

On compact Riemannian manifolds admitting essential projective transformations

Dedicated to Professor Nagayoshi Iwahori on his 60th birthday

By Tadashi NAGANO and Takushiro OCHIAI

§ 1. Introduction.

Let (M, g) be an m dimensional connected compact Riemannian manifold ($m \geq 3$). Let $C(M, g)$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}(M, g)$) be the group of conformal (resp. projective) transformations of (M, g) , which is endowed with compact-open topology. We write $C(M, g)_0$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}(M, g)_0$) for the identity connected component of $C(M, g)$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}(M, g)$). We know that both $C(M, g)_0$ and $\mathcal{P}(M, g)_0$ are finite dimensional Lie transformation groups on M . It is well known that if $C(M, g)_0$ is not compact, then (M, g) is conformally equivalent to the standard sphere ([4]). On the other hand, the following has been a conjecture for a long time:

(1.1) CONJECTURE. *If $\mathcal{P}(M, g)_0$ is not compact, then (M, g) is projectively equivalent to either the standard sphere or the standard projective space.*

In this paper we shall prove a partial result on the above conjecture. To explain our result, let X be a C^∞ vector field on M . For $x \in M$, we define the *order* $o(X; x)$ of X at x as follows: Let (x^1, \dots, x^m) be a local coordinate system of M around x and $X = \sum a^i \partial / \partial x^i$ the local expression of X . We set

$$o(X; x) = \inf\{\text{the order of zero of } a^i \text{ at } x\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}(X, g)$ be the Lie algebra of infinitesimal projective transformations of (M, g) . Then $\mathfrak{p}(M, g)$ is naturally identified with the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{P}(M, g)_0$. Moreover we know $o(X; x) \leq 2$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{p}(M, g)$, $X \neq 0$, and any $x \in M$. We shall prove

(1.2) THEOREM. *If there exists a vector field X in $\mathfrak{p}(M, g)$ with $o(X, x) = 2$ for some point x in M , then the compact connected Riemannian*

manifold (M, g) is projectively equivalent to either the standard sphere or the standard projective space.

We remark that if $\mathcal{P}(M, g)_o$ is compact, then $o(X; x) \leq 1$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{p}(M, g)$ and any $x \in M$. Thus we believe that our result is a substantial evidence supporting Conjecture 1.1 to be true. In this paper, we shall freely use the results proved in [3], [1], [2] and [5], although we recall all the definitions and the results which are needed in this paper.

§ 2. Projective spaces and projective groups.

Let $P^m(\mathbf{R})$ be a real projective space of dimension m with homogeneous coordinate system $[\xi^0 : \xi^1 : \dots : \xi^m]$. The group of the projective transformations of $P^m(\mathbf{R})$, denoted by L , is $GL(m+1; \mathbf{R})$ modulo its center; the obvious action of $GL(m+1; \mathbf{R})$ on \mathbf{R}^{m+1} induces the action of L on the projective space $P^m(\mathbf{R})$. We consider \mathbf{R}^m as an open subset of $P^m(\mathbf{R})$ by the identification

$$(2.1) \quad (v^1, \dots, v^m) \in \mathbf{R}^m \longmapsto [v^1 : \dots : v^m : 1] \in P^m(\mathbf{R}).$$

Let o be the origin of $\mathbf{R}^m \subset P^m(\mathbf{R})$. Let L_o be the isotropy subgroup of L at o so that $P^m(\mathbf{R}) = L/L_o$. Write \mathfrak{I} for the Lie algebra of L and \mathfrak{I}_o for the Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{I} corresponding to L_o . Then \mathfrak{I} is naturally identified with $\mathfrak{sl}(m+1; \mathbf{R})$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{-1} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}(m+1; \mathbf{R}); u \in \mathbf{R}^m \right\} \\ \mathfrak{g}_0 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}(m+1; \mathbf{R}); a \in \mathbf{R} \right\} \\ \mathfrak{g}_{-1} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_v \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}(m+1; \mathbf{R}); v \in \mathbf{R}^m \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I} &= \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1, \\ \mathfrak{I}_o &= \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1, \\ [\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] &\subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we set $\mathfrak{g}_i = 0$ if $i \neq -1, 0, 1$. We need a slightly different description of \mathfrak{I} . Let $(\mathbf{R}^m)^*$ be the dual space of \mathbf{R}^m ; an element of $(\mathbf{R}^m)^*$ will be a row vector. Set

$$\mathfrak{I}' = \mathbf{R}^m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(m; \mathbf{R}) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^m)^*.$$

Then \mathcal{Y}' is a Lie algebra with the following bracket operation: if $u, v \in \mathbf{R}^m$, $\xi, \eta \in (\mathbf{R}^m)^*$ and $A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}(m; \mathbf{R})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [u, v] &= 0, & [\xi, \eta] &= 0, \\ [A, u] &= Au, & [\xi, A] &= \xi A, \\ [A, B] &= AB - BA, & [u, \xi] &= u\xi - \xi u I_m, \end{aligned}$$

where I_m denotes the identity matrix of degree m . Define a mapping $\iota: \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ by

$$\iota(u \oplus A \oplus \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -\frac{1}{m+1} \text{Tr } A & 0 \\ \hline 0 & A - \left(\frac{1}{m+1} \text{Tr } A\right) I_m \end{array} \right) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then ι is a Lie algebra isomorphism. By this isomorphism ι , we identify \mathcal{I} with \mathcal{Y}' , that is, $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathbf{R}^m$, $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{gl}(m; \mathbf{R})$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1 = (\mathbf{R}^m)^*$.

