

Some examples of new forms

Dedicated to Professor Y. Kawada on his 60th birthday

By Hideo SHIMIZU

The purpose of the present paper is to give certain modular cusp forms belonging to the essential part of level p^n (the space of new forms in the sense of Atkin-Lehner [1]; we do not assume that a new form is an eigen-function of Hecke operators). This new form is provided by a theta series defined by two objects; one is a definite quadratic form of 4 variables which is a norm form of a quaternion algebra \mathcal{K} of discriminant p over \mathbf{Q} and another is a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathcal{K}_p^\times \times \mathcal{K}_\infty^\times$, where $\mathcal{K}_v = \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_v$. We note that our example is closely related to the classical one, for, if the representation of $\mathcal{K}_p^\times \times \mathcal{K}_\infty^\times$ is of the form $1 \otimes \sigma_\infty$, we obtain a theta series 'with spherical function' discussed in Eichler [4], Hecke [6], or Schöneberg [11]. For technical reasons we assume that p is an odd prime.

§1. Some remarks on the representations of $GL_2(F)$.

1. Let F be a non-archimedean local field and let \mathcal{A} be either a separable quadratic extension or a division quaternion algebra over F . Denoting by ι the canonical involution of \mathcal{A}/F , we put $\text{tr}(x) = x + x^\iota$, $n(x) = xx^\iota$ for x in \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{A}^1 be the group of all x in \mathcal{A} with $n(x) = 1$. By Jacquet-Langlands [8], to every finite-dimensional irreducible representation Ω of \mathcal{A}^\times such that $\Omega|_{\mathcal{A}^1} \neq 1$, corresponds an absolutely cuspidal representation of $GL_2(F)$, which we denote by Ω^* .

2. Let π be an admissible irreducible representation of $GL_2(F)$ and \mathcal{V} the space of π . Then the restriction of π to F^\times defines a quasi-character η of F^\times . Write \mathfrak{o}_F and \mathfrak{p}_F for the ring of integers and the prime ideal in \mathfrak{o}_F , respectively. Let G_n be the group of all $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ in $GL_2(\mathfrak{o}_F)$ with $\gamma \in \mathfrak{p}_F^n$ and \mathcal{V}_n the space of all v in \mathcal{V} such that

$$\pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}\right)v = \eta(\delta)v \quad \text{for all } \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in G_n.$$

It can be shown that, if $\mathcal{V}_{n-1} \neq \{0\}$, then $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathcal{V}_{n-1} + \pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi \end{pmatrix}\right)\mathcal{V}_{n-1}$ (ϖ is a prime element in F) and if t is the smallest integer ≥ 0 such that $\mathcal{V}_t \neq \{0\}$, then

$\dim \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_i=1$ (see Deligne [2, Th. 2.2.6]). In terms of automorphic forms, $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_i$ corresponds to the essential part of level p^i ; hence we call for a moment \mathfrak{p}_F^i the level of π , and write $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}^0=\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_i$.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let E be a separable quadratic extension of F . Let Ω be a character of E^\times such that $\Omega|E^1 \neq 1$ and m the smallest integer such that $\Omega(1+\mathfrak{p}_E^m)=1$ (we call m the order of Ω). Denote by \mathfrak{p}_E^g the different of E/F and by f the relative degree of \mathfrak{p}_E over \mathfrak{p}_F . Then, the level of Ω^* is $(m+g)f$.*

Before proving Proposition 1, let us recall that an absolutely cuspidal representation π of $GL_2(F)$ is equivalent to a representation in $\mathcal{S}(F^\times)$ (the space of all locally constant functions of compact support on F^\times) having the following properties:

$$(1.1) \quad \pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}\right)\varphi(\xi)=\eta(\alpha)\varphi(\xi)$$

$$\pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)\varphi(\xi)=\phi_F(\beta\xi)\varphi(\alpha\xi)$$

for $\alpha \in F^\times$, $\beta \in F$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(F^\times)$. Here ϕ_F is a fixed additive character of F , and we may assume that the conductor of ϕ_F is \mathfrak{o}_F . $(\pi, \mathcal{S}(F^\times))$ is called the Kirillov model of π ([8, § 2]).

Put

$$\hat{\varphi}(\mu)=\int_{F^\times} \varphi(\xi)\mu(\xi)d^\times\xi$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(F^\times)$ and a quasi-character μ of F^\times . By [8, Prop. 2.10] we have

$$(1.2) \quad \pi(w)\hat{\varphi}(\mu)=C(\mu)\hat{\varphi}(\mu^{-1}\eta^{-1}) \quad \text{for } w=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $C(\mu)$ is a constant, and if $\mu(\varepsilon\varpi^n)=\nu(\varepsilon)t^n$ with a character ν of \mathfrak{o}_F^\times and $t \in \mathbb{C}$, $|t|=1$, then $C(\mu)$ takes the form

$$C(\mu)=\sum_n C_n(\nu)t^n.$$

For simplicity we write $\Omega\mu$ for the character $x \rightarrow \Omega(x)\mu(n(x))$ of E^\times .

LEMMA 1. *Let ω be the non-trivial character of $F^\times/n(E^\times)$ and put $\eta(\alpha)=\Omega(\alpha)\omega(\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in F^\times$). Let μ be a quasi-character of F^\times such that $\mu|_{\mathfrak{o}_F^\times}=\nu$. If $\pi=\Omega^*$, then $C_n(\nu) \neq 0$ if and only if $n=-(m+g)f$, m being the order of $\Omega\eta^{-1}\mu^{-1}$.*

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{S}(E, \Omega)$ be the space of all locally constant functions M of compact support on E such that $M(xx_1)=\Omega(x_1)^{-1}M(x)$ for $x_1 \in E^1$. Set $G_+=\{g \in GL_2(F) | \det g \in n(E^\times)\}$. We obtain a representation r_Ω of G_+ in $\mathcal{S}(E, \Omega)$ by setting

$$r_{\Omega}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)M(x)=\omega(\alpha)|\alpha|_E^{1/2}M(\alpha x),$$

$$r_{\Omega}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)M(x)=\phi_F(\beta n(x))M(x),$$

$$r_{\Omega}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)M(x)=\gamma(E/F, \phi_F)M'(x'),$$

$$r_{\Omega}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)M(x)=|h|_E^{1/2}\Omega(h)M(xh), \quad \alpha=n(h), h \in E^*.$$

Here $\gamma(E/F, \phi_F)$ is a certain constant, and M' is the Fourier transform of M (F is identified with its dual by the pairing $(x, y) \rightarrow \phi_E(xy)$, $\phi_E = \phi_F \circ \text{tr}$).

