

Hodge filtrations on Gauss-Manin systems I

By Morihiko SAITO

(Communicated by S. Iitaka)

Let Y be a complex manifold and let S be the unit open disk $\{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < 1\}$. Let $f: Y \rightarrow S$ be a flat projective morphism such that f is smooth on $S^* = S - \{0\}$. The *Gauss-Manin system* of f is defined to be the complex of \mathcal{D}_S -Modules obtained by integrating \mathcal{O}_Y as a holonomic system along the fibers of f , and is denoted by $\int_f \mathcal{O}_Y$ (cf. [7]). For any integer k , the k -th cohomology sheaf $\int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y$ of $\int_f \mathcal{O}_Y$ is a regular holonomic system on S [5]. It is also called the (k -th) Gauss-Manin system on f , and is canonically endowed with the *Hodge filtration* \mathcal{F}^* , which induces a variation of Hodge structures on S^* by the canonical isomorphism

$$\int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y|_{S^*} \cong \mathcal{O}_{S^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (R^k f_* \mathcal{C}_Y|_{S^*}) \quad (\text{cf. [1]}).$$

Let \mathcal{L}^k be the canonical extension of $\mathcal{O}_{S^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (R^k f_* \mathcal{C}_Y|_{S^*})$ to S as a holomorphic vector bundle (i.e., a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -Module) with a connection ∇ such that the eigenvalues of $\text{res}(t\nabla)$ are in $(-1, 0]$ (cf. (2.1)). By a result of Schmid [13], $\mathcal{F}^*|_{S^*}$ has an extension $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^*$ to S such that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^p$ is a holomorphic vector subbundle of \mathcal{L}^k for each integer p (cf. [10]).

Our main theorem is as follows (cf. (2.5)).

THEOREM. *$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^p$ is a subsheaf of \mathcal{F}^p for each integer p . More precisely, we have a natural inclusion $\mathcal{L}^k \hookrightarrow \int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y$ such that*

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^k(\mathcal{L}^k) = \mathcal{F}^k\left(\int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y\right) \quad \text{for each } k,$$

and

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^p(\mathcal{L}^k) = \mathcal{L}^k \cap \mathcal{F}^p\left(\int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y\right) \quad \text{for each } p \text{ and } k.$$

From this, we can derive the following result of Scherk-Steenbrink [14] (see also [8] [9] [19]): the Hodge filtration of the mixed Hodge structure of Steenbrink [16] on the cohomology of the Milnor fiber of an isolated hypersurface singularity

is determined by the Brieskorn lattice $\mathcal{A}_{X,0}^{(0)} = \mathcal{O}_{X,0}^{+}/df \wedge d\mathcal{O}_{X,0}^{n-1}$ (cf. Theorem (3.5)).

I would like to thank Professors M. Kashiwara and K. Saito for their helpful suggestions and useful discussions. In particular, the ideas of dual filtration and Cohen-Macaulay filtration were suggested by Kashiwara (cf. also [1]).

§1. Holonomic systems and good filtrations

(1.1) Let Y be a complex manifold of dimension N , and let \mathcal{M} be a holonomic system on Y , i. e., \mathcal{M} is a coherent left \mathcal{D}_Y -Module such that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}_Y}^i(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}_Y) = 0$ for $i \neq N$.

By a result of Kashiwara [2], $DR_Y(\mathcal{M}) := \mathcal{O}_Y^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{M}$ has constructible cohomologies, and the functor DR_Y induces an equivalence $D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_Y) \simeq D_c^b(\mathcal{C}_Y)$ (cf. [4] [6]). Here $D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ (resp. $D_c^b(\mathcal{C}_Y)$) is the full subcategory of $D(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ (resp. $D(\mathcal{C}_Y)$) consisting of bounded complexes with regular holonomic (resp. constructible) cohomologies, and $D(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ (resp. $D(\mathcal{C}_Y)$) is the derived category of \mathcal{D}_Y - (resp. \mathcal{C}_Y -) Modules on Y . Here we consider only left \mathcal{D}_Y -Modules.

