

**A single point blow-up for solutions of
semilinear parabolic systems**

Dedicated to Professor Seizô Itô on his sixtieth birthday

By Avner FRIEDMAN and Yoshikazu GIGA

Introduction.

It is well known that solutions of the semi-linear heat equation

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t - u_{xx} &= f(u) & (-a < x < a, t < 0), \\ u(\pm a, t) &= 0 & (t > 0), \\ u(x, 0) &= \phi(x) & (-a < x < a) \end{aligned}$$

may blow-up in finite time; see [4] and the references given there. As for the precise nature of the blow-up, Weissler [6] proved (under some very restrictive assumptions on ϕ and f) that the solution blows up at the single point $x=0$. More recently Friedman and McLeod [3] established a single point blow-up under fairly general assumptions on ϕ, f . In particular, in the symmetric case where $\phi(x)=\phi(-x)$, it suffices to assume on ϕ that

$$(0.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi'(x) &\leq 0 & \text{if } 0 < x < a, \\ \phi(0) &> 0, & \phi(a) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As for f it is required to satisfy some convexity type conditions; for instance, one may take

$$(0.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f(u) &= (u + \lambda)^p & \text{with } \lambda \geq 0, p > 1, \text{ or} \\ f(u) &= e^{\mu u}, & \mu > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we consider a parabolic system

$$(0.4) \quad u_t - u_{xx} = f(v) \quad (-a < x < a, t > 0),$$

This work is partially supported by the National Science Foundation Grant MCS-8300293 and the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications at the University of Minnesota.

$$(0.5) \quad v_t - v_{xx} = g(u) \quad (-a < x < a, t > 0)$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$$(0.6) \quad \begin{aligned} u = v = 0 & \quad \text{on } x = \pm a, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad v(x, 0) = \psi(x) & \quad (-a < x < a) \end{aligned}$$

with f, g positive, increasing and superlinear and ϕ, ψ as in (0.2). We shall establish a single-point blow-up for both u and v . The method is based on extension of the method of [3] for one equation.

In § 1 we establish some general properties of the solution (u, v) . In § 2 we prove a single point blow-up provided

$$(0.7) \quad u \leq C(v^\gamma + 1), \quad v \leq C(u^{1/\gamma} + 1)$$

for some $C > 0, \gamma > 0$. The condition (0.7) is established, in § 3, for some specific examples, such as

$$f(u) = Ae^{iu}, \quad g(v) = Be^{uv}$$

and

$$f(u) = A(u + \lambda)^p, \quad g(v) = B(v + \mu)^p.$$

Finally in § 4 we extend most of the results to systems

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - u_{xx} &= f(u, v), \\ v_t - v_{xx} &= g(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Systems of nonlinear parabolic equations with blow-up are described in [1], [5] and in some of the references given in these papers.

§ 1. Preliminaries.

Consider the system

$$(1.1) \quad u_t - \alpha u_{xx} = f(v) \quad (-a < x < a, t > 0),$$

$$(1.2) \quad v_t - \beta v_{xx} = g(u) \quad (-a < x < a, t > 0)$$

with

$$(1.3) \quad u(\pm a, t) = 0 \quad (t > 0),$$

$$u(x, 0) = \phi(x) \quad (-a < x < a),$$

$$(1.4) \quad v(\pm a, t) = 0 \quad (t > 0),$$

$$v(x, 0) = \psi(x) \quad (-a < x < a),$$

where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, and assume :

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \phi(-x), \quad \phi(x) \geq 0, \quad \phi \in C^1[-a, a], \\ \phi'(x) &\leq 0 \quad \text{if } 0 < x < a, \quad \phi(a) = 0 \\ \phi(x) &= \phi(-x), \quad \phi(x) \geq 0, \quad \phi \in C^1[-a, a], \\ \phi'(x) &\leq 0 \quad \text{if } 0 < x < a, \quad \phi(a) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} f, g &\in C^1(\mathbf{R}^1), \quad f(s) > 0, \quad g(s) > 0 \quad \text{if } s > 0 : \\ f'(s) &> 0, \quad g'(s) > 0 \quad \text{if } s > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$H_\alpha w = w_t - \alpha w_{xx},$$

$$Q_\sigma = \{(x, t); -a < x < a, 0 < t < \sigma\}.$$

Then there exists a unique classical solution of (1.1)-(1.4) in some Q_{t_0} , and $u \geq 0$, $v \geq 0$ by the maximum principle. Let $T = \sup t_0$, for all t_0 as above. We claim

$$(1.7) \quad \sup_{Q_\sigma} u \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{if } \sigma \rightarrow T.$$

Indeed, otherwise we deduce from (1.2), (1.4) that also v remain bounded in Q_T . Applying standard parabolic estimates to (1.1), (1.3) and to (1.2), (1.4) we can then continue the solution u, v into $Q_{T+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, which is a contradiction.

