

## Symmetric Markov processes with mean field potentials

Dedicated to Professor Seizô Itô on his 60th birthday

By Shigeo KUSUOKA\*<sup>1</sup>) and Yozo TAMURA

### § 0. Introduction.

Let  $M$  be a Polish space,  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $M$  and  $\{P_x; x \in M\}$  be a family of probability measures on  $D([0, \infty) \rightarrow M)$  which induces a  $\mu$ -symmetric Markov process on  $M$ . We denote by  $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  the induced semigroup, the Dirichlet form and the infinitesimal generator on  $L^2(M; d\mu)$  respectively. Since  $P_\mu$  is a stationary probability measure on  $D([0, \infty) \rightarrow M)$ , it is extensible to a stationary probability measure on  $W (\equiv D(\mathbb{R} \rightarrow M))$ . We denote it also by  $P_\mu$  for simplicity of notation.

Now let  $V: M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a symmetric bounded continuous function. Let  $\Phi_T, T > 0$ , be a probability measure on  $W$  given by

$$(0.1) \quad \Phi_T(dw) = Z_T^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \int_{-T}^T V(w(t), w(s)) dt ds\right) P_\mu(dw),$$

where

$$(0.2) \quad Z_T = \int_W \exp\left(\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \int_{-T}^T V(w(t), w(s)) dt ds\right) P_\mu(dw).$$

In this paper, we shall study whether  $\Phi_T$  converges as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  and, if so, what is the limit probability measure.

The basic assumption which we impose on the Markov process  $P_\mu$  is the following.

$$(H.1) \quad (1 - \mathcal{L})^{-1} \text{ is a compact operator in } L^2(M; d\mu).$$

$$(H.2) \quad P_\mu \text{ satisfies the Donsker-Varadhan's large deviation principle, i. e.,}$$

$$(0.3) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \log P_\mu(\rho_T(w) \in G) \geq -\inf\{\mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi); \phi(x)^2 \mu(dx) \in G\}$$

---

\*<sup>1</sup>) Research partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Science Research 61740116 Min. Education.

for any open set  $G$  in  $\mathcal{P}(M)$ , and

$$(0.4) \quad \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \log P_\mu(\rho_T(w) \in K) \leq -\inf\{\mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi); \phi(x)^2 \mu(dx) \in K\}$$

for any closed set  $K$  in  $\mathcal{P}(M)$ , where  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  denotes the metric space consisting of all probability measures on  $M$  with Prohorov metric, and

$$(0.5) \quad \rho_T(w)(dx) \equiv \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \delta_{w(t)}(x) dt \in \mathcal{P}(M), \quad w \in W.$$

Then by virtue of Donsker-Varadhan [2], we have

$$(0.6) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \log Z_T = -\inf\{\tilde{F}(\phi); \phi \in \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{E})\},$$

where

$$(0.7) \quad \tilde{F}(\phi) = -\int_{M \times M} V(x, y) \phi(x)^2 \phi(y)^2 \mu(dx) \mu(dy) + \mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi).$$

It is likely that if there is a unique  $\phi$  minimizing the function  $\tilde{F}$ , then  $\Phi_T$  converges to a  $\phi(x)^2 \mu(dx)$ -symmetric stationary Markov process as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . However, we do not know how to prove such a statement in general. Therefore we think of more restricted cases.

Let

$$f = -\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \log Z_T, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0 \equiv \{\phi \in \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{E}); \tilde{F}(\phi) = f, \phi \geq 0\}$$

and  $\mathcal{P}_0 \equiv \{\phi(x)^2 \mu(dx); \phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0\} \subset \mathcal{P}(M)$ . We will add two more assumptions as follows.

(A.1) There are a compact metric space  $S$ , a signed measure on  $S$  with bounded total variation, and a bounded continuous function  $g: M \times S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $V(x, y) = \int_S g(x, s) g(y, s) \sigma(ds)$ .

$$(A.2) \quad \int_M \phi(x)^{-p} \mu(dx) < \infty \text{ for any } \phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0 \text{ and } 1 < p < \infty.$$

For each  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{E})$ , let

$$(0.8) \quad \lambda(\phi) = \mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi) - 2 \int_{M \times M} V(x, y) \phi(x)^2 \phi(y)^2 \mu(dx) \mu(dy)$$

and

$$(0.9) \quad \bar{V}(\phi)(x) = 2 \int_M V(x, y) \phi(y)^2 \mu(dy) + \lambda(\phi).$$

Let us define  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{00} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$  by

$$(0.10) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{00} = \left\{ \phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0; \text{ there is an } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. 4 \int_{M \times M} V(x, y) \phi(x) \phi(y) \phi(x) \phi(y) \mu(dx) \mu(dy) \right. \\ \left. \leq (1 - \varepsilon) \left( \mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi) - \int_M \bar{V}(\phi)(x) \phi(x)^2 \mu(dx) \right) \right. \\ \left. \text{for any } \phi \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E}) \text{ with } \int_M \phi(x) \phi(x) \mu(dx) = 0 \right\},$$

and let  $\mathcal{P}_{00} = \{ \phi(x)^2 \mu(dx); \phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{00} \}$ .

Our main result is the following (Theorems (3.17) and (3.18)). Under the assumptions (H.1), (H.2), (A.1) and (A.2),

$$(0.11) \quad 0 < \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{2Tf} Z_T \leq \infty,$$

$$(0.12) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{2Tf} Z_T < \infty, \text{ iff } \mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{P}_{00},$$

and

$$(0.13) \quad \text{if } \mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{P}_{00}, \text{ then } \#(\mathcal{P}_0) < \infty \text{ and } \Phi_T \text{ converges as } T \rightarrow \infty \text{ to a stationary probability measure on } W \text{ which is a convex combination of } \nu\text{-symmetric strongly mixing Markov process } (\nu \in \mathcal{P}_0).$$

We will also discuss  $r$ -body potentials ( $r \geq 3$ ) in Section 4. This work is an extension of our previous work [4] on a sequence of i.i.d. random variables, and the technique of the proof is quite similar.