Ω^* is by definition the representation of $GL_2(F)$ induced by r_{Ω} . Hence the representation space of $\pi = \Omega^*$ is

$$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V} = \{\Phi : GL_2(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(E, \Omega) \mid \Phi(hg) = r_{\Omega}(h)(\Phi(g)) \text{ for } h \in G_+\}$$

and

$$\pi(g)\Phi(g') = \Phi(g'g).$$

For $\xi \in F^*$, $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}$, put

$$\varphi_{\Phi}(\xi) = [\Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)](1).$$

If $h \in E^*$, $\alpha = n(h)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (1.3) \quad & |h|_E^{1/2}\Omega(h)[\Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)](h) \\ &= [r_{\Omega}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)\Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)](1) \\ &= [\Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha\xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)](1) = \varphi_{\Phi}(\alpha\xi). \end{aligned}$$

We see that if $\varphi_{\Phi} = 0$, then $\Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in F^*$, so that $\Phi = 0$ (note that $M(0) = 0$ for $M \in \mathcal{S}(E, \Omega)$, since $\Omega|_{E^1} \neq 1$). It is easy to see that the image of the mapping $\Phi \rightarrow \varphi_{\Phi}$ is $\mathcal{S}(F^*)$. Therefore π may be viewed as a representation of $GL_2(F)$ in $\mathcal{S}(F^*)$; then it satisfies (1.1) with $\eta(\alpha) = \Omega(\alpha)\omega(\alpha)$.

Write $F^* = n(E^*) \cup \varepsilon n(E^*)$ (disjoint). For $M \in \mathcal{S}(E, \Omega)$, define an element Φ in $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}$ by

$$\Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0, \quad \Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = M.$$

Then $\varphi = \varphi_{\Phi}$ has a support within $n(E^*)$ and, by (1.3),

$$\varphi(\xi) = |x|_E^{1/2}\Omega(x)M(x)$$

for $\xi = n(x)$, $x \in E^*$. Hence we have

$$\hat{\varphi}(\mu) = \int_{E^\times} |x|_E^{1/2} \Omega(x) \mu(n(x)) M(x) d^\times x.$$

Let χ be a quasi-character of E^\times , and $M \in \mathcal{S}(E)$. It is well known that

$$Z(\chi, M) = \int_{E^\times} \chi(x) M(x) d^\times x$$

is a meromorphic function of χ (in the sense that if $\chi = \chi_0 | \cdot |_E^s$ with a fixed character χ_0 , then it is a meromorphic function of s) and satisfies a functional equation of the form

$$Z(\chi, M) = W(\chi) Z(| \cdot |_E \chi^{-1}, M').$$

If d^\times denotes a self-dual measure of E , then

$$W(\chi) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(-\varpi_E^n) \int_{\mathfrak{o}_E^\times} \phi_E(\varpi_E^n x) \chi(x) dx.$$

In this notation we can write $\hat{\varphi}(\mu) = Z(| \cdot |_E^{1/2} \Omega \mu, M)$, whence follows immediately that $C(\mu) = \gamma(E/F, \phi_F) W(| \cdot |_E^{1/2} \Omega \eta^{-1} \mu^{-1})^{-1}$. Note that $\Omega \eta^{-1} \mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{o}_E^\times) \neq 1$, so that the order of $\Omega \eta^{-1} \mu^{-1}$ is positive. Hence

$$\int_{\mathfrak{o}_E^\times} \phi_E(\varpi_E^n x) \Omega \eta^{-1} \mu^{-1}(x) dx \neq 0$$

if and only if $n = -m - g$. Therefore, up to a non-zero factor depending on $\mu | \mathfrak{o}_F^\times$, $C(\mu)$ equals $\mu(n(\varpi_E))^{-m-g} = \mu(\varpi_F)^{-(m+g)f}$. This proves Lemma 1.

We can now prove Proposition 1. Put $\mathcal{C}V = \mathcal{S}(F^\times)$ and define $\mathcal{C}V_n$ as before. Let φ be in $\mathcal{C}V_n$. Set $\varphi' = \pi(w)\varphi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}\right) \varphi(\xi) &= \eta(\delta) \phi_F(\beta \delta^{-1} \xi) \varphi(\alpha \delta^{-1} \xi) \\ &= \eta(\delta) \varphi(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha, \delta \in \mathfrak{o}_F^\times, \beta \in \mathfrak{o}_F$; hence

$$(1.4) \quad \text{supp } \varphi \subset \mathfrak{o}_F \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\alpha \xi) = \varphi(\xi) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_F^\times.$$

On the other hand,

$$\pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \varphi'(\xi) = \varphi'(\xi)$$

for all $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}_F^n$; so we have

$$(1.5) \quad \text{supp } \varphi' \subset \mathfrak{p}_F^{-n} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi'(\alpha \xi) = \eta(\alpha) \varphi'(\xi) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_F^\times.$$

Conversely, (1.4) and (1.5) imply that $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}V_n$.

It follows from (1.4) that $\varphi(\varpi_F^k) = 0$ for $k < 0$. Write $\mu(\varepsilon \varpi_F^n) = \nu(\varepsilon) t^n$, $\eta(\varepsilon \varpi_F^n) = \nu_0(\varepsilon) t_0^n$ for $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{o}_F^\times$ and $C(\mu) = C_{-M}(\nu) t^{-M}$. Applying (1.2) to the case $\nu_0 = 1$, we get

$$\sum_k t^k \varphi'(\varpi_F^k) = C_{-M}(\nu_0^{-1}) t^{-M} \sum_k (t t_0)^{-k} \varphi(\varpi_F^k)$$

or equivalently

$$\varphi'(\varpi_F^{-k-M}) = C_{-M}(\nu_0^{-1})t_0^{-k}\varphi(\varpi_F^k).$$

Hence $\text{supp } \varphi' \subset \mathfrak{p}_F^{-n}$ if and only if $\varphi(\varpi_F^k) = 0$ for all k with $-k - M \leq -n$. Here M is an integer such that $C_{-M}(\nu_0^{-1}) \neq 0$; by Lemma 1 $M = (m+g)f$ if m is the order of Ω . We see that $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_n \neq \{0\}$ if and only if $n \geq M$, and $\dim \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_n = n - M + 1$. This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

COROLLARY. *The notation being as above, we have $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_M = \mathcal{C}\varphi_0$, where φ_0 is the characteristic function of \mathfrak{o}_F^\times .*

§2. Characters of absolutely cuspidal representations.

1. In the notation in §1, No. 2, we assume that π is absolutely cuspidal and η is a character of F^\times . Then π is pre-unitary. More precisely, assuming that π is realized in the Kirillov model, we set

$$(\varphi, \varphi') = \int_{F^\times} \varphi(\xi) \overline{\varphi'(\xi)} d^* \xi$$

for $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{S}(F^\times)$; then $(\pi(g)\varphi, \varphi') = (\varphi, \pi(g^{-1})\varphi')$ ([8, Prop. 2.21.2]). Furthermore, if φ is any element in $\mathcal{S}(F^\times)$ with $(\varphi, \varphi) = 1$, the character χ_π of π is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \chi_\pi(g) = d(\pi) \int_{GL_2(F)/F^\times} (\pi(h^{-1}gh)\varphi, \varphi) dh$$

for all g in $GL_2(F)$ whose eigenvalues are distinct and do not belong to F ([8, Prop. 7.5]). If $\pi = \Omega^*$, an explicit formula for χ_π will be obtained by (2.1). We shall state it here in the case where the residue class field of F is not of characteristic 2. The proof will be published elsewhere. Also refer to Sally and Shalika [10], in which we find the characters of irreducible unitary representations of $SL_2(F)$.