§ 3. Projective structures.

Let M be a C^∞ manifold of dimension m . Let $\pi_k: P^k(M) \rightarrow M$ be the bundle of the k -th frames of M . It is a principal bundle with the structure group $G^k(m)$. Remark that $G^1(m) = GL(m; \mathbf{R})$ and $\pi_1: P^1(M) \rightarrow M$ is the usual frame bundle of M . We also remark $G^1(m) \subset G^2(m)$ in the natural fashion. Let $\pi_2^1: P^2(M) \rightarrow P^1(M)$ be the natural projection. If $f: M \rightarrow M$ is a diffeomorphism of M , then f induces the bundle isomorphism $f_{(k)}: P^k(M) \rightarrow P^k(M)$ with $\pi_k \circ f_{(k)} = f \circ \pi_k$. Write θ for the canonical form of $P^2(M)$ (for the definition, see p. 224 of [3]). Since θ is $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0)$ -valued, we set $\theta = (\theta^i) + (\theta_j^i)$. We remark $f_{(2)}^* \theta^i = \theta^i$ and $f_{(2)}^* \theta_j^i = \theta_j^i$. Write $\theta = (\theta^i)$ for the canonical form of the frame bundle $P^1(M)$. Then we have

$$(3.1) \quad (\pi_2^1)^* \theta^i = \theta^i.$$

With respect to the inclusion $\mathbf{R}^m \subset P^m(\mathbf{R})$ described in (2.1), we have the mapping

$$\sigma \in L_0 \longrightarrow j^2(\sigma) \in G^2(m).$$

Since this mapping is injective, we consider L_0 as a subgroup of $G^2(m)$. Moreover we have

$$(3.2) \quad GL(m; \mathbf{R}) = G^1(m) \subset L_0 \subset G^2(m).$$

The Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{l}_0 corresponding to $GL(m; \mathbf{R})$ with respect to inclusion (3.2) is exactly \mathfrak{g}_0 in (2.2).

A principal subbundle P of $P^2(M)$ with structure group $L_0 (\subset G^2(m))$ is called a *projective structure* on M . A diffeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M$ is called an automorphism of P if $f_{(\omega)}(P) = P$. We write $\text{Aut}(M; P)$ for the group of the automorphisms of P . A projective Cartan connection on P is, by definition, a 1-form ω on P with values in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{l} of L satisfying the following conditions:

$$(2.3) \quad \omega(A^*) = A \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathfrak{l}_0,$$

where A^* is the fundamental vector field on P corresponding to A ;

$$(2.4) \quad (R_\sigma)^* \omega = \text{Ad}(\sigma^{-1}) \omega,$$

where $\text{Ad}(\sigma)$ denotes the adjoint representation of L_0 on \mathfrak{l} ;

$$(2.5) \quad \omega(X) \neq 0 \quad \text{for every nonzero tangent vector } X \text{ of } P.$$

Let P^L be the principal bundle over M obtained by enlarging the structure group of P to L , that is

$$P^L = P \times_{L_0} L.$$

Then P is a subbundle of P^L and a projective Cartan connection ω in P can be uniquely extended to a principal L connection form on P^L , which is denoted by ω' . According to the decomposition $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ in (2.2), we set

$$\omega = \omega_{-1} \oplus \omega_0 \oplus \omega_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega' = \omega'_{-1} \oplus \omega'_0 \oplus \omega'_1.$$

The curvature form Ω of the projective Cartan connection ω is defined by

$$\Omega = d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega].$$

According to the decomposition $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbf{R}^m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(m; \mathbf{R}) \oplus (\mathbf{R}^m)^*$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \Omega_{-1} \oplus \Omega_0 \oplus \Omega_1 \\ &= (\Omega^i) \oplus (\Omega_j^i) \oplus (\Omega_j). \end{aligned}$$

Using the canonical form $\theta = (\theta^i) \oplus (\theta_j^i)$, we have the decomposition as follows:

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} \Omega^i = \frac{1}{2} \sum K_{jk}^i \theta^j \wedge \theta^k, \\ \Omega_j^i = \frac{1}{2} \sum K_{jkl}^i \theta^k \wedge \theta^l, \\ \Omega_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum K_{ijk} \theta^j \wedge \theta^k, \end{cases}$$

where K_{jk}^i, K_{jkl}^i and K_{ijk} are functions on P (see Proposition 2 of [3]).