*Acknowledgement.*

The authors express their gratitude to H. Spohn who suggested the problem discussed in this paper. They are also grateful to D. Dürr for the useful discussion.

**§ 1. Preliminary Remark.**

Let us think of the situation in Introduction. We assume the assumptions (H.1) and (H.2) in Introduction throughout this paper as we declared. Let  $d$  denote the Prohorov metric function on  $\mathcal{P}(M)$ . Then

by the assumptions (H.1) and (H.2), we see that

$$\overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \log P_\mu[d(\rho_T(w), \mu) > \varepsilon] < 0 \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Therefore we see that the stationary Markov measure  $P_\mu$  is ergodic.

Suppose that  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\bar{V} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded continuous function satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \phi(x) > 0 \quad \mu\text{-a. e. } x,$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad (\mathcal{L} + \bar{V})\phi = 0.$$

Let  $\nu$  be a probability measure on  $M$  given by  $d\nu = \phi^2 d\mu$ .

From (1.2), we see that

$$(1.3) \quad \phi = \exp(t \cdot (L + \bar{V}))\phi, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Let us define  $U = U_\phi : L^2(M, d\nu) \rightarrow L^2(M; d\mu)$  by

$$(1.4) \quad U_\phi \phi = \phi \cdot \phi.$$

Then  $U$  is a unitary operator. By virtue of (1.3) and Feynman-Kac's formula, there is a probability measure  $Q_\nu$  on  $W$  satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad \left. \frac{dQ_\nu}{dP_\mu} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_{-T}^T}(w) = \phi(w(-T))\phi(w(T)) \exp\left(\int_{-T}^T \bar{V}(w(t)) dt\right)$$

for any  $T > 0$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_{-T}^T = \sigma\{w(t); -T \leq t \leq T\}$ . Actually  $Q_\nu$  is a  $\nu$ -symmetric stationary Markov process and its induced semigroup  $\{Q_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $L^2(M; d\nu)$  is given by

$$(1.6) \quad Q_t = U^{-1} \cdot \exp(t(\mathcal{L} + \bar{V})) \cdot U.$$

(1.7) LEMMA. *There is a  $\lambda > 0$  such that*

$$|Q_t - \Pi|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Here  $\Pi$  is a orthogonal projection in  $L^2(M; d\nu)$  defined by

$$(1.8) \quad (\Pi\phi)(x) = \int_M \phi d\nu.$$

In other words,  $Q_\nu$  is strongly mixing and  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{L}) \subset (-\infty, -\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ .

PROOF. Let  $\Pi'$  be a projection operator in  $L^2(M; d\mu)$  given by

$$(1.9) \quad \Pi' \phi = (\phi, \phi)_{L^2} \cdot \phi.$$

Then it is obvious that

$$(1.10) \quad \Pi = U^{-1} \Pi' U.$$

Because the operator  $\exp(t(\mathcal{L} + \bar{V}))$  is a compact, symmetric and positivity preserving operator for  $t > 0$  and  $P_\mu$  is ergodic, by virtue of Perron-Frobenius' theorem, we see that  $\phi$  is a unique ground state of  $\mathcal{L} + \bar{V}$  and there is a  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$(1.11) \quad \|\exp(t(\mathcal{L} + \bar{V})) - \Pi'\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq e^{-t\lambda}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then by (1.6) and (1.10), we have our assertion. Q.E.D.

Let  $R_\phi$  be a linear operator in  $L^2(M; d\mu)$  given by

$$(1.12) \quad R_\phi = 2 \int_0^\infty (\exp(t(\mathcal{L} + \bar{V})) - \Pi') dt,$$

and let  $G_\nu$  be a linear operator in  $L^2(M; d\nu)$  given by

$$(1.13) \quad G_\nu = 2 \int_0^\infty (Q_t - \Pi) dt.$$

Then we have

$$(1.14) \quad G_\nu = U^{-1} R_\phi U.$$

**§ 2. Basic Lemmas.**

Let us think of the situation in the previous section continuously. For each  $a > 0$ , let

$$\Psi_a \equiv \left\{ \phi \in L^\infty(M; d\nu); \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \leq a, (G_\nu \phi, \phi)_{L^2} \leq 1 \text{ and } \int_M \phi d\nu = 0 \right\}.$$

Then we have the following.

(2.1) LEMMA. For any  $a > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{T > 0} \sup_{\phi \in \Psi_a} E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} \frac{1}{2T} \left(\int_{-T}^T \phi(w(t)) dt\right)^2\right), \left| \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \phi(w(t)) dt \right| < \delta \right] < \infty.$$

PROOF. Take a  $\phi \in \Psi_a$ . Then

$$E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( x \int_{-T}^T \phi(w(t)) dt \right) \right] \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \int_{-T < s_1 < \dots < s_n < T} \phi(w(s_1)) \cdots \phi(w(s_n)) ds_1 \cdots ds_n \right].$$

Set  $\tilde{Q}_i = Q_i - \Pi$  and  $\bar{\psi}$  = the multiplication operator  $\phi \cdot$ . Then they are bounded and symmetric, and also we see that  $\Pi \bar{\psi} \Pi = 0$  and  $\tilde{Q}_i \Pi = 0$ . Therefore we have

$$E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( x \int_{-T}^T \phi(w(t)) dt \right) \right] \\ = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} x^n \int_{\substack{\tau_0, \dots, \tau_{n-1} > 0 \\ \tau_0 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} < 2T}} (1, Q_{\tau_0} \bar{\psi} Q_{\tau_1} \bar{\psi} \cdots Q_{\tau_{n-1}} \bar{\psi} 1)_{L^2} d\tau_0 \cdots d\tau_{n-1}$$