We assume in the rest of this paragraph that the residue class field of F is not of characteristic 2. Let q be the number of elements in the residue class field of F . There exist three quadratic extensions E_0, E_1, E_2 over F . Fixing a prime element ϖ and a non-square unit ε_0 in F , we have $E_0 = F(\sqrt{\varepsilon_0})$, $E_1 = F(\sqrt{-\varpi})$, $E_2 = F(\sqrt{-\varpi\varepsilon_0})$. Put $E_i^* = E_i - F$. Embedding E_i into $M_2(F)$, we regard E_i^* as a subset of $GL_2(F)$. Then $E_0^* \cup E_1^* \cup E_2^*$ contains a representative system of conjugacy classes in $GL_2(F)$ whose eigenvalues do not belong to F . For $g \in GL_2(F)$, we set $d(g) = |(g - g^c)^2 n(g)^{-1}|_F$.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let Ω be a character of a quadratic extension E of F such that $\Omega|E^1 \neq 1$. Write χ for the character of the absolutely cuspidal representation Ω^* . Put $\eta(\alpha) = \omega(\alpha)\Omega(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in F^\times$, ω being the non-trivial character of $F^\times/n(E^\times)$. Put*

$$U_n = \begin{cases} E^1 \cap (1 + \mathfrak{p}_E^{2n+1}) & \text{if } E \text{ is ramified,} \\ E^1 \cap (1 + \mathfrak{p}_E^n) & \text{if } E \text{ is unramified,} \end{cases}$$

and let l be the smallest integer ≥ 0 such that $\Omega(U_l) = 1$.

Case I) E is ramified; we may assume that $E = E_1$.

1) $g \in E_0^*$. $\chi(g) = 0$ if $n(g)$ is not a square in F . If $n(g)$ is a square in F , take a $\delta \in F^\times$ such that $g_1 = \delta^{-1}g$ is of norm 1 and $\text{tr}(g_1) \equiv 2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_F}$ (in case $d(g) < 1$). If $l > 0$,

$$\chi(g) = \begin{cases} -(q+1)q^{l-1}\eta(\delta) & d(g) \leq |\varpi|_F^{2l} \\ 0 & d(g) > |\varpi|_F^{2l}. \end{cases}$$

If $l = 0$,

$$\chi(g) = \begin{cases} -2\eta(\delta) & d(g) < 1 \\ 2\omega(\text{tr}(g_1) - 2)\eta(\delta) & d(g) = 1. \end{cases}$$

2) $g \in E_2^*$. $\chi(g) = 0$ if $n(g)$ is not a square in F . If $n(g)$ is a square in F , take a $\delta \in F^\times$ such that $g_1 = \delta^{-1}g$ is of norm 1 and $\text{tr}(g_1) \equiv 2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_F}$. If $l > 0$,

$$\chi(g) = \begin{cases} -(q+1)q^{l-1}\eta(\delta) & d(g) < |\varpi|_F^{2l-1} \\ q^{l-1}\eta(\delta) \sum_{x \in U_{l-1}/U_l} \Omega(x)\omega(\text{tr}(g_1 - x)) & d(g) = |\varpi|_F^{2l-1} \\ 0 & d(g) > |\varpi|_F^{2l-1}. \end{cases}$$

If $l = 0$,

$$\chi(g) = -2\eta(\delta).$$

3) $g \in E_1^*$. If $d(g) < 1$, then $n(g)$ is a square in F ; in this case take a $\delta \in F^\times$ such that $g_1 = \delta^{-1}g$ is of norm 1 and $\text{tr}(g_1) \equiv 2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_F}$. If $l > 0$,

$$\chi(g) = \begin{cases} -(q+1)q^{l-1}\eta(\delta) & d(g) < |\varpi|_F^{2l-1} \\ q^{l-1}\eta(\delta) \sum_{\substack{x \in U_{l-1}/U_l \\ x \neq g_1, \delta_1^2}} \Omega(x)\omega(\text{tr}(g_1 - x)) & d(g) = |\varpi|_F^{2l-1} \\ i(\Omega)d(g)^{-1/2}\omega(2(g-g')/\sqrt{-\varpi})(\Omega(g) + \omega(-1)\Omega(g)) & d(g) > |\varpi|_F^{2l-1}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$i(\Omega) = q^{-1/2} \sum_{\xi \in (\mathfrak{o}_{F^l/F})^\times} \Omega((1 + \sqrt{-\varpi}\varpi^{l-1}\xi)(1 - \sqrt{-\varpi}\varpi^{l-1}\xi)^{-1})\omega(\xi).$$

If $l = 0$,

$$\chi(g) = \begin{cases} -2\eta(\delta) & d(g) < 1 \\ 0 & d(g) \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Case II) E is unramified; $E = E_0$.

1) $g \in E_1^* \cup E_2^*$. $\chi(g) = 0$ if $n(g)$ is not a square in F . If $n(g)$ is a square in F , take a $\delta \in F^\times$ such that $n(g) = \delta^2$ and $\text{tr}(g)/\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_F}$.

$$\chi(g) = \begin{cases} -2q^{l-1}\eta(\delta) & d(g) \leq |\varpi|_F^{2l-1} \\ 0 & d(g) > |\varpi|_F^{2l-1}. \end{cases}$$

2) $g \in E_0^*$. Write $|n(g)|_F = |\varpi|_F^{2n}$.

$$\chi(g) = \begin{cases} -2q^{l-1}(-1)^n \Omega(g) & d(g) \leq |\varpi|_F^{2l} \\ (-1)^l d(g)^{-l/2} \omega((g-g')/\sqrt{\varepsilon_0})(\Omega(g) + \Omega(g')) & d(g) > |\varpi|_F^{2l}. \end{cases}$$

REMARK. We have $|i(\Omega)| = 1$.

2. Let \mathcal{K} be a division quaternion algebra over F . For every absolutely cuspidal representation π of $GL_2(F)$, there exists a unique irreducible finite-dimensional representation σ of \mathcal{K}^\times such that $\pi = \sigma^*$ ([8, Th. 15.1]).

PROPOSITION 3. Let Ω be a character of a quadratic extension E of F such that $\Omega|E^1 \neq 1$ and σ an irreducible representation of \mathcal{K}^\times such that $\sigma^* = \Omega^*$. Denote by χ_σ the character of σ . Embed E_i ($i=0, 1, 2$) in \mathcal{K} . Then we have

$$\chi_\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} -\chi(x) & \text{for } x \in E_0^* \cup E_1^* \cup E_2^*, \\ \dim \sigma \eta(x) & \text{for } x \in F^\times. \end{cases}$$

If E is ramified, then

$$\dim \sigma = \begin{cases} (q+1)q^{l-1} & l > 0 \\ 2 & l = 0. \end{cases}$$

If E is unramified, then $\dim \sigma = 2q^{l-1}$.

