

*Time-decay of the high energy part of the solution
 for a Schrödinger equation*

By Hitoshi KITADA

(Communicated by H. Fujita)

§ 1. Introduction

In this paper, we shall consider the time-decay of the high energy part of the solution $e^{-itH}f$ of the Schrödinger equation in R^N

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) + Hu(t) = 0, \quad u(0) = f \quad (f \in L^2(R^N)),$$

$$(1.2) \quad H = H_0 + V(x), \quad H_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}.$$

Here $V(x)$ is a potential decomposable as $V(x) = V^L(x) + V^S(x)$ with $V^L(x)$ and $V^S(x)$ satisfying the following assumptions.

ASSUMPTION (L). $V^L(x)$ is a real-valued C^∞ -function on R^N ($N \geq 1$) and satisfies with some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$(1.3) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha V^L(x)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-|\alpha|-\varepsilon}$$

for all multi-index α and $x \in R^N$, where $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$.

ASSUMPTION (S). $V^S(x)$ is a real-valued measurable function on R^N and satisfies with some $\delta \in [0, 1/2)$

$$(1.4) \quad \|V^S(x) \langle x \rangle^\sigma (H_0 + 1)^{-\delta}\| < \infty$$

for all $\sigma \geq 0$, where $\| \cdot \|$ stands for the operator norm in $L^2(R^N)$.

$V^L(x)$ is a long-range potential, and $V^S(x)$ is a short-range potential which decreases rapidly as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ but may have local singularities like $|x|^{-1+\varepsilon}$ ($\varepsilon > 0$) e.g. at $x=0$ when $N=3$.

Accordingly, H is a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(R^N)$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(H) = H^2(R^N)$ (Sobolev space of order two).

Let $\chi \in C^\infty(R^1)$ satisfy $\chi(\lambda) = 1$ ($|\lambda| \geq R_0 + 1$), $= 0$ ($|\lambda| \leq R_0$) for some R_0 large enough. The high energy part χe^{-itH} of e^{-itH} is defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \chi e^{-itH} = \chi(H) e^{-itH} = \int_{R^1} \chi(\lambda) e^{-it\lambda} dE(\lambda).$$

Here $E(\lambda)$ is the spectral resolution of the identity associated with the self-adjoint operator H in $L^2(R^N)$.

For $s \in R^1$, $L_s^2(R^N) = L_s^2$ denotes the weighted L^2 space $L^2(R^N, (1+|x|^2)^s dx)$, and $\| \cdot \|_s$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_s$ stand for its norm and inner product:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} (f, g)_s = \int f(x) \overline{g(x)} (1+|x|^2)^s dx, \\ \|f\|_s = (f, f)_s^{1/2}. \end{cases}$$

We also use the following notation:

$$(1.7) \quad \|T\|_{s \rightarrow r} = \|T\|_{L_s^2 \rightarrow L_r^2}, \quad s, r \in R^1$$

for any bounded linear operator T from L_s^2 into L_r^2 .

Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let Assumptions (L) and (S) be satisfied, and let $s \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrarily fixed. Then there exist a C^∞ function $\chi(\lambda) = \chi_{s,\varepsilon}(\lambda)$ on R^1 as above with $R_0 = R_{0,s,\varepsilon}$ large enough and a constant $C_{s,\varepsilon} > 0$ such that*

$$(1.8) \quad \|\chi e^{-itH}\|_{s \rightarrow -s} \leq C_{s,\varepsilon} \langle t \rangle^{-s+\varepsilon}, \quad t \in R^1.$$

The analogous estimates were obtained by e.g. Rauch [11], Jensen and Kato [3] and Murata [7~9] for some short-range potentials. Our result is new in the following two points: i) We include the long-range potentials and some singular potentials and ii) our estimate (1.8) is quite close to the best possible estimate $\|\chi e^{-itH}\|_{s \rightarrow -s} \leq C_s \langle t \rangle^{-s}$, which holds for the unperturbed Hamiltonian $H = H_0$.

In proving Theorem 1.1, we shall construct the total approximate propagator $E(t)$ ($t \geq 0$) which approximates the behavior of χe^{-itH} when $t \rightarrow \infty$ in some sense. The construction of $E(t)$ consists of two steps. We shall first construct the outgoing approximate propagator $E_+(t)$, which describes the outgoing behavior of the quantum mechanical particles. We shall next construct the incoming approximate propagator $E_-(t)$. $E(t)$ will then be defined by $E(t) = E_+(t) + E_-(t)$, roughly speaking.

The outgoing part $E_+(t)$ will be constructed in a form of the Fourier integral operator in the sense of Kitada and Kumano-go [5]. The method of construction and estimation of $E_+(t)$ is similar to that of the “approximate propagator” in Kitada and Yajima [6]. The incoming part will need some geometrical considerations regarding the location of the particles. In this respect, we shall follow Murata’s idea [8] but some modifications will be necessary. In our construction of $E_-(t)$, we shall use the genuine propagator e^{-itH^L} for the long-range part $H^L=H_0+V^L$ of the Hamiltonian H . The estimation of $E_-(t)$ will be carried out by using the “ingoing approximate propagator” $\bar{E}_-(-t)$ ($t \geq 0$), which will be constructed and estimated in a way quite similar to that of the outgoing part $E_+(t)$. Theorem 1.1 will then be proved by Laplace transform methods analogous to those in Vainberg [12], Rauch [11] and Murata [8].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In sections 2 and 3 we shall construct the outgoing and ingoing approximate propagators and give some basic estimates for them, leaving the proof of a key theorem to the Appendix. In section 4, we shall first construct the incoming propagator and give some crucial estimates for it by using the estimates obtained for the outgoing and ingoing propagators in sections 2 and 3. Then in the same section 4 we shall construct the total approximate propagator and state some estimates for it. In the final section 5, using those estimates, we shall prove our main theorem, Theorem 1.1. Appendix will be devoted to proving a key theorem in section 2.

We shall use the following notations and conventions throughout the paper. For multi-index $\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$, $\alpha_j \in N$, $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_N$, $x^\alpha=x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_N^{\alpha_N}$ and $\partial_x^\alpha=\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_N}^{\alpha_N}$. We write $\partial_{x_j}=\partial/\partial x_j$, $\partial_x=(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_N})$, $D_x=-i\partial_x$, and $D_t=-i\partial_t$. For any $x \in R^m$ ($m \geq 1$), we write $\langle x \rangle=\sqrt{1+|x|^2}$. $H_s^m=H_s^m(R^N)$ ($m, s \in R^1$) is the weighted Sobolev space with the norm $\|f\|_{H_s^m}=\|\langle x \rangle^s \langle D_x \rangle^m f\|_{L^2}$. \mathcal{S} denotes the Schwarz space of rapidly decreasing functions on R^N . $\mathcal{B}^\infty(\Omega)$ (Ω is a domain in R^N) is the set of all C^∞ functions on Ω whose derivatives are uniformly bounded in Ω . For $f(x), g(x) \in C^\infty(R^N)$, $f \sim g$ means that $f(x)-g(x)=O\langle x \rangle^{-L}$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for any $L \geq 0$. When we use the definition of the form $f(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^\infty g_k(x)$, we mean that $g_k(x)=O\langle x \rangle^{-L_k}$, $L_1 \leq L_2 \leq \dots \leq L_k \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) and that $f(x)$ is defined by $f(x)=\sum_{k=1}^\infty \chi\langle x \rangle^{-1} \varepsilon_k^{-1} g_k(x)$ with $\chi \in C_0^\infty([0, \infty))$ such that $\chi(\theta)=1$ ($0 \leq \theta \leq 1$), $=0$ ($\theta \geq 2$) and with a suitable sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$ (as $k \rightarrow \infty$). For $a, b \in R^1$, $a \gg b$ means that $a > b$ and a is sufficiently away

from *b*. For $p(x, \xi)$ and $q(\xi, y) \in \mathcal{B}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2N})$, the corresponding pseudo-differential operators $p(X, D)$ and $q(D, Y)$ are defined by

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{cases} p(X, D)f(x) = O_s - \iint e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} p(x, \xi) f(y) dy d\xi, \\ q(D, Y)f(x) = O_s - \iint e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} q(\xi, y) f(y) dy d\xi, \end{cases} \quad (f \in S),$$

where $d\xi = (2\pi)^{-N} d\xi$ and $O_s - \iint \dots dy d\xi$ means the oscillatory integral (see e.g. [5]). For any Banach spaces X, Y , $B(X, Y)$ denotes the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from X into Y with operator norm $\|T\|_{X \rightarrow Y}$. We write $B(X) = B(X, X)$. When $X = L_s^2$ and $Y = L_r^2$ ($r, s \in \mathbb{R}^1$), we write $\|T\|_{s \rightarrow r} = \|T\|_{L_s^2 \rightarrow L_r^2}$.

Acknowledgement: The author expresses his sincere appreciation to Professor M. Murata for his helpful discussions.

§ 2. Outgoing approximate propagators

In this and the next sections, we shall study the outgoing and ingoing behavior of the particles which are subject to the long-range potential $V^L(x)$. By "outgoing" or "ingoing" particle we mean the one which is pointing outward from the scatterer and leaving it to infinity as $t \rightarrow \infty$ or $t \rightarrow -\infty$. The method we adopt here is similar to that in Kitada and Yajima [6]. Namely we shall first study some properties of the classical orbits associated with the outgoing or ingoing particles. Then, utilizing those properties, we shall construct the outgoing or ingoing approximate propagators in the form of Fourier integral operators (cf. Kitada and Kumano-go [5]), whose phase function is a generating function of the classical orbits.

Let $\chi_0(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\varphi(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$ be real-valued C^∞ functions satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \chi_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \geq 2 \\ 0, & |x| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi(t) \begin{cases} = \log \langle t \rangle, & |t| \geq 2, \\ \geq 1, & 2 \geq |t| \geq 1, \\ = 1, & |t| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

For $\rho \in (0, 1)$, we set

$$(2.3) \quad V_\rho(x) = V_\rho^L(x) = \chi_\rho(\rho x) V^L(x)$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} V_\rho(t, x) = \chi_\rho\left(\frac{\varphi(t)}{\langle t \rangle} x\right) V_\rho(x), \\ H_\rho(t, x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} |\xi|^2 + V_\rho(t, x), \\ H_\rho^L(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} |\xi|^2 + V_\rho(x). \end{array} \right.$$

Then the following proposition is obvious.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let Assumption (L) be satisfied, and let $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Then:*

i) For $|x| \leq \rho^{-1}$,

$$(2.5) \quad V_\rho(t, x) = 0, \quad V_\rho(x) = 0.$$

ii) For $|x| \geq 2\langle t \rangle / \varphi(t)$,

$$(2.6) \quad V_\rho(t, x) = V_\rho(x).$$

iii) For $T > 0$, let $\rho^{-1} \geq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} 2\langle t \rangle / \varphi(t)$. Then for any $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$(2.7) \quad H_\rho(t, x, \xi) = H_\rho^L(x, \xi).$$

iv) For any α , we have

$$(2.8) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha V_\rho(t, x)| \leq \tilde{C}_\alpha \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \langle t \rangle^{-|\alpha| - \varepsilon_0}, \quad \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon/3,$$

where the constant \tilde{C}_α is independent of t, x and ρ .

2.1. Classical orbits

The classical orbit $(q, p)(t, s) = (q, p)(t, s; y, \xi)$ is the solution $(q, p)(t)$ of the Hamilton equation

$$(2.9) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dq}{dt}(t) = \nabla_\xi H_\rho(t, q(t), p(t)) = p(t), \\ \frac{dp}{dt}(t) = -\nabla_x H_\rho(t, q(t), p(t)) = -\nabla_x V_\rho(t, q(t)), \\ q(s) = y, \quad p(s) = \xi. \end{array} \right.$$

This is equivalent to the integral equation

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{cases} q(t, s) = y + \int_s^t p(\tau, s) d\tau, \\ p(t, s) = \xi - \int_s^t \nabla_x V_\rho(\tau, q(\tau, s)) d\tau. \end{cases}$$

The following two propositions are the special cases of Propositions 2.2, 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 of Kitada and Yajima [6].

