot V = T$.

For each generic submodule V we will construct an isomorphism

$$\varepsilon_V : F \xrightarrow{\sim} X_K(L).$$

We first need to associate to the power series $[\pi_A]_{\bar{G}}$ an element $c \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ which is equal to 1 if and only if $[\pi_A]_{\bar{G}}(x) = x^{q^h}$. Since $\Phi(x) = x^{q^h}$ is a k -endomorphism of \bar{G} which commutes with every element of $\text{End}_k(\bar{G})$, we see that Φ is also an endomorphism of $(\bar{G}, \bar{\alpha})$. Therefore $\Phi = \bar{\alpha}(v)$ for some $v \in \mathcal{O}$. Since \bar{G} is a formal A -module of height h we have $v = c \cdot \pi_A$ for some $c \in \mathcal{O}^\times$.

For $s \geq 1$ let D be the image of $c^{-s}V$ in $\ker[\pi_A^s]_{\bar{G}}$. Then D is a generic submodule of G of level s . Since $(\bar{G}, \bar{\alpha})$ determines c up to multiplication by A^\times , the module D is independent of the choice of π_A . As in §3 we have a quasi-canonical lifting G_D of \bar{G}^{q^s} of level s and an isogeny $g: G \rightarrow G_D$ with kernel D .

Now assume $s \geq 2$. Recall from §4 that \bar{G}_D is a deformation of \bar{G} with respect to the \mathcal{O} -algebra structure on \bar{I}_s induced by j'_s . Proposition 7 implies that the height of \bar{G}_D is $h-1$ or h . Therefore there exists a unique \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism $\varepsilon_D: R \rightarrow \bar{I}_s$ such that $\bar{G}_D \cong^* \Gamma^{\varepsilon_D}$. Choose $s' > s \geq 1$ and let D' be the image of $c^{-s'}V$ in $\ker[\pi_A^{s'}]_{\bar{G}}$. The following proposition shows that $\bar{N}_{s',s}$ is compatible with the maps $\varepsilon_D, \varepsilon_{D'}$.

PROPOSITION 8. *Let $s' > s \geq 2$. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R & & \\
 \varepsilon_{D'} \downarrow & \searrow \varepsilon_D & \\
 \bar{I}_{s'} & \xrightarrow{\bar{N}_{s',s}} & \bar{I}_s
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

REMARK. This proposition shows that the maps $\varepsilon_D: R \rightarrow \bar{I}_s$ induce an \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism

$$\varepsilon_V: R \longrightarrow \varinjlim \bar{I}_s = A_K(L).$$

The map ε_V is an isomorphism because the natural map

$$R \longrightarrow \varinjlim R/(t^{n_s})$$

is an isomorphism. Since $A_K(L)$ is the ring of integers of $X_K(L)$, it is clear that ε_V extends to an isomorphism of F with $X_K(L)$.

PROOF. It suffices to consider the case $s' = s+1$. By Lemma 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
i(\sigma) &\geq \frac{q^{(h-1)s}}{q-1} \\
&\geq q^{(h-1)s-1} \\
&\geq [K_{s+1} : K_s] \cdot n_s
\end{aligned}$$

for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_{s+1}/K_s)$. Therefore

$$N_{K_{s+1}/K_s}(x) \equiv x^{q^{h-1}} \pmod{\pi_s^{n_s}}$$

for every $x \in I_{s+1}$. By Proposition 7 we may choose uniformizers $\pi_D \in I_s$, $\pi_{D'} \in I_{s+1}$ whose images in \bar{I}_s, \bar{I}_{s+1} are $\varepsilon_D(t), \varepsilon_{D'}(t)$. Since $\varepsilon_D, \varepsilon_{D'}$, and $\bar{N}_{s+1,s}$ are \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphisms it suffices to show that