and for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$(1, Q_{\tau_0} \bar{\psi} Q_{\tau_1} \bar{\psi} \cdots Q_{\tau_{n-1}} \bar{\psi} 1)_{L^2} \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{[n/2]} \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_l = n-l \\ i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 1}} (1, (\Pi \bar{\psi})(\tilde{Q}_{\tau_{i_1}} \bar{\psi}) \cdots (\tilde{Q}_{\tau_{i_l}} \bar{\psi})(\Pi \bar{\psi})(\tilde{Q}_{\tau_{i_1+1}} \bar{\psi}) \cdots \\ \times (\tilde{Q}_{\tau_{i_1+i_2}} \bar{\psi})(\Pi \bar{\psi}) \cdots (Q_{\tau_{i_1+\dots+i_{l-1}}} \bar{\psi}) 1)_{L^2} \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{[n/2]} \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_l = n-l \\ i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 1}} \prod_{j=1}^l [(1, (\Pi \bar{\psi})(\tilde{Q}_{\tau_{i_1+\dots+i_{j-1}+j}} \bar{\psi}) \cdots (\tilde{Q}_{\tau_{i_1+\dots+i_{j-1}}} \bar{\psi}) 1)_{L^2}].$$

Set  $A_k = \int_{(0, \infty)^{k-1}} (\psi, \tilde{Q}_{s_1} \bar{\psi} \cdots \tilde{Q}_{s_{k-1}} \bar{\psi} 1)_{L^2} ds_1 \cdots ds_{k-1}$ ,  $k \geq 2$ . Then, since  $(\psi, \tilde{Q}_s \psi)_{L^2} \geq 0$ , we have

$$A_2 = \int_0^\infty (\psi, \tilde{Q}_s \psi)_{L^2} ds = \frac{1}{2} (G_\nu \psi, \psi)_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$A_k \leq \|\psi\|_{L^\infty}^{k-2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{k-1} \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)^{k-1} a, \quad k \geq 3.$$

Also, we have

$$\int_{\substack{\tau_0, \dots, \tau_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ \tau_0 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} < 2T}} d\tau_0 \cdots d\tau_{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^l [(1, (\Pi \bar{\psi})(\tilde{Q}_{\tau_{i_1+\dots+i_{j-1}+j}} \bar{\psi}) \cdots \\ \times (\tilde{Q}_{\tau_{i_1+\dots+i_{j-1}}} \bar{\psi}) 1)_{L^2}] \leq \frac{(2T)^l}{l!} \prod_{j=1}^l A_{i_j+1}.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( x \int_{-T}^T \phi(w(t)) dt \right) \right] &\leq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} x^n \sum_{l=1}^{[n/2]} \sum_{\substack{i_1+\dots+i_l=n-l \\ i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 1}} \frac{(2T)^l}{l!} \prod_{j=1}^l A_{i_j+1} \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2T)^l}{l!} x^l \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i+1} x^i \right)^l = \exp \left( (2T)x^2 \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_{i+2} x^i \right) \right) \\
 &\leq \exp \left( (2T)x^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^{1+i} \left( \frac{a}{\lambda} \right)^{i+2} a \right) \right) \\
 &= \exp \left( Tx^2 \left( 1 + x \cdot \frac{4a^3}{\lambda^2} \right) \right), \quad \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \lambda/2a.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &Q_\nu \left( \left| \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \phi(w(t)) dt \right| > x \right) \\
 &\leq \exp(-2Tx^2) \left\{ E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( x \int_{-T}^T \phi(w(t)) dt \right) \right] + E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( x \int_{-T}^T -\phi(w(t)) dt \right) \right] \right\} \\
 &\leq 2 \exp \left( -2Tx^2 \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + x \cdot \frac{4a^3}{\lambda^2} \right) \right\} \right) \\
 &\leq 2 \exp \left( -2Tx^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq x \leq \frac{\lambda}{2a} \wedge \frac{\varepsilon \lambda^2}{8a^3}$ .

Set  $\delta = \frac{\lambda}{2a} \wedge \frac{\varepsilon \lambda^2}{8a^3}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2T} \left| \int_{-T}^T \phi(w(t)) dt \right|^2 \right), \left| \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \phi(w(t)) dt \right| < \delta \right] \\
 &= \int_0^{\delta} \exp(T(1-\varepsilon)x^2) Q_\nu \left[ \left| \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \phi(w(t)) dt \right| \in dx \right] \\
 &= \int_0^{\delta} 2T(1-\varepsilon) \cdot \exp(T(1-\varepsilon)x^2) Q_\nu \left[ x \leq \left| \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \phi(w(t)) dt \right| < \delta \right] dx \\
 &\quad - \left[ \exp(T(1-\varepsilon)x^2) Q_\nu \left[ x \leq \left| \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \phi(w(t)) dt \right| < \delta \right] \right]_{x=0}^{\delta} \\
 &\leq 1 + 4(1-\varepsilon) \int_0^{\delta} Tx \exp \left( -T \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x^2 \right) dx \\
 &\leq 1 + \frac{4}{\varepsilon}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves our assertion.

Q.E.D.

Now let  $\phi_i \in L^\infty(M; d\nu)$ ,  $i=1, \dots, m$ , and suppose that  $\int_M \phi_i d\nu=0$ ,  $i=1, \dots, m$ . Let  $\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1, \dots, m}$  be a symmetric matrix and let  $U(x, y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij} \phi_i(x) \cdot \phi_j(y)$ . Then we have the following.