(1.2) DEFINITION. a) An increasing filtration $\{\mathcal{M}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on a \mathcal{D}_Y -Module \mathcal{M} is called a good filtration, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_k = 0$ for $k \ll 0$ (locally),
- 2) $\mathcal{D}_Y(r)\mathcal{M}_k \subset \mathcal{M}_{r+k}$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathcal{D}_Y(r) := \left\{ \sum_{|\nu| \leq r} a_\nu \partial^\nu \right\} \subset \mathcal{D}_Y$,
- 3) $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{M})$ is a coherent $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ -Module on Y .

b) A good filtration $\{\mathcal{M}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is called Cohen-Macaulay, if $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{M})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ -Module. We abbreviate it as “a good C.M. filtration”.

c) For an increasing filtration $\{\mathcal{M}_k\}$ on a \mathcal{D}_Y -Module \mathcal{M} , we define the decreasing filtration $\{\mathcal{F}^p\}$ by $\mathcal{F}^p := \mathcal{M}_{-p}$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, and vice versa. We say that $\{\mathcal{F}^p\}$ is a good filtration (resp. a good C.M. filtration), if so is the corresponding increasing filtration $\{\mathcal{M}_k\}$.

d) Let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{D}_Y -Module with a filtration $\{\mathcal{M}_k\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}\{n\}$ the \mathcal{D}_Y -Module with the filtration $\{\mathcal{M}\{n\}_k = \mathcal{M}_{k-n}\}$.

(1.3) DEFINITION. Let $DF(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ be the derived category of \mathcal{D}_Y -Modules with filtrations satisfying the first two conditions of Definition (1.2.a), and let $D_{grh}^b F(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ be the full subcategory of $DF(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ consisting of bounded complexes whose cohomologies are regular holonomic systems with good filtrations.

a) Let $\mathcal{M}' \in \text{Ob}DF(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ be a complex of filtered \mathcal{D}_Y -Modules having a locally free filtered resolution $\mathcal{L}' \simeq \mathcal{M}'$ (i. e., $\mathcal{L}^p \cong \bigoplus_i \mathcal{D}_Y\{m_i\}$ locally). We define the dual filtered complex $\mathcal{M}^* \in \text{Ob}DF(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ by

$$\mathcal{M}^* := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{D}_Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} (\mathcal{O}_Y^N)^{\otimes -1} [N][N]$$

where $N := \dim Y$ (cf. [1]).

b) Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic map of complex manifolds and let $l = \dim Y - \dim X$. We define the integration of $\mathcal{M}^* \in \text{ObDF}(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ by

$$\int_f \mathcal{M}^* := \mathbf{R}f_* \left(\mathcal{D}_{X-Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_Y}^L \mathcal{M}^* \right) [-l] \in \text{ObD}(\mathcal{D}_X),$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{X-Y} := \Omega_Y^N \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X} f^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\Omega_X^{N-l})^{\otimes -1})$ (cf. [3]).

We define a filtration on $\int_f \mathcal{M}^*$ as follows (cf. [1]):

1) In the case where f is a closed immersion,

$$\mathfrak{F}^p \left(\int_f \mathcal{M}^* \right) := f_* \left(\sum_k (\mathcal{D}_{X-Y})_k \otimes \mathfrak{F}^{p+k}(\mathcal{M}^* \{-l\}[-l]) \right).$$

Here $(\mathcal{D}_{X-Y})_k := \Omega_Y^N \otimes f^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_X(k) \otimes (\Omega_X^{N-l})^{\otimes -1})$.

2) In the case where f is smooth¹⁾, we define the filtration \mathfrak{F}^* on $DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{M}^*) = \{DR_{Y/X}(\mathcal{M}^*)^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ to be

$$\mathfrak{F}^p(DR_{Y/X}(\mathcal{M}^*)^k) := \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \Omega_{Y/X}^i \otimes \mathfrak{F}^{p-i}(\mathcal{M}^*),$$

and the filtration \mathfrak{F}^* on $\int_f \mathcal{M}^* \cong \mathbf{R}f_* DR_{Y/X}(\mathcal{M}^*)$ to be the direct image of the filtered complex $(DR_{Y/X}(\mathcal{M}^*), \mathfrak{F}^*) \in \text{ObDF}(f^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X)$.

3) The general case. We factor f as $f = p \circ i$, where i is a closed immersion and p is smooth. Then the filtration on $\int_f \mathcal{M}^* \cong \int_p \left(\int_i \mathcal{M}^* \right)$ is defined by 1) and 2). This does not depend on the decomposition $f = p \circ i$, if f is proper.

