

Discriminant of a holomorphic map and logarithmic vector fields

By Hiroaki TERAO

(Communicated by N. Iwahori)

To Meg

1. Introduction.

Let $F: U \rightarrow V$ be a flat holomorphic map, where U and V are open domains in \mathbb{C}^m and \mathbb{C}^k respectively. Let C (or D) be the critical set (resp. the discriminant (=the set of critical values)) of F . Assume that $F|_C: C \rightarrow V$ be finite. Then it is known that D is a hypersurface in V .

Our aim here is to study the discriminant D through the logarithmic vector fields along D , which are holomorphic vector fields tangent to D . Their definition is in general as follows; for a (reduced) hypersurface H defined by an equation $h=0$ in an open domain W in \mathbb{C}^n , define

$$\text{Der}_W(\log H)_p = \{ \theta ; \text{germs at } p \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^n \text{ of holomorphic vector fields such that } \theta \cdot h_p \in h_p \cdot \mathcal{O}_{W,p} \},$$

where h_p is the germ at p of h and $\mathcal{O}_{W,p}$ is the ring of germs at p of holomorphic functions. We can introduce the structure of coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_W -Module into

$$\text{Der}_W(\log H) = \bigcup_{p \in W} \text{Der}_W(\log H)_p.$$

An element of $\Gamma(W, \text{Der}_W(\log H))$ is called a *logarithmic vector field along H*. K. Saito studied this sheaf in [5].

Assume that F is finite and $m=k$. Let θ be a holomorphic vector field on V . Then θ is said to be *liftable by F* if there is a holomorphic vector field ϕ on U with $(F_*)_p \phi(p) = \theta(F(p))$ for any $p \in U$, where $(F_*)_p: T_{U,p} \rightarrow T_{V,F(p)}$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear map induced from F . Note that ϕ is uniquely determined for each liftable θ , because F is finite. Thus we shall write $\phi = F^{-1}\theta$ from now on.

By (M, p) we denote a germ at p of a complex space M . Let

$$f: (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) = X \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^k, 0) = Y$$

be a flat holomorphic map germ with $f(0)=0$, where 0 is the origin. We can define the germ of critical set $(C, 0)$ and the germ of discriminant $(D, 0)$. Assume that $f|_{(C,0)}$ is finite. We fix this situation in the rest of this section. We can

define the notion of a liftable germ of holomorphic vector field by localizing the definition above when f is finite.

In Sect. 2, we shall prove the following Theorems A, B and C.

THEOREM A. *When $f : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) = X \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) = Y$ is finite,*

$\text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0 = \{\text{germs of holomorphic vector fields on } Y \text{ liftable by } f\}$.

Although V.I. Arnold [1] proved this only when the situation is induced from the inclusion

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^m, 0} \supset (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^m, 0})^{S_m} \text{ (} S_m\text{-invariant subring),}$$

where S_m is the symmetric group, our proof of Theorem A is similar to his.

In [9], [13], the author studied a germ of hypersurface $(H, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(\log H)_0$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}$ -module. Such a germ of hypersurface is called a *germ of free divisor* (or a *germ of Saito divisor* according to Cartier [2]). The beautiful Shephard-Todd-Brieskorn formula for a free divisor consisting of hyperplanes, which generalizes the formula by Orlik-Solomon [3], was proved in [10], [11]. (For this topic, see Cartier's exposition [2].)

THEOREM B. *Assume that $f : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) = X \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) = Y$ is finite. Then*

- i) $f^{-1}\text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0 \subset \text{Der}_X(\log f^{-1}(D))_0 \subset \text{Der}_X(\log C)_0$,
- ii) *if $(D, 0)$ is free, then*

$$\text{Der}_X(\log f^{-1}(D))_0 \cong f^{-1}\text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0 \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{Y, 0}} \mathcal{O}_{X, 0}$$

and thus $(f^{-1}(D), 0)$ is also free.

Assume that $f : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) = X \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) = Y$ comes from the inclusion

$$\mathcal{O}_{X, 0} \supset (\mathcal{O}_{X, 0})^G \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y, 0}$$

such that a finite subgroup G of $\text{GL}(m; \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_{X, 0}$ linearly with respect to some parameter system of $\mathcal{O}_{X, 0}$. (It is known that such a G must be a complex reflection group.) Then G naturally acts on $\text{Der}_{X, 0} = \mathcal{O}_{X, 0} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T_{X, 0}$ ($T_{X, 0}$ is the tangent space of X at 0, on which G naturally acts.). Denote the invariant part of $\text{Der}_{X, 0}$ by $(\text{Der}_{X, 0})^G$. Then we have

THEOREM C.

