

# On the absolute continuity of the law of a system of multiple Wiener integral

By Shigeo KUSUOKA<sup>\*</sup>)

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $\{B_t; 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  be a standard Brownian motion starting from the origin,  $L^2(B)$  be the set of all square integrable functionals of the Brownian motion  $\{B_t; 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_n$  be the set of all multiple Wiener integrals of degree  $n$ , that is to say,

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \left\{ \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 g(s_1, \dots, s_n) dB_{s_1} \cdots dB_{s_n}; g \in \hat{L}^2([0, 1]^n) \right\},$$

where

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 g(s_1, \dots, s_n) dB_{s_1} \cdots dB_{s_n}$$

is a multiple Wiener integral of  $g$  and  $\hat{L}^2([0, 1]^n)$  is the set of all symmetric Lebesgue square integrable functions on  $[0, 1]^n$ . It was proved by Ito [2] that  $L^2(B) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oplus \mathcal{H}_n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote  $\bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^m \mathcal{H}_n$ , then  $\mathcal{H}$  must be considered a set of polynomials in Brownian motion. Our interest is in studying the probability law  $\nu_Y$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  induced by  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  such that all  $Y_k$ 's are elements of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Recently Shigekawa [4] obtained a sufficient condition for  $\nu_Y$  to be absolutely continuous by using Malliavin's calculus. In this paper we give a necessary and sufficient condition for that by algebraic method.

Our theorem is the following.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be elements of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $I = \{f; f \text{ is a polynomial in } y_1, \dots, y_n \text{ satisfying } f(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = 0 \text{ with probability one}\}$  and  $V$  be a real algebraic variety in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  given by  $V = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n; f(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I\}$ . Then  $\nu_Y$  is absolutely continuous relative to the canonical measure on  $V$ .*

*In particular,  $\nu_Y$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $I = \{0\}$ .*

The definition of the canonical measure on  $V$  will be given in Section 2.

The author wishes to thank Professor G. Maruyama and Professor Y. Okabe for useful conversation.

<sup>\*</sup>) Partially supported by the SAKKOKAI FOUNDATION.

## 2. Preliminary material: real algebraic varieties.

Let  $R[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  be the set of all polynomials in  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  with real coefficients, and let  $I(Q)$  denote the set of polynomials which vanish in  $Q$  for each point set  $Q \subset R^n$ . It is easy to see that  $I(Q)$  is an ideal of  $R[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ . For any subset  $S$  of  $R[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ , let  $V(S)$  denote the set of common zeros of elements of  $S$  and let us define the rank  $\text{rk}_p(S)$  of  $S$  at a point  $p \in R^n$  by the dimension of the vector subspace in  $R^n$  generated by  $\left\{ \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1}(p), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_n}(p) \right); f \in S \right\}$ . Furthermore let us define the rank  $\text{rk}_p(Q)$  of a point set  $Q$  at  $p \in Q$  by  $\text{rk}_p(I(Q))$  and the rank  $\text{rk}(Q)$  of  $Q$  by  $\max\{\text{rk}_p(Q); p \in Q\}$ .

The following is due to Whitney [5].

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $V$  be an algebraic variety in  $R^n$ , i.e.  $V = V(S)$  for some  $S \subset R[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ , and let  $M(V) = \{p \in V; \text{rk}_p(V) = \text{rk}(V)\}$ . Then  $M(V)$  is a real analytic submanifold in  $R^n$  of dimension  $n - \text{rk}(V)$ , and  $V_1 = V \setminus M(V)$  is void or is a proper algebraic subvariety of  $V$ .*

Since  $M(V)$  is regarded as a Riemannian submanifold in  $R^n$  with induced metric by  $R^n$ , we define the canonical measure on  $V$  by the Riemannian volume of  $M(V)$ .

The following is also due to Whitney [5].

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *For any proper real subvariety  $V$  of  $R^n$ , there exist finite analytic submanifolds  $M_1, \dots, M_s$  in  $R^n$  such that the dimensions of  $M_1, \dots, M_s$  are less than  $n$  and  $V = M_1 \cup \dots \cup M_s$ .*

The following is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.2.