(2.2) LEMMA. *If there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{M \times M} U(x, y) (G_\nu \phi)(x) (G_\nu \phi)(y) \nu(dx) \otimes \nu(dy) \leq (1 - \varepsilon) \cdot (G_\nu \phi, \phi)_{L^2}$$

for all  $\phi \in L^2(M; d\nu)$ , then there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{T > 0} E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \int_{-T}^T U(w(t), w(s)) dt ds \right), \right. \\ \left. \left| \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \phi_i(w(t)) dt \right| < \delta, i=1, \dots, m \right] < \infty.$$

PROOF. Let  $E \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m R \phi_i \in L^\infty(M; d\nu)$ . Then  $(G_\nu \cdot, *)|_{E \times E}$  is a strictly positive definite symmetric bilinear form. Therefore there are  $\tilde{\phi}_j \in E$  and  $\mu_i \in R$ ,  $i=1, \dots, d (= \dim E)$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad (G_\nu \tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_j) = \delta_{i,j}, \quad i, j=1, \dots, d,$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad U(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^d \mu_i \tilde{\phi}_i(x) \tilde{\phi}_i(y).$$

Then we see that  $\mu_i \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ ,  $i=1, \dots, d$  from the assumption (2.3). Therefore

$$\int_{-T}^T \int_{-T}^T U(w(t), w(s)) dt ds \leq (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \int_{-T}^T \tilde{\phi}_i(w(t)) dt \right)^2.$$

Since  $\left\{ x \in R^d; \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \right\} = \cap \left\{ \left\{ x \in R^d; (x, \xi_i) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \right\} \mid \|\xi_i\| = 1 \right\}$ , there are  $\xi_i = (\xi_i^1, \dots, \xi_i^d) \in R^d$ ,  $i=1, \dots, N$  with  $\|\xi_i\| = 1$  such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^N \left\{ x \in R^d; (x, \xi_i) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \right\} \subset \{x \in R^d; \|x\| < 1\}.$$

This implies that

$$(2.6) \quad \|x\|^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \max_{i=1, \dots, N} (x, \xi_i)^2, \quad x \in R^d.$$

Set  $\tilde{\phi}_i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^d \xi_i^j \tilde{\phi}_j \in E$ ,  $i=1, \dots, N$ . Then we see that

$$(2.7) \quad (G_\nu \tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_i)_{L^2} = 1,$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \int_M \tilde{\phi}_i(x) \nu(dx) = 0, \quad i=1, \dots, N.$$

Also we have

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \int_{-T}^T \tilde{\phi}_i(w(t)) dt \right)^2 \leq (1+\varepsilon) \max_{i=1, \dots, N} \left( \int_{-T}^T \tilde{\phi}_i(w(t)) dt \right)^2.$$

It is obvious that there is an  $a > 0$  for which  $\{\tilde{\phi}_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \Psi_a$ . Therefore by Lemma (2.1) for sufficiently small  $\delta' > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{T>0} E^{\mathbb{Q}_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \int_{-T}^T U(w(t), w(s)) dt ds \right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \max_{i=1, \dots, N} \left| \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \tilde{\phi}_i(w(t)) dt \right| < \delta' \right] \\ & \leq \sup_{T>0} \max_{i=1, \dots, N} E^{\mathbb{Q}_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2T} \left( \int_{-T}^T \tilde{\phi}_i(w(t)) dt \right)^2 \right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left| \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \tilde{\phi}_i(w(t)) dt \right| < \delta' \right] \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{\phi}_i$ 's are linear combinations of  $\phi_j$ , we have our assertion. Q.E.D.

Now let  $U : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function satisfying the assumption (A.1) in Introduction as  $V=U$ .

(2.10) LEMMA. *If there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that*

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{M \times M} U(x, y) (G_\nu \phi)(x) (G_\nu \phi)(y) \nu(dx) \otimes \nu(dy) \leq (1-\varepsilon) \cdot (G_\nu \phi, \phi)_{L^2}$$

for all  $\phi \in L^2(M; d\nu)$ , then there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{T>0} E^{\mathbb{Q}_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( T \int_{M \times M} U(y_1, y_2) (\rho_T(w) - \nu)^{\otimes 2}(dy_1 \otimes dy_2) \right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sup_{s \in \mathbb{S}} \left| \int_M g(y, s) (\rho_T(w) - \nu)(dy) \right| < \delta \right] < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let  $g_0 : M \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function given by

$$(2.12) \quad g_0(x, s) = g(x, s) - \int_M g(y, s) \nu(dy).$$

Then we have

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{M \times M} U(y_1, y_2) (\rho_T(w) - \nu)^{\otimes 2}(dy_1 \otimes dy_2) = \int_S \sigma(ds) \left( \int_M g_0(y, s) \rho_T(w)(dy) \right)^2,$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \int_M g(y, s) (\rho_T(w) - \nu)(dy) = \int_M g_0(y, s) \rho_T(w)(dy).$$

Let  $K_{T, \delta}$  denote the set  $\left\{ w \in W; \sup_{s \in \bar{S}} \left| \int_M g_0(y, s) \rho_T(w)(dy) \right| < \delta \right\}$ . Let  $\{S_k^{(m)}\}_{k=1}^m$ ,  $m=1, 2, \dots$ , be decompositions of  $S$  satisfying

$$(2.15) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \max\{\text{diameter}(S_k^{(m)}); k=1, \dots, m\} = 0.$$

Choose an element  $s_k^{(m)} \in S_k^{(m)}$  for each  $j=1, \dots, m$  and  $m=1, 2, \dots$ , and let

$$(2.16) \quad g_0^{(m)}(y, s) = \sum_{k=1}^m \chi_{S_k^{(m)}}(s) g_0(y, s_k^{(m)}), \quad y \in M, s \in S.$$

Then we have

$$(2.17) \quad d_m \equiv \sup_{s \in \bar{S}} |g_0(y, s) - g_0^{(m)}(y, s)| \longrightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $U_0: M \times M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  and  $U_0^{(m)}: M \times M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  be functions given by

$$(2.18) \quad U_0(x, y) = \int_S g_0(x, s) g_0(y, s) \sigma(ds),$$

and

$$(2.19) \quad U_0^{(m)}(x, y) = \int_S g_0^{(m)}(x, s) g_0^{(m)}(y, s) \sigma(ds) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma(S_k^{(m)}) g_0(x, s_k^{(m)}) g_0(y, s_k^{(m)}).$$

