# On a commutative nonassociative algebra associated with a multiply transitive group

By Koichiro HARADA\*>

To the memory of Prof. Takuro Shintani

### 1. Introduction.

This work was motivated by Griess' construction of the "Monster" simple group [1].

THEOREM  $A^{1}$ . Let A be a commutative (nonassociative) algebra over some field k satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) A is a vector space over k with a system of basis  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , and  $x_n$ ;
- (2)  $x_i^2 = (n-1)x_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n; \text{ and }$
- (3)  $x_i x_j = -x_i x_j$  for  $1 \le i < j \le n$ .

Then if the characteristic of k is zero or greater than n+1, then the (k-linear) automorphism group of A is isomorphic to the symmetric group  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  of degree n+1.

An example of the algebra A in Theorem A is canonically obtained from a triply transitive permutation group G on a set  $\mathcal{Q} = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$ . Let  $k[\mathcal{Q}]$  be its permutation module over some field whose characteristic is zero or greater than n+1. Then  $k[\mathcal{Q}] \cong U \oplus M$  where U is the trivial k[G]-module and M is an irreducible k[G]-module. We identify M with  $k[\mathcal{Q}]/U$ . More precisely, we put

$$M = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / \langle x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_n \rangle$$

where  $\langle \cdots \rangle$  denotes the k-linear subspace generated by  $\cdots$ . Therefore if  $\bar{x}$  denotes the image of  $x \in k[\Omega]$  in  $k[\Omega]/U$ , then

$$M=\langle \bar{x}_1, \ \bar{x}_2, \ \cdots, \ \bar{x}_n \rangle$$
 and

 $\bar{x}_0 = -\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 - \cdots - \bar{x}_n.$ 

Taking a suitable scalar multiple of  $x_i$ 's, we shall show:

<sup>\*)</sup> Research supported in part by NSF grant 784726.

<sup>1)</sup> Griess informed the author that he had obtained a similar result with an additional condition on A (existence of an associative form).

THEOREM B. M possesses a G-invariant commutative (non-associative) algebra structure with the following properties:

- (1)  $\bar{x}_i^2 = (n-1)\bar{x}_i$  for  $0 \le i \le n$
- (2)  $\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j = -\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j$  for  $0 \le i < j \le n$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem A.

We first define a new element:

DEFINITION.  $x_0 = -x_1 - x_2 - \cdots - x_n$ .

LEMMA 1. The following conditions hold:

- (1)  $x_i^2 = (n-1)x_i$  for all  $0 \le i \le n$ ; and
- (2)  $x_i x_j = -x_i x_j$  for all  $0 \le i < j \le n$ .

PROOF. It suffices to show  $x_0^2 = (n-1)x_0$  and  $x_0x_i = -x_0 - x_i$  for  $i \neq 0$ . We have

$$x_0^2 = (x_1 + \dots + x_n)^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i x_j$$

$$= (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - 2(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$= -(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$= (n-1)x_0; \text{ and}$$

$$x_0 x_i = (-x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n)x_i$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k + x_i) - (x_i + x_i) - x_i^2$$

$$= -x_0 + nx_i - 2x_i - (n-1)x_i$$

$$= -x_0 - x_i.$$

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2. Let  $x=a_1x_1+a_2x_2+\cdots+a_nx_n$  be an element of A with  $a_i\in k$ ,  $1\leq i\leq n$ . If  $x^2=\lambda x$  for some  $\lambda\in k$ , then all nonzero coefficients of x are equal. More precisely, if there are l nonzero  $a_i$ 's then one of the following possibilities hold:

(1) 
$$a_i = \frac{\lambda}{n+1-2l}$$
 for all nonzero  $a_i$ 's; or

(2)  $n+1-2l=\lambda=0$  in k with all nonzero  $a_i$ 's being equal but arbitrary.

PROOF. We have

$$x^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2} x_{i}^{2} + 2 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_{i} a_{j} x_{i} x_{j}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} x_{i},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_i &= (n-1)a_i^2 - 2\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k \neq i}}^n a_i a_k \\ &= (n+1)a_i^2 - 2\sum_{k=1}^n a_i a_k , \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n . \end{aligned}$$

If  $x^2 = \lambda x$ , we have

$$\lambda a_i = (n+1)a_i^2 - 2a_i \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$$
, for  $1 \le i \le n$ .

Suppose  $a_i a_j \neq 0$ . Then

$$\lambda = (n+1)a_i - 2\sum_{k=1}^n a_k$$
, and

$$\lambda = (n+1)a_j - 2\sum_{k=1}^n a_k$$
.

Hence  $(n+1)(a_i-a_j)=0$ . Since  $n+1\neq 0$  in k, we obtain  $a_i=a_j$ . If there are l nonzero  $a_i$ 's, then

$$\lambda = (n+1)a_i - 2la_i = (n+1-2l)a_i$$
.