(1.4) LEMMA. a) (Kashiwara) For a holonomic system \mathcal{M} with a good C.M. filtration, $\mathcal{M}^* \in \text{ObDF}(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ is well-defined and is represented by a holonomic system with a good C.M. filtration.

b) For $\mathcal{M}^* \in \text{ObDF}(\mathcal{D}_Y)$, we have $DR_X \left(\int_f \mathcal{M}^* \right) \cong \mathbf{R}f_* (DR_Y(\mathcal{M}^*))$ as filtered complexes, where $DR_X \left(\int_f \mathcal{M}^* \right)$ and $DR_Y(\mathcal{M}^*)$ have the filtrations defined in (1.3.b.2) (cf. [1][6]).

c) Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ and $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ be proper morphisms of complex manifolds. Then we have $\int_{f \circ g} \mathcal{M}^* \cong \int_f \left(\int_g \mathcal{M}^* \right)$ in $DF(\mathcal{D}_X)$ for $\mathcal{M}^* \in \text{ObDF}(\mathcal{D}_Z)$ (cf. [1]).

d) For a projective morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ and a regular holonomic system \mathcal{M} with a good filtration, we have $\int_f \mathcal{M} \in \text{ObD}_{\text{grh}}^b F(\mathcal{D}_X)$ (cf. [1][5]).

1) We have to assume that $Y = X \times W$ with a manifold W and $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is the projection.

e) Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow S$ be projective morphisms of complex manifolds, and let \mathcal{M}^* be a bounded complex of \mathcal{D}_Y -Modules with good filtrations. Then, for every compact subset $K \subset S$, which has a Stein neighborhood in S , we have a neighborhood X' of $g^{-1}(K)$ such that

$$\left(\int_f \mathcal{M}^*|_{Y'}\right)^* \cong \int_{f'} (\mathcal{M}^*|_{Y'})^* \{l\} [2l]$$

as filtered complexes of $\mathcal{D}_{X'}$ -Modules, where $Y' := f^{-1}(X')$, $f' := f|_{Y'} : Y' \rightarrow X'$ and $l = \dim Y - \dim X$ (cf. [6] [20]).

REMARKS. 1) Let \mathcal{M} be a holonomic system with a good C.M. filtration. \mathcal{M} is called a self dual system of weight n , if there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}^* \{-n\}$ in $DF(\mathcal{D}_Y)$.

2) \mathcal{O}_Y is a self dual system of weight 0. In fact it has the Hodge filtration: $\mathfrak{F}^0 \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y$ and $\mathfrak{F}^1 \mathcal{O}_Y = \{0\}$.

(1.5) PROPOSITION. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow S$ be projective morphisms of complex manifolds. We assume that f is a modification, i.e., there is an open dense subset V of X such that f induces an isomorphism $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$. Then, for every compact subset K of S , which has a Stein neighborhood in S , we have a neighborhood X' of $g^{-1}(K)$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ is a direct factor of $\int_f \mathcal{O}_Y|_{X'}$, as a filtered complex of $\mathcal{D}_{X'}$ -Modules. Here \mathcal{O}_Y and \mathcal{O}_X have the Hodge filtrations \mathfrak{F}^* stated in Remark 2) of Lemma (1.4), and $\int_f \mathcal{O}_Y$ has the induced filtration \mathfrak{F}^* defined in (1.3.b).

PROOF. A natural morphism $\mathcal{C}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}f_* \mathcal{C}_Y$ induces a morphism $f^* : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \int_f \mathcal{O}_Y$ in $DF(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Taking the dual complexes, we have the Gysin morphism $f_* : \int_f \mathcal{O}_Y|_{X'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}$, on a neighborhood X' of $g^{-1}(K)$, since $\mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X^*$, $\mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{O}_Y^*$ and $\left(\int_f \mathcal{O}_Y\right)^* \cong \int_{f'} (\mathcal{O}_Y^*)$ (cf. (1.4.e)). We can verify that $f_* \circ f^*$ is the identity on the open dense subset of X' , where f induces an isomorphism. Hence $f_* \circ f^*$ is the identity on X' . This gives the desired result. Q. E. D.