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Every proper real algebraic subvariety of  $R^n$  is of Lebesgue measure zero.*

## 3. Lemmas.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$  be a probability space. Let  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a complete orthonormal base of  $L^2([0, 1])$  and let  $X_k$  be a random variable given by  $X_k(\omega) = \int_0^1 e_k(t) d\mathcal{B}_t(\omega)$ ,  $k=1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\{X_k(\omega)\}_{k=1}^\infty$  are independent and normally distributed. Let  $A = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in Z^\infty; \alpha_j \geq 0 \text{ and } |\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j < \infty\}$ , and let  $H_k(x)$  be the modified Hermite polynomial of order  $k$  given by  $H_k(\sqrt{2}x) = \left(\frac{1}{2^k k!}\right)^{1/2} (-1)^k e^{x^2} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} e^{-x^2}$ .

Note that  $H_0(x)=1$ . Then the following proposition is well-known (see Hida [1] for example).

PROPOSITION 3.1.  $\left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} H_{\alpha_j}(X_j(\omega)); \alpha \in A, |\alpha|=m \right\}$  is a complete orthonormal base of  $\mathcal{H}_m$ .

By this proposition we may assume without loss of generality that  $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ ,  $X_j(\omega)=\omega_j$  the  $j$ -th coordinate of  $\omega \in \Omega$ , and  $p(d\omega)=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho(d\omega_j)$ , where  $\rho(du)$  is the normal distribution on  $\mathbf{R}$ , i.e.  $\rho(du)=\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}u^2\right)du$ .

For any measurable function  $F:\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ , let  $F_m$  be a measurable function from  $\Omega \times \Omega$  to  $\mathbf{R}^n$  given by

$$F_m(\omega', \omega) = F(\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_m, \omega_{m+1}, \omega_{m+2}, \dots)$$
 for each  $\omega', \omega \in \Omega$ .

Then the following holds.

LEMMA 3.1. There exist a measurable subset  $\Omega_F$  of  $\Omega$  and an increasing sequence  $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of integers such that  $P(\Omega_F)=1$  and  $F_{m_k}(\omega', \omega) \rightarrow F(\omega')$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , in probability with respect to  $P(d\omega')$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega_F$ .

PROOF. First suppose that  $F$  is bounded, and let  $G^{(m)} = E[F|X_1(\omega), \dots, X_m(\omega)]$ . Then it is obvious that  $G_m^{(m)}(\omega', \omega) = G^{(m)}(\omega')$  for  $P(d\omega') \times P(d\omega)$ -a.e.  $(\omega', \omega)$ . So we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |F(\omega') - F_m(\omega', \omega)| P(d\omega') P(d\omega) &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |F(\omega') - G^{(m)}(\omega')| P(d\omega') P(d\omega) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |F_m(\omega', \omega) - G_m^{(m)}(\omega', \omega)| P(d\omega') P(d\omega) \\ &= 2E[|F - G^{(m)}|] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists an increasing sequence  $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} P(d\omega) \int_{\Omega} |F(\omega') - F_{m_k}(\omega', \omega)| P(d\omega') < \frac{1}{4^k} \text{ for each } k.$$

Let

$$\Omega_F = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \omega \in \Omega; \int_{\Omega} |F(\omega') - F_{m_k}(\omega', \omega)| P(d\omega) < \frac{1}{2^k} \text{ for any } k > j \right\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that  $P(\Omega_F)=1$  by the Borel-Cantelli lemma. This implies our lemma. In the case when  $F$  is unbounded, we can prove our lemma similarly by considering  $\frac{F}{1+|F|}$ . This completes the proof.