$$\pi_{D'}^{q^{h-1}} \equiv \pi_D \pmod{\pi_s^{n_s}}.$$

By the definition of D and D' we see that $\ker g' \subset \ker(g \circ [c\pi_A]_G)$. Therefore [9, Th.1.5] implies that there is a unique isogeny of formal A -modules $w_s : G_{D'} \rightarrow G_D$, defined over I_{s+1} , such that $w_s \circ g' = g \circ [c\pi_A]_G$. By the definitions of g and c we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g \circ [c\pi_A]_G(x) &= \prod_{\delta \in D} G([c\pi_A]_G(x), \delta) \\
&\equiv \prod_{\delta \in D} \bar{G}(x^{q^h}, \delta) \pmod{\pi_{\mathcal{O}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
g'(x)^{q^h} &= \prod_{\delta' \in D'} G(x, \delta')^{q^h} \\
&\equiv \prod_{\delta' \in D'} \bar{G}^{q^h}(x^{q^h}, (\delta')^{q^h}) \pmod{\pi_{\mathcal{O}}} \\
&\equiv \prod_{\delta' \in D'} \bar{G}(x^{q^h}, [c\pi_A]_{\bar{G}}(\delta')) \pmod{\pi_{\mathcal{O}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $[c\pi_A]_G$ maps D' onto D and $v_s(\pi_{\mathcal{O}}) \geq qn_s$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
g'(x)^{q^h} &\equiv \prod_{\delta \in D} \bar{G}(x^{q^h}, \delta)^q \pmod{\pi_s^{qn_s}} \\
g'(x)^{q^{h-1}} &\equiv \prod_{\delta \in D} \bar{G}(x^{q^h}, \delta) \pmod{\pi_s^{n_s}} \\
&\equiv g \circ [c\pi_A]_G(x) \pmod{\pi_s^{n_s}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $w_s \circ g' = g \circ [c\pi_A]_G$ it follows that

$$w_s(x) \equiv x^{q^{h-1}} \pmod{\pi_s^{n_s}}.$$

By our choice of n_s , the inclusion $I_s \subset I_{s+1}$ induces an inclusion $\iota_s : \bar{I}_s \subset \bar{I}_{s+1}$ (but ι_s is not an \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism). The congruence for w_s implies

$$\bar{G}_D^{i_s} = \bar{G}_D^{q^{h-1}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_s(\varepsilon_D(t)) &= \varepsilon_D(t)^{q^{h-1}} \\ \pi_D &\equiv \pi_D^{q^{h-1}} \pmod{\pi_{s+1}^{n_{s+1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_s(\pi_{s+1}^{n_{s+1}}) = q^{1-h}n_{s+1} = n_s$, this completes our proof. \square

7. Extensions of F and $X_K(L)$

In this § we prove the isomorphisms of fields over $F \cong X_K(L)$,

$$\begin{aligned} F' &\cong X_{L/K}(L') \\ F'' &\cong X_{L/K}(K^{ab}). \end{aligned}$$

This will complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Choose $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ and let $\sigma_a \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ be the image of a under class field theory. Then σ_a induces automorphisms of $I_s, \bar{I}_s, A_K(L)$, and $X_K(L)$. In § 1 we saw that $[a]_{\bar{G}} \in \text{Aut}_k(\bar{G})$ induces an automorphism ϕ_a^* of F . The next proposition shows that the isomorphism $\varepsilon_V : F \xrightarrow{\sim} X_K(L)$ identifies ϕ_a^* with σ_a^{-1} .

PROPOSITION 9. For every $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_V} & X_K(L) \\ \phi_a^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_a^{-1} \\ F & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_V} & X_K(L) \end{array}$$

commutes.

REMARK. The maximal abelian subextension of K^{sep}/L whose Galois group has trivial action by $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \mathcal{O}^\times/A^\times$ is K^{ab}/L . Therefore by [13, Th. 3.2.2], the field $X_{L/K}(K^{ab})$ is a maximal abelian extension of $X_K(L)$ whose Galois group has trivial $(\mathcal{O}^\times/A^\times)$ -action. Recall that $F'' \subset F^{\text{sep}}$ is the maximal abelian extension of F with trivial action by ϕ_a^* for every $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$. This proposition implies that the field extensions $X_{L/K}(K^{ab})/X_K(L)$ and F''/F are isomorphic.