§ 2. Limit Hodge filtration

(2.1) Let $f : Y \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of complex manifolds and let $n = \dim Y - \dim S$. We assume that Y is connected, S is a one-dimensional open disk, and f is surjective and smooth on $S^* := S - \{0\}$.

Let $H^k := \mathbf{R}^k f_* \mathcal{C}_Y|_{S^*}$, which is a local system on S^* . As was proved by Deligne, H^k has a canonical extension to S as a holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{L}^k

with a connection ∇ , such that ∇ has a simple pole at the origin and the eigenvalues of $\text{res}(t\nabla)$ are contained in $(-1, 0]$.

We set $\mathcal{L}^k(0) := \mathcal{L}_0^k/t\mathcal{L}_0^k$ and $H_\infty^k := \Gamma(U, \rho^*H^k)$, where $\rho: U \rightarrow S^*$ is a universal covering of S^* . We have an isomorphism $H_\infty^k \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^k(0)$ by $u \mapsto \exp(-(\log t/2\pi\sqrt{-1}) \log M)u$. Here M is the local monodromy of H^k , and the eigenvalues of $\log M$ are contained in $[0, 1)$. We regard \mathcal{L}^k as a subsheaf of $j_*(\mathcal{O}_{S^*} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} H^k)$, where $j: S^* \rightarrow S$ is the canonical inclusion.

(2.2) Let $\int_f \mathcal{O}_Y$ be the integration of \mathcal{O}_Y defined in (1.3.b). $\int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y := \mathcal{H}^k(\int_f \mathcal{O}_Y)$

is a regular holonomic system with a good filtration \mathcal{F}^* by Lemma (1.4.d). $\int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y$ is called the *Gauss-Manin system* of f and \mathcal{F}^* is called the *Hodge filtration* on $\int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y$ (cf. [1][7][8]).

The restriction $\mathcal{F}^*|_{S^*}$ to $\int_f \mathcal{O}_Y|_{S^*} \cong \mathcal{O}_{S^*} \otimes H^k$ is a variation of Hodge structures in the sense of Griffiths and Schmid (cf. [13]), since \mathcal{F}^* is defined locally with respect to S and f is smooth on S^* .

By a result of Schmid, $\mathcal{F}^*|_{S^*}$ can be extended to S as holomorphic vector subbundles $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^*$ of \mathcal{L}^k , i.e., $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^* = \mathcal{L}^k \cap j_*(\mathcal{F}^*|_{S^*})$ (cf. [10][13]). We shall construct \mathcal{L}^k and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^*$ geometrically (cf. (2.4) (2.5)).

(2.3) Let $f = p \circ i$ be the canonical decomposition of f , i.e., $i: Y \rightarrow Z := Y \times S$ is the canonical inclusion and $p: Z \rightarrow S$ is the projection on the second factor.

We can verify that $\int_i \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{B}_{Y/Z}\{1\}[1] \cong i_*(\mathcal{O}_Y[\partial_t])\{1\}[1]$ and $DR_{Z/S}(\int_i \mathcal{O}_Y) \cong i_*(\mathcal{Q}_Y[\partial_t])\{1\}[1]$ such that $\mathcal{F}^p(DR_{Z/S}(\int_i \mathcal{O}_Y)) = \bigoplus_{j \leq -p-1} (i_*\mathcal{Q}_Y^j[\partial_t])\{1\}[1]$ and $d = d_Y - \partial_t d f \wedge$.

We define a subcomplex $\mathcal{A}^*[1]$ of $DR_{Z/S}(\int_i \mathcal{O}_Y)$ by $\mathcal{A}^k := \{w \in \mathcal{Q}_Y^k; d f \wedge w = 0\}$. Here we regard $\mathcal{A}^*[1]$ and $DR_{Z/S}(\int_i \mathcal{O}_Y)$ as complexes of sheaves on $Y \subset Z$; thus i_* is omitted.

The Hodge filtration \mathcal{F}^* on $DR_{Z/S}(\int_i \mathcal{O}_Y)$ induces a stupid filtration on $\mathcal{A}^*[1]$, i.e., $\mathcal{F}^p(\mathcal{A}^*[1]) = \sigma_{\geq p}(\mathcal{A}^*[1])$.