We know that there exists a unique projective Cartan connection $\omega_P = \omega_{-1} \oplus \omega_0 \oplus \omega_1$ with the following properties :

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \omega_{-1} = (\Theta^i) & \text{and} & \omega_0 = (\Theta_j^i), \\ \sum_i \Omega_i^i = 0, \\ \sum_i K_{jil}^i = 0. \end{cases}$$

We call this unique projective Cartan connection ω_P the *normal projective Cartan connection* of the projective structure P . From the uniqueness, we have

$$(3.8) \quad f_{(2)}^* \omega_P = \omega_P$$

for each $f \in \text{Aut}(M; P)$. Each $f \in \text{Aut}(M; P)$ extends uniquely to the bundle automorphism $f'_{(2)}$ of P^L . Then we have

$$(3.9) \quad (f'_{(2)})^* \omega'_P = \omega'_P.$$

It is known that there exists a unique \mathfrak{g}_0 -valued 2-form Φ_j^i on $P^1(M)$ such that $(\pi_1^2)^* \Phi_j^i = \Omega_j^i$. In view of (3.1) and (3.6), there exist the functions H_{jkl}^i on $P^1(M)$ such that $K_{jkl}^i = H_{jkl}^i \cdot \pi_2^1$. In particular (Ω_j^i) uniquely determines the tensor field W_P on M of type (1,3). We call W_P the *projective curvature tensor of Weyl*. We know if $W_P \equiv 0$, then the projective structure P is flat ([3]). We remark here

$$H_{jkl}^i \cdot f_{(1)} = H_{jkl}^i$$

for each $f \in \text{Aut}(M; P)$. Or equivalently we have

$$(3.10) \quad f^* W_P = W_P$$

for each $f \in \text{Aut}(M; P)$.

From (3.3) and (3.5), we know that for each $v \in \mathbf{R}^n = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, there exists uniquely a vector field $B(v)$ on P satisfying

$$\Theta_{-1}(B(v)) = v, \quad \Theta_0(B(v)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_1(B(v)) = 0.$$

We call $B(v)$ the *standard horizontal vector fields* corresponding to v .

§ 4. Development.

In this section we fix a C^∞ manifold M of dimension m and a projective structure P on M . We write $\omega_P = \Theta_{-1} \oplus \Theta_0 \oplus \omega_1$ for the normal projective

Cartan connection of P . As explained in the previous section, the Cartan connection ω_P naturally defines the principal L -connection $\omega'_P = \Theta'_{-1} + \Theta'_0 + \omega'_1$ on $P^L = P \times_{L_0} L$.

Fix $x \in M$ and $p \in P$ with $\pi_3(p) = x$. Let I be an open interval of \mathbf{R} with $a \in I$. Let $c: I \rightarrow M$ be a regular C^∞ curve with $c(a) = x$. Let $c^*(t; a, p)$ be the horizontal lift of $c(t)$ through p in P^L with respect to the principal L -connection ω'_P , that is

$$(4.1) \quad \omega'_P \left(\frac{d}{dt} c^*(t; a, p) \right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c^*(a; a, p) = p.$$

Now choose any C^∞ curve $\rho: I \rightarrow L$ such that $\rho(a) = e$ and $c^*(t; a, p) \cdot \rho(t) \in P$ for any $t \in I$. Set $\bar{c}(t; a, p) = \rho(t) \cdot o \in P^m(\mathbf{R})$. Then $\bar{c}(t; a, p)$ is a C^∞ curve in $P^m(\mathbf{R})$ whose definition is independent of the particular choice of the curve $\rho(t)$. The curve $\bar{c}(t; a, p)$ is called the *development of the curve $c(t)$ at $t=a$ with initial point p* . Take $b \in I$ and $q \in P$ such that $\pi_2(q) = c(b)$. There exists uniquely $\tau \in L_0$ such that $q = c^*(b; a, p) \cdot \rho(b) \cdot \tau$. Then $c^*(t; b, q) = c^*(t; a, p) \cdot \rho(b) \cdot \tau$ and $c^*(t; b, q) \cdot \tau^{-1} \cdot \rho(b)^{-1} \cdot \rho(t) \tau \in P$. Hence we have

$$(4.2) \quad \bar{c}(t; b, q) = \tau^{-1} \cdot \rho(b)^{-1} \cdot \bar{c}(t; a, p).$$

In particular we know that the curve $\bar{c}(t; b, q)$ is contained in a straight line in $P^m(\mathbf{R})$ if and only if $\bar{c}(t; a, p)$ is. Keeping this fact in mind, we call the regular curve $c(t)$ a *straight line* of P if the development $\bar{c}(t; a, p)$ is contained in a straight line through o in $P^m(\mathbf{R})$. Moreover if $\bar{c}(t; a, q)$ is a straight line with affine parameter t for some $q \in \pi_2^{-1}(x)$, then we call the curve $c(t)$ a *straight line with projective parameter*.

In the rest of this paper, we sometimes write $c^*(t)$ (resp. $\bar{c}(t)$) for $c^*(t; a, p)$ (resp. $\bar{c}(t; a, p)$) if there is no danger of confusion.