Similarly one can show that

$$(1.8) \quad \sup v \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{if } \sigma \rightarrow T.$$

We call T the *blow-up time*.

LEMMA 1.1. *There holds :*

$$(1.9) \quad u_x < 0, \quad v_x < 0 \quad \text{if } 0 < x \leq a, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

PROOF. Differentiating (1.1), (1.2) in x and setting $U = u_x$, $V = v_x$, we get

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} H_\alpha U &= f'(v)V, \\ H_\beta V &= g'(u)U \end{aligned}$$

and $U(0, t) = 0$ (since $u(x, t) = u(-x, t)$). Further, $U(a, t) = u_x(a, t) < 0$ by the maximum principle, and $U(x, 0) = \phi'(x) \leq 0$ if $0 < x < a$. V satisfies similar

initial and boundary conditions.

Consider first the case where

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} U(x, 0) < 0, \quad V(x, 0) < 0 \quad \text{if } 0 < x < a, \\ U_x(0, 0) < 0, \quad V_x(0, 0) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$(1.12) \quad U \leq 0, \quad V \leq 0 \quad \text{in } Q_T \cap \{x > 0\}.$$

Indeed, otherwise there exists a largest σ such that

$$U \leq 0, \quad V \leq 0 \quad \text{in } Q_\sigma$$

and $\sigma < T$; by (1.11) we also have that $\sigma > 0$. From (1.10) we deduce that

$$U_t - \alpha U_{xx} \leq 0 \quad \text{in } Q_\sigma \cap \{x > 0\}$$

and by the maximum principle it then follows that $U(x, \sigma) < 0$ if $0 < x < a$ and $U_x(0, \sigma) < 0$. Noting that $U(a, \sigma) < 0$, we now conclude by continuity that $U \leq 0$ in $Q_{\sigma+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Similarly $V \leq 0$ in $Q_{\sigma+\varepsilon}$ and we therefore get a contradiction to the definition of σ .

To complete the proof of (1.12) we approximate $\phi(x)$, $\psi(x)$ by functions $\phi_n(x)$, $\psi_n(x)$ for which (1.11) holds and apply the above result. Finally, (1.9) follows from (1.12) and the maximum principle.

A point $x \in (-a, a)$ is called a *blow-up point* of u if there is a sequence (x_m, t_m) such that

$$t_m \uparrow T, \quad x_m \rightarrow x \quad \text{and} \quad u(x_m, t_m) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

where T is the blow-up time. The set of blow-up points of u are called the *blow-up set* for u .

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose u and v solve (1.1), (1.2) with (1.3)–(1.6). Then the blow-up sets for u and v coincide with some interval $[-\rho, \rho]$.*

PROOF. From Lemma 1.1 it follows that the blow-up sets for u and v coincide with some intervals $[-a_1, a_1]$ and $[-b_1, b_1]$ respectively, i. e., if $-a_1 \leq \xi \leq a_1$ then

$$\limsup_{\substack{x \rightarrow \xi \\ t \rightarrow T}} u(x, t) = \infty,$$

and if $|\xi| > a_1$ then

$$\limsup_{\substack{x \rightarrow \xi \\ t \rightarrow T}} u(x, t) < \infty,$$

and similarly for v .