Then we see that

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{M \times M} U(x, y) (G_\nu \phi)(x) (G_\nu \phi)(y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) \\ &= \int_{M \times M} U_0(x, y) (G_\nu \phi)(x) (G_\nu \phi)(y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy), \quad \phi \in L^2(M; d\nu), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.21) \quad \int_{M \times M} |U_0(x, y) - U_0^{(m)}(x, y)|^2 \nu(dx) \nu(dy) \longrightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that  $G_\nu^{1/2}$  is a bounded linear operator. Then from the assumption (2.11) and (2.20), we have

$$(2.22) \quad \int_{M \times M} U_0(x, y) (G_\nu^{1/2} \phi)(x) (G_\nu^{1/2} \phi)(y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) \leq (1 - \varepsilon) (\phi, \phi)_{L^2}$$

for any  $\phi \in L^2(M; d\nu)$ . Therefore, by (2.21) and (2.22), there is an  $m_1$  such that

$$(2.23) \quad \int_{M \times M} U_0^{(m)}(x, y) (G_\nu \phi)(x) (G_\nu \phi)(y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) \leq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) (G_\nu \phi, \phi)_{L^2}$$

for any  $m \geq m_1$  and  $\phi \in L^2(M; d\nu)$ . Observe that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$(2.24) \quad \log E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( T \int_{M \times M} U_0(y_1, y_2) \rho_T(w)^{\otimes 2} (dy_1 \otimes dy_2) \right), K_{T, \delta} \right] \leq I_{T, m, \delta}^{(1)} + I_{T, m, \delta}^{(2)},$$

where

$$(2.25) \quad I_{T, m, \delta}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{p} \log E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( p \cdot T \int_{M \times M} U_0^{(m)}(y_1, y_2) \rho_T(w)^{\otimes 2} (dy_1 \otimes dy_2) \right), K_{T, \delta} \right],$$

$$(2.26) \quad I_{T, m, \delta}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{q} \log E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( q \cdot T \int_{M \times M} (U_0(y_1, y_2) - U_0^{(m)}(y_1, y_2)) \times \rho_T(w)^{\otimes 2} (dy_1 \otimes dy_2) \right), K_{T, \delta} \right],$$

$$p = \frac{1 - \varepsilon/3}{1 - \varepsilon/2} \text{ and } q = \frac{p}{p - 1}.$$

Let  $\sigma = \sigma_1 - \sigma_2$  be the Jordan decomposition of the signed measure  $\sigma$  and let  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ . Then  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are finite measures on  $S$ . Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{M \times M} (U_0(y_1, y_2) - U_0^{(m)}(y_1, y_2)) \rho_T(w)^{\otimes 2} (dy_1 \otimes dy_2) \\ &= \int_S \sigma(ds) \left\{ \left( \int_M g_0(y, s) \rho_T(w)(dy) \right)^2 - \left( \int_M g_0^{(m)}(y, s) \rho_T(w)(dy) \right)^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \int_S \sigma_0(ds) \left[ \frac{1}{2} d_m \left\{ \int_M (g_0(y, s) + g_0^{(m)}(y, s)) \rho_T(w)(dy) \right\}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} d_m^{-1} \left\{ \int_M (g_0(y, s) - g_0^{(m)}(y, s)) \rho_T(w)(dy) \right\}^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(2.27) \quad I_{T, m, \delta}^{(2)} \leq \frac{1}{q} \int_S \frac{1}{r} \sigma_0(ds) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( Tqr \cdot d_m \left\{ \int_M (g_0(y, s) \right. \right. \right. \right. \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + g_0^{(m)}(y, s) \rho_T(w)(dy) \Big\}^2, K_{T,\delta} \Big] \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \log E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( T q r \cdot d_m^{-1} \left\{ \int_M (g_0(y, s) - g_0^{(m)}(y, s)) \rho_T(w)(dy) \right\}^2, K_{T,\delta} \right) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $r = \sigma_0(S)$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{s \in \bar{S}} \{ q r \cdot d_m (g_0(y, s) + g_0^{(m)}(y, s))^2 \} & \longrightarrow 0, \\
 \sup_{s \in \bar{S}} \{ q r \cdot d_m^{-1} (g_0(y, s) - g_0^{(m)}(y, s))^2 \} & \longrightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_M (g_0(y, s) \pm g_0^{(m)}(y, s)) \rho_T(w)(dy) = \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T (g_0(w(t), s) \pm g_0^{(m)}(w(t), s)) dt.$$

Then by virtue of Lemma (2.1) and (2.27), we see that there exist an integer  $m_2$  and positive numbers  $\delta_m, m \geq m_2$ , such that

$$(2.28) \quad \sup_{T > 0} I_{T,m,\delta_m}^{(2)} < \infty, \quad m \geq m_2.$$

On the other hand, since  $p \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \leq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ , by Lemma (2.2), (2.23)

and (2.25), we see that for each  $m \geq m_1$ , there is a  $\delta'_m > 0$  such that

$$(2.29) \quad \sup_{T > 0} I_{T,m,\delta'_m}^{(1)} < \infty, \quad m \geq m_1.$$

Therefore from (2.24), (2.28) and (2.29) we have our assertion. Q.E.D.

### § 3. Main results.

Let us think of the situation in Introduction. We assume the assumptions (H.1), (H.2), (A.1) and (A.2) in Introduction. From the assumption (H.1), we see that the set  $\{\phi \in \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{E}); \mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi) \leq K\}$  is compact in  $L^2(M; d\mu)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{P}_0 \neq \emptyset$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \log E^{P_\mu} \left[ \exp \left( 2T \int_{M \times M} V(x, y) \rho_T(w)^{\otimes 2}(dx \otimes dy) \right), \right. \\
 \left. d(\rho_T(w), \mathcal{P}_0) > \delta \right] < -f
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\delta > 0$ , where  $d$  is the Prohorov metric of  $\mathcal{P}(M)$ .

Let  $\lambda(\phi)$  and  $\bar{V}(\phi)$  be as in Introduction ((0.8), (0.9)). Then we have

the following.