PROOF. This follows from [8, Prop. 15.5] and Proposition 2. Note that $F^\times \cup E_0^* \cup E_1^* \cup E_2^*$ contains a representative system of the conjugacy classes in \mathcal{K}^\times . This proposition may be proved also in the way stated in Gel'fand and Graev [5].

PROPOSITION 4. In the notation in Proposition 3, $\sigma|_{\mathcal{K}^1}$ decomposes with multiplicity 1 into the irreducible representations of \mathcal{K}^1 of the same dimension, except for the following cases:

- 1) E is ramified and $l=0$.
- 2) E is unramified and $\Omega|E^1$ is of order 2 (hence $l=1$).

PROOF. We begin with a simple lemma.

LEMMA 2. Set $G = \mathcal{K}^\times$ and $H = F^\times \mathcal{K}^1$. If there exists a $g \in H$ such that $\chi_\sigma(g) \neq 0$, then the assertion of Proposition 4 is true.

PROOF. H is a normal subgroup of index 4. Let φ be an irreducible character of H and χ an irreducible character of G contained in $\text{Ind}_{G/H} \varphi$. We have

$$\text{Ind}_{G/H}\varphi(h)=\begin{cases} \sum_{g\in G/H}\varphi(ghg^{-1}) & \text{if } h\in H, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $\chi|H$ contains φ with multiplicity $n\geq 2$. $\text{Ind}_{G/H}\varphi$ contains χ with multiplicity n (Frobenius reciprocity law) so that $\text{Ind}_{G/H}\varphi|H$ contains φ with multiplicity $\geq n^2$. This happens only if $\text{Ind}_{G/H}\varphi|H=4\varphi$, $\text{Ind}_{G/H}\varphi=2\chi$. It follows that $\chi(g)=0$ for $g\notin H$, contradictory to our assumption. Lemma 2 is then obvious, since $\chi|H$ is a sum of distinct characters of the form $h\rightarrow\varphi(ghg^{-1})$.

Let us see that we have $\chi_\sigma(g)=0$ (for all $g\notin H$) only in the case 1) or 2). Suppose that $E=E_1$. If $g=1+\sqrt{-\varpi}\varpi^{-k}\varepsilon$ with $k>0$, $\varepsilon\in\mathfrak{o}_F^\times$, then $n(g)\in(F^\times)^2$ and $d(g)=1$. We have

$$\chi_\sigma(g)=-i(\Omega)\omega(\varepsilon)(\Omega(g)+\omega(-1)\Omega(g'))=0$$

and so

$$(2.2) \quad \Omega(-(1-\sqrt{-\varpi}\varpi^{k-1}\varepsilon^{-1})(1+\sqrt{-\varpi}\varpi^{k-1}\varepsilon^{-1})^{-1})=-\omega(-1).$$

Since $U_n=\{(1-\sqrt{-\varpi}\varpi^n\xi)(1+\sqrt{-\varpi}\varpi^n\xi)^{-1}|\xi\in\mathfrak{o}_F^\times\}$, (2.2) implies that $\Omega(-1)=-\omega(-1)$ and $\Omega(U_0)=1$. Hence $l=0$.

Assume next that $E=E_0$. Let g be an element in E such that $n(g)$ is a non-square unit. Then $d(g)\leq 1$. But if $d(g)<1$, then $\text{tr}(g)^2-4n(g)\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_E}$ and hence $n(g)$ is a square. Since this contradicts the assumption, we must have $d(g)=1$. Therefore

$$(2.3) \quad \chi_\sigma(g)=-(-1)^l(\Omega(g)+\Omega(g'))=0.$$

For every $x\in E^1$, we can find a $y\in E$ such that $x=y^{-1}y'$. It is immediate to see that $x\in(E^1)^2$ if and only if $n(y)\in(F^\times)^2$. It follows from (2.3) that $\Omega(x)=-1$ for all $x\in E^1-(E^1)^2$. Since $\Omega|E^1\neq 1$, we have

$$\int_{(E^1)^2}\Omega(x)dx=-\int_{E^1-(E^1)^2}\Omega(x)dx\neq 0$$

so that $\Omega|(E^1)^2=1$. Hence $\Omega|E^1$ is the unique character of E^1 of order 2, q. e. d.

REMARK. The representations excepted in Proposition 4 can be characterized as follows. Let E be unramified and Ω a character of E^\times such that $\Omega|E^1$ is the unique character of E^1 of order 2. If \mathfrak{D} and \mathfrak{P} are the maximal order of \mathcal{K} and the maximal ideal in \mathfrak{D} , respectively, then $\mathfrak{D}^\times/(1+\mathfrak{P})\cong\mathfrak{o}_E^\times/(1+\mathfrak{p}_E)$. Extend $\Omega_0=\Omega|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^\times}$ (viewed as a character of \mathfrak{D}^\times) to a character φ_Ω of $F^\times\mathfrak{D}^\times$ by

$$\varphi_\Omega(\alpha x)=\Omega(\alpha)\Omega_0(x) \quad \alpha\in F^\times, x\in\mathfrak{D}^\times.$$

Then the excepted representations are the induced representations of φ_Ω (for some Ω with the above property).

§ 3. Theta series which is a new form.

1. Let \mathcal{K} be a definite quaternion algebra over \mathbf{Q} . For a place v in \mathbf{Q} , we set $\mathcal{K}_v = \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_v$ (\mathbf{Q}_v is the completion of \mathbf{Q} with respect to v). The set of all places ramified in \mathcal{K} is denoted by S . Suppose that $\{\sigma_v\}_{v \in S}$ is a set of finite-dimensional irreducible representations σ_v of \mathcal{K}_v^\times with the following properties.

i) Identifying $\mathcal{K}_\infty^\times$ with the group of all $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$ in $GL_2(\mathbf{C})$, we have

$$\sigma_\infty(g) = (\det g)^{-n/2} \rho_n(g),$$

where ρ_n is the n -th symmetric tensor representation of $GL_2(\mathbf{C})$.

ii) If η_v is the restriction of σ_v to the center, the character $\eta_S = \bigotimes_{v \in S} \eta_v$ of $\prod_{v \in S} \mathbf{Q}_v^\times$ is extended to a character η of $A^\times / \mathbf{Q}^\times$ such that $\eta_p(\mathbf{Z}_p) = 1$ for $p \notin S$ (here A is the adèle ring of \mathbf{Q}).