(4.3) LEMMA. *The development $\bar{c}(t)$ is a regular curve in $P^m(\mathbf{R})$.*

PROOF. Since $\pi_2(c^*(t) \cdot \rho(t)) = \pi_2(c^*(t)) = c(t)$ and $c(t)$ is a regular curve, we have

$$0 = \Theta_{-1} \left(\frac{d}{dt} (c^*(t) \cdot \rho(t)) \right) = \Theta'_{-1} \left(\frac{d}{dt} (c^*(t) \cdot \rho(t)) \right).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} (c^*(t) \rho(t)) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} c^*(t) \right) \cdot \rho(t) + \left(\rho(t)^{-1} \frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} \right)^* c^*(t) \rho(t).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq \Theta'_{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}c^*(t) \cdot \rho(t)\right) + \Theta'_{-1}\left(\left(\rho(t)^{-1}\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt}\right)^*_{c(t),\rho(c)}\right). \\ &= Ad(\rho(t)^{-1})\Theta'_{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}c^*(t)\right) + \left(\text{the } \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\text{-component of } \rho(t)^{-1}\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt}\right). \\ &= \text{the } \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\text{-component of } \rho(t)^{-1}\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\bar{c}(t)}{dt} = 0 &\iff \rho(t)^{-1}\frac{d\bar{c}(t)}{dt} = 0 \\ &\iff \rho(t)^{-1}\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} \in \mathfrak{L}_0 (= \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1) \\ &\iff \text{the } \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\text{-component of } \rho(t)^{-1}\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $d\bar{c}(t)/dt \neq 0$.

q. e. d.

(4.4) LEMMA. Let f be in $\text{Aut}(M; P)$ with $f(x) = x$. Let σ_f be the unique element of L_0 such that $f_{(2)}(p) = p \cdot \sigma_f$. Then the curve $\sigma_f \cdot \bar{c}(t; a, p)$ is the development of the curve $f(c(t))$ at $t = a$ with $c(a) = p$.

PROOF. Let $f'_{(2)}$ be the bundle isomorphism of P^L induced by $f_{(2)}$. From (3.9), we have $(f'_{(2)})^* \omega'_P = \omega'_P$. Hence $f'_{(2)}(c^*(t)) \cdot \sigma_f^{-1}$ is the horizontal lift of $f(c(t))$ through p . Then we have

$$f'_{(2)}(c^*(t)) \cdot \sigma_f^{-1} \cdot \sigma_f \cdot \rho(t) = f'_{(2)}(c^*(t)) \cdot \rho(t) = f_{(2)}(c^*(t) \cdot \rho(t)) \in P.$$

Thus the development of $f(c(t))$ at x with the initial condition p is $\sigma_f \cdot \rho(t) \cdot o = \sigma_f \cdot \bar{c}(t)$.

q. e. d.

(4.5) LEMMA. Let $\varphi: J \rightarrow I$ be a diffeomorphism with $\varphi(b) = a$. Then we have $\overline{(c \circ \varphi)}(t; b, p) = \bar{c}(\varphi(t); a, p)$.

PROOF. We have $(c \circ \varphi)^*(t; b, p) = c^*(\varphi(t); a, p)$ and $(c \circ \varphi)^* \rho(\varphi(t)) \in P$. Since $\bar{c}(\varphi(t); a, p) = \rho(\varphi(t))o$, our assertion is true.

q. e. d.

(4.6) PROPOSITION. Fix $x \in M$ and $p \in P$ with $\pi_2(p) = x$. There exist an open ball U of o in $\mathbf{R}^m \subset P^m(\mathbf{R})$, an open neighbourhood V of x in M and a diffeomorphism $E: U \rightarrow V$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $E(o) = x$;
- (2) For the curve $c(t) = E(tv)$, we have $\bar{c}(t; o, p) = tv$.
- (3) For any $v \in U$, $c(t) = E(tv)$ is a straight line of P with affine parameter such that $\dot{c}(o) = \pi_2(B(v)_p)$.

PROOF. For each $v \in \mathbf{R}^m = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, we write $B(v)$ for the standard horizontal vector field corresponding to v . Remark that for each $s \in \mathbf{R}$, we have $B(sv) = sB(v)$. Set $F(v, t) = \pi_2(\exp tB(v) \cdot p)$. This is a C^∞ -map which is defined for $\|v\| \leq 1$, $|t| < \varepsilon$. We remark that $B(sv) = sB(v)$ implies $F(sv, t) = F(v, st)$. Set $E(v) = F(v, 1)$. Then E is a C^∞ -map which is defined for $\|v\| < \varepsilon/2$. Clearly $E(o) = x$. We shall calculate the differential of E at o . Take any $v \in \mathbf{R}^m$. Setting $\pi_2^1(p) = \alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E(tv)|_{t=0} &= \frac{d}{dt} F(tv, 1)|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} F(v, t)|_{t=0} \\ &= \pi_{2*}(B(v)_p) \\ &= \pi_{1*}(\pi_2^1)_*(B(v)_p) \\ &= \alpha(\theta((\pi_2^1)_*(B(v)_p))) \\ &= \alpha(\Theta_1(B(v)_p)) \\ &= \alpha(v). \end{aligned}$$