(3.2) PROPOSITION.  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{L})$ , and for each  $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$

$$(3.3) \quad (\mathcal{L} + \bar{V}(\phi)(x))\phi = 0,$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & 4 \int_{M \times M} V(x, y)\phi(x)\phi(y)\phi(x)\phi(y)\mu(dx)\mu(dy) \\ & \leq \mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi) - \int_M \bar{V}(\phi)(x)\phi(x)^2\mu(dx) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{E})$  with  $\int_M \phi(x)\phi(x)\mu(dx) = 0$ .

PROOF. Take an arbitrary  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{E})$  with  $\int_M \phi(x)\phi(x)\mu(dx) = 0$ . Then  $G(t) \equiv \tilde{F}'(\|\phi + t \cdot \phi\|_{L^2(M; d\mu)}) \geq f$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  near 0. Since  $G(0) = f$  and  $G(t)$  is smooth in  $t$  near 0, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{dG}{dt}(0) = 0,$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{d^2G}{dt^2}(0) \geq 0.$$

From (3.5), we get

$$(3.7) \quad 4 \int_{M \times M} V(x, y)\phi(y)^2\phi(x)\phi(x)\mu(dx)\mu(dy) - 2\mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi) = 0.$$

This implies that

$$(3.8) \quad 2 \int_{M \times M} V(x, y)\phi(y)^2\phi(x)\tilde{\phi}(x)\mu(dx)\mu(dy) - \mathcal{E}(\phi, \tilde{\phi}) + \lambda(\phi)(\phi, \tilde{\phi})_{L^2} = 0$$

for all  $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{E})$ . This proves the first statement. The latter one also follows from (3.6). Q.E.D.

Now take a  $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$  and fix it. By Proposition (3.2), we see that we can apply the results in Sections 1 and 2 to  $\phi$ . Let  $\nu, Q_\nu, G_\nu, U_\phi$  and  $R_\phi$  be as in Section 1.

Then we have the following.

(3.9) LEMMA. Suppose that  $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{00}$ , i. e., there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & 4 \int_{M \times M} V(x, y) \phi(x) \phi(y) \phi(x) \phi(y) \mu(dx) \mu(dy) \\ & \leq (1-\varepsilon) \left\{ \mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi) - \int_M \bar{V}(\phi)(x) \phi(x)^2 \mu(dx) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\phi \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$  with  $\int_M \phi(x) \phi(x) \mu(dx) = 0$ . Then for any  $p \in \left[1, \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right)$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{T>0} E^{\mathcal{Q}_\nu} \left[ \exp\left(p \cdot 2T \int_{M \times M} V(x, y) (\rho_T(w) - \nu)^{\otimes 2}(dx \otimes dy)\right), \right. \\ & \left. d(\rho_T(w), \nu) < \delta \right] < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. From the assumption (3.10), we see that

$$(3.12) \quad 2 \int_{M \times M} V(x, y) \phi(x) \phi(y) (R_\phi \phi)(x) (R_\phi \phi)(y) \mu(dx) \mu(dy) \leq (1-\varepsilon) (R_\phi \phi, \phi)_{L^2}$$

for any  $\phi \in L^2(M; d\mu)$ . Let  $U(x, y) = 2pV(x, y)$ . Then from (1.14) and

(3.12), we have

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{M \times M} U(x, y) (G_\nu \phi)(x) (G_\nu \phi)(y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) \leq p(1-\varepsilon) (G_\nu \phi, \phi)_{L^2}$$

for all  $\phi \in L^2(M; d\nu)$ . Noting that  $R \rightarrow \sup_{s \in S} \left| \int_M g(x, s) (R - \nu)(dx) \right|$  is a continuous function from  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  into  $R$ , we have our assertion from Lemma (2.10) and (3.13). Q.E.D.

(3.14) THEOREM. Suppose that  $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{00}$ . Then there is a  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{2\int^T E^{\mathcal{P}_\mu} \left[ \Phi(w) \cdot \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \cdot \sqrt{2} T \int u(x) (\rho_T(w) - \nu)(dx)\right) \right.} \\ & \quad \times \left. \exp\left(\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \int_{-T}^T V(w(t), w(s)) ds dt\right), d(\rho_T(w), \nu) < \delta \right] \\ & \longrightarrow E^{\mathcal{Q}_\nu}[\Phi(w)] \left( \int_M \phi(x) \mu(x) \right)^2 \cdot \det(I - G_\nu^{1/2} V_\nu G_\nu^{1/2})^{-1/2} \\ & \quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (G_\nu^{1/2} (I - G_\nu^{1/2} V_\nu G_\nu^{1/2})^{-1} G_\nu^{1/2} u, u)_{L^2(M; d\nu)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  for any bounded  $\mathcal{F}_{-T}^T$ -measurable function  $\Phi: W \rightarrow R$ ,  $u \in L^\infty(M; d\mu)$  and  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ . Here  $V_\nu$  is a nuclear operator in  $L^2(M; d\nu)$  given by

$$(3.15) \quad V_\nu \phi(x) = 2 \int_M V(x, y) \phi(y) \nu(dy).$$

PROOF. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{2fT} E^{p\mu} \left[ \Phi(w) \cdot \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \cdot \sqrt{2T} \int u(x)(\rho_T(w) - \nu)(dx)\right) \right. \\ & \quad \times \exp\left(\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \int_{-T}^T V(w(t), w(s)) ds dt\right), d(\rho_T(w), \nu) < \delta \left. \right] \\ & = E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \Phi(w) \phi(w(-T))^{-1} \phi(w(T))^{-1} \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \cdot \sqrt{2T} \int u(x)(\rho_T(w) - \nu)(dx)\right) \right. \\ & \quad \times \exp\left(2T \int_{M \times M} V(x, y)(\rho_T(w) - \nu)^{\otimes 2}(dx \otimes dy)\right), d(\rho_T(w), \nu) < \delta \left. \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Q_\nu$  has the strong mixing property, we see that  $\Phi(w), \phi(w(-T)), \phi(w(T)), \left\{ \sqrt{2T} \int v(x)(\rho_T(w) - \nu)(dx), v \in L^2(M; d\nu) \right\}$  are asymptotically independent as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  under  $Q_\nu(dw)$  and that