PROOF. By Proposition 3 and the choice of \mathcal{O} -algebra structure on \bar{I}_s , the automorphism $[a]_{\bar{G}}$ of \bar{G} lifts to an isogeny $\bar{a}_s : \bar{G}_D \rightarrow \bar{G}_D$, where $D' = [a]_{\bar{G}}(D)$. By Lubin-Tate [10, p. 386] we have $D' = \sigma_a^{-1}D$, and hence $\bar{G}_D = \bar{G}_D^{\sigma_a^{-1}}$. Since $G_D \cong \Gamma^{\varepsilon_D}$ and $G_{D'} \cong \Gamma^{\varepsilon_{D'}}$, there is an isogeny $\bar{a}'_s : \Gamma^{\varepsilon_D} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\sigma_a^{-1} \circ \varepsilon_D}$ which corresponds to $\bar{a}_s : G_D \rightarrow G_{D'}$. By Proposition 8, the maps ε_D induce

an isomorphism $\varepsilon_V : R \rightarrow A_K(L)$. Therefore there is an isogeny $\tilde{a} : \Gamma^{\varepsilon_V} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\sigma_a^{-1} \circ \varepsilon_V}$ which lifts $[a]_{\bar{G}}$. Since $[a]_{\bar{G}}$ also lifts to an isogeny $\hat{a} : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^{\phi_a^*}$, by the universal property of Γ we conclude that $\varepsilon_V \circ \phi_a^* = \sigma_a^{-1} \circ \varepsilon_V$. \square

Fix $r \geq 1$ and let $F_r \subset F^{sep}$ be the extension of F generated by the roots of $[\pi_A^r]_F^{q^{(h-1)r}}$. We wish to determine the extension of $X_K(L)$ which corresponds to F_r/F . Let $K_s^r \subset K^{sep}$ denote the extension of K_s generated by the roots of $[\pi_A^r]_{G_D}$. We easily see that $K_s^r \subset L'$ is the fixed field of $(1 + \pi_A^r A + \pi_A^{s+r} \mathcal{O})^\times$, and hence that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(K_s^r/K_{s+r}) &\cong \mathcal{O}_{s+r}^\times / (1 + \pi_A^r A + \pi_A^{s+r} \mathcal{O})^\times \\ &\cong A^\times / (1 + \pi_A^r A)^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Let $L_r = \bigcup_s K_s^r$. Then $L_r \subset L'$ is the fixed field of $(1 + \pi_A^r A)^\times$, and

$$\text{Gal}(L_r/L) \cong \text{Gal}(K_s^r/K_{s+r}).$$

PROPOSITION 10. *The fields F_r and $X_K(L_r)$ are isomorphic extensions of $F \cong X_K(L)$.*

REMARK. It follows from this proposition that $F' = \bigcup F_r$ and $X_{L/K}(L') = \bigcup X_K(L_r)$ are isomorphic extensions of $F \cong X_K(L)$.

PROOF. Choose $s \geq 2$ and for $s \leq i \leq s+r$ let D_i denote the image of $c^{-i}V$ in $\ker[\pi_A^i]_G$. In the proof of Proposition 8 we defined an isogeny $w_i : G_{D_{i+1}} \rightarrow G_{D_i}$ such that

$$w_i(x) \equiv x^{q^{h-1}} \pmod{\pi_i^{n_i}}.$$

Set $D = D_s, D' = D_{s+r}$ and let $W : G_D \rightarrow G_{D'}$ be the composition