(2.4) PROPOSITION. *We assume that $f^{-1}(0)$ is a divisor with normal crossings in Y , whose irreducible components are nonsingular. Then we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$R^k f_*(\mathcal{A}^*[1]) \cong \mathcal{L}^k \quad \text{for any } k,$$

which is \mathcal{O}_S -linear and commutes with the action of $\partial_t t = \nabla_{d|_{dt}}$. Furthermore, the spectral sequence

$$E_1^p = R^q f_* \mathcal{A}^{p+1} \implies R^{p+q} f_* (\mathcal{A}^*[1])$$

degenerates at E_1 , and the induced filtration on $R^k f_* (\mathcal{A}^*[1]) \cong \mathcal{L}^k$ coincides with the limit Hodge filtration $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^* = \mathcal{L}^k \cap j_* (\mathcal{F}^*|_S)$ (cf. (2.2)).

PROOF. Let $f^{-1}(0) = \cup E_i$ be the decomposition into irreducible components, and set $e := LCM(\text{mult}_{E_i} f^*t)$. Following Steenbrink [16], we take the normalization of a ramified e -fold covering of Y : i.e., $\pi: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ is a ramified e -fold covering such that $\pi^*t = \tilde{t}^e$ and \tilde{Y} is the normalization of $Y \times_S \tilde{S}$, where t and \tilde{t} are coordinates on S and \tilde{S} .

By assumption, we have $f^*t = x_0^{m_0} \cdots x_r^{m_r}$ locally, where (x_0, \dots, x_n) is a local coordinate system on Y . Then $w/x_0 \cdots x_r$ is a logarithmic form for $w \in \mathcal{A}^{k+1}$, and we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{A}^*[1])|_{Y_0} \cong C\{t\} \otimes_C R^k \Psi C$ as $C\{t\}$ -Modules with the connection ∇ . Here ∇ is the canonical connection corresponding to the monodromy on $R^k \Psi C$ such that the eigenvalues of $\text{res}(\nabla t)$ are in $(0, 1]$, and $R^k \Psi C$ is the vanishing cohomology sheaf on Y_0 , i.e., $R^k \Psi C := R^k \tilde{j}_* C_{Y_\infty}|_{Y_0}$, where $Y_\infty = Y \times_S U$, $Y_0 = f^{-1}(0)$, $\tilde{j}: Y_\infty \rightarrow Y$ is a natural morphism and $U \rightarrow S^*$ is a universal covering (cf. [21]).

Then we can obtain the canonical isomorphism $R^k f_* (\mathcal{A}^*[1]) \cong \mathcal{L}^k$ for all k , in the same way as in [15].

For the second assertion, it suffices to show that $R^q f_* \mathcal{A}^{p+1}$ is a free \mathcal{O}_S -Module, since the spectral sequence degenerates on S^* .

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\tilde{Y}}$ be the complex of holomorphic forms on \tilde{Y} in the sense of [16]. We set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^* := \{w \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\tilde{Y}}^* : d\tilde{f} \wedge w = 0\}$, where $d\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}^*(d\tilde{t})$ and $\tilde{f}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ is the natural morphism.

We have an isomorphism $d\tilde{f} \wedge : \mathcal{Q}_{\tilde{Y}/\tilde{S}}^*(\log \tilde{Y}_0) \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^*[1]$, which preserves the connections on cohomologies.

Let G be the covering transformation group of $\pi': \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$. Since $(\pi'_* \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^*[1])^G \cong \mathcal{A}^*[1]$, we can obtain the desired result in the same way as in [16]. Q.E.D.

(2.5) THEOREM. Let $f: Y \rightarrow S$ be a one-parameter projective family as in (2.1). Then we have a canonical inclusion $\mathcal{L}^k \subset \int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y$ for every k , which is \mathcal{O}_S -linear and commutes with the action of $\partial_t t = \nabla_{\text{dilat}}$. Furthermore, we have

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^p(\mathcal{L}^k) = \mathcal{F}^k \left(\int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathcal{F}}^p(\mathcal{L}^k) = \mathcal{L}^k \cap \mathcal{F}^p \left(\int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y \right)$$

for any p and k (cf. (2.1) and (2.2)).