- i) $(\text{Der}_{X, 0})^G = f^{-1}\text{Der}_{Y, 0}(\log D)$,
- ii) $(D, 0)$ is free (thus so is $(C, 0) = (f^{-1}(D), 0)$ thanks to Theorem B).

For a finite unitary reflection group G , $(C, 0)$ is nothing other than the germ of the union of the reflecting hyperplanes. Then the part that $(C, 0)$ is free has been already proved by Terao [12] and Cartier [2] independently.

In Sect. 3, f is a deformation of a germ of a hypersurface with isolated singularity. In this case we shall give a sufficient condition for the freeness of

the germ of the discriminant $(D, 0)$ (Theorem D). To state Theorem D, we need the concept of the free deformation (3.1) introduced by T. Yano [14]. It follows from Theorem D that the discriminant of semiuniversal deformation of a hypersurface isolated singularity is a free divisor, which was proved by K. Saito [6].

2. Discriminant of a finite map.

In this section let

$$f : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) = X \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) = Y$$

be a finite holomorphic map germ.

DEFINITION 2.1. We say that f is a *folding map germ* if f is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} f^*y_1 &= x_1^n \\ f^*y_i &= x_i \quad (i=2, 3, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

with respect to appropriate coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_m) for X and (y_1, \dots, y_m) for Y for some integer $n \geq 2$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let f be a folding map germ. Then*

- i) *Theorem A holds true (i.e., $\text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0 = \{\text{germs of holomorphic vector fields on } Y \text{ liftable by } f\}$).*
- ii) *If $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$ -base for $\text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0$, then $\{f^{-1}\theta_1, \dots, f^{-1}\theta_n\}$ makes a free $\mathcal{O}_{X,0}$ -base for $\text{Der}_X(\log C)_0$.*

PROOF. We can assume that

$$\begin{aligned} f^*y_1 &= x_1^n \quad (n \geq 2) \\ f^*y_i &= x_i \quad (i=2, 3, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Then $(C, 0)$ and $(D, 0)$ are defined by $x_1=0$ and $y_1=0$ respectively. The set $\{y_1(\partial/\partial y_1), \partial/\partial y_2, \dots, \partial/\partial y_m\}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$ -base for $\text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0$. Since

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} f^{-1}(y_1(\partial/\partial y_1)) = n^{-1}x_1(\partial/\partial x_1) \\ f^{-1}(\partial/\partial y_i) = \partial/\partial x_i \quad (i=2, 3, \dots), \end{cases}$$

any element of $\text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0$ is liftable by f . Conversely if $\theta \in \text{Der}_{Y,0}$ is liftable, then

$$f^{-1}(\theta \cdot y_1) = (f^{-1}\theta) \cdot (x_1^n) = nx_1^{n-1}((f^{-1}\theta) \cdot x_1).$$

This implies $\theta \cdot y_1 \in y_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$ and thus $\theta \in \text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0$. Therefore i) is proved.

As for ii), it is sufficient to prove it for some specific base $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m\}$. Since $\{n^{-1}x_1(\partial/\partial x_1), \partial/\partial x_2, \dots, \partial/\partial x_m\}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{X,0}$ -base for $\text{Der}_X(\log C)_0$, (*) proves ii). □

Let U and V be open domains in C^m . Let $F:U \rightarrow V$ be a finite holomorphic map.

DEFINITION 2.3. A point $p \in U$ is called a *folding point* if the map germ at p of F is a folding map germ.

Define

$$\Sigma = \text{Sing } C \cup F^{-1}(\text{Sing } D),$$

where $\text{Sing } C$ (or $\text{Sing } D$) is the singular locus of the critical set C (resp. the discriminant D) of F . Then Σ is an analytic subset of U with $\text{codim}_p \Sigma \geq 2$.

PROPOSITION 2.4.

$$\{\text{folding point of } F\} \supset C \setminus \Sigma.$$

PROOF. Take $p \in C \setminus \Sigma$. Choose the coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_m) (or (y_1, \dots, y_m)) near p (resp. $F(p)$) as follows:

- i) p (resp. $F(p)$) is the origin,
- ii) C (resp. D) is defined by $x_1=0$ (resp. $y_1=0$) near p (resp. $F(p)$).