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) &= w_s \circ w_{s+1} \circ \cdots \circ w_{s+r-1}(x). \\ &\equiv x^{q^{(h-1)r}} \pmod{\pi_s^{n_s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\iota'_s : \bar{I}_s \hookrightarrow \bar{I}_{s+r}$ be the map induced by $I_s \hookrightarrow I_{s+r}$. Then the congruence for W implies

$$\bar{G}_D^{\iota'_s} = \bar{G}_{D'}^{q^{(h-1)r}}.$$

Therefore

$$\bar{G}_D^{\iota'_s} \cong^* (I^{\varepsilon_{D'}})^{q^{r(h-1)}}.$$

Let I_s^r denote the ring of integers of K_s^r , and let $\bar{I}_s^r = I_s^r / (\pi_{s+r}^{n_{s+r}})$. Then G_D has rational π_A^r -torsion over K_s^r , and hence $(I^{\varepsilon_{D'}})^{q^{r(h-1)}}$ has rational π_A^r -torsion over \bar{I}_s^r . Since this holds for every $s \geq 2$, we conclude that

$(\Gamma^{\epsilon r})^{q^r(h-1)}$ has rational π_A^r -torsion over $A_K(L_r) = \varinjlim \bar{I}_s^r$. Since F_r is generated by the roots of $[\pi_A^r]_f^{(h-1)r}$, we see that F_r is isomorphic to a subextension of $X_K(L_r)/X_K(L)$. But since $[X_K(L_r): X_K(L)] = [F_r: F] = (q-1)q^{r-1}$, the extensions F_r/F and $X_K(L_r)/X_K(L)$ must be isomorphic. \square

8. Applications

In this § we use the results of §7 and the theory of the field of norms to give new proofs of two known results. First we deduce the ramification breaks of χ_Γ from the ramification data for $\text{Gal}(L'/L)$. Afterwards we use the ramification structure of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ to determine the endomorphism ring of the reduction of $\Gamma \pmod{t^n}$.

Let E be a complete discretely valued field with ring of integers T , uniformizer π_T , and finite residue field. Let H be an abelian extension of E , and set $\mathcal{F} = \text{Gal}(H/E)$. For $i \geq 0$ we define the i th ramification subgroup \mathcal{F}^i of \mathcal{F} to be the image of $(1 + \pi_T^i T)^\times$ in \mathcal{F} . (This is equivalent to the usual definition—see [11, XV §2, Th. 2].) For real $u \geq 0$ we set $\mathcal{F}^u = \mathcal{F}^i$ whenever $i \geq u > i-1$. Since $[\mathcal{F}^0: \mathcal{F}^i]$ is finite we may define the (inverse) Herbrand function $\phi_{H/E}: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by the formula

$$\phi_{H/E}(x) = \int_0^x [\mathcal{F}^0: \mathcal{F}^u] du.$$

Now take $E=K$ and $H=L$ so that $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}^\times/A^\times$. Let $m \geq 0$ and $0 \leq b < e$ be integers which aren't both 0. By the definition of $\phi_{L/K}$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \phi_{L/K}(x) &= |\mathcal{O}^\times/A^\times(1 + \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^{me+b}\mathcal{O})^\times| \\ &= \frac{q^f - 1}{q - 1} \cdot q^{(h-1)m + (b-1)f} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $me + b - 1 < x < me + b$. From this formula we easily deduce the following lemma.

LEMMA 11. *Let m and b be integers such that $m \geq 0$ and $0 \leq b < e$. Define*

$$a((h-1)m) = \frac{(q^h - 1)(q^{(h-1)m} - 1)}{(q - 1)(q^{h-1} - 1)}.$$

Then

$$\phi_{L/K}(me + b) = a((h-1)m) + q^{(h-1)m} \cdot \frac{q^{bf} - 1}{q - 1}.$$

Let F and F' be the fields defined in § 1, and set $\mathcal{H} = \text{Gal}(F'/F) \cong A^\times$. Since F is a local field the ramification groups $\mathcal{H}^i \subset \mathcal{H}$ are defined. The following proposition determines \mathcal{H}^i as a subgroup of A^\times .