PROOF. The normal crossing case follows obviously from (2.4), except for the assertion that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^k(\mathcal{L}^k) = \mathcal{F}^k \left(\int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y \right)$. But we have a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = R^{p+q} f_* \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^k(\mathcal{O}_Y^*[\partial_t][1]) \implies \int_f^{p+q} \mathcal{O}_Y$$

such that $E_1^{k,0} = R^0 f_* \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^k(\mathcal{O}_Y^*[\partial_t][k+1]) \cong f_* \mathcal{A}^{k+1}$. This implies that $\mathcal{F}^k(\int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y) \subset \mathcal{L}^k$ and hence $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^k(\mathcal{L}^k) = \mathcal{F}^k(\int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y)$.

The general case is reduced to the normal crossing case as follows.

Let $g: \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a resolution of singularity of $f^{-1}(0)$, i.e., g is a projective morphism of complex manifolds which induces an isomorphism on $g^{-1}(Y - f^{-1}(0))$, and $g^{-1}f^{-1}(0)$ is a divisor with normal crossings in \bar{Y} , whose irreducible components are nonsingular.

By Proposition (1.5) and Lemma (1.4.c), we have the direct sum decomposition

$$\int_{f \circ g}^k \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}} = \int_f^k \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus (\oplus \mathcal{B}_{(01)S})$$

as filtered Modules. $\mathcal{L}^k(\subset \int_{f \circ g}^k \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}})$ is contained in the first component, because there is no connection preserving \mathcal{O}_S -linear map of \mathcal{L}^k to $\mathcal{B}_{(01)S}$ except the zero map. Thus we obtain the desired result, since \mathcal{F} is compatible with the decomposition. Q. E. D.

§ 3. Application

(3.1) Let $f: \mathbf{C}^{n+1}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, 0$ be a holomorphic function with an isolated singularity. We may assume that f is a polynomial and $\overline{f^{-1}(0)} \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ is smooth away from the origin. Here we can take the degree of f sufficiently large.

We set $Y := \{\overline{f(x)} = t\} \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \times S, S := \{|t| < \eta\}, X := Y \cap (B_\varepsilon \times S), B_\varepsilon := \{\|x\| < \varepsilon\}, Z := \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \times S, p: Z \rightarrow S$ is the natural projection, $\bar{f}: = p|_Y$ and $f = \bar{f}|_X$.

For $1 \gg \varepsilon \gg \eta > 0, f: X \rightarrow S$ is a Milnor fibration and $\bar{f}: Y \rightarrow S$ is smooth away from the origin.

We set $Y_\infty := Y \times_S U, X_\infty := X \times_S U, H_{Y,\infty} := \Gamma(U, \rho^* H_Y)$ and $H_{X,\infty} := \Gamma(U, \rho^* H_X)$, where $\rho: U \rightarrow S^*$ is a universal covering. We have natural isomorphisms $H_{Y,\infty} \cong H^n(Y_\infty, \mathbf{C})$ and $H_{X,\infty} \cong H^n(X_\infty, \mathbf{C})$.

(3.2) PROPOSITION (Schmid [13], Steenbrink [16], Scherk [11]).

a) $H_{Y,\infty}$ and $H_{X,\infty}$ have canonical mixed Hodge structures with the Hodge filtration F_s^* and F_{st} such that the natural inclusion $i: X \rightarrow Y$ induces a morphism of mixed Hodge structures $i^*: H_{Y,\infty} \rightarrow H_{X,\infty}$ (in particular, $i^* F_s^* = F_{st}^* \cap i^* H_{Y,\infty}$) [13] [16].

b) If the degree of the polynomial f is sufficiently large, $i^*: H_{Y,\infty} \rightarrow H_{X,\infty}$ is surjective (hence $i^* F_s^* = F_{st}^*$) [11].