Suppose now that

$$(1.13) \quad a_1 < b_1.$$

Integrating (1.1) over $\{a_1 + \lambda < x < a - \lambda, 0 < t < T\}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a_1 + \lambda}^a u(x, T) dx - \int_{a_1 + \lambda}^a \phi(x, 0) dx + \alpha \int_0^T u_x(a_1 + \lambda, t) dt \\ - \alpha \int_0^T u_x(a - \lambda, t) dt = \iint_{R_\lambda} f(u) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_\lambda = \{a_1 + \lambda < x < a - \lambda, 0 < t < T\}.$$

Integrating the last relation with respect to λ , $\delta_0 < \lambda < \delta_1$ and noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\delta_0}^{\delta_1} u_x(a_1 + \lambda, t) d\lambda &= u(a_1 + \delta_1, t) - u(a_1 + \delta_0, t), \\ \int_{\delta_0}^{\delta_1} u_x(a - \lambda, t) d\lambda &= -u(a - \delta_1, t) + u(a - \delta_0, t), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\int_{\delta_0}^{\delta_1} \int_{R_\lambda} f(v) dx dt d\lambda \leq C,$$

which implies that

$$\int_{R_{\delta_1}} f(v) dx dt \leq C.$$

Since $f(v) \geq cv$ ($c > 0$) if $v > 1$, and since $v_x \leq 0$, we deduce that

$$(1.14) \quad \int_0^T \int_{a_1 + \delta_1}^a v(x, t) dx dt \leq C.$$

In view of (1.13) we may choose δ_1 such that $4\delta_1 < b_1 - a_1$. Let $a_1 + 4\delta_1 < \xi < b_1$. We represent $v(\xi, s)$ in $S_\lambda = \{a_1 + \delta_1 + \lambda < x < a, 0 < t < s\}$ by means of Green's function G_λ (see [2]):

$$v(\xi, s) = \iint_{S_\lambda} G_\lambda g(u) dx dt + \int_{\partial S_\lambda \times \{0 < t < s\}} \frac{\partial G_\lambda}{\partial \nu} v dS dt + \int_{a_1 + \delta_1 + \lambda}^a G_\lambda \phi dx$$

and integrate both sides with respect to λ , $\delta_1 < \lambda < 2\delta_1$. Recalling (1.14) and noting that $|g(u)| \leq C$ and that $|G_\lambda| \leq C$, $|\partial G_\lambda / \partial \nu| \leq C$ on the domain of integration we deduce that

$$v(\xi, s) \leq C$$

with C independent of s . Taking $s \rightarrow T$ we get a contradiction since $\xi < b_1$. Thus (1.13) cannot hold and similarly $b_1 < a_1$ cannot hold.

In the next section we shall establish, under some conditions, that $\rho = 0$, namely the blow-up set for u and v consists of a single point.

§ 2. A single point blow-up.

We assume that, for some $M > 1$,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} pf(v) &\leq vf'(v) & \text{if } v > M, & \quad \text{where } p > 1, \\ qg(u) &\leq ug'(u) & \text{if } u > M, & \quad \text{where } q > 1 \end{aligned}$$

and that the solution (u, v) satisfies the estimates:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} u &\leq C(v^\gamma + 1) \\ v &\leq C(u^{1/\gamma} + 1) \end{aligned} \quad \text{where } C > 0, \gamma > 0 \text{ and } p > \gamma, q > \frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

Set

$$(2.3) \quad J = u_x + \varepsilon x^2(A + u)^{1+\delta},$$

$$(2.4) \quad K = v_x + \varepsilon x^2(B + v)^{1+\tilde{\delta}}, \quad \tilde{\delta} = \gamma\delta.$$

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose that u and v solve (1.1), (1.2) with (1.3)-(1.6) and suppose that (2.1), (2.2) hold. Then for any large constants $A > 0$, $B > 0$ there exist δ, ε positive and small such that, for $0 < x < a$, $0 < t < T$,*

$$(2.5) \quad H_\alpha J - f'(v)K - bJ \leq 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad H_\beta K - g'(u)J - \tilde{b}K \leq 0$$

where b, \tilde{b} are bounded functions in Q_T , for any T' smaller than the blow-up time T .

PROOF. Set

$$G(u) = (A + u)^{1+\delta}, \quad F(v) = (B + v)^{1+\tilde{\delta}}.$$

Then

$$H_\alpha J = f'(v)v_x + \varepsilon x^2 G'(u)f(v) - 4\alpha\varepsilon x G'(u)u_x - 4\alpha\varepsilon G(u) - \alpha\varepsilon x^2 G''(u)u_x^2.$$

Substituting v_x, u_x from (2.3), (2.4), we get

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} H_\alpha J - f'(v)K - bJ &< -\varepsilon x^2 F(v) f'(v) + \varepsilon x^2 G'(u) f(v) \\ &+ 4\alpha \varepsilon^2 x^3 G'(u) G(u) - 4\alpha \varepsilon G(u) \equiv R \end{aligned}$$

since $G''(u) \geq 0$.