We shall consider only the local situation near p . Denote the germ at p of F by $f: f=F_p$. Put $f^*y_i=f_i(x) \in C\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} = \mathcal{O}_{x,p}$ ($i=1, \dots, m$), then $f^*y_1=f_1 \in x_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_{x,p}$ because $f(C)=D$. Denote (x_2, \dots, x_m) by x' for simplicity. Define $n > 0$ and $g_1(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathcal{O}_{x,p}$ satisfying $f_1=x_1^n g_1$ and $g_1(0, x') \neq 0$. We can assume that

$$(*) \quad u x_1^k = \partial(f_1, \dots, f_m) / \partial(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ = \begin{vmatrix} n x_1^{n-1} g_1 + x_1^n (\partial g_1 / \partial x_1), & x_1^n (\partial g_1 / \partial x_2), & \dots, & x_1^n (\partial g_1 / \partial x_m) \\ * & * & & * \end{vmatrix}$$

($k > 0$, u : a unit). Thus we have $k \geq n-1$. If $k > n-1$, then from (*) we have

$$(**) \quad x_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_{x,p} \ni u x_1^{k-n+1} \\ = \begin{vmatrix} n g_1 + x_1 (\partial g_1 / \partial x_1), & x_1 (\partial g_1 / \partial x_2), & \dots, & x_1 (\partial g_1 / \partial x_m) \\ * & * & & * \end{vmatrix}.$$

Put $x_1=0$ in this equality, then

$$0 = n g_1(0, x') (\partial(f_2, \dots, f_m) / \partial(x_2, \dots, x_m) |_{x_1=0}) \\ = n g_1(0, x') (\partial(\tilde{f}_2, \dots, \tilde{f}_m) / \partial(x_2, \dots, x_m)),$$

where $\tilde{f}_i(x') = f_i(0, x') \in C\{x'\}$ ($i=2, \dots, m$). Since $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}_2, \dots, \tilde{f}_m): (C, p) \rightarrow (D, f(p))$ is finite and $\dim_p C = \dim_{f(p)} D$, we obtain

$$\partial(\tilde{f}_2, \dots, \tilde{f}_m) / \partial(x_2, \dots, x_m) \neq 0.$$

This contradicts that $g_1(0, x') \neq 0$. Thus we have $k=n-1$. From (**), we deduce

$$u = ng_1(0, x')(\partial(f_2, \dots, f_m)/\partial(x_2, \dots, x_m)|_{x_1=0}).$$

Therefore both g_1 and $\partial(f_2, \dots, f_m)/\partial(x_2, \dots, x_m)$ are units in $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$. This proves that p is a folding point. □

PROOF OF THEOREM A. Let $F: U \rightarrow V$ be a representative for a finite holomorphic map germ $f: (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Assume that F is finite and surjective. Let θ be a holomorphic vector field on V . If θ is logarithmic along the discriminant D of F , then θ is liftable by F at any point outside $F(\Sigma)$ owing to 2.2 i) and 2.4. Since $\text{codim}_V F^{-1}(F(\Sigma)) \geq 2$, θ is liftable anywhere in the light of Hartog's theorem.

Conversely if θ is a holomorphic vector field on V liftable by F , then θ is logarithmic at each point outside $F(\Sigma)$ again by 2.2 i) and 2.4. Let δ_p is a reduced local defining equation for D near $p \in V$. Then $\theta_p \cdot \delta_p$ vanishes on $D \setminus F(\Sigma)$, thus on D near p . This implies that $\theta_p \cdot \delta_p \in \delta_p \cdot \mathcal{O}_{V,p}$. □

For the proof of Theorem B, we need the following

PROPOSITION 2.5. (K. Saito [5]) *Let H be a (reduced) hypersurface defined by an equation: $h=0$ in an open domain $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. The logarithmic vector fields*

$$\theta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n f_{ij}(\partial/\partial z_j) \quad (i=1, \dots, n)$$

make a $\Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_W)$ -free base for $\Gamma(W, \text{Der}_W(\log H))$ if and only if

$$|\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \det(f_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

vanishes at the order one along D .