(3.3) PROPOSITION ([7][8][9][11]). Let $\mathcal{H}_Y := \int_f^n \mathcal{O}_Y$ and $\mathcal{H}_X := \int_f^n \mathcal{O}_X$ be the Gauss-Manin systems with the Hodge filtration \mathfrak{F}^* .

a) \mathcal{H}_Y and \mathcal{H}_X are regular holonomic systems on S such that $\mathcal{H}^0(DR_S(\mathcal{H}_Y)) \cong R^n \bar{f}_* \mathcal{C}_Y$ and $DR_S(\mathcal{H}_X) \cong R^n f_* \mathcal{C}_X$.

b) If the degree of f is sufficiently large, $i^*: \mathcal{H}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_X$ is surjective and strictly compatible with the Hodge filtration \mathfrak{F}^* (i.e., $i^* \mathfrak{F}^*(\mathcal{H}_Y) = \mathfrak{F}^*(\mathcal{H}_X)$). Furthermore, $\text{Ker}(i^*: \mathcal{H}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_X)$ is a free \mathcal{O}_S -Module of finite rank, and we have $DR_S(\mathcal{H}_Y) \cong R^n \bar{f}_* \mathcal{C}_Y$.

(3.4) We assume from now on that the degree of f is sufficiently large so that Propositions (3.2.b) and (3.3.b) hold.

Let $H_{Y,\infty} = \bigoplus_{\lambda} H_{Y,\infty,\lambda}$ be the monodromy decomposition (i.e., $H_{Y,\infty,\lambda} := \{u \in H_{Y,\infty} : (M - \lambda)^{n+1}u = 0\}$), and let $\{\lambda_j = \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha_j)\}_j$ be the eigenvalues of the monodromy M . We may assume that $\alpha_j \in (-1, 0]$ for all j and $\alpha_i < \alpha_j$ for $i < j$.

We define a decreasing filtration V^* on $H_{Y,\infty}$ by $V^j H_{Y,\infty} := \bigoplus_{i \geq j} H_{Y,\infty,\lambda_i}$.

Let F^* be a filtration on $H_{Y,\infty}$. We define a filtration $\text{Gr}_V F^*$ on $\text{Gr}_V H_{Y,\infty}$ by $\text{Gr}_V F^p := \bigoplus_j (F^p \cap V^j / F^p \cap V^{j+1})$. We can regard $\text{Gr}_V F^*$ as a filtration on $H_{Y,\infty}$ by the natural isomorphism $\text{Gr}_V H_{Y,\infty} \cong H_{Y,\infty}$.

We define $\text{Gr}_V F^*$ similarly for a filtration F^* on $H_{X,\infty}$.

Let \mathcal{L}_Y and \mathcal{L}_X be the canonical extensions of H_Y and H_X such that $H_{Y,\infty} \cong \mathcal{L}_Y(0)$ and $H_{X,\infty} \cong \mathcal{L}_X(0)$ (cf. (2.1)). \mathcal{L}_Y and \mathcal{L}_X are naturally contained in \mathcal{H}_Y and \mathcal{H}_X respectively so that $(i^*)^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_X) = \mathcal{L}_Y$ (cf. (2.5) (3.3)).

We define the filtration F_Y^* (resp. F_X^*) on $H_{Y,\infty}$ (resp. $H_{X,\infty}$) by

$$F_Y^* := \text{Im}(\mathfrak{F}^*(\mathcal{H}_Y) \cap \mathcal{L}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_Y(0) \cong H_{Y,\infty})$$

$$(\text{resp. } F_X^* := \text{Im}(\mathfrak{F}^*(\mathcal{H}_X) \cap \mathcal{L}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_X(0) \cong H_{X,\infty}),$$

where \mathfrak{F}^* is the Hodge filtration on $\mathcal{H}_Y = \int_f^n \mathcal{O}_Y$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_X = \int_f^n \mathcal{O}_X$). Then we can define $\text{Gr}_V F_Y^*$ and $\text{Gr}_V F_X^*$ as above.

- (3.5) THEOREM. a) $\text{Gr}_V F_Y^* = F_S^*$ (cf. (3.2)).
 b) $\text{Gr}_V F_X^* = F_{S,t}^*$ (cf. [8][9][14][19]).

The first identity follows from Theorem (2.5) and the construction of Schmid (cf. [10][13]). Then the second identity is obvious by Propositions (3.2) and (3.3).

REMARKS. 1) The first assertion is valid for any one-parameter projective

family as in (2.1).