We obtain the latter part of our lemma immediately from this.

COROLLARY 3. If x is a nonzero idempotent of A, then

$$x = \frac{1}{n+1-2l}(x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_l})$$

where  $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \dots < i_l \le n$  for some  $1 \le l \le n$ .

PROOF. Put  $\lambda=1$  in Lemma 2.

LEMMA 4. Let x, y be a pair of nonzero elements of A satisfying

$$\begin{cases} x^2 = (n-1)x, \\ y^2 = (n-1)y, \text{ and} \\ xy = -x - y. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\{x, y\} \subseteq \{x_i; 0 \le i \le n\}$ .

PROOF. Put

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i$$
 and

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i x_i$$
.

Then

$$xy = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$$

where

$$\begin{split} c_i &= (n-1)a_ib_i - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^n a_ib_k - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^n a_kb_i \\ &= (n+1)a_ib_i - a_i \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^n b_k - b_i \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^n a_k , \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n . \end{split}$$

Since xy = -x - y, we have for  $1 \le i \le n$ 

(\*) 
$$(n+1)a_ib_i - a_i \sum_{k=1}^n b_k - b_i \sum_{k=1}^n a_k = -a_i - b_i.$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$a_i = 0$$
 or  $\frac{n-1}{n+1-2l}$  for some  $l$  and

$$b_i = 0$$
 or  $\frac{n-1}{n+1-2m}$  for some  $m$ .

Suppose that  $a_i=0$  if and only if  $b_i=0$ . Then x=y and so xy+x+y=(n+1)x. Hence xy=-x-y is impossible to hold. Therefore, we may assume, interchanging x and y if necessary, that there exists an index i satisfying  $a_i=0$  but  $b_i\neq 0$ . By (\*) we obtain  $\sum a_k=1$ . Hence

$$\frac{n-1}{n+1-2l}l=1$$
.

This implies (n+1)(l-1)=0. Hence l=1. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $x=x_1$ . If  $b_1=0$ , then m=1 by a similar argument as above and so our lemma holds. Therefore we may assume without loss of generality that

$$y = \frac{n-1}{n+1-2m}(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m).$$

We compute

$$xy = \frac{n-1}{n+1-2m} (x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 + \dots + x_1 x_m)$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{n+1-2m} \left[ (n-m)x_1 - \sum_{i=2}^m x_i \right].$$

Since xy = -x - y by assumption, we obtain by comparing the coefficients for  $x_1$ 

$$\frac{n-1}{n+1-2m}(n-m) = -1 - \frac{n-1}{n+1-2m}.$$

This implies (n+1)(n-m)=0 and so n=m. Therefore

$$y = \frac{n-1}{n+1-2n}(x_1 + \cdots + x_n) = x_0$$
.

Thus the lemma holds in this case also.

The proof of Theorem A now follows immediately from the previous lemma and Lemma 1.

# 3. Commutative (nonassociative) algebras associated with triply transitive groups.

Let G be a triply transitive permutation group on a set  $\Omega = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of n+1 symbols with  $n \ge 2$ . Let k be a field of characteristic 0 or greater than n+1. Then it is well known that the permutation module  $k[\Omega]$  splits into the direct sum of the trivial module U and an irreducible module M of dimension n.

We note that G is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\sum_{n+1}$ . Since the characteristic of k is zero or greater than n+1, the group algebra k[G] is semi-simple. Moreover, every k[G] module is obtained canonically from a K[G]-module by reduction for a suitable field K of characteristic zero.

Lemma 5. The symmetric product  $S^2(M)$  possesses M as an irreducible constituent.

PROOF. It suffices to show the lemma for the case in which the characteristic of k is zero. Let  $\chi$  be the rational character of G afforded by M. We shall show

$$\sum_{\sigma \in G} (\chi(\sigma)^2 + \chi(\sigma^2)) \chi(\sigma) > 0$$

which will yield our lemma since

$$\frac{1}{2}(\chi(\sigma)^2 + \chi(\sigma^2))$$

is the character of  $\sigma \in G$  afforded by  $S^2(M)$ . We have  $\chi(\sigma)^3 \ge \chi(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \ne 1$  and  $\chi(1)^3 > \chi(1)$  since n > 1. Hence

$$\sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma)^3 > \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma) = 0$$
.

We have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma^2) \chi(\sigma) &= \sum_{\sigma \in G} (1 + \chi(\sigma^2))(1 + \chi(\sigma)) - \left(\sum_{\sigma \in G} 1 + \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma) + \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma^2)\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{\sigma \in G} (1 + \chi(\sigma))^2 - |G| - |G| \\ &= 2|G| - |G| - |G| \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

Hence the desired conclusion holds.