PROOF OF THEOREM B. Let $F: U \rightarrow V$ be a representative for a finite holomorphic map germ $f: (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m\}$ be a $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$ -free base for $\Gamma(V, \text{Der}_V(\log D))$. Assume that F is finite and surjective. Put $\bar{C} = f^{-1}(D)$. By Theorem A, $F^{-1}\theta_1, \dots, F^{-1}\theta_m$ are holomorphic vector fields on U . Thanks to 2.2 ii), $\{F^{-1}\theta_1, \dots, F^{-1}\theta_m\}$ determines an $\mathcal{O}_{U,p}$ -free base for $\text{Der}_U(\log \bar{C})_p$ for each $p \in U \setminus F^{-1}(F(\Sigma))$. Thus we know that $F^{-1}\theta_1, \dots, F^{-1}\theta_m$ are logarithmic along \bar{C} and that $|F^{-1}\theta_1, \dots, F^{-1}\theta_m|$, by applying 2.5, vanishes at the order one along $\bar{C} \setminus F^{-1}(F(\Sigma))$, thus along \bar{C} . This proves that $\{F^{-1}\theta_1, \dots, F^{-1}\theta_m\}$ makes a $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ -free base for $\Gamma(U, \text{Der}_U(\log \bar{C}))$ in the light of 2.5 again. □

Next we shall prove Theorem C. We will deal with a specific situation originating from a finite subgroup G of $\text{GL}(m; \mathbb{C})$. Denote $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^m, 0}$ simply by \mathcal{O} . Naturally G acts \mathbb{C} -linearly on \mathcal{O} with respect to a parameter system of \mathcal{O} . Denote the invariant subring by \mathcal{O}^G . Suppose that \mathcal{O}^G is a regular local ring. These induce a finite map germ

$$f: (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) = X \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) = Y.$$

Let $f=(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ with $f_i \in \mathbf{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ ($i=1, \dots, m$). Then

$$\mathbf{C}\{f_1, \dots, f_m\} = \mathbf{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}^G.$$

By Theorem A, any element of $\text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0$ is liftable by f . Thus

$$f^{-1}\text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0 \subset (\text{Der}_{X,0})^G.$$

Conversely let $\theta \in (\text{Der}_{X,0})^G$. Then $\theta \cdot f_i \in \mathbf{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}^G = \mathbf{C}\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ because f_i is invariant under G . Write $\theta \cdot f_i = g_i(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ with $g_i \in \mathbf{C}\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ ($i=1, \dots, m$). Define

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(y) (\partial / \partial y_i) \in \text{Der}_{Y,0},$$

then θ is liftable and

$$\phi = f^{-1}\theta.$$

This shows that $\theta \in \text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0$ because of Theorem A. This proves

$$f^{-1}\text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0 \supset (\text{Der}_{X,0})^G$$

and thus Theorem C i).

Since \mathcal{O} is a finite \mathcal{O}^G -module, we have (EGA 0 IV 16.4.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}_{\mathcal{O}^G}(\text{Der}_{X,0}) &= \text{depth}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Der}_{X,0} \\ &= k - (\text{homolog. dim}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Der}_{X,0}) = k. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\text{Der}_{X,0}$ is \mathcal{O}^G -free because \mathcal{O}^G is a regular local ring. Thus the proof of Theorem C ii) reduces to

LEMMA 2.6. *Let R be a local ring and M an R -free module. Assume that a finite group G acts R -linearly on M :*

$$g(\sum_i r_i m_i) = \sum_i r_i g(m_i)$$

with $r_i \in R, m_i \in M$ and $g \in G$. Then the invariant submodule M^G is also R -free.

PROOF. It suffices to prove that M^G is a direct summand of M . A map

$$\tau: M \longrightarrow M^G$$

defined by

$$\tau(m) = (\#G)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} g(m)$$

is an R -homomorphism and identity on M^G . Thus $M \cong M^G \oplus (\ker \tau)$. □

3. Discriminant of a deformation.

In this section we shall deal with a deformation of a germ of a hypersurface with isolated singularity: Let the coordinates of $X=(\mathbf{C}^m, 0)$ and $Y=(\mathbf{C}^k, 0)$ be (x_1, \dots, x_m) and (y_1, \dots, y_k) ($m \geq k$) respectively. Put $n=m-k+1$. A flat holomorphic map germ

$$f : X \longrightarrow Y$$

is given by

$$f^*y_1 = f_1(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathcal{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$$

$$f^*y_i = x_{n+i-1} \quad (i=2, \dots, k).$$

Identify (x_{n+1}, \dots, x_m) with (y_2, \dots, y_k) by f^* . Define

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$y' = (y_2, \dots, y_k)$$

$$y = (y_1, \dots, y_k) = (y_1, y')$$

for simplicity. Then $(x_1, \dots, x_m) = (x, y')$ and $f_1 \in \mathcal{C}\{x, y'\}$.