On the set $\{v \leq M\}$ we have, by (2.2),

$$(2.8) \quad u \leq C(M^r + 1).$$

Dropping the first term in R we then get

$$\begin{aligned} R &\leq \varepsilon [x^2 G'(u) f(M) - 2\alpha G(u)] + \varepsilon G(u) [4\alpha \varepsilon x^3 G'(u) - 2\alpha] \\ &\leq \varepsilon (A + u)^\delta [C_1 - 2\alpha(A + u)] + \varepsilon G(u) [\varepsilon C_2 - 2\alpha] \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 = C_1(M)$ and C_2 depends only on A and on the constants C, M in (2.8). Choosing $A = A(M)$ such that $C_1 - 2\alpha A < 0$ and then choosing $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0(M)$ where $\varepsilon_0(M) C_2 - 2\alpha < 0$, we get $R \leq 0$. Thus (2.7) implies (2.5) on the set $\{v \leq M\}$.

Consider next the case where $v > M$. Then, by (2.2),

$$(2.9) \quad G'(u) = (1 + \delta)(A + u)^\delta \leq (1 + \delta) \left(\frac{A}{C} + v^r + 1 \right)^\delta C^\delta \leq (1 + \delta)(3v^r)^\delta C^\delta$$

since we may always increase the constant C in (2.2), if necessary. Dropping the last term in R , we have

$$(2.10) \quad R \leq -\varepsilon x^2 (S_1 + S_2)$$

where

$$S_1 = F(v) f'(v) - G'(u) f(v),$$

$$S_2 = -4\alpha \varepsilon x G'(u) G(u).$$

By (2.1), (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &\geq \left[p \frac{F(v)}{v} - G'(u) \right] f(v) \\ &\geq f(v) [p(B + v)^\delta - (1 + \delta)(3C)^\delta v^{r\delta}] \\ &= f(v) \left[p - (1 + \delta)(3C)^\delta \left(\frac{v}{B + v} \right)^{r\delta} \right] (B + v)^\delta \\ &\geq f(v) \theta (B + v)^\delta \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < \theta < p - 1$ provided δ is sufficiently small (independently of B). Since, by (2.1), $f(v) \geq cv^p$ if $v > M$, where $c > 0$, we conclude that

$$(2.11) \quad S_1 \geq c\theta v^p(B+v)^\delta.$$

Next, as in (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} -S_2 &= 4\alpha\varepsilon x(1+\delta)(A+u)^{1+2\delta} \\ &\leq 4\alpha\varepsilon x(1+\delta)(3v^\gamma)^{1+2\delta}C^{1+2\delta} \\ &= [4\alpha x(3C)^{1+2\delta}]_\varepsilon v^{\delta\gamma(1+\delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with (2.11) and recalling, by (2.2), that $p > \gamma(1+\delta)$ if δ is small enough, we obtain

$$S_1 + S_2 > 0$$

provided ε is small enough, depending on M . In view of (2.10), we again conclude that $R \leq 0$ and thus (2.5) holds also on the set $\{v \geq M\}$.

The proof of (2.6) is similar.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.1,*

$$(2.12) \quad J < 0, \quad K < 0 \quad \text{if } 0 < x < a, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 2.1, for any $\eta > 0$,

$$u_x(x, \eta) < 0 \quad \text{if } 0 < x \leq a;$$

further, from the proof of that lemma, also

$$u_{xx}(0, \eta) > 0, \quad u_x(a, t) \leq -c < 0 \quad \text{if } \eta \leq t < T.$$

Hence, if ε is very small (depending on A) then

$$J(x, \eta) < 0 \quad \text{if } 0 < x < a,$$

$$J(a, t) < 0 \quad \text{if } \eta \leq t < T.$$

Clearly also $J(0, t) = 0$ if $\eta \leq t < T$. The same holds for K . Using (2.5), (2.6) we can now proceed to argue as in Lemma 1.1 (with U, V replaced by J, K) in order to establish the assertion (2.12).