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let Assumption (L) be satisfied, and let $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Then the solution $(q, p)(t, s; y, \xi)$ of (2.10) exists uniquely and is of class C^∞ in $(y, \xi) \in R^{2N}$ for each fixed $(t, s) \in R^2$, and the derivative $\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_y^\beta (q, p) \cdot (t, s; y, \xi)$ is of class C^1 in $(t, s; y, \xi)$ for any α and β . Furthermore the following estimates hold:*

i) *There exists a constant C_0 independent of ρ such that for $y, \xi \in R^N$ and $t \geq s \geq 0$ or $t \leq s \leq 0$:*

$$(2.11) \quad |p(s, t; y, \xi) - \xi| \leq C_0 \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \langle s \rangle^{-\varepsilon_0};$$

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{cases} |\nabla_y q(s, t; y, \xi) - I| \leq C_0 \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \langle s \rangle^{-\varepsilon_0}, \\ |\nabla_y p(s, t; y, \xi)| \leq C_0 \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \langle s \rangle^{-1-\varepsilon_0}; \end{cases}$$

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{cases} |\nabla_\xi q(t, s; y, \xi) - (t-s)I| \leq C_0 \rho^{\varepsilon_0} |t-s| \langle s \rangle^{-\varepsilon_0}, \\ |\nabla_\xi p(t, s; y, \xi) - I| \leq C_0 \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \langle s \rangle^{-\varepsilon_0}; \end{cases}$$

and for any α

$$(2.14) \quad |\partial_\xi^\alpha [q(t, s; y, \xi) - y - (t-s)p(t, s; y, \xi)]| \leq C_\alpha \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \min \{ \langle t \rangle^{1-\varepsilon_0}, |t-s| \langle s \rangle^{-\varepsilon_0} \}.$$

ii) *For $|\alpha + \beta| \geq 2$, we have*

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{cases} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_y^\beta q(t, s; y, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \rho^{\varepsilon_0} |t-s| \langle s \rangle^{-\varepsilon_0}, \\ |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_y^\beta p(t, s; y, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \langle s \rangle^{-\varepsilon_0} \end{cases}$$

where $C_{\alpha\beta}$ is independent of ρ, t, s, y and ξ such that $t \geq s \geq 0$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let Assumption (L) be satisfied. Let $\rho \in (0, 1)$ satisfy*

$$(2.16) \quad C_0 \rho^{\varepsilon_0} < 1/2,$$

where C_0 is the constant appeared in Proposition 2.2-i). Then for $t \geq s \geq 0$ there exist the inverse C^∞ diffeomorphisms $x \mapsto y^\pm(s, t; x, \xi)$ and $\xi \mapsto$

$\eta^\pm(t, s; x, \xi)$ of the mappings $y \mapsto x = q(s, t; y, \xi)$ and $\eta \mapsto \xi = p(t, s; x, \eta)$, respectively. These mappings y^\pm and η^\pm are of class C^∞ in (x, ξ) for each $t \gtrsim s \gtrsim 0$, and their derivatives $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} y^\pm$ and $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} \eta^\pm$ are of class C^1 in $(t, s; x, \xi)$. Furthermore y^\pm and η^\pm satisfy the following properties:

- i) $\begin{cases} q(s, t; y^\pm(s, t; x, \xi), \xi) = x, \\ p(t, s; x, \eta^\pm(t, s; x, \xi)) = \xi. \end{cases}$
- ii) $\begin{cases} y^\pm(s, t; x, \xi) = q(t, s; x, \eta^\pm(t, s; x, \xi)), \\ \eta^\pm(t, s; x, \xi) = p(s, t; y^\pm(s, t; x, \xi), \xi). \end{cases}$
- iii) There exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ independent of ρ such that the following estimates hold:

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{cases} \text{a) } \begin{cases} |\nabla_x y^\pm(s, t; x, \xi) - I| \leq C_1 \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \langle s \rangle^{-\varepsilon_0}, \\ |\nabla_x \eta^\pm(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C_1 \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \langle s \rangle^{-1-\varepsilon_0}. \end{cases} \\ \text{b) For any } \alpha \\ \begin{cases} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} [\eta^\pm(t, s; x, \xi) - \xi]| \leq C_{\alpha} \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \langle s \rangle^{-\varepsilon_0}, \\ |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} [y^\pm(s, t; x, \xi) - x - (t-s)\xi]| \leq C_{\alpha} \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \min \{ \langle t \rangle^{1-\varepsilon_0}, |t-s| \langle s \rangle^{-\varepsilon_0} \}. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

- iv) For $|\alpha + \beta| \geq 2$, we have

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{cases} |\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} \eta^\pm(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \langle s \rangle^{-\varepsilon_0}, \\ |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} y^\pm(s, t; x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \langle t-s \rangle \langle s \rangle^{-\varepsilon_0}, \end{cases}$$

where $C_{\alpha\beta}$ is independent of ρ, t, s, x and ξ .

- v) Set for $\sigma_0 \in (-1, 1)$

$$(2.19) \quad \Gamma_{\pm}(\sigma_0) \equiv \{(x, \xi) \in R^{2N} \mid |x| \geq 1, |\xi| \geq 1, \pm x \cdot \xi \geq \sigma_0 |x| |\xi|\}.$$

Then for any $\sigma_0 \in (-1, 1)$ there exist constants $c > 0$ and $T = T(\sigma_0) > 1$ such that

$$(2.20) \quad |y^\pm(s, t; x, \xi)| \geq c(|x| + |t-s| |\xi|) \geq 2 \langle t-s \rangle / \varphi(t-s)$$

for $(x, \xi) \in \Gamma_{\pm}(\sigma_0)$ and $t \gtrsim s \gtrsim 0$ satisfying $|t-s| \geq T$.

Throughout the rest of the paper, $\rho \in (0, 1)$ will be taken so small that (2.16) is satisfied.

2.2 Phase functions

We define the phase function of the outgoing and ingoing approximate propagators as follows.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let $\rho \in (0, 1)$ satisfy (2.16). For $t \gtrsim s \gtrsim 0$, we define

$$(2.21) \quad \phi^\pm(t, y, \xi) = u(t, 0; y, \eta^\pm(t, 0; y, \xi)),$$

where

$$(2.22) \quad u(t, 0; y, \eta) = y \cdot \eta + \int_0^t \{H_\rho - x \cdot \nabla_x H_\rho\}(\tau, q(\tau, 0; y, \eta), p(\tau, 0; y, \eta)) d\tau.$$

Then we have the following proposition, whose proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.6 in Kitada and Yajima [6], hence is omitted here.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let Assumption (L) be satisfied, and let $\rho \in (0, 1)$ satisfy (2.16). Then for $t \geq 0$, $\phi^\pm(t, y, \xi)$ satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i)} & \quad \begin{cases} \nabla_y \phi^\pm(t, y, \xi) = \eta^\pm(t, 0; y, \xi), \\ \nabla_\xi \phi^\pm(t, y, \xi) = y^\pm(0, t; y, \xi). \end{cases} \\ \text{ii)} & \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \phi^\pm(t, y, \xi) = H_\rho(t, \nabla_\xi \phi^\pm(t, y, \xi), \xi), \\ \phi^\pm(0, y, \xi) = y \cdot \xi. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when $(y, \xi) \in \Gamma_\pm(\sigma_0)$ ($\sigma_0 \in (-1, 1)$) and $|t| \geq T(\sigma_0)$ with $T = T(\sigma_0) > 1$ large enough, one has

$$(2.23) \quad \partial_t \phi^\pm(t, y, \xi) = H_\rho^t(\nabla_\xi \phi^\pm(t, y, \xi), \xi).$$

2.3. Outgoing approximate propagators

We now construct the outgoing approximate propagators, which describe the behavior of the outgoing particles. For our purpose of estimating χe^{-itH} , we need to use a more precise approximation than in Kitada and Yajima [6] to the outgoing behavior of the particles, and so we solve the transport equation in defining the symbol function $e_+(t, \xi, y)$ of the outgoing propagator $E_+(t)$.

Choose C^∞ functions $\gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\phi_\pm \in C^\infty([-1, 1])$ such that

$$(2.24) \quad \gamma(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\xi| \geq 2, \\ 0, & |\xi| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{cases} \phi_+(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \geq \sigma \geq \sigma_0, \\ 0, & \sigma'_0 \geq \sigma \geq -1, \end{cases} \\ 0 \leq \phi_+(\sigma) \leq 1, \\ \phi_+(\sigma) + \phi_-(\sigma) = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $0 > \sigma_0 > \sigma'_0 > -1$. Set

$$(2.26) \quad g_\pm(\xi, y) = \gamma(\xi) \gamma(y) \phi_\pm(\cos(\xi, y)) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2N}), \quad \cos(\xi, y) = \frac{\xi \cdot y}{|\xi| |y|}.$$

We define the symbol $e_+(t, \xi, y) \sim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} i^l e_+^l(t, \xi, y)$ ($t \geq 0$) as the solution of the transport equation

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\partial_t e_+^l(t, \xi, y) + \sum_{k=1}^N (\partial_{x_k} H_\rho)(t, y^+(0, t; y, \xi), \xi) (\partial_{\xi_k} e_+^l)(t, \xi, y) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N (\partial_{x_k} \partial_{x_j} H_\rho)(t, y^+(0, t; y, \xi), \xi) (\partial_{\xi_k} \partial_{\xi_j} \phi^+)(t, y, \xi) e_+^l(t, \xi, y) \\ & + B_l(t, \xi, y) = 0 \quad (l \geq 0, B_0 \equiv 0) \end{aligned}$$

with the initial condition

$$(2.28) \quad \begin{cases} e_+^0(0, \xi, y) = g_+(\xi, y), \\ e_+^l(0, \xi, y) = 0 \quad (l \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

Here $B_l(t, \xi, y)$ ($l \geq 1$) is defined inductively by

$$(2.29) \quad \begin{aligned} B_l(t, \xi, y) = & \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq l+1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_{\xi'} \{ (\partial_{x_k}^\alpha H_\rho)(t, \nabla_\xi \phi^+(t; \xi, y, \xi'), \xi) \\ & \times e_+^{l+1-|\alpha|}(t, \xi', y) \} |_{\xi'=\xi}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.30) \quad \nabla_\xi \phi^+(t; \xi, y, \xi') = \int_0^1 \nabla_\xi \phi^+(t, y, \xi' + \theta(\xi - \xi')) d\theta.$$

Then the solutions $e_+^l(t, \xi, y)$ ($l \geq 0$) are given by the classical theory of first order partial differential equations as follows:

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{aligned} e_+^0(t, \xi, y) = & \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,k=1}^N \int_t^0 (\partial_{x_k} \partial_{x_l} H_\rho)(\tau, y^+(0, \tau; y, \Xi(\tau)), \Xi(\tau)) \right. \\ & \left. \times (\partial_{\xi_k} \partial_{\xi_l} \phi^+)(\tau, y, \Xi(\tau)) d\tau \right\} g_+(\eta^+(t, 0; y, \xi), y) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{aligned} e_+^l(t, \xi, y) = & \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \int_t^0 (\partial_{x_k} \partial_{x_j} H_\rho)(\tau, y^+(0, \tau; y, \Xi(\tau)), \Xi(\tau)) \right. \\ & \left. \times (\partial_{\xi_k} \partial_{\xi_j} \phi^+)(\tau, y, \Xi(\tau)) d\tau \right\} \\ & \times \int_t^0 \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \int_t^\theta (\partial_{x_k} \partial_{x_j} H_\rho)(\tau, y^+(0, \tau; y, \Xi(\tau)), \Xi(\tau)) \right. \\ & \left. \times (\partial_{\xi_k} \partial_{\xi_j} \phi^+)(\tau, y, \Xi(\tau)) d\tau \right\} B_l(\theta, \Xi(\theta), y) d\theta, \quad (l \geq 1) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.33) \quad \Xi(\tau) = p(\tau, 0; y, \eta^+(t, 0; y, \xi)).$$

REMARK. 1° From (2.28), (2.31) and the relations

$$(2.34) \quad e_+(\theta, \Xi(\theta), y) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,k=1}^N \int_{\theta}^0 (\partial_{x_k} \partial_{x_l} H_{\rho})(\tau, y^+(0, \tau; y, \Xi(\tau)), \Xi(\tau)) \right. \\ \left. \times (\partial_{\xi_k} \partial_{\xi_l} \phi^+)(\tau, y, \Xi(\tau)) d\tau\right\} g_+(\eta^+(t, 0; y, \xi), y), \text{ etc.,}$$

we can easily see that for some $\delta \in (0, \sigma'_0 + 1)$

$$(2.35) \quad B_l(t, \xi, y) = 0, \quad e^l_+(t, \xi, y) = 0 \quad \text{for } \cos(\xi, y) \leq \sigma'_0 - \delta$$

and that

$$(2.36) \quad \langle y \rangle^{1/2} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2} \langle \xi \rangle^{|\alpha|} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} e^l_+(t, \xi, y) \in \mathcal{B}^{\infty}([0, \infty) \times R^N_{\xi} \times R^N_y).$$

2° (2.36) justifies the asymptotic definition: $e_+(t, \xi, y) \sim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} i^l e^l_+(t, \xi, y)$.

Now we define $E_+(t)$ as follows.

DEFINITION 2.7. For a sufficiently large $R > 1$, we define for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $t \geq 0$

$$(2.37) \quad E_+(t)f(x) = \chi_0\left(\frac{Rx}{\langle t \rangle}\right) O_s - \iint e^{i(x \cdot \xi - \phi^+(t, y, \xi))} e_+(t, \xi, y) f(y) dy d\xi.$$

Furthermore we set

$$(2.38) \quad P_+ f(x) = E_+(0)f(x) = \chi_0(Rx) O_s - \iint e^{i(x \cdot y) \cdot \xi} g_+(\xi, y) f(y) dy d\xi.$$

Then we have the following key estimate.

THEOREM 2.8. Let Assumption (L) be satisfied, and set $K^L_+(t) = (D_t + H^L_{\rho})E_+(t)$, $H^L_{\rho} = H_0 + V^L_{\rho}$. Then:

i) For any $s \geq 0$ we have with some $C_s > 0$

$$(2.39) \quad \|E_+(t)\|_{0 \rightarrow -s} \leq C_s \langle t \rangle^{-s}.$$

ii) We can write $K^L_+(t)$ as

$$(2.40) \quad K^L_+(t)f(x) = O_s - \iint e^{i(x \cdot \xi - \phi^+(t, y, \xi))} k^L_+(t, x, \xi, y) f(y) dy d\xi,$$

where $k^L_+(t, x, \xi, y)$ can be written as

$$(2.41) \quad k^L_+(t, x, \xi, y) = \chi_0\left(\frac{Rx}{\langle t \rangle}\right) k^1_+(t, \xi, y) + k^2_+(t, \xi, y)$$

and $k_+^j(t, \xi, y)$ satisfies

$$(2.42) \quad |\partial_t^a \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_y^\beta k_+^j(t, \xi, y)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta,n} \langle |y| + \langle t \rangle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-n}, \quad |a| \leq 1, \quad j=1, 2$$

for any $\alpha, \beta, n \geq 0, t \geq 0, \xi, y \in R^N$ provided that $\rho \in (0, 1)$ is taken sufficiently small. In particular, we have

$$(2.43) \quad \|K_+^L(t)\|_{0 \rightarrow s} \leq C_{s,n} \langle t \rangle^{-n}$$

for any $s \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$.