Assume that the radical of an ideal $(f_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, \partial f_1/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f_1/\partial x_n)$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,0}$ (i.e., $C \cap f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$, or equivalently $f^{-1}(0)$ has an isolated singularity at 0). Define

$$\mathcal{O}_{C,0} = \mathcal{O}_{X,0}/(\partial f_1/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f_1/\partial x_n).$$

The germ of the critical set $(C, 0)$ (as a set) is the support of $\mathcal{O}_{C,0}$. The direct image $f_*\mathcal{O}_{C,0}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$ -module and the germ of the discriminant $(D, 0)$ is defined by the 0-th fitting ideal of $f_*\mathcal{O}_{C,0}$ [8].

Fix f , (x, y') and (y) as above. Call these coordinates the *original coordinates*.

DEFINITION 3.1. The coordinates $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}') = (\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n, \hat{y}_2, \dots, \hat{y}_k)$ of X and $(\hat{y}) = (\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}') = (\hat{y}_1, \dots, \hat{y}_k)$ of Y are said to be *admissible* if $\hat{y}_i = y_i$ ($i=2, \dots, k$). The original coordinates are of course admissible.

Let (\hat{x}, y') and (\hat{y}_1, y') be admissible. Put $f^*\hat{y}_1 = \hat{f}_1(\hat{x}, y')$. Notice that

$$(\partial f_1/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f_1/\partial x_n)\mathcal{O}_{X,0} = (\partial \hat{f}_1/\partial \hat{x}_1, \dots, \partial \hat{f}_1/\partial \hat{x}_n)\mathcal{O}_{X,0}.$$

Define a \mathcal{C} -algebra homomorphism

$$s : \mathcal{C}\{\hat{x}, \hat{y}\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}\{\hat{x}, y'\} = \mathcal{O}_{X,0}$$

by

$$s(\hat{x}) = \hat{x}, \quad s(\hat{y}_1) = \hat{f}_1, \quad s(y') = y'.$$

Then s does not depend upon the choice of admissible coordinates. Define a \mathcal{C} -linear map

$$\hat{\varphi}_0 : \text{Der}_{Y,0} \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{C,0}$$

by

$$\hat{\varphi}_0(\theta) = [s\{\theta \cdot (f^*\hat{y}_1 - \hat{y}_1)\}],$$

where $\theta \in \text{Der}_{Y,0}$ and $[\]$ denotes the residue class in $\mathcal{O}_{C,0} = \mathcal{O}_{X,0}/(\partial f_1/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f_1/\partial x_n)$. This definition of $\hat{\varphi}_0$ may depend upon the choice of admissible coordinates. In case that the above admissible coordinates happen to be the original ones, we denote $\hat{\varphi}_0$ by φ_0 .

LEMMA 3.2.

$$\hat{\varphi}_0 = [v]\varphi_0$$

for some unit $v \in \mathcal{O}_{X,0}$. Thus neither $\ker \hat{\varphi}_0$ nor $\text{im } \hat{\varphi}_0$ depends upon the choice of admissible coordinates.

PROOF. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^*\hat{y}_1 - \hat{y}_1 &= f^*(\hat{y}_1(y_1, \dots, y_k)) - \hat{y}_1(y_1, \dots, y_k) \\ &= \hat{y}_1(f^*y_1, y') - \hat{y}_1(y_1, y'), \end{aligned}$$

$f^*\hat{y}_1 - \hat{y}_1$ is a multiple of $f^*y_1 - y_1$ in $\mathcal{C}\{x, y\}$. Similarly, we know that $f^*y_1 - y_1$ is a multiple of $f^*\hat{y}_1 - \hat{y}_1$ also. Thus

$$f^*\hat{y}_1 - \hat{y}_1 = u(x, y)(f^*y_1 - y_1)$$

with a unit $u(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}\{x, y\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}_0(\theta) &= [s\{\theta \cdot (f^*\hat{y}_1 - \hat{y}_1)\}] \\ &= [s\{\theta \cdot (u(f^*y_1 - y_1))\}] \\ &= [s\{u \cdot \theta \cdot (f^*y_1 - y_1) + (f^*y_1 - y_1) \cdot \theta \cdot u\}] \\ &= [s(u)]\varphi_0(\theta). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Define

$$\mathcal{K}_0 = \ker \varphi_0, \quad \mathcal{M}_0 = \text{im } \varphi_0.$$

Then \mathcal{M}_0 is an $\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$ -submodule of $f_*\mathcal{O}_{C,0}$ generated by $\{[g_1], \dots, [g_k]\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= -1 \\ g_i &= (\partial f_1 / \partial y_i) \in \mathcal{O}_{X,0} \quad (i=2, \dots, k). \end{aligned}$$