THEOREM 2.3. *Suppose that u and v solves (1.1), (1.2) with (1.3)–(1.6). If the conditions (2.1), (2.2) are satisfied, then there is a single blow-up point.*

PROOF. We proceed as in [3]. From (2.12) we have

$$-\frac{u_x}{(A+u)^{1+\delta}} \geq \varepsilon x^2.$$

Integrating with respect to x , $0 < x < \xi$, we get

$$(A+u)^{-\delta}(\xi, t) \geq (A+u)^{-\delta}(0, t) + \frac{\delta\varepsilon}{3} \xi^3 \geq \frac{\delta\varepsilon}{3} \xi^3.$$

It follows that

$$\limsup_{\substack{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0 \\ t \rightarrow T^0}} (A+u(\xi, t))^\delta < \infty \quad \text{if } \xi_0 > 0.$$

The same holds for v .

§ 3. Sufficient conditions for (2.2).

The conditions in (2.1) hold for a large class of functions f, g , including

$$(3.1) \quad f(v) = Ae^{\lambda v}, \quad g(u) = Be^{\mu u}$$

with A, λ, B, μ positive constants,

(with any $p > 1, q > 1$) and

$$(3.2) \quad f(v) = A(v + \lambda)^p, \quad g(u) = B(u + \mu)^q$$

with $A > 0, B > 0, \lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0, p \geq q > 1$.

Thus in order to apply Theorem 2.3 we only need to find effective sufficient conditions for (2.2) to hold. We shall consider here the two examples (3.1) and (3.2) (with $p=q$), restricting ourselves to

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha = \beta.$$

THEOREM 3.1. *In case (3.1), (3.3), the condition (2.2) is satisfied and, consequently, there is a single point blow-up for the initial-boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.5).*

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may take $\lambda = \mu = 1$; otherwise we can work with λu and μv . Let $J = Me^u - e^v$. Then

$$H_\alpha J = (MA - B)e^{u+v} - \alpha Me^u u_x^2 + \alpha e^v v_x^2.$$

Since

$$Me^u u_x = e^v v_x + J,$$

we have

$$u_x^2 = \frac{e^{2v}}{M^2 e^{2u}} v_x^2 + b J_x$$

for some function b and, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha J - \tilde{b} J_x &= (MA - B)e^{u+v} + \alpha \left(e^v - Me^u \frac{e^{2v}}{M^2 e^{2u}} \right) v_x^2 \\ &= (MA - B)e^{u+v} + \alpha e^v \frac{J}{Me^u} v_x^2 ; \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$H_\alpha J - \tilde{b} J_x - cJ = (MA - B)e^{u+v} > 0$$

if $M > B/A$, where \tilde{b}, c are suitable functions, bounded in $Q_{T'}$ for any $T' < T$. Applying the maximum principle we easily deduce that $J > 0$ if M is large enough so that $J(x, 0) > 0$. Consequently $v \leq C(u+1)$ for some constant C . Similarly $u \leq C(v+1)$, and (2.2) follows with $\gamma = 1$.

We now turn to the case (3.2).

LEMMA 3.2. *In case (3.2), (3.3), the second inequality of (2.2) holds with $\gamma = (p+1)/(q+1)$.*

PROOF. Introduce the functions

$$h(v) = \frac{(v+\lambda)^{p+1}}{p+1}, \quad k(u) = M \frac{(u+\mu)^{q+1}}{q+1}$$

and set

$$(3.4) \quad J = k(u) - h(v).$$

Then

$$H_\alpha J = Ak'(u)(v+\lambda)^p - \alpha k''(u)u_x^2 - Bh'(v)(u+\mu)^q + \alpha h''(v)v_x^2.$$

Since

$$k'(u)u_x = h'(v)v_x + J_x,$$

we have

$$u_x^2 = \frac{h'(v)^2}{k'(u)^2} v_x^2 + b J_x$$

with some coefficient b . Hence

$$H_\alpha J - \bar{b} J_x = (MA - B)(u + \mu)^q (v + \lambda)^p + \alpha \left[p(v + \lambda)^{p-1} - \frac{(v + \lambda)^{2p}}{M^2(u + \mu)^{2q}} Mq(u + \mu)^{q-1} \right] v_x^2.$$