The proof of this theorem is given in the appendix.

The following proposition will be used in section 4 when dealing with the short-range part $(V^L(x) - V_\rho^L(x)) + V^S(x)$.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let Assumptions (L) and (S) be satisfied, and let $\delta \in [0, 1/2)$ be the constant appeared in Assumption (S). Then:*

i) For $t > 0$ and any $\sigma \geq 0$

$$(2.44) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} (H_0 + 1)^\delta E_+(t)\|_{0 \rightarrow 0} \leq C_\sigma t^{-2\delta} \langle t \rangle^{2\delta - \sigma}.$$

ii) Set $K_+(t) = (D_t + H)E_+(t) = (D_t + H_\rho^L + V^L - V_\rho^L + V^S)E_+(t)$. Then we have

$$(2.45) \quad \|K_+(t)\|_{0 \rightarrow s} \leq C_{s,n} t^{-2\delta} \langle t \rangle^{-n}, \quad t > 0$$

for any $s \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$.

iii) For $\theta \geq 0$ satisfying $0 \leq \theta + \delta < 1/2$ and for any $s \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$

$$(2.46) \quad \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-\theta} \|K_+(t+h) - K_+(t)\|_{0 \rightarrow s} \leq C_{n,s,\theta} t^{-2(\delta+\theta)} \langle t \rangle^{-n}, \quad t > 0.$$

PROOF. The proof of i) is similar to that of Proposition 4.5 of [6], hence is omitted here. Then ii) follows from i), Assumption (S) and Theorem 2.8-ii). iii) is proved in a way similar to that in the proof of i) by using Proposition 2.5-ii) and Theorem 2.8-(2.42) with $|a|=1$. \square

REMARK. We can give another proof of the main theorems of Kitada and Yajima [6] if we use our Theorem 2.8 instead of Theorem 4.4 of [6]. In this new proof we need not use the parameter $r \geq 1$, but we use the compactness of the relevant operators. The details will be discussed elsewhere.

§ 3. Ingoing approximate propagators

In this section, we shall study the operator $F(t) = (I - P_+) \varphi(H_\rho^L) e^{-itH_\rho^L}$ ($t \geq 0$) with $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$ satisfying $\varphi(\lambda) = 1$ (for large $|\lambda|$), $= 0$ (for small $|\lambda|$), and construct the ingoing propagator $\tilde{E}_-(-t)$ ($t \geq 0$) in such a way that $\tilde{E}_-(-t)^*$ approximates $F(t)$ in some sense (see Theorem 3.2 below). This property of $\tilde{E}_-(-t)$ will be used in the next section in estimating the incoming propagators. Because of the existence of the factor $I - P_+$ in $F(t)$, the ingoing propagator $\tilde{E}_-(-t)$ ($t \geq 0$) can be constructed in a way similar to that for $E_+(t)$ ($t \geq 0$) in the previous section, hence it has the properties appropriate for its name. In this section, we sometimes write $H_\rho^L = H_0 + V_\rho^L$ only as H , omitting the super- and sub- scripts L and ρ . We begin with studying the properties of the operator $\varphi(H) = \varphi(H_\rho^L)$.

Let $\chi_\infty, \chi_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfy

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \leq \chi_\infty(\xi), \chi_1(\xi), \chi_2(\xi) \leq 1; \\ \chi_1(\xi) = \begin{cases} 0, & |\xi| \geq 3, \\ 1, & |\xi| \leq 2; \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \chi_1(\xi) + \chi_\infty(\xi) = 1.$$

Choose $\varphi_1(\lambda) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \leq \varphi_1(\lambda) \leq 1, \\ \varphi_1(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & |\lambda| \geq 6, \\ 1, & |\lambda| \leq 5 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

and set

$$(3.4) \quad \varphi(\lambda) = 1 - \varphi_1(\lambda).$$

Moreover set

$$(3.5) \quad \phi(t, y, \xi) = \begin{cases} \phi^+(t, y, \xi), & t \geq 0, \\ \phi^-(t, y, \xi), & t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and let $u(t, \xi, y) \sim \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} i^l u^l(t, \xi, y)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}^1$) be defined by the solution $u^l(t, \xi, y)$ of the transport equation (2.27) (for $t \leq 0$, replace the superscript “+” by “-”) with the initial condition

$$(3.6) \quad u^0(0, \xi, y) = 1, \quad u^l(0, \xi, y) = 0 \quad (l \geq 1).$$

Then denoting the inverse Fourier transform of $\varphi(\lambda)$ by $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \delta(t) - \tilde{\varphi}_1(t)$, we define for $f \in \mathcal{S}$

$$(3.7) \quad \Gamma_j f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \tilde{\varphi}(t) \iint e^{i(x \cdot \xi - \phi(t, y, \xi))} u(t, \xi, y) \chi_j(\xi) f(y) dy d\xi,$$

where $j=1$ or ∞ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let Assumption (L) be satisfied, and let $\rho \in (0, 1)$ be sufficiently small. Then for any integer $m \geq 0$, we have*

$$(3.8) \quad \|\varphi(H) - \Gamma_{\infty}^*\|_{H_0^0 \rightarrow H_m^m} < \infty.$$

Moreover, Γ_{∞} is represented as a pseudo-differential operator with a $\mathcal{B}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2N})$ symbol.

PROOF. We decompose $\varphi(H)$ as

$$\varphi(H) = \chi_{\infty}(D)\varphi(H) + \chi_1(D)\varphi(H).$$

Denoting the inner integral in the r.h.s. of (3.7) by $F_j(t)f(x)$, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(H)\chi_{\infty}(D) - \Gamma_{\infty} &= (\chi_{\infty}(D) - \varphi_1(H)\chi_{\infty}(D)) - \left(F_{\infty}(0) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\varphi}_1(t) F_{\infty}(t) dt \right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\varphi}_1(t) F_{\infty}(t) dt - \varphi_1(H)\chi_{\infty}(D) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\varphi}_1(t) (F_{\infty}(t) - e^{-itH}\chi_{\infty}(D)) dt \\ &= i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\varphi}_1(t) e^{-itH} \int_0^t e^{i\tau H} (D_{\tau} + H) F_{\infty}(\tau) d\tau dt. \end{aligned}$$

In a way similar to the proof of Theorem 2.8 (cf. Appendix), we can show that the symbol function $f_{\infty}(\tau, \xi, y)$ of $(D_{\tau} + H)F_{\infty}(\tau)$ satisfies

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_y^{\beta} f_{\infty}(\tau, \xi, y)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta, l} \langle y \rangle^{-l} \langle \xi \tau \rangle^l$$

for any $l \geq 0$. Since $\tilde{\varphi}_1(t) \in \mathcal{S}$, it follows from this and (3.9) that

$$(3.10) \quad \|\varphi(H)\chi_{\infty}(D) - \Gamma_{\infty}\|_{H_{-m}^m \rightarrow H_0^0} < \infty, \quad m \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, we can write

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\varphi}_1(t) F_{\infty}(t) f dt = \iint e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(y \cdot \xi - \phi(t, y, \xi))} u(t, \xi, y) \tilde{\varphi}_1(t) dt \right] \chi_{\infty}(\xi) f(y) dy d\xi.$$

Applying the integration by parts to the integral in [] above by using

the differential operator

$$L = \frac{1 - i\partial_t(y \cdot \xi - \phi(t, y, \xi)) \cdot \partial_t}{\langle \partial_t(y \cdot \xi - \phi(t, y, \xi)) \rangle^2},$$

we have

$$\left| \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_y^{\beta} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(y \cdot \xi - \phi(t, y, \xi))} ({}^t L)^l (u(t, \xi, y) \tilde{\varphi}_1(t)) dt \right] \right| \leq C_{\alpha\beta, l} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2l + |\alpha|},$$

if ρ in section 2 is taken small enough. Thus we have

$$\left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\varphi}_1(t) F_{\infty}(t) dt \right\|_{H_0^{-2m} \rightarrow H_0^0} < \infty, \quad m \geq 0.$$

Combining this with the obvious estimate $\|\varphi_1(H)\chi_{\infty}(D)\|_{H_0^{-2m} \rightarrow H_0^0} < \infty$, we get from (3.9)

$$(3.11) \quad \|\varphi(H)\chi_{\infty}(D) - \Gamma_{\infty}\|_{H_0^{-2m} \rightarrow H_0^0} < \infty, \quad m \geq 0.$$

An interpolation between (3.10) and (3.11) yields

$$(3.12) \quad \|\varphi(H)\chi_{\infty}(D) - \Gamma_{\infty}\|_{H_{-m}^{-m} \rightarrow H_0^0} < \infty, \quad m \geq 0.$$

Then we have now only to show

$$(3.13) \quad \|\varphi(H)\chi_1(D) - \Gamma_1\|_{H_{-m}^{-m} \rightarrow H_0^0} < \infty$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad \|\Gamma_1\|_{H_{-m}^{-m} \rightarrow H_0^0} < \infty.$$

We first prove (3.13). Choosing C^{∞} functions $\tilde{\chi}_0(\tau), \tilde{\chi}_{\infty}(\tau) \in C^{\infty}(R^1)$ such that

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \leq \tilde{\chi}_0(\tau), \tilde{\chi}_{\infty}(\tau) \leq 1, \\ \tilde{\chi}_0(\tau) + \tilde{\chi}_{\infty}(\tau) = 1, \\ \tilde{\chi}_0(\tau) = \begin{cases} 0, & |\tau| \geq C_2, \\ 1, & |\tau| \leq C_1 \end{cases} \quad (C_2 > C_1 > 6), \end{cases}$$

we define $T_l(\tau, t)$ by

$$(3.16) \quad T_l(\tau, t)f(x) = O_s \int \int e^{i(x \cdot \xi - \phi(\tau, y, \xi))} u(\tau, \xi, y) \tilde{\chi}_l\left(\frac{\langle y \rangle}{\langle t \rangle}\right) \chi_l(\xi) f(y) dy d\xi$$

for $l=0, \infty$. Then we have

$$(3.17) \quad (\varphi(H)\chi_1(D) - \Gamma_1)f(x) = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \bar{\varphi}_1(t) e^{-itH} \\ \times \int_0^t e^{itH} (D_\tau + H) (T_0(\tau, t)f + T_\infty(\tau, t)f)(x) d\tau.$$

We can write for some function $k_l(\tau, \xi, y)$

$$(3.18) \quad (D_\tau + H)T_l(\tau, t)f(x) = O_s - \iint e^{i(x \cdot \xi - \phi(\tau, y, \xi))} k_l(\tau, \xi, y) \\ \times \tilde{\chi}_l\left(\frac{\langle y \rangle}{\langle t \rangle}\right) f(y) dy d\xi, \quad l=0, \infty.$$

For $l=\infty$, since $\langle y \rangle \geq C_1 \langle t \rangle \geq C_1 \langle \tau \rangle$ and $|\xi| \leq 3$ when $\tilde{\chi}_\infty\left(\frac{\langle y \rangle}{\langle t \rangle}\right) \neq 0$ and $\chi_1(\xi) \neq 0$, we can prove in a way quite similar to that in the proof of Theorem 2.8 (cf. Appendix) that

$$(3.19) \quad |\partial_y^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta k_\infty(\tau, \xi, y)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta, m} \langle |y| + \langle \tau \rangle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-m}$$

for any $m \geq 0$. Thus we have

$$(3.20) \quad \|(D_\tau + H)T_\infty(\tau, t)\|_{H_{-m} \rightarrow H_0^0} \leq C_m, \quad m \geq 0.$$

For $l=0$, because of the existence of the factor $\chi_1(\xi)$ in (3.16), the symbol $k_0(\tau, \xi, y)$ of $(D_\tau + H)T_0(\tau, t)$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}^\infty(R_\tau^1 \times R_\xi^N \times R_y^N)$ and decays rapidly with respect to ξ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\langle t \rangle^{-1} \leq C_2 \langle y \rangle^{-1}$ when $\tilde{\chi}_0\left(\frac{\langle y \rangle}{\langle t \rangle}\right) \neq 0$, and since $\bar{\varphi}_1(t) \in \mathcal{S}$, we thus have

$$(3.21) \quad \bar{\varphi}_1(t) \|(D_\tau + H)T_0(\tau, t)\|_{H_{-m} \rightarrow H_0^0} \leq C_m$$

for some $C_m > 0$. From (3.20)-(3.21) and (3.17) we obtain (3.13).

We next prove (3.14). We can write

$$(3.22) \quad \Gamma_1 f(x) = \iint e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(y \cdot \xi - \phi(t, y, \xi) + t\lambda)} u(t, \xi, y) dt \right] d\lambda \\ \times \chi_1(\xi) f(y) dy d\xi.$$

On the support of $\varphi(\lambda)\chi_1(\xi)$, the differential operator

$$(3.23) \quad L = \frac{\partial_t}{\lambda - \partial_t \phi(t, y, \xi)}$$

is well-defined if $\rho \in (0, 1)$ is sufficiently small (see Proposition 2.5-ii)).