(from (3.1))

Thus if we identify $T(M)_x$ with \mathbf{R}^m by the frame $\alpha: \mathbf{R}^m \cong T(M)_x$, we have $E_*o = \text{id}$. To prove (2), set $r(t) = (\exp tB(v) \cdot p) \cdot \exp(-tv)$ and $q(t) = \exp tB(v) \cdot p$. Then we have

$$\frac{dr(t)}{dt} = B(v)_{q(t)} \cdot \exp(-tv) - v_{r(t)}^*.$$

Hence

$$(4.7) \quad \omega'_P\left(\frac{dr(t)}{dt}\right) = \text{Ad}(\exp tv)v - v = 0,$$

remarking \mathfrak{g}_{-1} is abelian. Thus $r(t)$ is a horizontal lift of the curve $\pi_2(q(t)) = F(v, t) = E(tv)$ with $r(0) = p$. Hence $c^*(t; 0, p) = r(t)$ and $\rho(t) = \exp(tv)$. Thus we have $\tilde{c}(t; 0, p) = \exp tv \cdot o = tv$. The assertion (3) is clearly true.

q. e. d.

We call (U, E, V) the *projective normal coordinate* of P at x with respect to $p \in (\pi_2)^{-1}(x)$.

§ 5. Projective equivalence of affine connections.

Let M be a C^∞ manifold of dimension m . In this section we make use of fact 3.2 in § 3. Let ∇ be an affine connection on M with zero

torsion. Then ∇ naturally defines the bundle inclusion $\iota_\nabla : P^1(M) \hookrightarrow P^2(M)$ (see Proposition 10 of [3]). We write $P(\nabla)$ for the L_0 -subbundle of $P^2(M)$ obtained by enlarging the structure group of $\iota_\nabla(P^1(M))$ to L_0 . Thus the affine connection ∇ naturally defines the projective structure $P(\nabla)$ on M . Two affine connections ∇_1 and ∇_2 are called *projectively equivalent* if $P(\nabla_1) = P(\nabla_2)$. A diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ is called a *projective transformation* of ∇ if ∇ and $f_*\nabla$ are projectively equivalent. The following facts are proved in Proposition 12 of [3]:

(5.1) **FACTS.** (1) *The correspondence $\nabla \mapsto P(\nabla)$ gives rise to the bijection between the projectively equivalent classes of affine connections with zero torsion on M and the projective structures on M .*

(2) *Let ∇_1 and ∇_2 be affine connections with zero torsion on M . Then the following three conditions are mutually equivalent:*

(a) *∇_1 and ∇_2 are projectively equivalent;*

(b) *∇_1 and ∇_2 define the same geodesics if the parametrization is disregarded;*

(c) *There exists a 1-form α such that $\nabla_{1X}Y - \nabla_{2X}Y = \alpha(X)Y + \alpha(Y)X$ for arbitrary vector fields X and Y .*

(3) *In particular, we know that a diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ is a projective transformation of an affine connection ∇ with zero torsion if and only if $f \in \text{Aut}(M; P(\nabla))$.*

Let P be a projective structure on M . An affine connection ∇ is said to belong to P if $P = P(\nabla)$.

(5.2) **LEMMA.** *Let P be a projective structure on M and ∇ an affine connection belonging to P . Let $c : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ be a C^∞ regular curve with $c(0) = x$. Then $c(t)$ is a geodesic with respect to ∇ (the parametrization being disregarded) if and only if the curve $c(t)$ is a straight line of P .*

PROOF. Fix $p \in P$ such that $\pi_2(p) = x$. According to Proposition 12 in [3], we know that the following two conditions are mutually equivalent:

(5.3) *$c(t)$ is a geodesic with respect to ∇ ;*

(5.4) *There exists a standard horizontal vector field $B(v)$ on P corresponding to $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ such that $c(t)$ and $\pi_2(\exp sB(v) \cdot p)$ coincide with each other if their parametrizations are disregarded.*

Now suppose $c(t)$ is a geodesic with respect to ∇ . Set $r(s) = (\exp sB(v) \cdot p) \times \exp(-sv)$ and $q(s) = \exp sB(v) \cdot p$. Then we have

$$\frac{dr(s)}{ds} = B(v)_{q(s)} \cdot \exp(-sv) - v_{r(s)}^*.$$

Hence $\omega'_P(dr(s)/ds) = Ad(\exp(sv))v - v = 0$ (\mathfrak{g}_{-1} being abelian). Thus $r(s)$ is a horizontal lift of the curve $\gamma(s) = \pi_2(q(s))$ with $r(0) = p$. Hence $r(s) = \gamma^*(s; 0, p)$ and $\gamma^*(s; 0, p)\exp(sv) \in P$. Therefore we have $\bar{\gamma}(s; 0, p) = \exp(tv) \cdot o$, which is a straight line through o in $P^m(\mathbf{R})$. Then from Lemma (4.5), we know $c(t)$ is a straight line of P . Conversely suppose $c(t)$ is a straight line of P . So $\bar{c}(t; a, p)$ is contained in a straight line through o , say, $\exp sv \cdot o$ ($v \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$) of $P^m(\mathbf{R})$. From Lemma (4.3), there exists a diffeomorphism $t = \varphi(s)$ such that

$$\bar{c}(\varphi(s); a, p) = \exp(sv) \cdot o \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(0) = a.$$