Since, by (3.4),

$$\frac{(v + \lambda)^{p+1}}{p+1} = M \frac{(u + \mu)^{q+1}}{q+1} + J,$$

the last expression in brackets is equal to

$$(v + \lambda)^{p-1} \left[p - \frac{p+1}{q+1} q \right].$$

Thus

$$(3.5) \quad H_\alpha J - \bar{b} J_x - cJ = (MA - B)(u + \mu)^q (v + \lambda)^p + \alpha q (v + \lambda)^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{q} - \frac{p+1}{q+1} \right) v_x^2$$

for some coefficient c . Observing that $p/q > (p+1)/(q+1)$ and choosing $M > B/A$, we obtain

$$H_\alpha J - \bar{b} J_x - cJ \geq 0.$$

We now fix any small $\eta > 0$ and choose M such that $Mu(x, \eta) \geq v(x, \eta)$. Then, by the maximum principle, $J \geq 0$ in $Q_T \setminus Q_\eta$, i. e., $k(u) \geq h(v)$, and the second part of (2.2) follows.

It appears difficult to establish the first part of (2.2) in case $p > q$. From Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 2.3 we get:

THEOREM 3.3. *In case (3.2), (3.3) with $p=q$, there is a single point blow-up for the initial-boundary problem (1.1)-(1.5).*

§ 4. Generalizations.

In this section we extend most of the results of the previous sections to the system

$$(4.1) \quad u_t - \alpha u_{xx} = f(u, v) \quad (-a < x < a, t > 0),$$

$$(4.2) \quad v_t - \beta v_{xx} = g(u, v) \quad (-a < x < a, t > 0),$$

with the same initial-boundary conditions (1.3), (1.4), and with ϕ, ψ satisfying (1.5). We assume that

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f, g &\in C^1(\mathbf{R}^2), \\ f(u, v) &> 0, \quad g(u, v) > 0 \quad \text{if } u > 0, \quad v > 0, \\ f_u &\geq 0, \quad f_v \geq 0, \quad g_u \geq 0, \quad g_v \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the assertions $u_x < 0$, $v_x < 0$ in Lemma 1.1 remain valid with minor changes in the proof.

In order to extend the results of § 2 we assume that

$$(4.4) \quad u \leq C(v+1), \quad v \leq C(u+1)$$

for the solution, and that, for some $M > 1$,

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} pf &\leq uf_u + vf_v & \text{if } v > M, \quad u > \frac{v}{C} - 1, & \quad \text{where } p > 1, \\ pg &\leq ug_u + vg_v & \text{if } u > M, \quad v > \frac{u}{C} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4.1. *Let J, K be defined by (2.3), (2.4) with $\bar{\delta} = \delta$. Then for any large constants $A > 0, B > 0$ there exist δ, ε positive and small such that*

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha J - f_v K - bJ &\leq 0, \\ H_\beta K - g_u J - \bar{b}K &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where b, \bar{b} are bounded functions in Q_T , for any $T' < T$.

PROOF. Proceeding as in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha J - f_v K - bJ &\leq -\varepsilon x^2 (f_u G(u) + f_v F(v)) + \varepsilon x^2 G'(u) f \\ &\quad + 4\alpha \varepsilon^2 x^3 G'(u) G(u) - 4\alpha \varepsilon G(u) \equiv R \end{aligned}$$

where $f_u G(u)$ is a new term. On the set $\{v \leq M\}$ we can establish that $R \leq 0$ precisely as before, provided we replace $f(M)$ by $f(C(M+1), M)$.

Consider next the case where $v > M$. Then (2.10) remains valid with

$$S_1 = f_u G(u) + f_v F(v) - G'(u) f(u, v)$$

and with the same S_2 as before; notice that $f_u G(u)$ is a new term.

We easily estimate

$$S_1 \geq f_u u (A+u)^\delta + f_v v (B+v)^\delta - (1+\delta)(3C)^\delta v^\delta f$$

and

$$(A+u)^\delta \geq \left(A + \frac{v-1}{C}\right)^\delta \geq \frac{\sigma}{C^\delta}(v+1), \quad \sigma = \sigma(M).$$

We may assume that $B \geq 1$ and $\sigma/C^\delta < 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &\geq (f_u u + f_v v)(v+1)^\delta \frac{\sigma}{C^\delta} - (1+\delta)(3C)^\delta v^\delta f \\ &\geq f \left[\frac{p\sigma}{C^\delta} - (1+\delta)(3C)^\delta \left(\frac{v}{1+v}\right)^\delta \right] (1+v)^\delta, \quad \text{by (4.5)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.5) we also infer that

$$f(u, v) \geq \theta(u^2 + v^2)^{p/2} \geq \theta' v^p \quad \text{if } v > M,$$

where θ, θ' are positive constants. Hence, if δ is chosen small enough, then

$$S_1 \geq \bar{\theta} v^p (1+v)^\delta, \quad \bar{\theta} > 0.$$

Since the estimate of S_2 is precisely as in Lemma 2.1, we conclude that $R \leq 0$. The rest of the proof now proceeds as before.