Thus the formula in the parenthesis [] of (3.22) is equal to

$$(3.24) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(y \cdot \xi - \phi(t, y, \xi) + t\lambda)} ({}^t L)^l (u(t, \xi, y)) dt.$$

From the expression of $u(t, \xi, y)$ (cf. (2.31)–(2.32)), we have

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} |({}^t L)^l u(t, \xi, y)| &\leq C_l \langle t \rangle^{-3l/4} \langle y + t\xi \rangle^{-l/4} \langle \lambda \rangle^{-l} \\ &\leq C_l \langle t \rangle^{-l/2} \langle y \rangle^{-l/4} \langle \lambda \rangle^{-l} \end{aligned}$$

on $\text{supp } \varphi(\lambda) \chi_1(\xi)$ for any $l \geq 0$. Thus we obtain (3.14). \square

Set

$$(3.26) \quad g_{\infty}^{\alpha}(\xi, y) \sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_y^{\alpha} g_{\infty}(\xi, y),$$

and

$$(3.27) \quad p(\xi, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(y \cdot \xi - \phi(t, y, \xi) + t\lambda)} u(t, \xi, y) dt d\lambda.$$

Define $\tilde{e}_{-}(t, \xi, y) \sim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} i^l \tilde{e}_{-}^l(t, \xi, y)$ ($t \leq 0$) as the solution of the transport equation (2.27) with “+” replaced by “-” and with the initial condition

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_{-}^0(0, \xi, y) &= \chi_{\infty}(\xi) \sum_{|\alpha| < \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_z^{\alpha} [p(\xi, z) \cdot D_{\eta}^{\alpha} \{(1 - \gamma(\eta)) + \gamma(\eta)(1 - \chi_0(Ry)) \\ &\quad + \gamma(\eta)(1 - \gamma(z))\chi_0(Ry) + g_{\infty}^{\alpha}(\eta, z)\chi_0(Ry)\}] \Big|_{\substack{z=y \\ \eta=\xi}}, \\ \tilde{e}_{-}^l(0, \xi, y) &= 0 \quad (l \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

We then define the ingoing approximate propagator $\tilde{E}_{-}(t)$ for $t \leq 0$ by

$$(3.29) \quad \tilde{E}_{-}(t)f(x) = O_s \int \int e^{i(x \cdot \xi - \phi^{-}(t, y, \xi))} \tilde{e}_{-}(t, \xi, y) f(y) dy d\xi.$$

Then we have the following estimate, which will be used in section 4.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let Assumption (L) be satisfied, and set $\tilde{K}_{-}(t) = (D_t + H_{\rho}^t) \tilde{E}_{-}(t)$ for $t \leq 0$. Then we can write $\tilde{K}_{-}(t)$ as*

$$(3.30) \quad \tilde{K}_{-}(t)f(x) = O_s \int \int e^{i(x \cdot \xi - \phi^{-}(t, y, \xi))} \tilde{k}_{-}(t, \xi, y) f(y) dy d\xi,$$

and the symbol $\tilde{k}_{-}(t, \xi, y)$ satisfies

$$(3.31) \quad |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_y^{\beta} \tilde{k}_{-}(t, \xi, y)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta, n} \langle |y| + \langle t \rangle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-n}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta, n \geq 0, t \leq 0, \xi, y \in R^N$ provided that $\rho \in (0, 1)$ is taken sufficiently small. In particular, we have

$$(3.32) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|(I - P_+) \Gamma_\infty^* U(t) - \tilde{E}_-(-t)^*\|_{H_0^0 \rightarrow H_m^m} < \infty, \quad m \geq 0,$$

where $U(t) = e^{-itH} = e^{-itH_\rho^L}$, hence by Proposition 3.1

$$(3.33) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|(I - P_+) \varphi(H) U(t) - \tilde{E}_-(-t)^*\|_{H_0^0 \rightarrow H_m^m} < \infty, \quad m \geq 0.$$

PROOF. The estimate (3.31) is proved in a way quite similar to that of Theorem 2.8 (cf. Appendix). We prove (3.32). We have for $t \geq 0$

$$(3.34) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|(I - P_+) \Gamma_\infty^* U(t) - \tilde{E}_-(-t)^*\|_{H_0^0 \rightarrow H_m^m} \\ & \leq \|(I - P_+) \Gamma_\infty^* - \tilde{E}_-(0)^*\|_{H_0^0 \rightarrow H_m^m} + \|\tilde{E}_-(0)^* U(t) - \tilde{E}_-(-t)^*\|_{H_0^0 \rightarrow H_m^m}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.28) and the definition of Γ_∞ , the first term on the r. h. s. of (3.34) is finite for any $m \geq 0$. The second term is estimated by using (3.31) as follows:

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{aligned} & = \|\tilde{E}_-(0) - U(t) \tilde{E}_-(-t)\|_{H_{-m}^{-m} \rightarrow H_0^0} \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|D_\tau(U(\tau) \tilde{E}_-(-\tau))\|_{H_{-m}^{-m} \rightarrow H_0^0} d\tau \\ & = \int_0^t \|\tilde{K}_-(-\tau)\|_{H_{-m}^{-m} \rightarrow H_0^0} d\tau \leq C_m, \end{aligned}$$

where C_m is independent of $t \geq 0$. □

§ 4. Total approximate propagators

The main purpose of this section is to construct the total approximate propagator $E(t)$ ($t \geq 0$) such that $E(0) = I$, and prove the weighted L^2 -estimate for $E(t)$ and $K(t) \equiv (D_t + H)E(t)$, $H = H_0 + V$, $V = V^L + V^S$, which will be used in the next section in proving Theorem 1.1. In doing so, we shall first construct the incoming approximate propagator $E_-(t)$, which describes the incoming behavior of the particles. By "incoming" particle we mean the particle that is pointing inward to the scatterer and coming into it. Therefore it must become an outgoing or bounded particle when a certain finite time passed. Since we shall only consider the particle with sufficiently high total energy, it all becomes the outgoing one after a finite time. When constructing the incoming propagator, we must therefore take into account the location of each particle (which we label

by $k \geq 0$), and in accordance with it we determine the "incoming time" ($\bar{\tau}_k$) only after which the particle behaves like an outgoing one. We denote the incoming propagator by $E_{-,k}(t)$ ($t \geq 0$) corresponding to the location k . Then the total approximate propagator $E(t)$ is defined by $E(t) = E_+(t) + \sum_{k \geq 0} E_{-,k}(t) + E_\infty(t)$ with some smoothing operator $E_\infty(t)$.

4.1. Incoming approximate propagators

Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and let Z_δ be a finite set included in the sphere $S^{N-1} = \{x \in R^N \mid |x| = 1\}$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \bigcup_{\beta \in Z_\delta} B_\beta(\delta/2) \supset S^{N-1},$$

where $B_\beta(\delta)$ denotes the open ball with center β and radius δ . We set for $\delta \in (0, 1)$

$$(4.2) \quad J_\delta = \{\alpha \in R^N \mid \alpha = (1 + \delta)^j \beta \text{ for some integer } j \geq 0 \text{ and some } \beta \in Z_\delta\}.$$

Then

$$(4.3) \quad \bigcup_{\alpha \in J_\delta} B_\alpha(\delta \mid \alpha) \supset \{x \in R^N \mid |x| \geq 1\}.$$

Let $f \in C_0^\infty(R^N)$ satisfy

$$(4.4) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq 1 \\ 0, & |x| \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$$

and set for $\alpha \in J_\delta$

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{f}_\alpha(x) = f\left(\frac{x - \alpha}{\delta \langle \alpha \rangle}\right).$$

Then

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{cases} \text{supp } \tilde{f}_\alpha \subset \{x \in R^N \mid |x - \alpha| \leq 2\delta \langle \alpha \rangle\}, \\ \tilde{f}_\alpha(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } |x - \alpha| \leq \delta \langle \alpha \rangle, \\ |\partial_x^l \tilde{f}_\alpha(x)| \leq C_l \langle \alpha \rangle^{-|l|}. \end{cases}$$

Thus for a sufficiently small $\delta \in (0, 1)$

$$(4.7) \quad f_\alpha(x) = \tilde{f}_\alpha(x) / \left(\sum_{\beta \in J_\delta} \tilde{f}_\beta(x) \right) \quad (\alpha \in J_\delta, |x| \geq 1)$$

is well-defined and there is a constant $R_1 (> 1)$ such that

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha \in J_\delta} f_\alpha(x) = 1, & |x| \geq R_1, \\ |\partial_x^\gamma f_\alpha(x)| \leq C_\gamma \langle x \rangle^{-|\gamma|}, & \alpha \in J_\delta, |x| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where C_γ is independent of $\alpha \in J_\delta$ and $|x| \geq 1$.

In the following, we shall take and fix σ_0 (in the previous sections) and σ_1 as

$$(4.9) \quad -1 < \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 < 0.$$

Set $\tau_0 = 0$ and

$$(4.10) \quad \tau_k = (1 + \delta)^{k-1} \quad \text{for } k \geq 1,$$

and for any $\tau \geq 0$

$$(4.11) \quad \Gamma_-(\sigma_1, \tau) = \{(x, \xi) \in \Gamma_-(\sigma_1) \mid \cos(x + \tau\xi, \xi) \leq \sigma_1\}.$$

Let $I_0 = \emptyset$ (=the empty set) and let for $k \geq 1$

$$(4.12) \quad I_k = \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) \in J_\delta^2 \mid (\alpha, \beta) \notin \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} I_j, \right. \\ \left. [(\text{supp } f_\alpha) \times \{\beta\}] \cap [\Gamma_-(\sigma_1, \tau_{k-1}) \setminus \Gamma_-(\sigma_1, \tau_k)] \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Then

$$(4.13) \quad \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in J_\delta^2 \mid \cos(\alpha, \beta) \leq \sigma'_1\}$$

for some $\sigma'_1 \in (\sigma_1, 0)$. Let $\phi \in C^\infty(R^N)$ satisfy

$$(4.14) \quad \phi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\xi| \geq S_1 + 1 \\ 0, & |\xi| \leq S_1, \end{cases}$$

for $S_1 > 2 \max(R_1, 3)$. We now define for $k \geq 1$

$$(4.15) \quad \varphi_k(x, \xi) = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in I_k} f_\alpha(x) f_\beta(\xi) \phi(x) \phi(\xi).$$

Then, for a sufficiently small $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$(4.16) \quad \sum_{k \geq 1} \varphi_k(x, \xi) = \phi(x) \phi(\xi) \quad \text{for } \cos(x, \xi) \leq \sigma_0,$$

and

$$(4.17) \quad \text{supp } \varphi_k(x, \xi) \subset \{(x, \xi) \in R^{2N} \mid \cos(x, \xi) \leq \sigma'_1\}.$$

We put

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{g}_+^\infty(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\alpha} g_+) (\xi, x), \\ g_+^\infty(x, \xi) = \tilde{g}_+^\infty(x, \xi) \phi(x) \phi(\xi), \end{cases}$$

and define

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{cases} g_{-,k}(x, \xi) = (1 - \tilde{g}_+^\infty(x, \xi)) \varphi_k(x, \xi) & (k \geq 1), \\ g_{-,0}(x, \xi) = \phi(\xi) - \sum_{k \geq 1} g_{-,k}(x, \xi) - g_+^\infty(x, \xi). \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$ be sufficiently small. Then we have*

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{cases} \text{supp } g_{-,k} \subset \{(x, \xi) \in R^{2N} \mid a_2 \tau_k |\xi| \geq |x| \geq a_1 \tau_k |\xi|, \cos(x, \xi) \leq \sigma_1\} \\ \text{for some } a_2 > a_1 > 0 \text{ and any } k \geq 1; \end{cases}$$

$$(4.21) \quad g_{-,0}(x, \xi) = \phi(\xi) (1 - \phi(x));$$

and

$$(4.22) \quad |\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} g_{-,k}(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\beta|}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Proof is clear by definition.

We remark here that k 's in the above specify the location of the particles and that τ_k can be interpreted as a kind of "incoming time" for the particles with the location " k ". Practically we shall adopt $\tilde{\tau}_k = A\tau_k$ ($A \gg 1$) instead of τ_k itself as our incoming time, because the behavior of the particles near the scatterer is too complicated to be described by the outgoing propagator $E_+(t)$.