From Lemma (4.5), we have $c^*(\varphi(s); a, p) \cdot \exp(sv) \in P$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_P \left(\frac{d}{ds} (c^*(\varphi(s); a, p) \cdot \exp(sv)) \right) \\ &= \omega'_P \left[\frac{d}{ds} (c^*(\varphi(s); a, p) \cdot \exp(sv)) + v_{c^*(\varphi(s); a, p)\exp(sv)}^* \right] \\ &= v. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the curve $c^*(\varphi(s); a, p)\exp(sv)$ is the integral curve of the standard horizontal curve $B(v)$ through p . From (5.4), $c(\varphi(s)) = \pi_2(\exp(sB(v)) \cdot p)$ is a geodesic of ∇ . q. e. d.

In the rest of this section we fix a projective structure P on M . We also fix $x \in M$.

(5.5) LEMMA. *Let f be in $\text{Aut}(M; P)$ with $f(x) = x$. Let $c: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ be a straight line of P with projective parameter such that $c(0) = x$ and $f_*(\dot{c}(0)) \in \{\dot{c}(0)\}$. Suppose $\bar{c}(t; 0, p) = tv \in \mathbf{R}^m \subset P^m(\mathbf{R})$ ($v \in \mathbf{R}^m - \{o\}$). Then we have:*

- (1) $\sigma_f(v) \in \{v\}$, where $\sigma_f \in L_0$ is defined by $f_{(v)}(p) = p \cdot \sigma_f$.
- (2) $f(c(t)) = c(\varphi(t))$, where $\varphi(t)$ is defined by $\sigma_f(tv) = \varphi(t)v$. In particular φ is of the form $\varphi(t) = t(at+b)^{-1}$.

PROOF. From Lemma 4.4, we have $\overline{(f \circ c)}(t; 0, p) = \sigma_f(\bar{c}(t; 0, p))$. Since $\bar{c}(t; 0, p)$ is a straight line in $P^m(\mathbf{R})$ with affine parameter, the curve $\sigma_f(\bar{c}(t; 0, p))$ is contained in a straight line in $P^m(\mathbf{R})$. Fix an affine connection ∇ with zero torsion belonging to P . From Lemma 5.2, both $c(t)$ and $f(c(t))$ are geodesics with respect to ∇ (the parametrizations being not necessarily affine parameters). Since $df(c(t))/dt|_{t=0} \in \{\dot{c}(0)\}$, there is a diffeomorphism $\varphi: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ satisfying $f(c(t)) = c(\varphi(t))$. From

Lemma 4.5, we obtain

$$\sigma_f(\bar{c}(t; 0, p)) = \bar{c}(\varphi(t); 0, p).$$

Since $\bar{c}(s; 0, p) = sv$, the above equality implies $\sigma_f(tv) = \varphi(t)v$. Therefore $\varphi(t) = t(at+b)^{-1}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$. q. e. d.

(5.6) LEMMA. Let $\{f_s\} \subset \text{Aut}(M; P)$ be a 1-parameter subgroup such that $f_s(x) = x$ and $f_s = \text{id}$ at x for each $s \in \mathbf{R}$. Let (U, E, V) be the projective normal coordinate of P at x with respect to $p \in (\pi_2)^{-1}(x)$. (1) f_s is written with respect to this coordinate system as follows:

$$f_s(v) = \frac{v}{1 + s\xi v} \quad \text{for some } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}_1 (= (\mathbf{R}^m)^*).$$

(2) If $\xi \neq 0$, we have $W_P = 0$ on V .

PROOF. It remains to prove assertion (2). Set $E = (x^1, \dots, x^m)$. Take any $v \in U$ with $\xi v > 0$. Then we have $f_s(v) \in U$ for any $s \geq 0$, and $f_s(v) \rightarrow o$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Set $f_s(x) = (f_s^1(x), \dots, f_s^m(x))$. From (1), we have

$$\frac{\partial f_s^j}{\partial x^i}(v) = \frac{\delta_i^j(1 + s\xi v) - sv^j \xi^i}{(1 + s\xi v)^2}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f_s^j}{\partial x^i}(f_s(v)) = (\delta_i^j + sv^j \xi^i)(1 + s\xi v).$$

Set

$$W_P \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} = \sum_{l=1}^m W_{kij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}.$$