THEOREM 4.2. *Suppose that u and v solve (4.1), (4.2) with (1.3)-(1.5). If the conditions (4.3)-(4.5) are satisfied, then there is a single blow-up point.*

This follows from Lemma 4.1 by the same arguments as in Theorem 2.3.

In order to establish the condition (4.4) we need a comparison lemma.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and assume that for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$(4.6) \quad g(y+1, \varepsilon y) \geq \varepsilon f(y+1, \varepsilon y) \quad \text{if } y \geq 0$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \varepsilon \phi \leq \phi + \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$(4.8) \quad \varepsilon u \leq v + \varepsilon \quad \text{in } Q_T.$$

PROOF. Set $w = v - \varepsilon(u-1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Hw &= Hv - \varepsilon Hu = g(u, \varepsilon(u-1) + w) - \varepsilon f(u, \varepsilon(u-1) + w) \\ &= g(u, \varepsilon(u-1)) - \varepsilon f(u, \varepsilon(u-1)) + \tilde{c}w \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{c} is a function of (x, t) . Denote by \tilde{Q} the open set $\{u > 1\}$ and by $\partial\tilde{Q}$ the parabolic boundary of \tilde{Q} . Then

$$Hw - \tilde{c}w \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{Q},$$

by (4.6), and by (4.7) $w \geq 0$ at any point of $\partial\tilde{Q} \cap \partial Q_T$. On $\partial\tilde{Q} \cap Q_T$ we clearly have $u=1$ and thus $w=v>0$. By the maximum principle it then follows that $w \geq 0$ in \tilde{Q} , i. e., $\varepsilon u \leq v + \varepsilon$. Outside \tilde{Q} we also have $\varepsilon u \leq \varepsilon \leq v + \varepsilon$.

The condition (4.6) is satisfied for a large class of functions, such as,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.9) \quad f(u, v) &= (v + \alpha_1)^p (A_1 u + A_2 v)^m, \\ g(u, v) &= (u + \beta_1)^q (B_1 u + B_2 v)^m, \quad m \geq 1, q \geq p \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(4.10) \quad \alpha_1 \geq 0, \quad \beta_1 \geq 0, \quad A_1 \geq 0, \quad A_2 \geq 0, \quad B_1 \geq 0, \quad B_2 \geq 0$$

provided $B_1 > 0$. Since (4.7) is always satisfied by taking ε small, we can assert :

THEOREM 4.4. *Consider (4.1), (4.2), (1.3), (1.4) with f, g given by (4.9), (4.10) with $m \geq 1, p=q \geq 1, A_2 > 0, B_1 > 0$. If ϕ, ψ satisfy (1.5) then the solution has a single point blow-up.*

References

- [1] Brunell, J. G., Lacey, A. A. and G. C. Wake, Steady states of reaction-diffusion equations. Part I: Questions of existence and continuity of solution branches, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B 24 (1983), 374-391.
- [2] Friedman, A., Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1964 (Reprinted by Krieger).
- [3] Friedman, A. and B. McLeod, Blow-up of positive solutions of semilinear heat equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34 (1985), 425-447.
- [4] Lacey, A. A., Mathematical analysis of thermal runaway for spatially inhomogeneous reactions, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 43 (1983), 1350-1366.
- [5] Pao, C. V., On nonlinear reaction-diffusion systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 87 (1982), 165-198.

- [6] Weissler, F.B., Single point blow-up of semilinear initial value problems, J. Differential Equations 55 (1984), 204-224.

(Received December 25, 1985)

Avner Friedman
Center for Applied Mathematics
Purdue University
West Lafayette IN 47907
U. S. A.

Yoshikazu Giga
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Nagoya University
Nagoya
464 Japan

Present Addresses

Avner Friedman
Institute for Mathematics and its Applications
University of Minnesota
514 Vincent Hall
Minneapolis, MN55455
U.S.A.

Yoshikazu Giga
Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University
Sapporo
060 Japan