Let $\tilde{\Phi} \in C_0^\infty(R^1)$ satisfy

$$(4.23) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & a_2 \geq \lambda \geq a_1, \\ 0, & 2a_2 \leq \lambda \text{ or } \lambda \leq a_1/2 \end{cases}$$

for the constants $a_2 > a_1 > 0$ in (4.20), and set for $k \geq 1$

$$(4.24) \quad \Phi_k(\xi, y) = \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{\langle y \rangle}{\tau_k \langle \xi \rangle}\right).$$

For $k=0$, let $\Phi_0(\xi, y) \equiv 1$. Taking a real number R_2 and a function $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(R^1)$ such that $R_2 \gg \max\{S_1, a_2\}$ and

$$(4.25) \quad \tilde{\chi}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\lambda| \leq R_2, \\ 0, & |\lambda| \geq 2R_2, \end{cases}$$

we set

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_k(\xi, y) = \tilde{\chi}\left(\frac{\langle y \rangle}{\tau_k \langle \xi \rangle}\right), & k \geq 1, \\ \chi_0(\xi, y) = \tilde{\chi}\left(\frac{R_2 \langle y \rangle}{S_1 \langle \xi \rangle}\right), \end{cases}$$

and define

$$(4.27) \quad \chi_k = \chi_k(D, Y), \quad \Phi_k = \Phi_k(D, Y), \quad g_{-,k} = g_{-,k}(X, D), \quad k \geq 0.$$

Now we can define the incoming approximate propagator $E_{-,k}(t)$. Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$ be sufficiently small. Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer and A be a constant such that $A \geq 2R_2 + 3$, and let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$ be the function defined by (3.4). Set $\tilde{\tau}_k = A\tau_k$; $\tilde{\tau}_0 = A$; and

$$(4.28) \quad \tilde{U}(t) = \varphi(H_\rho^L) e^{-itH_\rho^L}.$$

Furthermore let $\Phi \in C_0^\infty([0, \infty))$ be the function satisfying

$$(4.29) \quad \Phi(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \leq 1/2, \\ 0, & t \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and let P_+ be defined by (2.38).

DEFINITION 4.2. i) For $t \in [0, \tilde{\tau}_k)$,

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{-,k}(t) = & [\tilde{U}(t)\chi_k + E_+(t)(1 - \varphi(H_\rho^L)\chi_k) \\ & + \Phi(t)(I - P_+)(1 - \varphi(H_\rho^L)\chi_k)]g_{-,k}\Phi_k. \end{aligned}$$

ii) For $t \geq \tilde{\tau}_k$,

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{-,k}(t) = & [E_+(t - \tilde{\tau}_k)\tilde{U}(\tilde{\tau}_k)\chi_k + \Phi(t - \tilde{\tau}_k)(I - P_+)\tilde{U}(\tilde{\tau}_k)\chi_k \\ & + E_+(t)(1 - \varphi(H_\rho^L)\chi_k)]g_{-,k}\Phi_k. \end{aligned}$$

iii) For $t \geq 0$, set

$$(4.32) \quad E_-(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} E_{-,k}(t);$$

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{cases} K_{-,k}^L(t) = (D_t + H_\rho^L)E_{-,k}(t), \\ K_-^L(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} K_{-,k}^L(t); \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{cases} K_{-,k}(t) = (D_t + H)E_{-,k}(t), \\ K_-(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} K_{-,k}(t). \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let Assumption (L) be satisfied, and let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Then:*

i) $E_{-,k}(t)$ is strongly continuous in $t \geq 0$ as an operator in $B(L^2)$, hence as an operator in $B(L^2_s, L^2_{-s})$ for any $s \geq 0$.

ii) We have for $s \geq 0$

$$(4.35) \quad \|E_{-,k}(t)\|_{s \rightarrow 0} \leq C_s \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s}, \quad t \in [0, \tilde{\tau}_k),$$

$$(4.36) \quad \|E_{-,k}(t)\|_{s \rightarrow -s} \leq C_s \langle t - \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s} \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s}, \quad t \geq \tilde{\tau}_k,$$

where the constant $C_s > 0$ is independent of $k \geq 0$.

iii) We have for $s \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$

$$(4.37) \quad \|K_{-,k}^L(t)\|_{s \rightarrow s} \leq \begin{cases} C_s \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s}, & t \in [0, \tilde{\tau}_k), \\ C_{s,n} \langle t - \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-n} \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s}, & t \geq \tilde{\tau}_k, \end{cases}$$

where C_s and $C_{s,n}$ are independent of $k \geq 0$.

PROOF. i) is clear from the definition of $E_{-,k}(t)$.

ii) Since we have from (4.23) and (4.24)

$$(4.38) \quad |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_y^{\beta} (\Phi_k(\xi, y) \langle y \rangle^{-s})| \leq C_{\alpha\beta, s} \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s} \langle \xi \rangle^{-s}$$

for $k \geq 1$, we get by Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem ([2])

$$(4.39) \quad \|\Phi_k\|_{s \rightarrow 0} \leq C_s \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s}, \quad s \geq 0.$$

Thus we have (4.35) from (4.30) by $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|U(t)\|_{0 \rightarrow 0} < \infty$ and (2.39) with $s=0$. For $t \geq \tilde{\tau}_k$, the first and third terms in the r. h. s. of (4.31) clearly satisfy (4.36) by (2.39). For estimating the remainder term in (4.31), we have only to prove

$$(4.40) \quad \sup_{k \geq 0} \|(I - P_+) \tilde{U}(\tilde{\tau}_k) \chi_k\|_{H_0^0 \rightarrow H_m^m} < \infty$$

for any $m \geq 0$. But by Theorem 3.2-(3.33), this is reduced to the estimate

$$(4.41) \quad \sup_{k \geq 0} \|\tilde{E}_-(-\tilde{\tau}_k) * \chi_k\|_{H_0^0 \rightarrow H_m^m} < \infty, \quad m \geq 0.$$

To prove this, we write $T = \tilde{\tau}_k$. Then we have

$$(4.42) \quad (\tilde{E}_-(-T)^* \chi_k f)(x) = O_s - \iint e^{i(\phi^-(-T, x, \xi) - y \cdot \xi)} e_-(-T, \xi, x) \chi_k(\xi, y) f(y) dy d\xi.$$

For (x, ξ, y) satisfying $\tilde{e}_-(-T, \xi, x) \chi_k(\xi, y) \neq 0$, we obtain from $A \geq 2R_2 + 3$, (3.28) and the expression of $\tilde{e}_-(-T, \xi, x)$ (cf. (2.31)-(2.32)) that

$$(4.43) \quad |\nabla_\xi \phi^-(-T, x, \xi) - y| \geq c(|x| + T|\xi|)$$

for some $c > 0$ independent of $k \geq 0$. Setting

$$(4.44) \quad L = \frac{1 - i(\nabla_\xi \phi^-(-T, x, \xi) - y) \cdot \nabla_\xi}{\langle \nabla_\xi \phi^-(-T, x, \xi) - y \rangle^2},$$

we can write

$$(4.45) \quad (\tilde{E}_-(-T)^* \chi_k f)(x) = O_s - \iint e^{i(\phi^-(-T, x, \xi) - y \cdot \xi)} ({}^t L)^l \times \{\tilde{e}_-(-T, \xi, x) \chi_k(\xi, y)\} f(y) dy d\xi.$$

The symbol function $a_{l, k}(T, x, \xi, y) = ({}^t L)^l \{\tilde{e}_-(-T, \xi, x) \chi_k(\xi, y)\}$ satisfies by (4.43)

$$(4.46) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_y^\gamma a_{l, k}(T, x, \xi, y)| \leq C_l \langle |x| + T|\xi| \rangle^{-l}$$

for some constant $C_l > 0$ independent of $k \geq 0$. Thus we obtain (4.41) by L^2 -boundedness theorem ([1], [5]) for Fourier integral operators. We have proved ii).

iii) For $t \geq \tilde{\tau}_k$, (4.37) follows from (4.39), (4.40) and Theorem 2.8-(2.43). For $t \in [0, \tilde{\tau}_k]$, we have

$$(4.47) \quad K_{-, k}^L(t) = [K_+^L(t)(1 - \varphi(H_\rho^L) \chi_k) - i\Phi'(t)(I - P_+)(1 - \varphi(H_\rho^L) \chi_k) + \Phi(t)H_\rho^L(I - P_+)(1 - \varphi(H_\rho^L) \chi_k)] g_{-, k} \Phi_k.$$

The first term on the r. h. s. of (4.47) clearly satisfies (4.37) for $t \in [0, \tilde{\tau}_k]$ by (4.39) and Theorem 2.8-(2.43). The estimate for the remainder terms follows from the estimate

$$(4.48) \quad \sup_{k \geq 0} \|(I - P_+)(1 - \varphi(H_\rho^L) \chi_k) g_{-, k}\|_{H_0^0 \rightarrow H_m^m} < \infty$$

for any $m \geq 0$. To prove this, we note by Proposition 3.1, (4.14), (4.15), (4.19) and a direct calculation that

$$(4.49) \quad \sup_{k \geq 0} \|\varphi(H_\rho^L) \chi_k g_{-, k} - \chi_k g_{-, k}\|_{H_0^0 \rightarrow H_m^m} < \infty, \quad m \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, from the definition of $g_{-,k}(x, \xi)$ and $\chi_k(\xi, y)$, we have

$$(4.50) \quad \sup_{k \geq 0} \|(I - P_+)(1 - \chi_k)g_{-,k}\|_{H_0^0 \rightarrow H_m^m} < \infty$$

for any $m \geq 0$. Thus from (4.49) and (4.50), we obtain (4.48). We have proved iii). \square

The following proposition will be used in the next subsection in dealing with the short-range part $(V^L - V_\rho^L) + V^S$.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let Assumptions (L) and (S) be satisfied, and let $\delta \in [0, 1/2)$ be the constant appeared in Assumption (S). Then:*

i) *For any $s > 1$, $\sigma \geq 0$ and $k \geq 0$, one has*

$$(4.51) \quad \begin{aligned} & \| \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} (H_0 + 1)^\delta E_{-,k}(t) \|_{s \rightarrow 0} \\ & \leq C_{s,\sigma} \begin{cases} (t^{-2\delta} \vee 1) \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s}, & t \in (0, \tilde{\tau}_k), \\ (t - \tilde{\tau}_k)^{-2\delta} \langle t - \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{2\delta - \sigma} \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s}, & t > \tilde{\tau}_k, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $C_{s,\sigma} > 0$ is independent of k , and $a \vee 1 = \max\{a, 1\}$.

ii) *For any $s > 1$, $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 0$, one has*

$$(4.52) \quad \|K_{-,k}(t)\|_{s \rightarrow s} \leq C_{s,n} \begin{cases} (t^{-2\delta} \vee 1) \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s}, & t \in (0, \tilde{\tau}_k), \\ (t - \tilde{\tau}_k)^{-2\delta} \langle t - \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-n} \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s}, & t > \tilde{\tau}_k, \end{cases}$$

where the constant $C_{s,n} > 0$ is independent of k .

iii) *For any $s > 1$, $n \geq 0$, $k \geq 0$, and $\theta \geq 0$ satisfying $0 \leq \theta + \delta < 1/2$, one has*

$$(4.53) \quad \begin{aligned} & \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-\theta} \|K_{-,k}(t+h) - K_{-,k}(t)\|_{s \rightarrow s} \\ & \leq C_{s,n,\theta} \begin{cases} (t^{-2(\delta+\theta)} \vee 1) \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s}, & t \in (0, \tilde{\tau}_k), \\ (t - \tilde{\tau}_k)^{-2(\delta+\theta)} \langle t - \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-n} \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s}, & t > \tilde{\tau}_k, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{s,n,\theta}$ is independent of k .

PROOF. i) Since $V_\rho^L(x)$ is uniformly bounded, we can easily see that for $\tilde{U}(t) = \varphi(H_\rho^L) e^{-itH_\rho^L}$

$$(4.54) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|(H_0 + 1)\tilde{U}(t) - \tilde{U}(t)(H_0 + 1)\|_{0 \rightarrow 0} < \infty.$$

Furthermore we have

$$(4.55) \quad \sup_{k \geq 0} \|(H_0 + 1)^{1/2} \chi_k g_{-,k} - \chi_k g_{-,k} (H_0 + 1)^{1/2}\|_{0 \rightarrow 0} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(4.56) \quad (H_0 + 1)\Phi_k f(x) = \iint e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} \langle \xi \rangle^2 \Phi_k(\xi, y) \langle y \rangle^{-s} \langle y \rangle^s f(y) dy d\xi$$

and

$$(4.57) \quad |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_y^\beta (\langle \xi \rangle^2 \Phi_k(\xi, y) \langle y \rangle^{-s})| \leq C_{\alpha\beta, s} \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s} \langle \xi \rangle^{2-s}.$$

Hence for $s > 2$

$$(4.58) \quad \|(H_0 + 1)\Phi_k\|_{s \rightarrow 0} \leq C_s \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s}.$$

Interpolating this and $\sup_{k \geq 0} \|\Phi_k\|_{0 \rightarrow 0} < \infty$, we obtain

$$(4.59) \quad \|(H_0 + 1)^s \Phi_k\|_{s \rightarrow 0} \leq C_s \langle \tilde{\tau}_k \rangle^{-s}$$

for $s > 1$. Thus, the first term on the r. h. s. of (4.30) satisfies (4.51) for $t \in (0, \tilde{\tau}_k)$. The other terms in (4.30) clearly satisfies (4.51) for $t \in (0, \tilde{\tau}_k)$ by Proposition 2.9-i) and (4.48).

The estimate (4.51) for $t > \tilde{\tau}_k$ easily follows from Proposition 2.9-i), (4.40) and (4.39).

ii) now follows from i), Theorem 4.3-iii), and Assumption (S) by $K_{-,k}(t) = K_{-,k}^L(t) + (V^L - V_\rho^L + V^S)E_{-,k}(t)$.

iii) is proved in a way similar to i) by using Proposition 2.9-iii), (4.40) and (4.48). □

4.2. Total approximate propagators

Let

$$(4.60) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_+(x, \xi) &= \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} g_+(\xi + \eta, x + y) dy d\eta \\ &\sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (\partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\alpha g_+)(\xi, x). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\tilde{g}_+(X, D) = g_+(D, Y)$. Let Ψ be the operator defined by

$$(4.61) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi &= 1 - \phi(D) + g_+^\infty(X, D) - \chi_0(RX) \tilde{g}_+(X, D) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{-,k}(X, D) (1 - \Phi_k(D, Y)). \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easily seen by (4.19), (4.20), (4.23) and (4.24) that Ψ satisfies for any $s, m \geq 0$

$$(4.62) \quad \|\Psi\|_{H_s^0 \rightarrow H_s^m} < \infty.$$

Let $\Phi(t)$ be the function in (4.29).