Suppose that  $Z$  is an element of  $\sum_{k=0}^N \mathcal{H}_k$  for some integer  $N$ , then it follows from Proposition 3.1 that there exist real numbers  $c_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , such that  $c_\alpha=0$  if  $|\alpha|>N$ ,  $\sum_\alpha c_\alpha^2<\infty$  and  $Z(\omega) = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha \prod_{j=1}^\infty H_{\alpha_j}(X_j(\omega))$ . For each integer  $m$ , let  $C_{m,\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}^m$ , be random variables given by

$$C_{m,\beta}(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha \in A_\beta} c_\alpha \prod_{j=m+1}^\infty H_{\beta_j}(X_j(\omega)), \text{ where } A_\beta = \{\alpha \in A; \alpha_j = \beta_j, j=1, \dots, m\}.$$

Then we obtain

$$Z(\omega) = \sum_\beta C_{m,\beta}(\omega) \prod_{j=1}^m H_{\beta_j}(X_j(\omega))$$

and

$$C_{m,\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m}(\omega) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_{m,\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m, \gamma}(\omega) H_\gamma(X_{m+1}(\omega))$$

for  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega$  and any  $m$  and  $\beta$ . Thus we have the following.

LEMMA 3.2. *For any element  $Z$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exist an integer  $N$  and a set of elements of  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\{C_{m,\beta}(\omega); m=1, 2, \dots, \beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}^m\}$ , such that each  $C_{m,\beta}(\omega)$  is  $\sigma\{X_{m+1}(\omega), X_{m+2}(\omega), \dots\}$  measurable,  $Z(\omega) = \sum_{\beta \in \{0, \dots, N\}^m} C_{m,\beta}(\omega) \prod_{j=1}^m H_{\beta_j}(X_j(\omega))$  for  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega$  and any  $m$ , and*

$$C_{m,\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m}(\omega) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_{m,\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m, \gamma}(\omega) H_\gamma(X_{m+1}(\omega)) \text{ for } P\text{-a.e. } \omega \text{ and any } m \text{ and } \beta.$$

Using this lemma, we can prove the following.

LEMMA 3.3.  $P\{\omega; Z(\omega)=0\}=0$  or 1 for any element  $Z$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

PROOF. Let  $\Omega_0 = \{\omega \in \Omega; Z(\omega)=0\}$  and let  $C_{m,\beta}(\omega)$ 's be random variables as in Lemma 3.2. Let  $A_m(\omega)$  be an algebraic subvariety of  $R^m$  given by

$$A_m(\omega) = \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in R^m; \sum_\beta C_{m,\beta}(\omega) \prod_{j=1}^m H_{\beta_j}(z_j) = 0 \right\}.$$

Then  $f_m(\omega) = \rho^{\otimes m}(A_m(\omega)) = 0$  or 1 by Proposition 2.3. This shows that

$$P[(\Omega_0 \setminus \{f_m(\omega)=1\}) \cup (\{f_m(\omega)=1\} \setminus \Omega_0)] = 0.$$

However, it is obvious that  $f_m(\omega)$  is  $\sigma\{X_{m+1}(\omega), X_{m+2}(\omega), \dots\}$  measurable. So we get  $P(\Omega_0) = 0$  or 1 by Kolmogorov's 0-1 law. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.4. *Suppose that  $Z_1(\omega)$  and  $Z_2(\omega)$  are elements of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $Z_1(\omega) \cdot Z_2(\omega)$  is also an element of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Moreover,  $Z_1(\omega) \cdot Z_2(\omega) = 0$  for  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega$ , if and only if  $Z_1(\omega) = 0$  for  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega$  or  $Z_2(\omega) = 0$  for  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega$ .*

The first assertion is well-known (see Shigekawa [4] for example) and the latter assertion is an easy consequence of Lemma 3.3.