In the following we use the notation in [12]. For a maximal order \mathfrak{O} in \mathcal{K} , we set

$$\mathfrak{O}_{A(S)}^\times = \prod_{p \in S} \mathfrak{O}_p^\times \times \prod_{v \in S} \mathcal{K}_v^\times,$$

$$\mathcal{K}_A^\times = \bigcup_{i=1}^h \mathcal{K}^\times x_i \mathfrak{O}_{A(S)}^\times,$$

$$A_i = \mathcal{K}^\times \cap x_i \mathfrak{O}_{A(S)}^\times x_i^{-1}.$$

Let us see if there exists an irreducible admissible representation $\pi = \bigotimes \pi_v$ of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K}_A^\times)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi &\text{ is contained in } \mathcal{A}_0(\eta, \mathcal{K}_A^\times), \pi_v = \sigma_v \text{ (for } v \in S), \text{ and} \\ \pi_p | \mathfrak{O}_p^\times &\text{ contains the identity representation (for } p \notin S). \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that this is the case, let \mathcal{U} be the sum of all irreducible subspaces \mathcal{V} in $\mathcal{A}_0(\eta, \mathcal{K}_A^\times)$ such that the representation of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K}_A^\times)$ in \mathcal{V} satisfies (3.1). By definition \mathcal{U} contains a non-zero vector invariant under $\prod_{p \in S} \mathfrak{O}_p^\times$. We see that the space of $\prod_{p \in S} \mathfrak{O}_p^\times$ -invariant vectors in \mathcal{U} is the space H of functions φ on \mathcal{K}_A^\times left \mathcal{K}^\times -invariant, right $\prod_{p \in S} \mathfrak{O}_p^\times$ -invariant, and transforming according to $\sigma_S = \bigotimes_{v \in S} \sigma_v$ by the action of $\mathcal{K}_S = \prod_{v \in S} \mathcal{K}_v^\times$ (i.e. the representation of \mathcal{K}_S in the space spanned by the right translates of φ is equivalent to a direct sum of σ_S). Conversely, if $H \neq \{0\}$, then there exists a representation π of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K}_A^\times)$ satisfying (3.1).

For $\varphi \in H$ and $g \in \mathcal{K}_S^\times$, put $u_i(g) = \varphi(x_i g)$. u_i is then a linear combination of the coefficients of σ_S , and $u_i(\delta g) = u_i(g)$ for $\delta \in A_i$. Hence $H \neq \{0\}$ if and only if there is an i such that $\sigma_S | A_i$ contains the identity representation. This is equivalent to saying that

iii) there exists an i such that $\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{A}_i^1 / \mathcal{A}_i^1 \cap \mathcal{Q}^\times} \chi_{\sigma_S}(\delta) \neq 0$.

We further assume that

iv) every finite place p in S is odd,

v) for every $p \in S$, there exists a quadratic extension E_p of \mathcal{Q}_p and a character \mathcal{Q}_p of E_p^\times such that $\sigma_p^* = \mathcal{Q}_p^*$ and the restriction of σ_p to \mathcal{K}_p^1 decomposes with multiplicity 1.

Put $K^1 = \prod_v K_v^1$, $K_v^1 = \mathcal{K}_v^1$ ($v \in S$), $K_v^1 = \mathcal{K}_v^1 \cap \mathfrak{D}_v$ ($v \in S$). If π is a representation satisfying (3.1) and \mathcal{CV} is the space of π contained in $\mathcal{A}_0(\eta, \mathcal{K}_A^\times)$, then we have

$$\mathcal{CV} \cap H = \sum_{\mathfrak{b}} \mathcal{CV}(\mathfrak{b}).$$

Here $\mathfrak{b} = \otimes \mathfrak{b}_v$ runs through all irreducible representation of K^1 with the property

$$(3.2) \quad \mathfrak{b}_v = 1 \quad \text{for all } v \in S,$$

and $\mathcal{CV}(\mathfrak{b})$ is the space of elements in \mathcal{CV} transforming, by the action of K^1 , according to \mathfrak{b} . It follows from v) that if $\mathcal{CV}(\mathfrak{b}) \neq \{0\}$, then \mathfrak{b} appears in $\pi|K^1$ with multiplicity 1. So we are in a position to apply [12, Th. 1] to the function defined by [12, (4.10)].

2. Define a function M in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{K}_A)$ by

$$M(x) = \prod_v M_v(x_v),$$

$$M_p = \text{the characteristic function of } \mathfrak{D}_p \quad (p \in S),$$

$$M_p(x) = \begin{cases} \chi_{\sigma_p}(x^{-1}) & x \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times \mathcal{K}_p^1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (p \in S).$$

$$M_\infty(x) = e^{-2\pi n(x)} \text{tr } \rho_n(x').$$

Put $GL_2(\mathcal{A})_+ = \{s \in GL_2(\mathcal{A}) \mid \det s \in n(\mathcal{K}_A^\times)\}$ and for $s \in GL_2(\mathcal{A})_+$ write $s = \begin{pmatrix} \det s & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} s_1$, $\det s = n(h)$ with $h \in \mathcal{K}_A^\times$. For $g \in \mathcal{K}_A^\times$ and $\varphi \in H$, define a function $\phi_{\varphi, g}$ on $GL_2(\mathcal{A})_+$ by

$$(3.3) \quad \phi_{\varphi, g}(s) = |\det s|_A \int_{\mathcal{K}_Q^1 \backslash \mathcal{K}_A^1} \varphi(g_1 h g) \left[\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{K}_1} r(s_1) M(g^{-1} \xi g_1 h g) \right] dg_1$$

and extend it to a function on $GL_2(\mathcal{A})$ invariant under the left translation of $GL_2(\mathcal{Q})$. Here r is a Weil representation of $SL_2(\mathcal{A})$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{K}_A)$; it depends on a choice of an additive character ϕ of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{Q} , which we assume to be of the form $\phi(x) = \prod \phi_v(x_v)$ with $\phi_v(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ (a standard additive character of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{Q}). Put

$$(3.4) \quad f_{\varphi, g}(s) = \sum_{\xi} \phi_{\varphi, g} \left(s \begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

ε running through a representative system of $(\prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{Z}_p^\times)/(\prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{Z}_p^\times)^2$. Then [12, Th. 1] asserts that if $\varphi \in \mathcal{CV}(\mathfrak{d})$, then $f_{\varphi, g}$ is in the space \mathcal{CV}^* of π^* contained in $\mathcal{A}_0(\eta, GL_2(\mathcal{A}))$, where π^* is the representation of $\mathcal{A}(GL_2(\mathcal{A}))$ corresponding to π :

$$(3.5) \quad \pi^* = \bigotimes_{v \in S} \pi_v \otimes \bigotimes_{v \in S} \pi_v^*$$

(note that $\phi_{\varphi, g} = (\dim \mathfrak{d})^{-1} \varphi(g) \phi_M$ in the notation in [12, (4.10)] and $\dim \pi > 1$ by the assumption v)).

We now prove that $f_{\varphi, g}$ is a ‘new form’ in the space \mathcal{CV}^* . To be more precise, let \mathcal{CV}_v^* be the space of π_v^* (we set $\pi_v^* = \pi_v$ for $v \in S$); let $(\mathcal{CV}_v^*)^0$ be as in § 1, No. 2 for $v \neq \infty$ and $(\mathcal{CV}_\infty^*)^0$ the space of f in \mathcal{CV}_∞^* such that

$$\pi_\infty^* \left(\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \right) f = e^{i(n+2)\theta} f,$$

and set $(\mathcal{CV}^*)^0 = \bigotimes_v (\mathcal{CV}_v^*)^0$.