Now we can define the total approximate propagator $E(t)$.

DEFINITION 4.5. i) For $t \geq 0$, we set

$$(4.63) \quad E_{\infty}(t) = \Phi(t)\mathcal{F}.$$

ii) For $t \geq 0$, we define

$$(4.64) \quad E(t) = E_+(t) + \sum_{k \geq 0} E_{-,k}(t) + E_{\infty}(t),$$

and

$$(4.65) \quad \begin{cases} K_{\rho}^L(t) = (D_t + H_{\rho}^L)E(t), \\ K(t) = (D_t + H)E(t). \end{cases}$$

Let $\{\mu_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} = \{0\} \cup \{\bar{\tau}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy

$$(4.66) \quad 0 = \mu_0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \cdots < \mu_k < \cdots \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{as } k \rightarrow \infty).$$

Then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let Assumptions (L) and (S) be satisfied, and let $\delta \in [0, 1/2)$ be the constant appeared in Assumption (S). Then:*

- i) $E(0) = I$;
- ii) $\|E(t)\|_{s \rightarrow -s} \leq C_{s,\varepsilon} \langle t \rangle^{-s+\varepsilon}$ for $s \geq 0, \varepsilon > 0$;
- iii) $\|K_{\rho}^L(t)\|_{s \rightarrow s} \leq C_{s,\varepsilon} \langle t \rangle^{-s+\varepsilon}$ for $s \geq 0, \varepsilon > 0$;
- iv) $\|K(t)\|_{s \rightarrow s} \leq C_{s,\varepsilon} ((t - \mu_k)^{-2\delta} \vee 1) \langle t \rangle^{-s+\varepsilon}$ for $t \in (\mu_k, \mu_{k+1})$ ($k \geq 0$), $s > 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$;

and

- v) $\limsup_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-\theta} \|K(t+h) - K(t)\|_{s \rightarrow s} \leq C_{s,\varepsilon,\theta} ((t - \mu_k)^{-2(\delta+\theta)} \vee 1) \langle t \rangle^{-s+\varepsilon}$

for $t \in (\mu_k, \mu_{k+1})$ ($k \geq 0$), $s > 1, \varepsilon > 0$ and $\theta \geq 0$ with $0 \leq \delta + \theta < 1/2$.

PROOF. i) is obvious from the definitions of $E_+(t)$, $E_{-,k}(t)$ and $E_{\infty}(t)$. ii) follows from Theorem 2.8-i), Theorem 4.3-ii) and (4.62), since $\bar{\tau}_k = A\tau_k = A(1+\delta)^{k-1}$ for $k \geq 1$. iii) follows from Theorem 2.8-ii), Theorem 4.3-iii) and (4.62). iv) is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.9-ii) and Proposition 4.4-ii). v) is a consequence of Proposition 2.9-iii) and Proposition 4.4-iii). \square

§ 5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.1.

We begin with the definition of the Fourier-Laplace transforms $\hat{E}(z)$ and $\hat{K}(z)$ ($\text{Im } z > 0$) of $E(t)$ and $K(t)$: For $f \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$(5.1) \quad \hat{E}(z)f = \int_0^\infty e^{itz} E(t)f dt, \quad \hat{K}(z)f = \int_0^\infty e^{itz} K(t)f dt.$$

Then we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let Assumptions (L) and (S) be satisfied, and let $s > 1$. Then*

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} \sup_{\text{Im } z > 0} \|\hat{E}(z)\|_{s \rightarrow s} < \infty, \\ \sup_{\text{Im } z > 0} \|\hat{K}(z)\|_{s \rightarrow s} < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the boundary values $\hat{E}(\lambda)f = \hat{E}(\lambda + i0)f = \text{s-lim}_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \hat{E}(\lambda + i\varepsilon)f$ and $\hat{K}(\lambda)f = \hat{K}(\lambda + i0)f = \text{s-lim}_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \hat{K}(\lambda + i\varepsilon)f$ ($f \in L_s^2$) exist and are continuous with respect to λ , in L_s^2 and L_s^2 , respectively.

PROOF. Since $E(t)f$ and $K(t)f$ belong to $L^1([0, \infty); L_s^2)$ and $L^1([0, \infty); L_s^2)$ for $f \in L_s^2$ and $s > 1$ by Theorem 4.3-i), Theorem 4.6-ii) and iv), the assertions are obvious. □

Taking the Fourier-Laplace transforms of the both sides of the relation $(D_t + H)E(t) = K(t)$ ($t \geq 0$), and using the continuity of $E(t)$ in $t \geq 0$, we can easily get

$$(5.3) \quad (H - z)\hat{E}(z)f = -i(I + i\hat{K}(z))f$$

for $f \in L_s^2$ ($s > 1$) and $\text{Im } z > 0$. From the well-known inequality:

$$(5.4) \quad \sup_{\text{Im } z > 0} \|\langle z \rangle^\theta \hat{K}(z)f\|_{L_s^2} \leq C \int_0^\infty \|K(t)f\|_{L_s^2} dt + C \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-\theta} \times \int_0^\infty \|(K(t+h) - K(t))f\|_{L_s^2} dt,$$

and Theorem 4.6-iv) and v), we easily get the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let Assumptions (L) and (S) be satisfied, and let $\theta > 0$ satisfy $0 < \theta + \delta < 1/2$ for $\delta \in [0, 1/2)$ appeared in Assumption (S). Let $s > 1$. Then*

$$(5.5) \quad \sup_{\text{Im } z > 0} \|\langle z \rangle^\theta \hat{K}(z)\|_{s \rightarrow s} < \infty.$$

Let $s \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed, and take $s_0 > 2$ so large that $s_0 \varepsilon / 2 \geq s$ holds.

Then there exists some $R_0 = R_0, s_0, \varepsilon > 1$ large enough such that for $|z| \geq R_0$, $\text{Im } z \geq 0$, one has

$$(5.6) \quad \|\hat{K}(z)\|_{s_0 \rightarrow s_0} < 1/2.$$

From this and (5.3) we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad R(z)f = (H - z)^{-1}f = i\hat{E}(z)(I + i\hat{K}(z))^{-1}f \quad \text{in } L^2_{-s_0}$$

for $|z| \geq R_0$, $\text{Im } z \geq 0$ and $f \in L^2_{s_0}$. By Proposition 5.1, the r. h. s. of (5.7) are continuous in $|z| \geq R_0$, $\text{Im } z \geq 0$. The similar thing can be shown to hold for $R(z)f$ with $|z| \geq R_0$, $\text{Im } z \leq 0$ by using the approximate propagator $E(t)$ defined for $t \leq 0$, which can be constructed in a way similar to that in sections 2-4. Thus we have for $\chi = \chi_{s_0, \varepsilon}$ in section 1 and for $f \in L^2_{s_0}$

$$(5.8) \quad \chi e^{-itH}f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-it\lambda} \chi(\lambda) \{R(\lambda + i0)f - R(\lambda - i0)f\} d\lambda, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^1.$$

For estimating this, we consider

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi_{\pm} e^{-itH}f &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-it\lambda} \chi(\lambda) R(\lambda \pm i0) f d\lambda \\ &= i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-it\lambda} \chi(\lambda) \hat{E}(\lambda \pm i0) (I + i\hat{K}(\lambda \pm i0))^{-1} f d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.6) we can write

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi_{+} e^{-itH}f &= i \sum_{l=0}^{\nu} i^l \int e^{-it\lambda} \chi(\lambda) \hat{E}(\lambda) \hat{K}(\lambda)^l f d\lambda \\ &\quad + i^{\nu+2} \int e^{-it\lambda} \chi(\lambda) \hat{E}(\lambda) \hat{K}(\lambda)^{\nu+1} (I + i\hat{K}(\lambda))^{-1} f d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for any $\nu \geq 0$. We take and fix ν and θ such that

$$(5.11) \quad 0 < \theta + \delta < 1/2, \quad (\nu + 1)\theta > 1$$

for $\delta \in [0, 1/2)$ appeared in Assumption (S).

It follows from (5.1), (5.4) and Theorem 4.6-ii), iv), v) that

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{cases} \|\partial_x^m \hat{E}(\lambda)\|_{s_0 \rightarrow -s_0} \leq C_{s_0, m}, \\ \|\partial_x^m \hat{K}(\lambda)\|_{s_0 \rightarrow s_0} \leq C_{s_0, m, \theta} \langle \lambda \rangle^{-\theta} \end{cases}$$

for $s_0 > m + 1$ ($m \geq 0$). Thus we can easily obtain

$$(5.13) \quad \left\| \int e^{-it\lambda} \chi(\lambda) \hat{E}(\lambda) \hat{K}(\lambda)^{\nu+1} (I + i\hat{K}(\lambda))^{-1} f d\lambda \right\|_{L^2_{-s_0}} \leq C_{s_0, \epsilon} \langle t \rangle^{-s_0+2} \|f\|_{L^2_{s_0}}.$$

For estimating the first summand on the r. h. s. of (5.10), we write for $0 \leq l \leq \nu$

$$(5.14) \quad \int e^{-it\lambda} \chi(\lambda) \hat{E}(\lambda) \hat{K}(\lambda)^l f d\lambda = \int e^{-it\lambda} \hat{E}(\lambda) \hat{K}(\lambda)^l f d\lambda + \int e^{-it\lambda} (\chi(\lambda) - 1) \hat{E}(\lambda) \hat{K}(\lambda)^l f d\lambda.$$

The first term on the r. h. s. of (5.14) is equal to

$$(5.15) \quad \int_0^t E(t-t_1) \int_0^{t_1} K(t_1-t_2) \cdots \int_0^{t_{l-1}} K(t_{l-1}-t_l) K(t_l) f dt_l \cdots dt_1$$

for $t \geq 0$, and vanishes for $t < 0$. By a straightforward calculation by using Theorem 4.6-ii), iv), the $L^2_{-s_0}$ norm of this is bounded by $C_{s_0, \epsilon} \langle t \rangle^{-s_0+1} \|f\|_{L^2_{s_0}}$. The second term on the r. h. s. of (5.14) can be estimated similarly by using $\chi(\lambda) - 1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$, and is bounded by $C_{s_0, \epsilon} \langle t \rangle^{-s_0+1} \|f\|_{L^2_{s_0}}$.

Summing up, we have proved

$$(5.16) \quad \|\chi_+ e^{-itH}\|_{s_0 \rightarrow -s_0} \leq C_{s_0} \langle t \rangle^{-s_0+2}$$

The same estimate can be shown to hold for $\chi_- e^{-itH}$ by using the approximate propagator $E(t)$ for $t \leq 0$. Thus we get

$$(5.17) \quad \|\chi e^{-itH}\|_{s_0 \rightarrow -s_0} \leq C_{s_0} \langle t \rangle^{-s_0+2}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(5.18) \quad \|\chi e^{-itH}\|_{0 \rightarrow 0} \leq C_0$$

from (1.5). Thus, interpolating (5.17) and (5.18), we have proved

$$(5.19) \quad \|\chi e^{-itH}\|_{s \rightarrow -s} \leq C_{s, \epsilon} \langle t \rangle^{-s+\epsilon}$$

for $s \geq 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ fixed just after Proposition 5.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Appendix. Proof of Theorem 2.8

- i) is obvious from the definition (2.37) of $E_+(t)$ and (2.36).
- ii) By a straightforward calculation, we can easily prove the expres-

sion (2.41), and we see that $k_+^2(t, \xi, y)$ is derived from the sum of the terms which include $\partial_t^l \partial_x^\alpha \left[\chi_0 \left(\frac{Rx}{\langle t \rangle} \right) \right]$ ($1 \leq l + |\alpha| \leq 2$), and that $k_+^1(t, \xi, y)$ is the symbol function of $K_+^1(t) = (D_t + H^L)E_+^1(t)$, where $E_+^1(t)$ is defined by (2.37) with deleting the factor $\chi_0 \left(\frac{Rx}{\langle t \rangle} \right)$. Furthermore, we easily see that

$$\left| \partial_t^l \partial_x^\alpha \left[\chi_0 \left(\frac{Rx}{\langle t \rangle} \right) \right] \right| \leq C_{\alpha, l} \langle x \rangle^{-|\alpha| - l} \text{ for } l + |\alpha| \geq 1, \text{ and by Proposition 2.5-i), (2.17)}$$

and (2.35) that $\partial_t^l \partial_x^\alpha \left[\chi_0 \left(\frac{Rx}{\langle t \rangle} \right) \right] \Big|_{x = \nabla_\xi \phi^+(t, y, \xi)} = 0$ for $l + |\alpha| \geq 1$ and (t, y, ξ) with $e_+(t, \xi, y) \neq 0$ if R is sufficiently large. The proof of (2.42) for $j=2$ is, therefore, quite similar to that for $j=1$. Hence we only prove (2.42) for $j=1$. To prove this, we first give two different estimates for $k_+^1(t, \xi, y)$ and then interpolate them to obtain (2.42). In the following we only prove the estimate (2.42) with $j=1$ for $|a|=|\alpha|=|\beta|=0$, since the other cases can be proved similarly:

$$(A. 1) \quad |k_+^1(t, \xi, y)| \leq C_n \langle |y| + \langle t \rangle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-n}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

We remark that (2.43) follows from (2.42) by the L^2 -boundedness theorem for Fourier integral operators (see Asada and Fujiwara [1], Kitada and Kumano-go [5], Kitada [4]).