The previous result shows that M admits a commutative algebra structure. More precisely we do the following. Since  $M \otimes M \cong S^2(M) \oplus A^2(M)$  and  $S^2(M) \cong M \oplus M'$ , we have a G-invariant mapping f from  $M \otimes M$  to M. We define the product ab of  $(a,b) \in M \times M$  by  $ab = f(a \otimes b)$ . Since f factors through  $S^2(M)$ , ab = ba holds. Thus a commutative algebra structure is given to M.

Since  $M \cong k[\Omega]/U$ , we identify M with  $k[\Omega]/U = k[\Omega]/\langle x_0 + x_1 + \cdots + x_n \rangle$ . For  $x \in k[\Omega]$ ,  $\bar{x}$  denotes the image of x in M.

THEOREM B. The algebra structure of M given above is uniquely determined. More precisely, if we choose a suitable (same) scalar multiple of  $\bar{x}_i$ ,  $0 \le i \le n$ , then the following relations hold:

- (1)  $\bar{x}_i^2 = (n-1)\bar{x}_i$  for  $0 \le i \le n$ ; and
- (2)  $\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_i = -\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_i$  for  $0 \le i < j \le n$ .

PROOF. Since  $\bar{x}_1, \dots,$  and  $\bar{x}_n$  are linearly independent, we write every element of M in terms of  $\bar{x}_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ .

Let  $\bar{x}_1\bar{x}_1=a_1\bar{x}_1+\cdots+a_n\bar{x}_n$ . Since G is doubly transitive, there is an element  $\sigma$  in G with cyclic decomposition

$$\sigma = (1)(i0 \cdots) \cdots {}^{(2)}$$

So

$$\bar{x}_1\bar{x}_1 = a_1\bar{x}_1 + \dots + a_i\bar{x}_0 + \dots$$
$$= (a_1 - a_i)\bar{x}_1 + \dots.$$

Therefore  $a_i=0$  for all  $i\neq 1$ . Hence

$$\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_i = a_1 \bar{x}_i$$
 for  $0 \le i \le n$ .

<sup>2)</sup> For simplicity, we write  $(1)(i0\cdots)$  for  $(x_1)(x_1x_0\cdots)$ , etc.

Put  $\bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2=b_1\bar{x}_1+\cdots+b_n\bar{x}_n$ . Since G is triply transitive, there exists an element  $\alpha$  in G with cyclic decomposition

$$(12)(i0\cdots)\cdots$$

for every i with  $3 \le i \le n$ . Hence we have

$$\bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2 = b_2 \bar{x}_1 + b_1 \bar{x}_2 + \dots + b_i \bar{x}_0 + \dots$$
  
=  $(b_2 - b_i) \bar{x}_1 + (b_1 - b_i) \bar{x}_2 + \dots$ 

Therefore  $b_1=b_2-b_i$  and  $b_2=b_1-b_i$ . Since the characteristic of k is not 2, we conclude  $b_1=b_2$  and  $b_i=0$  for  $3 \le i \le n$ . Hence

$$\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_i = b_1(x_i + x_i)$$

for  $0 \le i < j \le n$ .

We next obtain a relation between  $a_1$  and  $b_1$ . Pick an element  $\beta$  of G with cyclic decomposition

 $(1)(20) \cdots$ .

Then from  $\bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2=b_1(\bar{x}_1+\bar{x}_2)$ , we have

Hence

$$\bar{x}_1\bar{x}_0 = b_1(\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_0)$$
.

$$\vec{x}_1(-\vec{x}_1-\vec{x}_2-\cdots-\vec{x}_n)=b_1(\vec{x}_1-\vec{x}_1-\vec{x}_2-\cdots-\vec{x}_n)$$
.

Comparing the coefficients for  $\bar{x}_1$  of both sides, we obtain  $-a_1-(n-1)b_1=0$ . Hence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \bar{x}_i\bar{x}_i{=}{-}(n{-}1)b_1\bar{x}_i & \text{ for } 0{\leq}i{\leq}n \text{ ,} \\ \\ \bar{x}_i\bar{x}_j{=}b_1(\bar{x}_i{+}\bar{x}_j) & \text{ for } 0{\leq}i{<}j{\leq}n \text{ .} \end{array} \right.$$

Taking  $\bar{x}_i' = -(1/b)\bar{x}_i$  and calling it  $\bar{x}_i$  again for simplicity, we obtain a set of normalized generators and the relations between them:

$$\begin{split} & \bar{x}_i \bar{x}_i \!\!=\!\! (n\!-\!1) \bar{x}_i & \text{for } 0 \!\!\leq\!\! i \!\!\leq\!\! n \text{ ,} \\ & \bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j \!\!=\!\! - \!\!\bar{x}_i \!\!-\! \bar{x}_j & \text{for } 0 \!\!\leq\!\! i \!\!<\! j \!\!\leq\!\! n \text{ .} \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem B.

#### References

[1] Griess, R., A construction of  $F_1$  as automorphisms of 196883 dimensional algebra. unpublished.

(Received March 30, 1981)

Hokkaido University Sapporo, Japan and Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio U. S. A.