2) $\mathcal{F}^n(\mathcal{A}_{X,0})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{X,0}^{(p)} := \Omega_{X,0}^{n+1}/df \wedge d\Omega_{X,0}^n$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$ -module with a regular singular connection, so that $\mathcal{F}^{n-p}(\mathcal{A}_{X,0}) = \partial_t^p \mathcal{A}_{X,0}^{(p)}$ for $p \geq 0$.

In [17], A. Varchenko defined the asymptotic Hodge filtration F_a^* on $H^n(X_\infty, \mathbb{C})$ using the asymptotic expansion of period integrals. F_a^* and F_{st}^* are different in general [12] [9, (3.5.2)], but they coincide for a plane curve singularity or a quasi-homogeneous singularity [17] [18]. Moreover, we have $\text{Gr}^W F_a^* = \text{Gr}^W F_{st}^*$ [19], where W is the monodromy weight filtration (cf. [16]), hence F_a^* and W form a mixed Hodge structure on $H^n(X_\infty, \mathbb{C})$.

In [14], J. Scherk and J. Steenbrink claimed that F_{st}^* is determined by $\mathcal{A}_{X,0}^{(0)}$, and F. Pham asserted that it is essential to use the Gauss-Manin system $\mathcal{A}_X = \int_f^n \mathcal{O}_X$ instead of $\mathcal{A}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$ (cf. [8]). It is shown in [9] [10] that the unipotent base change (or equivalently, the graduation Gr_V (cf. (3.4))) is necessary in the formulation [9, (3.1)] (or (3.5.b)).

References

- [1] Brylinski, J.L., Modules holonomes à singularités régulières et filtration de Hodge II (preprint).
- [2] Kashiwara, M., On the maximally overdetermined system of linear differential equations, I, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **10** (1975), 563-579.
- [3] Kashiwara, M., B -functions and holonomic systems, Invent. Math. **38** (1976), 33-53.
- [4] Kashiwara, M., Faisceaux constructibles et systèmes holonomes d'équations aux dérivées partielles linéaires à points singuliers réguliers, Exposé au Séminaire Goulaouic-Schwartz 1979-80, Ecole Polytechnique.
- [5] Kashiwara, M. and T. Kawai, On holonomic systems of micro-differential equations III (systems with regular singularities), Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **17** (1981), 813-979.
- [6] Mebkhout, Z., Thèse d'Etat, Université de Paris VII, février 1979.
- [7] Pham, F., Singularités des systèmes différentiels de Gauss-Manin, Progress in Math. **2**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1979.
- [8] Pham, F., Structures de Hodge mixtes associées à un germe de fonction à point critique isolé (preprint).
- [9] Saito, M., Gauss-Manin system and mixed Hodge structure, Proc. Japan Acad. **58** (1982), Ser. A, 29-32.
- [10] Saito, M., Supplement to "Gauss-Manin system and mixed Hodge structure" (preprint).
- [11] Scherk, J., On the monodromy theorem for isolated hypersurface singularity, Invent. Math. **58** (1980), 289-381.
- [12] Scherk, J., A note on two local Hodge filtrations (to appear).
- [13] Schmid, W., Variation of Hodge structure: the singularities of the period mapping, Invent. Math. **22** (1973), 211-319.
- [14] Scherk, J. and J. Steenbrink, On the mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of the Milnor fiber (preprint).
- [15] Steenbrink, J., Limits of Hodge structures, Invent. Math. **31** (1976), 229-257.

- [16] Steenbrink, J., Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology, in Real and complex singularities, Oslo 1976, Proceedings of the Nordic Summer School, Sijthoff & Noordhoff International Publishers, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1977.
- [17] Varchenko, A., Hodge properties of Gauss-Manin connections, Functional Anal. Appl. 14 (1980), 36-37.
- [18] Varchenko, A., Asymptotic mixed Hodge structure on vanishing cohomology, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. 45 (1981), 540-591.
- [19] Varchenko, A., Asymptotics of holomorphic forms define mixed Hodge structure, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 255 (1980), 1035-1038.
- [20] Verdier, J.-L., Dualité dans la cohomologie des espaces localement compacts, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1965-66, n°300, Benjamin, New York, 1966.
- [21] Deligne, P., S.G.A. 7 II, exposés XIII et XIV, Lecture Notes in Math. n°340, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970, 82-164.

(Received June 25, 1982)

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
University of Tokyo
Hongo, Tokyo
113 Japan