THEOREM 1. *Let π be an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}_\lambda^\times)$ satisfying (3.1) and $\mathcal{CV} \subset \mathcal{A}_0(\eta, \mathcal{K}_\lambda^\times)$ the space of π . Let \mathfrak{d} be an irreducible representation of K^1 such that $\mathcal{CV}(\mathfrak{d}) \neq \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{d}_v = 1$ for $v \in S$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{CV}(\mathfrak{d})$ and $g \in \mathcal{K}_\lambda^\times$, then $f_{\varphi, g} \in (\mathcal{CV}^*)^0$. Here \mathcal{CV}^* is the space of π^* defined by (3.5).*

PROOF. Recall that, if

$$W(f)(s) = \int_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{Q}} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} s \right) \phi(-a) da,$$

then $f \mapsto W(f)$ gives an $\mathcal{A}(GL_2(\mathcal{A}))$ -isomorphism of \mathcal{CV}^* onto the Whittaker space \mathcal{W}^* of π^* . By [12, § 5, No. 1], $W(\phi_{\varphi, g})$ is of the form

$$(3.6) \quad W(\phi_{\varphi, g}) = (\dim \mathfrak{d})^{-1} \varphi(g) (\otimes W_v),$$

$$W_v(s) = |\det s|_{\mathcal{Q}_v} \int_{\mathcal{V}_v^1} \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_v}(g_1 h) r_v(s_1) M_v(g_1 h) dg_1$$

for $s \in GL_2(\mathcal{Q}_v)$ with $\det s \in n(\mathcal{K}_v^\times)$, and

$$W_v(s) = 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Here we write $s = \begin{pmatrix} \det s & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} s_1$, $\det s = n(h)$, $h \in \mathcal{K}_\lambda^\times$ as before, and denote by $\omega_{\mathfrak{d}_v}$ the spherical function of π_v of type \mathfrak{d}_v . It follows that $W(f_{\varphi, g}) = (\dim \mathfrak{d})^{-1} \varphi(g) (\otimes W_v')$, $W_v' = W_v$ for $v \in S$ or $v = \infty$, and

$$W_v'(s) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{Z}_v^\times / (\mathcal{Z}_v^\times)^2} W_v \left(s \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

for $v \in S$, $v \neq \infty$. Hence, in order to prove the theorem, it is enough to show that $W_v' \in (\mathcal{W}_v^*)^0$ for all v . If $v \in S$ or $v = \infty$, this has been done in No. 4 or No. 11, respectively, of [12, § 5]; so we assume $v \in S$, $v \neq \infty$ and write $v = p$.

To every $W \in \mathcal{W}_p^*$ we associate a function $W\left(\begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ of ξ in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times . By [8, Th. 2.14], $W \rightarrow W\left(\begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ gives an isomorphism from \mathcal{W}_p^* onto the Kirillov space of π_p^* . It follows from Corollary of Proposition 1 that $W_p' \in (\mathcal{W}_p^*)^0$ if and only if $W_p'\left(\begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ is a constant multiple of the characteristic function of \mathbf{Z}_p^\times . So the proof is complete if we show that $W_p\left(\begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ is a constant multiple of the characteristic function of $(\mathbf{Z}_p^\times)^2$. We have

$$W_p\left(\begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = |\xi|_p \int_{\mathcal{X}_p^1} \omega_{\mathfrak{b}_p}(g_1 h) M_p(g_1 h) dg_1$$

with $\xi = n(h)$, $h \in \mathcal{X}_p^\times$. By the definition of M_p , $W_p\left(\begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0$ if $\xi \notin (\mathbf{Z}_p^\times)^2$. If $\xi \in (\mathbf{Z}_p^\times)^2$, we may assume that $h \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$; then $\omega_{\mathfrak{b}_p}(g_1 h) = \eta_p(h) \omega_{\mathfrak{b}_p}(g_1)$, and $M_p(g_1 h) = \eta_p(h^{-1}) M_p(g_1)$. Hence $W_p\left(\begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ is independent of ξ (it is also easy to see that $W_p\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1$), q. e. d.

3. If \mathcal{C} runs through all the irreducible subspaces (in a direct sum decomposition of $\mathcal{A}_0(\eta, \mathcal{K}_A^\times)$) such that the representation of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}_A^\times)$ in \mathcal{C} satisfies (3.1) and if \mathfrak{b} runs through all the irreducible representations satisfying (3.2), then the direct sum of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{b})$ is the space H . On the other hand, $f_{\varphi, g}$ is linear in φ so that for every $\varphi \in H$, $f_{\varphi, g}$ is contained in the space H^* of all f in $\mathcal{A}_0(\eta, GL_2(A))$ with the following properties.

1) By the action of $\bigotimes_{v \in S} \mathcal{A}(GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_v))$, f transforms according to $\bigotimes_{v \in S} \sigma_v^*$.

2)
$$f\left(s \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}\right) = e^{i(n+2)\theta} f(s).$$

3) f is right $\prod_{p \in S} GL_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ -invariant.

4) $f\left(s \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}\right) = \eta_p(\delta) f(s)$ for $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$, $\gamma \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{t_p}}$,

where p^{t_p} is the level of σ_p^* .

Every $f \in H^*$ can be regarded as a function on the upper half plane in the usual way. Namely, for $s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{R})$ and $z = b + a^2 i$, we put

$$F_f(z) = a^{-(n+2)} f(s).$$

Set $N = \prod_{p \in S} p^{t_p}$, $\eta(\alpha) = \prod_{p \in S} \eta_p(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

Let $S(n+2, \eta^{-1}, \Gamma_0(N))$ be the space of holomorphic cusp forms F satisfying

$$F\left(\frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta}\right) = F(z)(\gamma z + \delta)^{n+2}\eta^{-1}(\delta)$$

for $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$. It is well known that the image of H^* by $f \rightarrow F_f$ is just the essential part of $S(n+2, \eta^{-1}, \Gamma_0(N))$.

4. In the rest of this paragraph we shall discuss some sufficient conditions under which we get $F_f \neq 0$ for $f = f_{\varphi, g}$. In the notation in No. 1 of this paragraph, suppose that

vi)
$$\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{Q}^\times} \chi_{\sigma_S}(\delta) \neq 0$$

with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1$, and that

vii) for every $p \in S$, there exists a $\delta \in \mathcal{A}$ with $n(\delta) = p$.

Define a function φ on \mathcal{K}_A^* as follows: $\varphi(g) = 0$ if $g \in \mathcal{K}^\times \mathfrak{D}_{A(S)}^*$.

$$\varphi(g) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{Q}^\times} \chi_{\sigma_S}(\delta h_S)$$

if $g = \gamma h$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}^\times$, $h \in \mathfrak{D}_{A(S)}^*$, where h_S denotes the S -component of h . Then we have $\varphi \in H$ and $\varphi(1) \neq 0$ by vi).