4. Proof of Theorem.

Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\nu_Y$  be the probability law on  $R^n$  induced by  $Y=(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ , and let  $Q$  be the support of  $\nu_Y$  and  $r=\text{rnk}(Q)$ . Furthermore let  $\{C_{m,\beta}^k(\omega)\}_{m,\beta}$  be the set of random variables for  $Y_k$  as in Lemma 3.2,  $k=1, \dots, n$ . That is to say,  $Y_k(\omega) = \sum_{\beta} C_{m,\beta}^k(\omega) \prod_{j=1}^m H_{\beta_j}(X_j(\omega))$  for  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega$  and  $C_{m,\beta}^k(\omega)$  is  $\sigma\{X_{m+1}(\omega), X_{m+2}(\omega), \dots\}$  measurable. It is obvious that

$$I(Q) = I = \{f \in R[y_1, \dots, y_n]; f(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = 0 \text{ with probability one}\}.$$

Let  $g_m^k(z; \omega)$  denote  $\sum_{\beta} C_{m,\beta}^k(\omega) \prod_{j=1}^m H_{\beta_j}(z_j)$  for any  $z=(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in R^m$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Let  $G_m(z; \omega) = (g_m^1(z; \omega), \dots, g_m^n(z; \omega)) \in R^n$  for each  $z \in R^m$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , and let

$$I_m(\omega) = \{f \in R[y_1, \dots, y_n]; f(G_m(z; \omega)) = 0 \text{ for any } z \in R^m\}$$

for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Lemma 3.1 shows that there exist a measurable subset  $\Omega_Y$  of  $\Omega$  and an increasing sequence  $\{m_k\}$  of integers such that  $P(\Omega_Y) = 1$  and  $G(X_1(\omega'), \dots, X_{m_k}(\omega'); \omega) \rightarrow Y(\omega')$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , in probability with respect to  $P(d\omega')$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega_Y$ . Let  $\Omega'_0$  be the set of  $\omega \in \Omega$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\omega) &= G_m(X_1(\omega), \dots, X_m(\omega); \omega), \\ G_m(z_1, \dots, z_m; \omega) &= G_{m+1}(z_1, \dots, z_m, X_{m+1}(\omega); \omega) \end{aligned}$$

and  $G_m(z; \omega) \in V(I)$  for any  $m$  and  $z=(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in R^m$ . Then it is easy to see that  $P(\Omega'_0) = 1$  by the similar argument to the proof of Lemma 3.2. Let  $\Omega_0 = \Omega'_0 \cap \Omega_Y$ , then it is obvious that  $I_1(\omega) \supset I_2(\omega) \supset \dots \supset I$  and  $I_m(\omega)$ 's are prime ideals in  $R[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega_0$ .

Now let us pause to prove the following Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.

LEMMA 4.1. *There exists some integer  $m(\omega)$  for any  $\omega \in \Omega_0$  such that  $I_m(\omega) = I$  for any  $m \geq m(\omega)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\omega \in \Omega_0$ . Since the Krull dimension of  $R[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  is  $n$  (see Nagata [3] for example), there exists an integer  $m(\omega)$  such that  $I_m(\omega) = I_{m(\omega)}(\omega)$  for any  $m \geq m(\omega)$ . So it is sufficient to prove  $I_{m(\omega)}(\omega) \subset I$ . Suppose that  $f \in I_{m(\omega)}(\omega) \setminus I$ , then  $f \in I_m \setminus I$  for any  $m$ . This implies that  $f(G_m(X_1(\omega'), \dots, X_m(\omega'); \omega)) = 0$  for any  $\omega' \in \Omega$ . On the other hand,  $f(G_m(X_1(\omega'), \dots, X_m(\omega'); \omega)) \rightarrow f(Y(\omega'))$  in probability with respect to  $P(d\omega')$ . Thus we have shown that  $f(Y(\omega')) = 0$  for  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega'$ , but this contradicts

our assumption that  $f \notin I$ . So we have proved our lemma.

Let  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a dense subset of  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . It is easy to see that for any  $\omega \in \Omega_0$ ,  $I_m(\omega) = I$  if and only if the rank of

$$\left( \frac{\partial g_m^k(z; \omega)}{\partial z_j} \Big|_{z=u_i} \right)_{\substack{k=1, \dots, n \\ j=1, \dots, m}}$$