Since f_s leaves W_P invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & W_P \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_v, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right)_v \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right)_v \\ &= (f_s^* W_P) \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_v, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right)_v \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right)_v \\ &= f_{-s} \left(W_P \left(f_{s^*} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_v, f_{s^*} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right)_v \right) f_{s^*} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right)_v \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 W'_{kij}(v) &= \frac{\partial f^l_{-s}}{\partial x^a}(f_s(v)) W^a_{bcd}(f_s(v)) \frac{\partial f^b_s}{\partial x^k}(v) \frac{\partial f^c_s}{\partial x^i}(v) \frac{\partial f^d_s}{\partial x^j}(v) \\
 &= W^a_{bcd}(f_s(v)) (\delta'_a + sv^l \xi^a) (1 + s\xi v) \\
 &\quad \times \frac{\{\delta'_k(1 + s\xi v) - sv^b \xi^k\} \{\delta'_i(1 + s\xi v) - sv^c \xi^i\} \{\delta'_j(1 + s\xi v) - sv^d \xi^j\}}{(1 + s\xi v)^6}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence taking $s \rightarrow \infty$, we see $W'_{kij}(v) = 0$. By the same argument, we have $W'_{kij}(v) = 0$ for any $v \in U$ with $\xi v < 0$. Therefore $W'_{kij} = 0$ on U .

(5.7) LEMMA. *Let $\{f_s\} \subset \text{Aut}(M; P)$ be a 1-parameter subgroup such that $f_s(x) = x$ and $(f_s)_* = \text{id}$ at x for each $s \in \mathbf{R}$. Let ∇ be an affine connection with zero torsion on M which belongs to P and $c: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M$ a geodesic with respect to ∇ , $c(0) = x$. Then we have the following:*

- (1) *There exists a 1-parameter group of diffeomorphisms φ_s of \mathbf{R} such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $f_s(c(t)) = c(\varphi_s(t))$:*
- (2) *If $\{\varphi_s\}$ is non trivial, then $W_P(c(t)) = 0$ for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$.*

PROOF. From Lemma 5.2, we know $f_s(c(t))$ is also a geodesic with respect to ∇ , although the parametrization is not necessarily the affine parameter. Since $(f_s)_* = \text{id}$ at x , we have $\{f_s \cdot c(t); t \in \mathbf{R}\} = \{c(t); t \in \mathbf{R}\}$. From this assertion (1) is true. To prove (2), let X be the vector field on M which generates $\{f_s\}$. Fix $p \in P$ with $\pi_2(p) = x$, and set $\alpha = \pi_2^*(p)$. Since $(f_s)_* = \text{id}$ at x , we have $(f_s)_{(1)}(\alpha) = \alpha$ for any $s \in \mathbf{R}$. Hence there exists $\xi \in (\mathbf{R}^m)^*$ ($= \mathfrak{g}_1$) such that $(f_s)_{(2)}(p) = p \cdot \exp(s\xi)$. Set $\sigma(s) = \exp(s\xi)$. From Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, we have

$$(5.8) \quad \sigma(s) \cdot \bar{c}(t; 0, p) = \bar{c}(\varphi_s(t); 0, p).$$

From Lemma 5.2, we know $\bar{c}(t; 0, p)$ is contained in a straight line through o in $P^m(\mathbf{R})$. Hence $\{\varphi_s(t)\}$ is a 1-parameter group of projective transformations of \mathbf{R} . In particular, if we set $G = \{t \in \mathbf{R}; \varphi_s(t) = t \text{ for any } s \in \mathbf{R}\}$, then G is a discrete subset of \mathbf{R} . Let $E: U \cong V$ be the projective normal coordinate of P with respect to p . Set $v = \dot{c}(0) \in \mathbf{R}^m (= \mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ in terms of this coordinate system. We shall prove the following fact:

$$(5.9) \quad o(X; c(a)) = 2 \quad \text{for any } a \in G \text{ if } \{\varphi_s\} \text{ is non trivial.}$$

Since $\{\varphi_s\}$ is nontrivial, we have $\xi v \neq 0$. So assume $\xi v > 0$. (The case $\xi v < 0$ can be treated similarly.) So assume $G \neq \{0\}$. Take $a \in G$ such that $(0, a) \cap G = \emptyset$ or $(a, 0) \cap G = \emptyset$. Assume $(0, a) \cap G = \emptyset$. Choose $b \in (0, a)$ so that $bv \in U$. Then $\varphi_s(b) \rightarrow a$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$. From (5.6) we know $\bar{c}(a; 0, p) = 0$. Choose $\rho(t) \in L$ such that $\rho(0) = \text{id}$ and $c^*(t; 0, p)\rho(t) \in P$. Set $q = c^*(a; 0, p)\rho(a)$.