Write $F = F_f$ for $f = f_{\varphi, g}$, $g = 1$. We are going to show that, up to a constant factor depending only on σ_S , we have

$$(3.7) \quad F(z) = \sum_{\xi} \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{Q}^\times} \chi_{\sigma_S}(\delta \xi^{-1}) n(\xi)^{n/2} e^{2\pi i n(\xi)z},$$

ξ running over all elements in \mathfrak{D} such that $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}_p^*$ for $p \in S$.

LEMMA 3. *The assumption vii) implies that*

$$\mathcal{K}_A^1 \cap \mathcal{K}_Q^* \mathfrak{D}_{A(S)}^* = \mathcal{K}_Q^1 (\mathcal{K}_A^1 \cap \mathfrak{D}_{A(S)}^*).$$

PROOF. Put $\mathbf{Z}(S) = \bigcap_{p \in S} (\mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_p)$ and denote by $\mathbf{Z}(S)_+$ the group of all positive units in $\mathbf{Z}(S)$. vii) is the same as to say that $n(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{Z}(S)_+$. Let $g = \gamma k$ be any element in $\mathcal{K}_A^1 \cap \mathcal{K}_Q^* \mathfrak{D}_{A(S)}^*$ ($\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_Q^*$, $k \in \mathfrak{D}_{A(S)}^*$). Then $n(\gamma) = n(k)^{-1} \in \mathbf{Z}(S)_+$. If δ is an element in \mathcal{A} such that $n(\delta) = n(\gamma)$, then g can be written as $g = \gamma \delta^{-1} \delta k$ with $\gamma \delta^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}_Q^1$, $\delta k \in \mathcal{K}_A^1 \cap \mathfrak{D}_{A(S)}^*$, q. e. d.

Let $s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{R})$. By (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$f_{\varphi, 1}(s) = \sum_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{K}_Q^1 \backslash \mathcal{K}_A^1} \varphi(g_1 h_\varepsilon) \left[\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{K}_Q} r(s) M(\xi g_1 h_\varepsilon) \right] dg_1,$$

where h_ε is an element in \mathcal{K}_A^* with $n(h_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$. By Lemma 3 we have $\mathcal{K}_Q^1 \backslash (\mathcal{K}_A^1 \cap \mathcal{K}_Q^* \mathfrak{D}_{A(S)}^*) = E \backslash (\mathcal{K}_A^1 \cap \mathfrak{D}_{A(S)}^*)$ if $E = \mathcal{K}_Q^1 \cap \mathfrak{D}_{A(S)}^*$. Note that E is a finite group. Put $\mathcal{K}_S^1 = \prod_{v \in S} \mathcal{K}_v^1$. Since φ and M are invariant under the right translations by elements in $\prod_{v \in S} K_v^1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\varphi,1}(s) &= \frac{1}{[E:1]} \sum_{\epsilon} \int_{x_S^1} \varphi(kh_{\epsilon}) \left[\sum_{\xi} r(s) M(\xi kh_{\epsilon}) \right] dk \\ &= \frac{1}{[E:1]} \sum_{\epsilon} \sum_{\xi} \sum_{\delta \in d/a' \cap \mathcal{Q}^{\times}} \int_{x_S^1} \chi_{\sigma_S}(\delta kh_{\epsilon}) r(s) M(\xi kh_{\epsilon}) dk. \end{aligned}$$

If $p \in S$, then $\sigma_p | \mathcal{K}_p^1$ is the sum of inequivalent irreducible representations of the same dimension d_p (Proposition 4). It follows at once that

$$\int_{x_p^1} \chi_{\sigma_p}(gk) \chi_{\sigma_p}(k^{-1}) dk = d_p^{-1} \chi_{\sigma_p}(g)$$

for $g \in \mathcal{K}_p^{\times}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\epsilon} \int_{x_p^1} \chi_{\sigma_p}(\delta kh_{\epsilon}) M_p(\xi kh_{\epsilon}) dk \\ &= \begin{cases} d_p^{-1} \chi_{\sigma_p}(\delta \xi^{-1}) & \text{if } \xi \in \mathfrak{D}_p^{\times}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$r_{\infty}(s) M_{\infty}(x) = a^{n+2} n(x)^{n/2} \chi_{\sigma_{\infty}}(x^{-1}) e^{2\pi i n(x)z}$$

for $x \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}^{\times}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{x_{\infty}^1} \chi_{\sigma_{\infty}}(\delta k) r_{\infty}(s) M_{\infty}(\xi k) dk \\ &= (n+1)^{-1} a^{n+2} n(\xi)^{n/2} e^{2\pi i n(\xi)z} \chi_{\sigma_{\infty}}(\delta \xi^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.7). It must be noted that the first Fourier coefficient of F is not 0 in virtue of vi).

REMARK. A similar kind of new forms, also associated with a definite quaternion algebra over \mathbf{Q} , is introduced in Pizer [9]. It must be connected with ours. For the present we can not make it clear what exact relation holds between them.

5. We discuss a special case where $S = \{p, \infty\}$ and $n=0$. To examine the conditions we have been assuming, the expression of \mathcal{K} and \mathfrak{D} given in Ibukiyama [7] is convenient. We quote it here limiting ourselves to the definite quaternion algebras of discriminant p .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(I)} \quad \mathcal{K} &= \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}a + \mathbf{Q}b + \mathbf{Q}ab, \quad a^2 = -p, \quad b^2 = -q, \quad ab = -ba \\ \mathfrak{D} &= \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}(1+b)/2 + \mathbf{Z}a(1+b)/2 + \mathbf{Z}(r+a)b/q. \end{aligned}$$

Here q is a prime number such that $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, $(-q/p) = -1$ and r is an integer such that $r^2 \equiv -p \pmod{q}$.

(II) If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}a + \mathbf{Q}b + \mathbf{Q}ab, \quad a^2 = -p, \quad b^2 = -1, \quad ab = -ba$$

$$\mathfrak{D} = \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}b + \mathbf{Z}(1+a)/2 + \mathbf{Z}(1+a)b/2.$$

(III) If $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$,

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}a + \mathbf{Q}b + \mathbf{Q}ab, \quad a^2 = -p, \quad b^2 = -2, \quad ab = -ba$$

$$\mathfrak{D} = \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}b + \mathbf{Z}(1+a)/2 + \mathbf{Z}(1+a)b/4.$$

(The case (III) is not stated in [7], but can be obtained in the same way.)