is equal to  $n-r$  for some  $i$ . So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\omega \in \Omega_0; I_m(\omega) = I\} \\ &= \left\{ \omega \in \Omega_0; \det \left( \frac{\partial g_m^{k_s}(z; \omega)}{\partial z_{j_t}} \Big|_{z=u_i} \right)_{s,t=1, \dots, n-r} \neq 0 \right. \\ & \quad \left. \text{for some } i, \{k_1, \dots, k_{n-r}\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-r}\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\det \left( \frac{\partial g_m^{k_s}(z; \omega)}{\partial z_{j_t}} \Big|_{z=u_i} \right)_{s,t=1, \dots, n-r}$$

is an element of  $\mathcal{H}$  by Lemma 3.4, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that  $P\{\omega \in \Omega_0; I_m(\omega) = I\} = 0$  or 1. On the other hand, Lemma 4.1 asserts that  $P(\bigcup_m \{\omega \in \Omega_0; I_m(\omega) = I\}) = 1$ . Thus we have got the following.

LEMMA 4.2. *There exist an integer  $m_0$ ,  $u_0 \in \mathbf{R}^{m_0}$  and a measurable subset  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_0$  such that  $P(\Omega_1) = 1$ ,  $I_{m_0}(\omega) = I$  and the rank of*

$$\left( \frac{\partial g_{m_0}^k(z; \omega)}{\partial z_j} \Big|_{z=u_0} \right)_{\substack{k=1, \dots, n \\ j=1, \dots, m_0}}$$

*is equal to  $n-r$  for any  $\omega \in \Omega_1$ .*

We now return to the proof of Theorem.

It is easy to see that the probability law of  $G(z; \omega)$  under  $\rho^{\otimes m_0}(dz) \otimes P(d\omega)$  is  $\nu_Y$ . Let  $\nu_\omega$  be the probability law of  $G(z; \omega)$  under  $\rho^{\otimes m_0}(dz)$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega_1$ , then  $\nu_\omega(V) = 1$ , where  $V = V(I)$ . Let  $A_\omega = \{z \in \mathbf{R}^{m_0}; G(z; \omega) \in V \setminus M(V)\}$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega_1$ , then  $A_\omega$  is a subvariety of  $\mathbf{R}^{m_0}$ . Since the image of the neighborhood of  $u_0$  through  $G(\cdot, \omega)$  must be an  $n-r$  dimensional submanifold in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  for any  $\omega \in \Omega_1$  and the dimension of the variety  $V \setminus M(V)$  is less than  $n-r$ ,  $A_\omega$  is a proper subvariety of  $\mathbf{R}^{m_0}$ . So we get  $\nu_\omega(V \setminus M(V)) = \rho^{\otimes m_0}(A_\omega) = 0$  for any  $\omega \in \Omega_1$  by Proposition 2.3.

Similarly we can prove for each  $\omega \in \Omega_1$  that

$$\rho^{\otimes m_0} \left( \left\{ z \in \mathbf{R}^{m_0}; \text{the rank of } \left( \frac{\partial g_{m_0}^k(z; \omega)}{\partial z_j} \right)_{\substack{k=1, \dots, n \\ j=1, \dots, m_0}} \text{ is less than } n-r. \right\} \right) = 0.$$

This shows that the measure  $\nu_\omega$  is absolutely continuous relative to the canonical measure on  $V$ .

However, it is obvious that  $\nu_Y(d\nu) = \int_{\mathcal{Q}_1} P(d\omega)\nu_\omega(d\nu)$ . Thus we have proved the first assertion of our theorem. The latter assertion is an immediate conclusion. This completes the proof.

#### References

- [1] Hida, T., *Brownian Motion, Applications of Mathematics 11*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1980.
- [2] Ito, K., Multiple Wiener integral, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **3** (1951), 157-169.
- [3] Nagata, M., *Field Theory, Monographs and textbooks in pure and applied mathematics 40*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1977.
- [4] Shigekawa, I., Derivatives of Wiener functionals and absolute continuity of induced measure, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **20** (1980), 263-289.
- [5] Whitney, H., Elementary structure of real algebraic varieties, *Ann. of Math.* **66** (1957), 545-556.

(Received October 30, 1981)

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
University of Tokyo  
Hongo, Tokyo  
113 Japan