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \cdot \sqrt{2T} \int v(x)(\rho_T(w) - \nu)(dx)\right) \right] \\ & \quad \longrightarrow \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(G_\nu v, v)_{L^2}\right), \quad T \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $v \in L^2(M; d\nu)$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \left| \Phi(w) \phi(w(-T))^{-1} \phi(w(T))^{-1} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \times \exp\left(2T \int_{M \times M} V(x, y)(\rho_T(w) - \nu)^{\otimes 2}(dx \otimes dy)\right) \left. \right|^r, d(\rho_T(w), \nu) < \delta_0 \left. \right] \\ & \leq \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \left( \int_M \phi(x)^{-2rq+1} \mu(dx) \right)^2 \\ & \quad \left[ \times E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp\left(pr \cdot 2T \int_{M \times M} V(x, y)(\rho_T(w) - \nu)^{\otimes 2}(dx \otimes dy)\right), d(\rho_T(w), \nu) < \delta_0 \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

for  $p, q, r > 1$  with  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ . Then by Lemma (3.9), if we take  $p$  and  $r$  sufficiently close to 1 and if we take sufficiently small  $\delta_0 > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{T>0} E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \left| \Phi(w) \phi(w(-T))^{-1} \phi(w(T))^{-1} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \times \exp\left(2T \int_{M \times M} V(x, y)(\rho_T(w) - \nu)^{\otimes 2}(dx \otimes dy)\right) \left. \right|^r, d(\rho_T(w), \nu) < \delta_0 \left. \right] < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore our assertion follows from this, the asymptotic independence

and (3.15).

Q.E.D.

(3.17) THEOREM. *If  $\mathcal{P}_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}_{00} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{2fT} Z_T = \infty$ .*

PROOF. Take a  $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0 \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{00}$ . Then we have

$$e^{2fT} Z_T = E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \phi(w(-T))^{-1} \phi(w(T))^{-1} \times \exp \left( 2T \int_{M \times M} V(x, y) (\rho_T(w) - \nu)^{\otimes 2} (dx \otimes dy) \right) \right].$$

$\phi(w(-T)), \phi(w(T)), \left\{ \sqrt{2T} \int v(x) (\rho_T(w) - \nu)(dx), v \in L^2(M; d\nu) \right\}$  are asymptotically independent as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  under  $Q_\nu(dw)$ , since  $Q_\nu$  is strongly mixing. The assumption that  $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0 \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{00}$  implies that the symmetric bounded operator  $I - G_\nu^{1/2} V_\nu G_\nu^{1/2}$  has zero spectrum. Therefore we see that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} E^{Q_\nu} \left[ \exp \left( 2T \int_{M \times M} V(x, y) (\rho_T(w) - \nu)^{\otimes 2} (dx \otimes dy) \right) \right] = \infty.$$

Thus we have our assertion from Fatou's lemma.

Q.E.D.

(3.18) THEOREM. *Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{P}_{00}$ . Then*

$$(3.19) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{2fT} Z_T = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_0} \left( \int_M (d\nu/d\mu)^{1/2} d\mu \right)^2 \det(I - G_\nu^{1/2} V_\nu G_\nu^{1/2})^{-1/2},$$

and  $\Phi_T$  converges to  $\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_0} a_\nu Q_\nu$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  weakly as probability measures on  $W$ . Here

$$(3.20) \quad a_\nu = z^{-1} \left( \int_M (d\nu/d\mu)^{1/2} d\mu \right)^2 \det(I - G_\nu^{1/2} V_\nu G_\nu^{1/2})^{-1/2},$$

and

$$(3.21) \quad z = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_0} \left( \int_M (d\nu/d\mu)^{1/2} d\mu \right)^2 \det(I - G_\nu^{1/2} V_\nu G_\nu^{1/2})^{-1/2}.$$

PROOF. Let  $T_0 > 0$  and  $\Phi$  be a bounded  $\mathcal{F}_{-T_0}^{T_0}$ -measurable function on  $W$ . Then by (3.1) and Theorem (3.14), we have

$$E^{\mathcal{P}_\mu} \left[ \Phi(w) \cdot \exp \left( \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \int_{-T}^T V(w(t), w(s)) dt ds \right) \right] \longrightarrow \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_0} \left( \int_M (d\nu/d\mu)^{1/2} d\mu \right)^2 \det(I - G_\nu^{1/2} V_\nu G_\nu^{1/2})^{-1/2} E^{Q_\nu}[\Phi(w)].$$

This proves our assertion.

Q.E.D.

(3.22) REMARK. The assumptions (H.1), (H.2) and (A.2) hold if the semi-group  $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $L^2(M; d\mu)$  has the ultraboundedness property (cf. Davies-Simon [1], Kusuoka-Stroock [3] and Stroock [5]).

§ 4. Remarks on  $r$ -body potentials.

In this section, we consider  $r$ -body potentials,  $r \geq 3$ . We will state results without proof, because the proof is almost the same as in the case of pair potentials. Let  $M, W, \{P_x; x \in M\}, \mu, \{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E}$  be as in Introduction, and we assume the assumptions (H.1) and (H.2). Let  $V: M^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a symmetric bounded continuous function satisfying the following assumption.

(A.1') There are a compact metric space  $S$ , a signed measure  $\sigma$  with finite total variation, and bounded continuous functions  $f_i: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i=1, \dots, r$ , such that

$$V(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_r) = (1, \dots, r)} \int_S \prod_{j=1}^r f_{i_j}(x_j, s) \sigma(ds),$$

for any  $(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in M^r$ .