For small values of p , all the types (isomorphism classes) of orders in \mathcal{K} are found among (I), (II), (III). For instance, the followings are the representatives. $p=3$, $\mathfrak{D}_1=(\text{II})$; $p=5$, $\mathfrak{D}_1=(\text{I})$ with $q=3$ and $r=1$; $p=7$, $\mathfrak{D}_1=(\text{II})$; $p=11$, $\mathfrak{D}_1=(\text{I})$ with $q=3$ and $r=1$, $\mathfrak{D}_2=(\text{II})$; $p=13$, $\mathfrak{D}_1=(\text{I})$ with $q=7$ and $r=1$; $p=17$, $\mathfrak{D}_1=(\text{I})$ with $q=3$ and $r=1$, $\mathfrak{D}_2=(\text{I})$ with $q=11$ and $r=4$; $p=19$, $\mathfrak{D}_1=(\text{I})$ with $q=11$ and $r=5$, $\mathfrak{D}_2=(\text{II})$; $p=23$, $\mathfrak{D}_1=(\text{I})$ with $q=3$ and $r=1$, $\mathfrak{D}_2=(\text{II})$, $\mathfrak{D}_3=(\text{III})$; $p=29$, $\mathfrak{D}_1=(\text{I})$ with $q=3$ and $r=1$, $\mathfrak{D}_2=(\text{I})$ with $q=11$ and $r=2$, $\mathfrak{D}_3=(\text{I})$ with $q=19$ and $r=3$.

If \mathfrak{D} is as given in (I), (II), (III), then $a \in \mathfrak{D}$ so that the condition vii) is satisfied. Then we have $\Delta/\Delta \cap \mathbf{Q}^\times = \mathfrak{D}^\times / \{\pm 1\} \cup a\mathfrak{D}^\times / \{\pm 1\}$. If $p=3$,

$$\mathfrak{D}^\times / \{\pm 1\} = \{1, b, (1 \pm a)/2, (1 \pm a)b/2\}.$$

If $p > 3$, the number of units in \mathfrak{D} is 6, 4 or 2. An order with 6 units exists if and only if $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$; the type of such orders is unique and is represented by $\mathfrak{D}=(\text{I})$ with $q=3$ (cf. Eichler [3]). We have

$$\mathfrak{D}^\times / \{\pm 1\} = \{1, (1 \pm b)/2\}.$$

An order with 4 units exists if and only if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$; the type of such orders is unique and is represented by $\mathfrak{D}=(\text{II})$ (ibid.). We have

$$\mathfrak{D}^\times / \{\pm 1\} = \{1, b\}.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathcal{K} be a definite quaternion algebra over \mathbf{Q} of odd prime discriminant p and \mathfrak{D} one of the orders given in (I), (II), (III). Let E be a quadratic extension of \mathbf{Q}_p and Ω a character of E^\times such that $\Omega|E^1 \neq 1$. Let p^l be the level of Ω^* and let η, l be the same as in Proposition 2. Assume that $\eta(\pm p) = 1$, $l \geq 1$ if E is ramified, and $\Omega|E^1$ is not of order 2 if E is unramified. In each of the following cases, the function F defined by (3.7) with $\sigma_p = \Omega^*$ and $\sigma_\infty = 1$ is a non-zero element in the essential part of $S(2, \eta^{-1}, \Gamma_0(p^l))$.*

1) $\mathfrak{D}^\times = \{\pm 1\}$.

2) $p=3$, $\mathfrak{D}=(\text{II})$.

2a) $E = \mathbf{Q}_3(\sqrt{-3})$. $l \geq 1$ (the following two cases are excepted: $l=2$, $\Omega((-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2) = 1$, $i(\Omega)\Omega(\sqrt{-3}) = 1$; $l=1$, $\Omega((-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2) \neq 1$, $i(\Omega)\Omega(\sqrt{-3}) = 1$).

2b) $E = \mathbf{Q}_3(\sqrt{3})$. $l > 1$.

- 2c) $E = \mathbf{Q}_3(\sqrt{-1})$. $l \geq 1$.
 3) $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $p > 3$. $\mathfrak{D} = (\text{II})$.
 3a) E is ramified.
 3b) $E = \mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{-1})$. $l > 1$; or $l = 1$ and $\Omega(\sqrt{-1}) = 1$.
 4) $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. $\mathfrak{D} = (\text{I})$ with $q = 3$.
 4a) $E = \mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{-p})$. $l \geq 1$ and $p > 5$; or $l > 1$ and $p \geq 5$.
 4b) $E = \mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{-p\varepsilon_0})$ with a non-square unit ε_0 in \mathbf{Z}_p .
 4c) $E = \mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{-3})$. $l > 1$; or $l = 1$ and $\Omega((-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2) = 1$.

PROOF. The theorem can be proved simply by checking vi) case by case. For example, if $\mathfrak{D}^* = \{\pm 1\}$ and $E = \mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{-p})$, then, by Proposition 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta \in \mathfrak{d}^* \cap \mathbf{Q}^*} \chi_{\sigma_p}(\delta) &= \chi_{\sigma_p}(1) + \chi_{\sigma_p}(a) \\ &= (1+p)p^{l-1} - 2i(\Omega)\Omega(\sqrt{-p}) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $|i(\Omega)| = 1$.

REMARK. 1) Replacing Ω by $\Omega(\mu \circ n)$ for a character μ of \mathbf{Q}_p^* , we may assume that $t = 2l + 1$ if E is ramified and $t = 2l$ if E is unramified. 2) The theorem at the end of [13] is not correct; we have to exclude the case stated in Proposition 4 of the present paper.

References

- [1] Atkin, A.O.L. and J. Lehner, Hecke operators on $\Gamma_0(m)$, *Math. Ann.*, **185** (1970), 134-160.
 [2] Deligne, P., *Formes modulaires et représentations de $GL(2)$* , *Modular Functions of One Variable II*, Lecture note in Math. No. 349, Springer, 1973.
 [3] Eichler, M., Über die Idealklassenzahl total definitiver Quaternionenalgebren, *Math. Z.*, **43** (1938), 102-109.
 [4] Eichler, M., Quadratische Formen und Modulfunktionen, *Acta Arith.*, **4** (1958), 217-239.
 [5] Gelfand, I.M. and M.I. Graev, Representations of quaternion groups over locally compact and functional fields, *Functional Analysis and its applications*, **2** (1968), 20-35.
 [6] Hecke, E., *Analytische Arithmetik der positiven quadratischen Formen*, *Math. Werke*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1959, pp. 789-918.
 [7] Ibukiyama, T., A basis and maximal orders in quaternion algebras over the rational number field (Japanese), *Sugaku*, **24** (1972), 316-318.
 [8] Jacquet, H. and R.P. Langlands, *Automorphic Forms on $GL(2)$* , Lecture note in Math. No. 114, Springer, 1970.
 [9] Pizer, A., Representing modular forms by generalized theta series, *U.S.-Japan Seminar on Applications of Automorphic Forms to Number Theory*, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1975.
 [10] Sally, P.J. and J.A. Shalika, Characters of the discrete series of representations

- of $SL(2)$ over a local field, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **61** (1968), 1231-1237.
- [11] Schöneberg, A., Das Verhalten von mehrfachen Thetareihen bei Modulsubstitutionen, Math. Ann., **116** (1939), 511-523.
- [12] Shimizu, H., Theta series and automorphic forms on GL_2 , J. Math. Soc. Japan, **24** (1972), 638-683.
- [13] Shimizu, H., Some examples of new forms, U.S.-Japan Seminar on Applications of Automorphic Forms to Number Theory, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1975.

(Received June 30, 1976)

Department of Mathematics
College of General Education
University of Tokyo
Komaba, Tokyo
153 Japan