Let $Z = (\mathcal{C}^{k-1}, 0)$ with its coordinate $(y') = (y_2, \dots, y_k)$. Let $\pi : (Y, 0) \rightarrow (Z, 0)$ be the natural projection induced from the inclusion $\mathcal{C}\{y'\} \subset \mathcal{C}\{y_1, y'\}$. Assume that $[g_1], \dots, [g_k]$ are independent over $\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$ in $\pi_*\mathcal{M}_0$. The following definition is due to T. Yano [13].

DEFINITION 3.1. f is said to be free if $\pi_*\mathcal{M}_0$ is $\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$ -free with its base $\{[g_1], \dots, [g_k]\}$.

Examples of free deformations.

1. A semi-universal deformation (in this case $\mathcal{M}_0 = f_*\mathcal{O}_{C,0}$ [6]),
2. the "G-invariant subdeformation" of a free deformation, where G is a finite subgroup of $\text{GL}(m; \mathcal{C})$ (see Yano [15]),
3. the subdeformation of a semi-universal deformation of a rational double point obtained through a "folding" of the corresponding Coxeter graph, which was studied by P. Slodowy [7] and Yano [14], [15].

DEFINITION 3.4. We say that f is (TQ) (trivial family of quasi-homogeneous singularity) if

$$\begin{aligned} f^*\hat{y}_1 &= u(\hat{x}, y')g(\hat{x}) \in \mathbf{C}\{\hat{x}, y'\} \\ f^*y_i &= y_i \quad (i=2, \dots, k) \end{aligned}$$

with respect to some admissible coordinates (\hat{x}, y') and (\hat{y}_1, y') with a quasi-homogeneous $g \in \mathbf{C}\{\hat{x}\}$ (i. e., $g \in (\partial g/\partial \hat{x}_1, \dots, \partial g/\partial \hat{x}_n)\mathcal{O}_{X,0}$).

LEMMA 3.5. Let f be (TQ) . Take the admissible coordinates as above. Then

$$\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}}(f_*\mathcal{O}_{C,0}) = \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}}(\mathcal{M}_0) = \hat{y}_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y,0}.$$

PROOF. Since $\mathcal{M}_0 \ni [1]$, the first equality is obvious.

It is clear that the discriminant is defined by $y_1=0$ as a set. Thus

$$\sqrt{\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}}(\mathcal{M}_0)} = \hat{y}_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y,0}.$$

Since g is quasi-homogeneous with an isolated singularity, we can transform g into a weighted homogeneous polynomial [4]. Thus we know that

$$g \in (\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n)(\partial g/\partial \hat{x}_1, \dots, \partial g/\partial \hat{x}_n).$$

Assume that

$$g = \sum_i p_i(\hat{x})(\partial g/\partial \hat{x}_i)$$

with $p_i \in (\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n)$. Then by an easy computation we obtain

$$(u + \sum_i p_i(\hat{x})(\partial u/\partial \hat{x}_i))g = \sum_i p_i(\hat{x})(\partial(u g)/\partial \hat{x}_i)$$

and thus $g \in (\partial(u g)/\partial \hat{x}_1, \dots, \partial(u g)/\partial \hat{x}_n)$. This implies that $\hat{y}_1 \in \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}}(f_*\mathcal{O}_{C,0})$. \square

Notice that f is (TQ) if and only if 1) $(\partial \Delta/\partial y_1)(0) \neq 0$ (Δ is a reduced defining equation of the discriminant) and 2) f is represented by a deformation which gives a trivial family of a quasi-homogeneous singularity along the critical set near the origin.

Let

$$F: U \longrightarrow V$$

be a representative for f with the fixed coordinates (x, y') and (y) of U and V respectively.

DEFINITION 3.5. A point $p \in U$ is called a (TQ) -point if the map germ F_p is (TQ) . (Here F_p is identified with a map germ $(\mathbf{C}^{n+k-1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^k, 0)$ with the original coordinates given by a translation of the coordinates (x, y') and (y) .)

DEFINITION 3.6. We say that F is (GTQ) (generically (TQ)) if there is an analytic subset A of U with $C \supset A \supset \text{Sing } C$ and $\text{codim}_V A \geq 2$ such that each point of $C \setminus A$ is a (TQ) -point.