1st estimate: Since $H_\rho^L = H_\rho^L(X, D)$ is symmetric, we can write

$$(A. 2) \quad H_\rho^L E_+^1(t) f(x) = \iint e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} H_\rho^L(y, \xi) (E_+^1(t) f)(y) dy d\xi.$$

Then we have

$$(A. 3) \quad K_+^1(t) f(x) = O_s \cdot \iint e^{i(x-\xi - \phi^+(t, y, \xi))} \{s_1(t, \xi, y) + s_2(t, \xi, y)\} f(y) dy d\xi,$$

where

$$(A. 4) \quad \begin{cases} s_1(t, \xi, y) = O_s \cdot \iint e^{i[z \cdot (\eta - \xi) + (\phi^+(t, y, \xi) - \phi^+(t, y, \eta))]} H_\rho^L(z, \xi) e_+(t, \eta, y) dz d\eta, \\ s_2(t, \xi, y) = -\partial_t \phi^+(t, y, \xi) \cdot e_+(t, \xi, y) - i \partial_t e_+(t, \xi, y). \end{cases}$$

By Taylor's formula and Fourier's inversion formula, we get for any $L > n > 2$

$$(A. 5) \quad \begin{aligned} & s_1(t, \xi, y) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} i^l \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} D_\eta^\alpha \left[(\partial_x^\alpha H_\rho^L) (\nabla_\xi \phi^+(t; \eta + \xi, y, \xi), \xi) e_+(t, \eta + \xi, y) \right] \Big|_{\eta=0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| < n} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} D_{\eta}^{\alpha} [(\partial_x^{\alpha} H_{\rho}^L)(\nabla_{\xi} \phi^+(t; \eta + \xi, y, \xi), \xi) e_+(t, \eta + \xi, y)] \Big|_{\eta=0} \\
 & + B_{n,L} + C_L,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(A. 6)} \quad & \left\{ \begin{aligned}
 B_{n,L} &= \sum_{n \leq |\alpha| < L} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} D_{\eta}^{\alpha} [(\partial_x^{\alpha} H_{\rho}^L)(\nabla_{\xi} \phi^+(t; \eta + \xi, y, \xi), \xi) e_+(t, \eta + \xi, y)] \Big|_{\eta=0} \\
 C_L &= L \sum_{|\gamma|=L} \frac{(-1)^{|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{|\gamma|-1} t_{\gamma}(\xi, y; \theta) d\theta, \\
 t_{\gamma}(\xi, y; \theta) &= O_s \cdot \iint e^{i\gamma \cdot z} D_{\eta}^{\gamma} \{(\partial_x^{\gamma} H_{\rho}^L)(\theta z + \nabla_{\xi} \phi^+(t; \eta + \xi, y, \xi), \xi) e_+(t, \eta + \xi, y)\} dz d\eta,
 \end{aligned} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

and $\nabla_{\xi} \phi^+(t; \eta, y, \xi)$ is defined by (2.30). On the other hand, using Proposition 2.5-ii) and the transport equation (2.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(A. 7)} \quad & s_2(t, \xi, y) \\
 &= - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} i^l \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} D_{\eta}^{\alpha} [(\partial_x^{\alpha} H_{\rho}^L)(t, \nabla_{\xi} \phi^+(t; \eta + \xi, y, \xi), \xi) e_+(t, \eta + \xi, y)] \Big|_{\eta=0} \\
 & - i \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} i^l B_l(t, \xi, y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking $\rho \in (0, 1)$ so small that $\rho^{-1} \geq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} 2\langle t \rangle / \varphi(t)$ for $T = T(\sigma'_0 - \delta) (> 1)$ appeared in Proposition 2.5-ii) (as for δ see (2.35)), and noting Proposition 2.1-iii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(A. 8)} \quad & k_+^1(t, \xi, y) = s_1(t, \xi, y) + s_2(t, \xi, y) \\
 & = A_n + B_{n,L} + C_L,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(A. 9)} \quad & A_n = -i \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} i^l B_l(t, \xi, y) \\
 & + i \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} i^m \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq \min(n-1, m+1)} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_{\eta}^{\alpha} [(\partial_x^{\alpha} H_{\rho}^L)(\nabla_{\xi} \phi^+(t; \eta + \xi, y, \xi), \xi) \\
 & \times e_+^{m+1-|\alpha|}(t, \eta + \xi, y)] \Big|_{\eta=0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also by Proposition 2.1-iii) and by (2.29), (2.35) and (2.36), A_n satisfies

$$\text{(A. 10)} \quad |A_n| \leq C_n \langle |y| + \langle t \rangle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-n},$$

where for $t > 0$ near 0 we used the inequalities:

$$\begin{cases} \langle |y| + t \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-1} |\partial_z^\alpha y^+(0, t; y, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha \langle |y| + t \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-1} t \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}, \\ \langle |y| + t \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \leq C \langle |y| + \langle t \rangle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

For $B_{n,L}$, we easily see by using these inequalities, (2.35) and (2.36) that

$$(A. 11) \quad |B_{n,L}| \leq C_{n,L} \langle |y| + \langle t \rangle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-n}.$$

In order to estimate C_L , we put

$$(A. 12) \quad q(z, \eta, y, \xi) = H_\rho^L(z + \nabla_\xi \phi^+(t; \eta, y, \xi), \xi) e_+(t, \eta, y).$$

Then

$$(A. 13) \quad C_L = \sum_{|\gamma|=L} \frac{(-1)^{|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{|\gamma|-1} O_s \cdot \iint e^{i\eta \cdot z} (D_\eta^\gamma \partial_z^\gamma q)(\theta z, \eta + \xi, y, \xi) dz d\eta d\theta.$$

For any multi-index α, β such that $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq M$ ($M > 0$), we have from the formula for the derivatives of composite functions (cf. e.g. Narita [10, Lemma 1.6])

$$\begin{aligned} (A. 14) \quad & |(D_\eta^{\gamma+\alpha} \partial_z^{\gamma+\beta} q)(\theta z, \eta + \xi, y, \xi)| \\ &= \left| D_\eta^{\gamma+\alpha} \left[(\partial_z^{\gamma+\beta} V_\rho^L) \left(\theta z + \int_0^1 y^+(0, t; y, \xi + (1-\theta)\eta) d\theta \right) e_+(t, \eta + \xi, y) \right] \right| \\ &\leq C \sum_{l+m=\gamma+\alpha} \sum_{\{\nu_\kappa\}_{0 < \kappa \leq l} \in M_l} \left| (D_z^{\sum \nu_\kappa + \gamma + \beta} V_\rho^L) \left(\theta z + \int_0^1 y^+(0, t; y, \xi + (1-\theta)\eta) d\theta \right) \right| \\ &\quad \times \prod_{0 < \kappa \leq l} \left| \left(\int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{|\kappa|} (D_\eta^\kappa y^+)(0, t; y, \xi + (1-\theta)\eta) d\theta \right)^{\nu_\kappa} \right| \\ &\quad \times |(D_\eta^m e_+)(t, \eta + \xi, y)|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_l = & \left\{ \{\nu_\kappa\}_{0 < \kappa \leq l} \mid \nu_\kappa \text{ and } \kappa \text{ are } N\text{-dimensional multi-indices} \right. \\ & \left. \text{and } \sum_{0 < \kappa \leq l} |\nu_\kappa| k = l \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We easily see from (2.17) and (2.35) that

$$(A. 15) \quad \left\langle \int_0^1 y^+(0, t; y, \xi + (1-\theta)\eta) d\theta \right\rangle^{-1} \leq C \langle t \rangle^3 \langle \eta \rangle^2 \langle |y| + t \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-1}$$

for (t, y, ξ, η) satisfying $e_+(t, \eta + \xi, y) \neq 0$. Thus for $t \geq 1$ we have from (A. 14), (2.17), (2.36) and $|\gamma| = L$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A.16) \quad & |(D_{\eta}^{\gamma+\alpha} \partial_z^{\gamma+\beta} q)(\theta z, \eta+\xi, y, \xi)| \\
 & \leq C \sum_{\substack{l'+m'=\gamma \\ l''+m''=\alpha}} \sum_{\{\nu_{\kappa}\} \in M_{l'+l''}} \langle z \rangle^{|l'|} \langle t \rangle^{3|l'|} \langle \eta \rangle^{2|l'|} \langle |y|+t \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-|l'|} \\
 & \quad \times \prod_{0 < \kappa \leq l'+l''} t^{|\nu_{\kappa}|} \langle \eta+\xi \rangle^{-|m'|} |\langle \eta+\xi \rangle^{|m'|} D_{\eta}^{m'+m''} e_+(t, \eta+\xi, y)| \\
 & \leq C \langle z \rangle^L \langle t \rangle^{3L} \langle \eta \rangle^{3L} \sum_{\substack{l'+m'=\gamma \\ l''+m''=\alpha}} \sum_{\{\nu_{\kappa}\} \in M_{l'+l''}} \langle t \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-|l'|} t^{|l'+l''|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|m'|} \\
 & \leq C \langle z \rangle^L \langle \eta \rangle^{3L} \langle t \rangle^{3L+M} \langle \xi \rangle^{-L}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For proving a similar estimate for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we need the following lemma, whose proof will be given at the end of this Appendix.

LEMMA A.1. For (t, y, ξ, η) satisfying $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $e_+(t, \eta+\xi, y) \neq 0$, and for $|\alpha| \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, one has

$$(A.17) \quad |(\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} y^+)(0, t; y, \xi + (1-\theta)\eta)| \leq C_{\alpha} \langle \eta \rangle^{2\mu_{\alpha}} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\mu_{\alpha} t}$$

for any μ_{α} such that $0 \leq \mu_{\alpha} \leq |\alpha|$.

From (A.14), (A.15), (A.17), (2.17) and (2.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A.18) \quad & |(D_{\eta}^{\gamma+\alpha} \partial_z^{\gamma+\beta} q)(\theta z, \eta+\xi, y, \xi)| \\
 & \leq C \sum_{\substack{l'+m'=\gamma \\ l''+m''=\alpha}} \sum_{\{\nu_{\kappa}\} \in M_{l'+l''}} \langle z \rangle^{|l'|} \langle t \rangle^{3|l'|} \langle \eta \rangle^{2|l'|} \langle |y|+t \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-|l'|} \\
 & \quad \times \prod_{0 < \kappa \leq l'+l''} (\langle \eta \rangle^{2\mu_{\kappa}} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\mu_{\kappa} t})^{|\nu_{\kappa}|} \langle \eta+\xi \rangle^{-|m'|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $p = \sum_{\kappa} |\nu_{\kappa}|$ and $q = \sum_{|\kappa|=1} |\nu_{\kappa}|$. For the terms on the r.h.s. of (A.18) which satisfy $p \leq |l'|$, we take μ_{κ} such that $\sum_{\kappa} |\nu_{\kappa}| \mu_{\kappa} = |l'| - p$ (≥ 0), which is possible since $\sum_{\kappa} |\nu_{\kappa}| \mu_{\kappa}$ can take any value in the interval

$$\left[0, \sum_{|\kappa| \geq 2} |\nu_{\kappa}| |\kappa|\right] = [0, |l'| - q] \supset [0, |l'| - p].$$

Then such terms are bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A.19) \quad & C \langle z \rangle^L \langle t \rangle^{3L} \langle \eta \rangle^{3L} \langle t \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-|l'|} t^p \langle \eta \rangle^{2(|l'| - p)} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|l'| + p} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|m'|} \\
 & \leq C \langle z \rangle^L \langle \eta \rangle^{5L} \langle t \rangle^{3L} \langle t \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{p - |l'|} \langle t \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-p} t^p \langle \xi \rangle^{-L + p} \\
 & \leq C \langle z \rangle^L \langle \eta \rangle^{5L} \langle \xi \rangle^{-L}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the terms in (A.18) which satisfy $p \geq |l'|$, we take $\mu_{\kappa} = 0$ for all κ . Then they are bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(A. 20)} \quad & C\langle z \rangle^L \langle t \rangle^{3L} \langle \eta \rangle^{3L} \langle t \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-|l'|} t^p \langle \xi \rangle^{-|m'|} \\
& \leq C\langle z \rangle^L \langle \eta \rangle^{3L} \langle t \rangle^{3L} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|l'|} t^{-|l'|+p} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|m'|} \\
& \leq C\langle z \rangle^L \langle \eta \rangle^{3L} \langle \xi \rangle^{-L}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining (A. 18)-(A. 20), we get for $0 \leq t \leq 1$

$$\text{(A. 21)} \quad |(D_\eta^{\gamma+\alpha} \partial_z^{\gamma+\beta} q)(\theta z, \eta + \xi, y, \xi)| \leq C\langle z \rangle^L \langle \eta \rangle^{5L} \langle \xi \rangle^{-L}.$$

Thus from (A. 16) and (A. 21) we get for any $t \geq 0$

$$\text{(A. 22)} \quad |(D_\eta^{\gamma+\alpha} \partial_z^{\gamma+\beta} q)(\theta z, \eta + \xi, y, \xi)| \leq C\langle z \rangle^L \langle \eta \rangle^{5L} \langle t \rangle^{3L+M} \langle \xi \rangle^{-L},$$

where $|\gamma| = L$ and $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq M$.