PROPOSITION 12. *If $a((h-1)(m-1)) < i \leq a((h-1)m)$ then*

$$\mathcal{H}^i \cong (1 + \pi_A^m A)^\times.$$

PROOF. Let L' be the maximal totally ramified abelian extension of K associated to the Lubin-Tate group (G, α) (cf. § 2). Set $\mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(L'/K) \cong \mathcal{O}^\times$. Then by Proposition 10 the group \mathcal{H} is identified with the subgroup A^\times of $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{O}^\times$. By [13, Cor. 3.3.6] the ramification groups of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{G} are related by the formula $\mathcal{H}^{\psi_{L/K}(u)} = \mathcal{G}^u \cap \mathcal{H}$. For $m \geq 0$ and $0 \leq b < e$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^{me+b} \cap \mathcal{H} &\cong (1 + \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^{me+b} \mathcal{O})^\times \cap A^\times \\ &\cong (1 + \pi_A^m A)^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{H}^i \cong (1 + \pi_A^m A)^\times$ whenever $\psi_{L/K}((m-1)e) < i \leq \psi_{L/K}(me)$. The proposition now follows from Lemma 11. \square

Let A be the ring of integers of a complete discretely valued field \mathcal{K} with finite residue field $k_0 \cong \mathbf{F}_q$, as in § 1. Let k_0^{nr} be an algebraic closure of k_0 , and let \bar{G}/k_0^{nr} be a formal A -module of height $1 < h < \infty$. Define $S = k_0^{nr}[[t]]$ and $S_n = S/(t^{n+1})$, and let Γ/S be a universal deformation of \bar{G} to height $h-1$. Set $B = \text{End}_{k_0^{nr}}(\bar{G})$ and $D = B \otimes_A \mathcal{K}$. By [1, Prop. 1.7] the ring D is a division algebra of rank h^2 over \mathcal{K} with invariant $1/h$. By [1, Prop. 4.1] the natural map $\text{End}_{S_n}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{End}_{k_0^{nr}}(\bar{G})$ is injective. Therefore $\text{End}_{S_n}(\Gamma)$ may be viewed as an A -subalgebra of $\text{End}_{k_0^{nr}}(\bar{G}) = B$.

The following proposition allows us to determine $\text{End}_{S_n}(\Gamma)$ as an A -subalgebra of B . Let $\pi_B \in B$ be an endomorphism of \bar{G} of height 1. Then π_B is a uniformizer for B , so the powers of π_B induce a filtration on B .

PROPOSITION 13. *Let π_B be a uniformizer for B and choose $\phi \in \text{End}_{k_0^{nr}}(\bar{G}) = B$ such that*

$$\phi \in (A + \pi_B^l B) \setminus (A + \pi_B^{l+1} B) \quad (l \geq 0).$$

Write $l = hm + b$ with $0 \leq b < h$ and set

$$n = a((h-1)m) + q^{(h-1)m} \cdot \frac{q^b - 1}{q - 1} + 1.$$

Then ϕ lifts to $\text{End}_{S_{n-1}}(\Gamma)$ but not to $\text{End}_{S_n}(\Gamma)$.

PROOF. We may assume that $\phi \in \text{Aut}_k(\bar{G})$. (Otherwise replace ϕ by $1 + \phi$.) Let $\phi^* \in \text{Aut}_k(R)$ be such that ϕ lifts to an isogeny $\tilde{\phi}: \Gamma \rightarrow (\Gamma)^{\phi^*}$. Define

$$i(\phi^*) = v_t(\phi^*(t) - t).$$

One easily sees (cf. [7, Prop. 1.3]) that the conclusion of the proposition is equivalent to the statement

$$i(\phi^*) = a((h-1)m) + q^{(h-1)m} \cdot \frac{q^b - 1}{q - 1} + 1.$$

Let $K \subset D$ be a field extension of \mathcal{K} such that $\phi \in K$ and $[K : \mathcal{K}] = h$. We will construct an extension L/K as in §3 and show that the automorphism ϕ^* of $R \cong A_K(L)$ is induced by an element of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$.