Examples of (GTQ) deformations.

1. Since a rational double point is quasi-homogeneous and has no parameter, a deformation whose generic singularities are rational double points is (GTQ),
2. a semi-universal deformation is (GTQ), because its generic singularity is an ordinary double point,
3. from 1, any deformation of a rational double point is (GTQ).

DEFINITION 3.7. f is said to be (GTQ) if it is represented by a (GTQ) deformation.

The rest of this article is devoted to the proof of the following

THEOREM D. *For a free and (GTQ) deformation*

$$f : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$$

the germ of the discriminant $(D, 0)$ is free.

COROLLARY 3.8. *For a free deformation f whose generic fibers are rational double points, $(D, 0)$ is free.*

COROLLARY 3.9. (cf. K. Saito [6]) *For a semi-universal deformation f , $(D, 0)$ is free.*

LEMMA 3.10. *Assume that f is (TQ). Then the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0 \longrightarrow \text{Der}_{Y,0} \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathcal{M}_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

PROOF. Because of 3.2, we can replace φ_0 by $\hat{\varphi}_0$ which is obtained from the admissible coordinates (\hat{x}, y') and (\hat{y}_1, y') given in 3.4. Thus

$$\partial(f^*\hat{y}_1)/\partial y_i = \partial(ug)/\partial y_i = g \cdot (\partial u/\partial y_i) \quad (i=2, \dots, k).$$

Because $[g] = [0]$ in \mathcal{M}_0 (3.5),

$$\hat{\varphi}_0(\theta) = [f^*(\theta \cdot \hat{y}_1)] \in \mathcal{M}_0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\hat{\varphi}_0) &= \{\theta \in \text{Der}_{Y,0}; \theta \cdot \hat{y}_1 \in \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}}(f_*\mathcal{O}_{C,0})\} \\ &= \{\theta \in \text{Der}_{Y,0}; \theta \cdot \hat{y}_1 \in \hat{y}_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y,0}\} \\ &= \text{Der}_Y(\log D)_0. \end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 3.11. *Assume that f is free. Then*

$$\text{homolog. dim}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}}(\mathcal{M}_0) = 1$$

PROOF. By the assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}_{\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}}(\pi_*\mathcal{M}_0) &= k-1 - \text{homolog. dim}_{\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}}(\pi_*\mathcal{M}_0) \\ &= k-1. \end{aligned}$$

Put $I = \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}}(f_*\mathcal{O}_{C,0})$. Then

$$\pi^* : \mathcal{O}_{Z,0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y,0}/I$$

is finite because 1) the locus of I is $(D, 0)$ and 2) $(D, 0)$ is defined by $y_1^2 + \Delta_0(y) = 0$ ($1 \geq 0$) with $\Delta_0 \in (y_2, \dots, y_k)\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$. Notice that

$$I = \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}}(\mathcal{M}_0)$$

because $\mathcal{M}_0 \ni [1] = [-g_1]$. Thus we have (EGA 0 IV 16.4.8)

$$\begin{aligned} k-1 &= \text{depth}_{\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}}(\pi_*\mathcal{M}_0) \\ &= \text{depth}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}/I}(\mathcal{M}_0) \\ &= \text{depth}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}}(\mathcal{M}_0). \end{aligned}$$

This implies our assertion. □

Assume that f is free and (GTQ) . Choose a sufficiently small representative

$$F : U \longrightarrow V$$

of f such that 1) F is surjective and flat, 2) F is (GTQ) and 3) each germ of F is free. Put $F_1 = F^*y_1$. Then $(F_1)_0 = f_1$. A coherent sheaf \mathcal{M}_V of \mathcal{O}_V -Module is similarly defined to be an \mathcal{O}_V -submodule of $F_*\mathcal{O}_C$ generated by $G_1 = -1$, $G_i = (\partial F_1 / \partial y_i)(x, y') \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_V)$ ($i=2, \dots, k$). Then $\mathcal{M}_{V,0} = \mathcal{M}_0$. Define an \mathcal{O}_V -homomorphism

$$\varphi_V : \text{Der}_V \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_V$$

compatibly with the definition of φ_0 :

$$\varphi_V \left(\sum_{i=1}^k h_i(y) (\partial / \partial y_i) \right) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (F^*h_i)(x, y') G_i(x, y') \right].$$