Taking an even integer M such that $N+1+5L \leq M \leq N+2+5L$, we now obtain for $|\gamma| = L$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(A. 23)} \quad & \left| \iint e^{i\eta \cdot z} (D_\eta^\gamma \partial_z^\alpha q)(\theta z, \eta + \xi, y, \xi) dz d\eta \right| \\
& = \left| \iint e^{i\eta \cdot z} \langle z \rangle^{-M} \langle D_\eta \rangle^M \langle \eta \rangle^{-M} \langle D_z \rangle^M (D_\eta^\gamma \partial_z^\alpha q)(\theta z, \eta + \xi, y, \xi) dz d\eta \right| \\
& \leq C\langle t \rangle^{3L+N+2} \langle \xi \rangle^{-L}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (A. 8), (A. 23) and (A. 13), and writing $\tilde{A}_{n,L} = A_n + B_{n,L}$, we thus have

$$\text{(A. 24)} \quad |k_+^1(t, \xi, y) - \tilde{A}_{n,L}| \leq C_L \langle t \rangle^{3L+N+2} \langle \xi \rangle^{-L}.$$

Moreover by (A. 10)-(A. 11), we have

$$\text{(A. 25)} \quad |\tilde{A}_{n,L}| \leq C_n \langle |y| + \langle t \rangle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-n}.$$

2nd estimate: We next prove the following estimate: For any integer $M > n$ (> 2)

$$\text{(A. 26)} \quad |k_+^1(t, \xi, y) - \tilde{A}_{n,M}| \leq C_M \langle |y| + t \rangle^{-M}.$$

Let a real-valued C^∞ function $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfy

$$\text{(A. 27)} \quad \chi(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & |y| \leq \delta_0, \\ 0, & |y| \geq 2\delta_0 \end{cases}$$

for some $\delta_0 > 0$ small enough. Using this χ and writing $\tau = \langle |y| + t \rangle$, we divide $s_1(t, \xi, y)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(A. 28)} \quad s_1(t, \xi, y) &= p_1(t, \xi, y) + p_2(t, \xi, y) \\
 &= \left[\iint e^{i(\eta-\xi) \cdot (z - \nabla_\xi \phi^+(t; \eta, y, \xi))} V_\rho(z) e_+(t, \eta, y) (1 - \chi(\tau^{-1}z - \tau^{-1}(y + t\eta))) dz d\eta \right] \\
 &\quad + \left[\iint e^{i(\eta-\xi) \cdot (z - \nabla_\xi \phi^+(t; \eta, y, \xi))} V_\rho(z) e_+(t, \eta, y) \chi(\tau^{-1}z - \tau^{-1}(y + t\eta)) dz d\eta \right] \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} |\xi|^2 e_+(t, \xi, y) \Big].
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $p_1(t, \xi, y)$ is estimated in quite the same way as that for $p_{1, \pm}$ in the proof of Theorem 4.4 of Kitada and Yajima [6] as follows if δ_0 in (A. 27) and $\rho \in (0, 1)$ are taken small enough: For any $l \geq 0$

$$\text{(A. 29)} \quad |p_1(t, \xi, y)| \leq C_l \tau^{N-l}.$$

Next, in order to estimate p_2 , we set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(A. 30)} \quad \bar{q}(z, \eta, y, \xi) &= V_\rho(z + \nabla_\xi \phi^+(t; \eta, y, \xi)) \\
 &\quad \times e_+(t, \eta, y) \chi(\tau^{-1}\{z + \nabla_\xi \phi^+(t; \eta, y, \xi) - y - t\eta\}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(A. 31)} \quad p_2(t, \xi, y) &+ \frac{1}{2} |\xi|^2 e_+(t, \xi, y) \\
 &= \iint e^{i\eta \cdot z} \bar{q}(z, \eta + \xi, y, \xi) dz d\eta \\
 &= \sum_{|\alpha| < n} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (D_\eta^\alpha \partial_z^\alpha \bar{q})(0, \xi, y, \xi) + \sum_{n \leq |\alpha| < M} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (D_\eta^\alpha \partial_z^\alpha \bar{q})(0, \xi, y, \xi) \\
 &\quad + M \sum_{|\gamma| = M} \frac{(-1)^{|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{|\gamma|-1} \iint e^{i\eta \cdot z} (D_z^\gamma \partial_\eta^\gamma \bar{q})(\theta z, \xi + \eta, y, \xi) dz d\eta d\theta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Noting by (2.17) and (A. 27) that

$$\text{(A. 32)} \quad (\partial_\eta^\beta \chi)(\tau^{-1}\{z + \nabla_\xi \phi^+(t; \eta + \xi, y, \xi) - y - t(\eta + \xi)\}) = 0$$

for $|\beta| \geq 1$, $z = \eta = 0$, and a sufficiently small $\rho \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(A. 33)} \quad k_+^1(t, \xi, y) &= s_1(t, \xi, y) + s_2(t, \xi, y) \\
 &= p_1(t, \xi, y) + (p_2(t, \xi, y) + s_2(t, \xi, y)) \\
 &= p_1(t, \xi, y) + \bar{A}_{n, M} + D_M.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $\bar{A}_{n, M} = A_n + B_{n, M}$ is defined by (A. 6) and (A. 9), and D_M is defined by

$$(A. 34) \quad D_M = M \sum_{|r|=M} \frac{(-1)^{|r|}}{r!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{M-1} \iint e^{i\eta \cdot z} (D_z' \partial_{\eta'} \tilde{q})(\theta z, \xi + \eta, y, \xi) dz d\eta d\theta.$$

D_M is estimated in the same way as that for R_{\pm} in the proof of Theorem 4.4 of Kitada and Yajima [6] as follows if ρ and δ_0 are taken small enough: For any integer $M \geq 0$

$$(A. 35) \quad |D_M| \leq C_M \langle |y| + t \rangle^{-M}.$$

Thus combining (A. 29), (A. 33) and (A. 35), we have (A. 26).

Now we can prove (A. 1). Interpolating (A. 24) and (A. 26) with taking L and M as $M = 8L + N + 2$, we obtain

$$(A. 36) \quad |k_+^1(t, \xi, y) - \tilde{A}_{n, L}|^{1/2} |k_+^1(t, \xi, y) - \tilde{A}_{n, M}|^{1/2} \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-L/2}.$$

Since $M = 8L + N + 2 > L$, we get from the definition of $\tilde{A}_{n, L} = A_n + B_{n, L}$

$$(A. 37) \quad |\tilde{A}_{n, M} - \tilde{A}_{n, L}| = |B_{n, M} - B_{n, L}| \leq C_L \langle |y| + \langle t \rangle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-L}.$$

Thus

$$(A. 38) \quad \begin{aligned} & |k_+^1(t, \xi, y) - \tilde{A}_{n, L}| \\ & \leq |k_+^1(t, \xi, y) - \tilde{A}_{n, L}|^{1/2} (|k_+^1(t, \xi, y) - \tilde{A}_{n, M}| + |\tilde{A}_{n, M} - \tilde{A}_{n, L}|)^{1/2} \\ & \leq (|k_+^1(t, \xi, y) - \tilde{A}_{n, L}| |k_+^1(t, \xi, y) - \tilde{A}_{n, M}| \\ & \quad + |\tilde{A}_{n, M} - \tilde{A}_{n, L}| |k_+^1(t, \xi, y) - \tilde{A}_{n, L}|)^{1/2} \\ & \leq (C \langle \xi \rangle^{-L} + C \langle |y| + \langle t \rangle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-L})^{1/2} \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-L/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Again interpolating (A. 26) and (A. 38) with $M = L$, we then get

$$(A. 39) \quad |k_+^1(t, \xi, y) - \tilde{A}_{n, L}| \leq C_L \langle |y| + \langle t \rangle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-L/4}.$$

Therefore, using (A. 25) and taking $L > 4n$ in (A. 39), we obtain

$$(A. 40) \quad |k_+^1(t, \xi, y)| \leq C_n \langle |y| + \langle t \rangle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-n}$$

for any $n \geq 0$. This completes the proof of (A. 1). There now remains to prove Lemma A. 1.

PROOF OF LEMMA A. 1. We give the proof only for the case $|\alpha| = 2$. Then the case $|\alpha| \geq 3$ can be easily proved by induction on $|\alpha|$. By Proposition 2.3-ii), we have

$$(A. 41) \quad y^+(0, t; y, \zeta) = q(t, 0; y, \eta^+(t, 0; y, \zeta)), \quad \zeta = \xi + (1-\theta)\eta.$$

Thus

$$(A. 42) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi}^2 y^+(0, t; y, \zeta) &= \partial_{\xi}^2 q(t, 0; y, \eta^+(t, 0; y, \zeta)) \cdot \partial_{\xi} \eta^+(t, 0; y, \zeta)^2 \\ &\quad + \partial_{\xi} q(t, 0; y, \eta^+(t, 0; y, \zeta)) \cdot \partial_{\xi}^2 \eta^+(t, 0; y, \zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Since we have from Proposition 2.3-i) that

$$(A. 43) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi}^2 \eta^+(t, 0; y, \zeta) &= -\partial_{\xi} p(t, 0; y, \zeta)^{-1} \cdot \partial_{\xi}^2 p(t, 0; y, \eta^+(t, 0; y, \zeta)) \\ &\quad \times \partial_{\xi} \eta^+(t, 0; y, \zeta)^2, \end{aligned}$$

we have only to consider the following equation

$$(A. 44) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{\xi}^2 q(t, 0; y, \eta^+) = \int_0^t \partial_{\xi}^2 p(\tau, 0; y, \eta^+) d\tau, \\ \partial_{\xi}^2 p(t, 0; y, \eta^+) = - \int_0^t \{ \nabla_x^2 V_{\rho}(\tau, q(\tau, 0)) \cdot \nabla_{\xi}^2 q(\tau, 0) \\ \quad + \nabla_x^3 V_{\rho}(\tau, q(\tau, 0)) \cdot \nabla_{\xi} q(\tau, 0)^2 \} d\tau \end{cases}$$

and estimate $(\partial_{\xi}^2 q, \partial_{\xi}^2 p)(t, 0; y, \eta^+)$, where $\eta^+ = \eta^+(t, 0; y, \zeta)$. But since we have by using (2.11), (2.14), (2.17) and $e_+(t, \eta + \xi, y) \neq 0$ that

$$(A. 45) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_0^t | \nabla_x^3 V_{\rho}(\tau, q(\tau, 0)) \cdot \nabla_{\xi} q(\tau, 0)^2 | d\tau \\ &\leq C \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \int_0^t \langle q(\tau, 0; y, \eta^+) \rangle^{-3-\varepsilon_0} \tau^2 d\tau \\ &\leq C \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \int_0^t \langle |y + \tau \zeta| - C \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \tau \rangle^{-3-\varepsilon_0} \tau^2 d\tau \\ &\leq C \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \int_0^t \langle |y| + \tau \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-\mu_2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2\mu_2} \langle \tau \rangle^{3\mu_2} \tau^2 d\tau \\ &\leq C \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \langle \eta \rangle^{2\mu_2} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\mu_2} \int_0^t \tau^{2-\mu_2} d\tau \leq C \rho^{\varepsilon_0} \langle \eta \rangle^{2\mu_2} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\mu_2} \end{aligned}$$

if $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \mu_2 \leq 2$, we can prove Lemma A.1 for $|\alpha|=2$ by successive approximation. The case $|\alpha| \geq 3$ can now be proved by induction on $|\alpha|$.

The proof of Theorem 2.8 is now complete.

References

- [1] Asada, K. and D. Fujiwara, On some oscillatory integral transformations in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Japan. J. Math. 4 (1978), 299-361.
- [2] Calderón, A. P. and R. Vaillancourt, A class of bounded pseudo-differential operators, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 69 (1972), 1185-1187.

- [3] Jensen, A. and T. Kato, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions, *Duke Math. J.* **46** (1979), 583-611.
- [4] Kitada, H., A calculus of Fourier integral operators and the global fundamental solution for a Schrödinger equation, *Osaka J. Math.* **19** (1982), 863-900.
- [5] Kitada, H. and H. Kumano-go, A family of Fourier integral operators and the fundamental solution for a Schrödinger equation, *Osaka J. Math.* **18** (1981), 291-360.
- [6] Kitada, H. and K. Yajima, A scattering theory for time-dependent long-range potentials, *Duke Math. J.* **49** (1982), 341-376.
- [7] Murata, M., Rate of decay of local energy and spectral properties of elliptic operators, *Japan. J. Math.* **6** (1980), 77-127.
- [8] Murata, M., High energy resolvent estimates, I, II, preprints, 1982.
- [9] Murata, M., Asymptotic expansions in time of Schrödinger-type equations, preprint, 1981.
- [10] Narita, R., The propagation of the singularities by the solution for the hyperbolic initial value problems, Master thesis, Univ. Tokyo, 1981 (in Japanese).
- [11] Rauch, J., Local decay of scattering solutions to Schrödinger equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **61** (1978), 149-168.
- [12] Vainberg, B. R., On the short wave asymptotic behavior of solutions of stationary problems and the asymptotic behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of solutions of non-stationary problems, *Russian Math. Surveys* **30** (1975), 1-58.

(Received November 5, 1982)

Department of Pure and Applied Sciences
College of General Education
University of Tokyo
Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo
153 Japan

Present address
Department of Mathematics
College of General Education
University of Tokyo
Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo
153 Japan