Let  $\Phi_T, T > 0$ , be a probability measure on  $W$  given by

(4.1)

$$\Phi_T(dw) = Z_T^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{(2T)^{r-1}} \int_{-T}^T \dots \int_{-T}^T V(w(t_1), \dots, w(t_r)) dt_1 \dots dt_r\right) P_\mu(dw),$$

where

(4.2)

$$Z_T = \int_W \exp\left(-\frac{1}{(2T)^{r-1}} \int_{-T}^T \dots \int_{-T}^T V(w(t_1), \dots, w(t_r)) dt_1 \dots dt_r\right) P_\mu(dw).$$

Let  $\tilde{F}: \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function given by

(4.3) 
$$\tilde{F}(\phi) = \mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi) - \int_{M^r} V(x_1, \dots, x_r) \phi(x_1)^2 \dots \phi(x_r)^2 \mu(dx_1) \dots \mu(dx_r)$$

for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{E})$ . Then by Donsker-Varadhan [2], we have

(4.4) 
$$-f \equiv \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \log Z_T = - \inf \{ \tilde{F}(\phi); \phi \in \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{E}) \}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0 = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{E}); \phi \geq 0, \tilde{F}(\phi) = f \}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{ \phi(x)^2 \mu(dx); \phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0 \}$ . For each  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{E})$ , let

$$(4.5) \quad \lambda(\phi) = \mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi) - r \int_{M^r} V(x_1, \dots, x_r) \mu(dx_1) \cdots \mu(dx_r)$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{V}(\phi)(x) = & \lambda(\phi) + r \int_{M^{r-1}} V(x, y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}) \phi(y_1)^2 \cdots \phi(y_r)^2 \\ & \times \mu(dy_1) \cdots \mu(dy_{r-1}), \quad x \in M. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following.

(4.7) PROPOSITION.  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}om(\mathcal{L})$ , and for each  $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$

$$(4.8) \quad (\mathcal{L} + \bar{V}(\phi)(x))\phi = 0.$$

Therefore we can apply the argument in Section 1 to each element  $\phi$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$ . For each  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_0$ , let  $V_\nu$  be a nuclear operator in  $L^2(M; d\nu)$  given by

$$(4.9) \quad V_\nu \phi(x) = r(r-1) \int_{M^{r-1}} V(x, z_0, \dots, z_{r-1}) \phi(z_0) \nu(dz_0) \cdots \nu(dz_{r-2})$$

for  $\phi \in L^2(M; d\nu)$ . And let

$$(4.10) \quad \mathcal{P}_{00} = \{ \nu \in \mathcal{P}_0; I - G_\nu^{1/2} V_\nu G_\nu^{1/2} \text{ is strictly positive definite in } L^2(M; d\nu) \}.$$

Furthermore we assume the following.

$$(A.2') \quad \int_M \phi(x)^{-p} \mu(dx) < \infty \text{ for any } \phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{00} \text{ and } p \in (1, \infty).$$

Then we have the following.

(4.11) THEOREM. Suppose that  $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{00}$ . Then there is a  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{2fT} E^\mu \left[ \Phi(w) \cdot \exp \left( \sqrt{-1} \cdot \sqrt{2T} \int u(x) (\rho_T(w) - \nu)(dx) \right) \right. \\ & \times \exp \left( - \frac{1}{(2T)^{r-1}} \int_{-T}^T \cdots \int_{-T}^T V(w(t_1), \dots, w(t_r)) dt_1 \cdots dt_r \right), d(\rho_T(w), \nu) < \delta \left. \right] \\ & \longrightarrow E^{\nu} [\Phi(w)] \left( \int_M \phi(x) \mu(x) \right)^2 \cdot \det(I - G_\nu^{1/2} V_\nu G_\nu^{1/2})^{-1/2} \\ & \quad \times \exp \left( - \frac{1}{2} (G_\nu^{1/2} (I - G_\nu^{1/2} V_\nu G_\nu^{1/2})^{-1} G_\nu^{1/2} u, u)_{L^2(M; d\nu)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  for any bounded  $\mathcal{F}_{-T}^T$ -measurable function  $\Phi: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u \in L^\infty(M; d\mu)$  and  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ .

(4.12) THEOREM. (1) If  $\mathcal{P}_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}_{00} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{2fT} Z_T = \infty$ .

(2) If  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{P}_{00}$ , then  $\#(\mathcal{P}_0) < \infty$  and

$$(4.13) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{2fT} Z_T = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_0} \left( \int_M (d\nu/d\mu)^{1/2} d\mu \right)^2 \det(I - G_\nu^{1/2} V_\nu G_\nu^{1/2})^{-1/2},$$

and  $\Phi_T$  converges to  $\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_0} a_\nu Q_\nu$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  weakly as probability measures on  $W$ . Here

$$(4.14) \quad a_\nu = z^{-1} \left( \int_M (d\nu/d\mu)^{1/2} d\mu \right)^2 \det(I - G_\nu^{1/2} V_\nu G_\nu^{1/2})^{-1/2},$$

and

$$(4.15) \quad z = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_0} \left( \int_M (d\nu/d\mu)^{1/2} d\mu \right)^2 \det(I - G_\nu^{1/2} V_\nu G_\nu^{1/2})^{-1/2}.$$

### References

- [1] Davies, E. B. and B. Simon, Ultracontractivity and the heat kernel for Schrödinger operators and Dirichlet Laplacians, *J. Funct. Anal.* **59** (1984), 335-395.
- [2] Donsker, M. D. and S. R. S. Varadhan, Asymptotic evaluation of certain Markov process expectations for large time I, III, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **28** (1975), 1-47, **29** (1976), 389-461.
- [3] Kusuoka, S. and D. Stroock, Some boundedness properties of certain stationary diffusion semigroups, *J. Funct. Anal.* **60** (1985), 243-264.
- [4] Kusuoka, S. and Y. Tamura, Gibbs measures for mean field potentials, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **31** (1984), 223-245.
- [5] Stroock, D., Introduction of the theory of large deviations, *Universitext Series*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York-Heidelberg, 1984.

(Received December 15, 1986)

Shigeo Kusuoka  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Science  
 University of Tokyo  
 Hongo, Tokyo  
 113 Japan

Yozo Tamura  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Keio University  
 Hiyoshi, Yokohama  
 223 Japan

Present address  
 Research Institute  
 for Mathematical Sciences  
 Kyoto University  
 Kyoto  
 606 Japan