Then $\varphi_{V,0} = \varphi_0$. Put

$$\mathcal{K} = \ker(\varphi_V).$$

By 3.11, \mathcal{K} is a free \mathcal{O}_V -Module. Let $p \in V \setminus D$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{V,p} = 0$ and thus

$$\mathcal{K}_p = \text{Der}_{V,0} = \text{Der}_V(\log D)_p.$$

Next let $p \in D \setminus F(A)$ (A is as in 3.6). Define $\{P_1, \dots, P_t\} = C \cap F^{-1}(p)$, then C is smooth at each P_i . Denote the component of C containing P_i by C_i . Put $D_i = F(C_i)$ ($i=1, \dots, t$). Then we have

$$(D, p) = \bigcup_i (D_i, p).$$

Since the germ at P_i of F is (TQ) ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_p &= \bigcap_i \text{Der}_V(\log D_i)_p \\ &= \text{Der}_V(\log D)_p\end{aligned}$$

by applying 3.10. Therefore each element of \mathcal{K} is logarithmic along $D \setminus F(A)$ and thus along D . This shows that

$$\mathcal{K} \subset \text{Der}_V(\log D).$$

Next consider the 0-th fitting ideal of \mathcal{M}_V . Then its generator is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= |\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k| \quad (\text{see 2.5}) \\ &= \det(h_{ij}) \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V),\end{aligned}$$

where $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ is a free base for $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{K})$ with

$$\theta_i = \sum_{j=1}^k h_{ij}(y) (\partial/\partial y_j) \quad (i=1, \dots, k).$$

We easily know that Δ_p is a unit for $p \in V \setminus D$. Moreover Δ_p is reduced for $p \in D \setminus F(A)$ because $\text{Der}_V(\log D)_p = \mathcal{K}_p$ is free (see 2.5). Therefore Δ is reduced on $V \setminus F(A)$ and thus is a reduced defining equation for D entirely on V . Again by 2.5, Theorem D is proved.

REMARK. In the proof above, we have already showed that a generator of the 0-th fitting ideal of \mathcal{M}_V gives a reduced defining equation of the discriminant.

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF. Recently we found that the essential part of Theorem D is proved by K. Saito in [16, (4.3)]. Our (GTQ)-condition is his (2.3.6) and (2.3.7).

References

- [1] Arnol'd, V.I., Wave front evolution and equivariant Morse lemma, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **29** (1976), 557-582.
- [2] Cartier, P., Les arrangements d'hyperplans: un chapitre de géométrie combinatoire, *Séminaire Bourbaki 33e année, 1980/81, n° 561*, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 901, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1982.
- [3] Orlik, P. and L. Solomon, Unitary reflection groups and cohomology, *Invent. Math.* **59** (1980), 77-94.
- [4] Saito, K., Quasihomogene isolierte Singularitäten von Hyperflächen, *Invent. Math.* **14**, 123-142 (1971).
- [5] Saito, K., Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **27** (1980), 265-291.
- [6] Saito, K., Primitive forms for a unfolding of a function with an isolated critical point, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **28** (1982), 775-792.
- [7] Slodowy, P., Simple singularities and simple algebraic groups, *Lecture Notes in Math. No. 815*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1980.
- [8] Teissier, B., The hunting of invariants in the geometry of discriminants. *Real and*

- complex singularities, Proc. Ninth Nordic Summer School/NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo, 1976, Alphen aan den Rijn, Sijthoff and Noordhoff, 1977, pp. 565-678.
- [9] Terao, H., Arrangements of hyperplanes and their freeness I, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **27** (1980), 293-312.
 - [10] Terao, H., Generalized exponents of a free arrangement of hyperplanes and Shephard-Todd-Brieskorn formula, Invent. Math. **63** (1981), 159-179.
 - [11] Terao, H., On Betti numbers of complement of hyperplanes. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto University **17** (1981), 657-663.
 - [12] Terao, H., Free arrangements and unitary reflection groups, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A **56** (1980), 389-392.
 - [13] Terao, H., The exponents of a free hypersurface, to appear in Singularities, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **40**, A. M. S. (1983), 561-566.
 - [14] Yano, T., Free deformations of isolated singularities, Sci. Rep. Saitama Univ. Ser. A **9** (1980), 61-70.
 - [15] Yano, T., Deformation of isolated singularities and folding of Coxeter systems, in preparation.
 - [16] Saito, K., On the periods of primitive integrals, to appear in Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto University.

(Received July 10, 1982)

Department of Mathematics
International Christian University
Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo
181 Japan