Since $f_s(c(a))=c(a)$, there exists uniquely $\tau(s)\in L_0$ such that $(f_s)_{(2)}(q)=q\cdot\tau(s)$. We claim $\tau(s)=\rho(a)^{-1}\sigma(s)\rho(a)$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} (f_s)_{(2)}(c^*(t; 0, p)\rho(t)) &= (f_s)'_{(2)}(c^*(t; 0, p))\cdot\rho(t) \\ &= c^*(t; 0, p)\sigma(s)\cdot\rho(t) \\ &= c^*(t; 0, p)\cdot\rho(t)\cdot\rho(t)^{-1}\cdot\sigma(s)\cdot\rho(t). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$(f_s)_{(2)}(c^*(a; 0, p)\rho(a))=q\cdot\tau(s).$$

Thus $\tau(s)=\rho(a)^{-1}\sigma(s)\rho(a)$. Since $\rho(a)\cdot o=c(\bar{a}; 0, u)=o$, we have $\rho(a)\in L_0$. Since $\sigma(s)\in \text{exp } \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\text{exp } \mathfrak{g}_1$ is normal in L_0 , we see $\tau(s)\in \text{exp } \mathfrak{g}_1$. Then $(f_s)_{(1)}(\pi_2^1(q))=\pi_2^1((f_s)_{(2)}(q))=\pi_2^1(q\cdot\tau(s))=\pi_2^1(q)$. So we know $(f_s)_*=\text{id}$ at $c(a)$, i. e., $o(X; c(a))=2$. Similarly for $o(X; c(a))=2$ in case $(a, 0)\cap G=\emptyset$. Now replacing x with $c(a)$ and ξ with $Ad(\rho(a)^{-1})\xi$ where $(0, a)\cap G=\emptyset$ or $(a, 0)\cap G=\emptyset$, we find $o(X; b)=2$ if $(a, b)\cap G=\emptyset$ ($a>0$), or $(b, a)\cap G=\emptyset$ ($a<0$). Repeating the same argument, we conclude $o(X; a)=2$ for any $a\in G$. Now set $G=\{A_n\}_{n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots}$. Then $\{\varphi_s\}$ is transitive on (A_n, A_{n+1}) . From (3.10) and Lemma 5.6, we know $W_P(c(t))=0$ for any $t\in(A_n, A_{n+1})$. Thus we have $W_P(c(t))=0$ for any $t\in\mathbf{R}$. q. e. d.

§ 6. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

We keep the notation in § 1. Let $\{f_s\}$ be the 1-parameter group of transformations generated by the vector field X .

(6.1) LEMMA. *The set $\{x\in M; o(X; x)=2\}$ consists of at most two points. In particular, the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ of M is isomorphic to either $\{1\}$ or \mathbf{Z}_2 .*

PROOF. Suppose we had three distinct points x_1, x_2 and x_3 such that $o(X; x_i)=2$ ($i=1, 2, 3$). Take any $y\in M$ such that $X(y)\neq 0$. Consider the orbit $I=\{f_s(y); s\in\mathbf{R}\}$, a 1-dimensional submanifold of M . Let $c_i: \mathbf{R}\rightarrow M$ be geodesics which pass through x_i and y ($i=1, 2, 3$). Since, $(f_s)_*=\text{id}$ at x_i ($i=1, 2, 3$), we know f_s leaves each $c_i(\mathbf{R})$ invariant. Hence $I\subset c_i(\mathbf{R})$. In particular we have $c_1(\mathbf{R})=c_2(\mathbf{R})=c_3(\mathbf{R})$ for every point y . This is impossible.

Now let $\pi: M^*\rightarrow M$ be the universal covering of M . Let g^* and X^* be the lift of g and X to M^* respectively. Then we have $X^*\in p(M^*, g^*)$ and $o(X^*; y)=2$ if $o(X; \pi(y))=2$. q. e. d.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of g and $P=P(\nabla)$ the projective structure to which ∇ belongs. Set $F=$

$\{y \in M; X(y)=0\}$. Take any $y \in M-F$. Let $c(t)$ be a geodesic joining x and y . From Lemma 5.7, we have $W_P(c(t))=0$. Hence we have W_P vanishes on $M-F$. On the other hand, we know $M-F$ contains no interior point. Hence W_P vanishes on M . Let $\pi^*: M^* \rightarrow M$ be the universal covering of M . M^* is compact by Lemma 6.1. Let g^* , X^* and P^* be the natural lift of g , X and P respectively. Then we have $o(X^*; y)=2$ for $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$. Hence $W_P=0$ on M . From Theorem 16 of [3], we have our conclusion.

q. e. d.

References

- [1] Kobayashi, S., Canonical forms on frame bundles of higher order contact, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol. 3, Differential Geometry, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1961, 186-193.
- [2] Kobayashi, S., Transformation groups in differential geometry, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Band 70, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.
- [3] Kobayashi, S. and T. Nagano, On projective connections, J. Math. Mech. 13 (1964), 215-236.
- [4] Obata, M., Conformal transformations of Riemannian manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 6 (1971), 247-258.
- [5] Ochiai, T., Geometry associated with semi-simple flat homogeneous spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 152 (1970), 1-33.

(Received July 15, 1985)

Tadashi Nagano
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Notre Dame
 Notre Dame, Indiana 46556
 U.S.A.

Takushiro Ochiai
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Tokyo
 Hongo, Tokyo
 113 Japan