Let \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers of K , and let $k \cong \mathbf{F}_{q^f}$ be the residue field of \mathcal{O} . The embedding $K \hookrightarrow D$ induces an embedding $\bar{\alpha}: \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow B$, and hence an action of \mathcal{O} on the tangent space of \bar{G} . This action induces an inclusion of k into k_0^{nr} and gives k_0^{nr} an \mathcal{O} -algebra structure such that the pair $(\bar{G}, \bar{\alpha})$ is a formal \mathcal{O} -module. By [10, Th. 1] there exists a formal \mathcal{O} -module $(\bar{G}', \bar{\alpha}')$ which is defined over k . Set $R = k[[t]]$ and let Γ'/R be a universal deformation of the formal A -module \bar{G}' to height $h-1$. By [1, Prop. 1.7] there exists an isomorphism ψ from $(\bar{G}, \bar{\alpha})$ to $(\bar{G}', \bar{\alpha}')$ defined over k_0^{nr} . Then ψ induces an isomorphism between the filtered rings $\text{End}(\bar{G})$ and $\text{End}(\bar{G}')$.

By the universal property of Γ' there is a homomorphism $\tau: R \rightarrow S$ such that

$$(\Gamma')^\tau \cong \psi^* \Gamma \circ \psi^{-1}.$$

It follows that ϕ lifts to $\text{End}_{S_i}(\Gamma)$ if and only if $\phi \circ \phi \circ \phi^{-1}$ lifts to an endomorphism of $\Gamma' \otimes_R (R/t^{i+1})$. Therefore we may assume that $(\bar{G}, \bar{\alpha})$ is defined over k , and that Γ is defined over $k[[t]]$.

Just as in §2 we associate to $(\bar{G}, \bar{\alpha})$ a totally ramified abelian extension L of K . Class field theory gives an onto map $\mathcal{O}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ whose kernel is A^\times . Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ denote the image of $\phi \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ under this map. By Proposition 9 we see that ϕ^* is the automorphism of $R \cong A_K(L)$ induced by σ^{-1} . The hypothesis on ϕ and the choice of K imply that σ lies in $\mathcal{G}^i \setminus \mathcal{G}^{i+1}$, where $i = l/f$. Then by [13, Cor. 3.3.4] we have $i(\phi^*) = \phi_{L/K}(l/f) + 1$. The proposition now follows from Lemma 11. \square

References

- [1] Drinfeld, V.G., Elliptic modules (Russian), Mat. Sb. **94**(136) (1974), 594-627, 656; English translation: Math. USSR-Sb. **23** (1976), 561-592.

- [2] Fontaine, J.M., Corps de séries formelles et extensions galoisiennes des corps locaux, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Grenoble, Université de Grenoble-I, 1971-1972, 28-38.
- [3] Fröhlich, A., Formal Groups, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 74, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968.
- [4] Fujiwara, Y., On Galois actions on p -power torsion points of some one-dimensional formal groups over $\mathbf{F}_p[[t]]$, J. Algebra **113** (1988), 491-510.
- [5] Gross, B., On canonical and quasi-canonical liftings, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 321-326.
- [6] Gross, B., Ramification in p -adic Lie extensions, Astérisque **65** (1979), 81-102.
- [7] Keating, K., Galois characters attached to formal A -modules, Compositio Math. **67** (1988), 241-269.
- [8] Keating, K., Lifting endomorphisms of formal A -modules, Compositio Math. **67** (1988), 211-239.
- [9] Lubin, J., Finite subgroups and isogenies of one-parameter formal Lie groups, Ann. of Math. **85** (1967), 296-302.
- [10] Lubin, J. and J. Tate, Formal complex multiplication in local fields, Ann. of Math. **81** (1965), 380-387.
- [11] Serre, J.-P., Corps Locaux, Hermann, Paris, 1962.
- [12] Wintenberger, J.-P., Extensions abéliennes et groupes d'automorphismes des corps locaux, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **290** (1980), A201-A203.
- [13] Wintenberger, J.-P., Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies de corps locaux; applications, Ann. Sci. École. Norm. Sup. (4) **16** (1983), 59-89.

(Received January 25, 1989)

Department of Mathematics
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109
U. S. A.