

A p -adic analogue of the Γ -function*

By Yasuo MORITA

In this paper, we shall construct a p -adic analogue of the classical Γ -function.

In §1, we shall construct a p -adic analogue of $(-1)^s \Gamma(z)$ as a continuous function on \mathbf{Z}_p with values in \mathbf{Q}_p . In §2, we shall obtain an interesting theorem, using the results of Kubota-Leopoldt [3]. In §3, we shall prove that the p -adic analogue of $(-1)^s \Gamma(z)$ is analytic.

The author would like to express his deep gratitude to Professor M. Sato, whose philosophy had a very strong influence on the author when he was studying this topic, and to Professor K. Iwasawa, who pointed out to the author the importance of this problem.

Notation. Let $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Z}_p, \mathbf{Q}_p$ be the ring of rational integers, the field of rational numbers, the ring of p -adic integers, and the field of p -adic numbers, respectively. For any ring R , we denote by R^\times the multiplicative group of all units in R . For any two integers a and b , we denote by (a, b) the greatest common divisor of a and b .

§1. $(-1)^s \Gamma_p(z)$ as a continuous function

Let p be a prime number, n a natural number. We define a function $\Gamma_p(n)$ on the set N of all natural numbers by

$$\Gamma_p(n) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq n-1 \\ (t, p) = 1}} t.$$

It is obvious that values of $\Gamma_p(n)$ belong to the group \mathbf{Z}_p^\times of p -adic units.

Let α, v be natural numbers. We assume $\alpha \neq 2$ if $p = 2$. Put

$$I = \Gamma_p(n + p^\alpha v) / \Gamma_p(n).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \prod_{\substack{n \leq t \leq n + p^\alpha v - 1 \\ (t, p) = 1}} t \\ &\equiv \left(\prod_{\substack{0 \leq t \leq p^\alpha - 1 \\ (t, p) = 1}} t \right)^v \pmod{p^\alpha} \\ &\equiv \left(\prod_{t \in (\mathbf{Z}/p^\alpha \mathbf{Z})^\times} t \right)^v \pmod{p^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

* This work was supported in part by National Science Foundation grant GP-36418X1.

Now we consider the following map:

$$\iota : (\mathbf{Z}/p^\alpha \mathbf{Z})^\times \ni g \mapsto g^{-1} \in (\mathbf{Z}/p^\alpha \mathbf{Z})^\times .$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\equiv \left(\prod_{\substack{t \in (\mathbf{Z}/p^\alpha \mathbf{Z})^\times \\ \iota(t) = t}} t \right)^v \pmod{p^\alpha} \\ &\equiv \left(\prod_{\substack{t \in (\mathbf{Z}/p^\alpha \mathbf{Z})^\times \\ t^2 = 1}} t \right)^v \pmod{p^\alpha} . \end{aligned}$$

If p is an odd prime number, $(\mathbf{Z}/p^\alpha \mathbf{Z})^\times$ is a cyclic group. Hence 1 and -1 are the only elements t of $(\mathbf{Z}/p^\alpha \mathbf{Z})^\times$ such that $t^2 = 1$. On the other hand, if $p = 2$,

$$(\mathbf{Z}/p^\alpha \mathbf{Z})^\times \cong (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}) \times (\mathbf{Z}/2^{\alpha-2} \mathbf{Z}) .$$

Hence the product of all elements t of $(\mathbf{Z}/2^\alpha \mathbf{Z})^\times$ satisfying $t^2 = 1$ is 1. Therefore we have proved the following

LEMMA 1. *Let n, α, v be as above. Then we have*

$$\Gamma_p(n + p^\alpha \cdot v) / \Gamma_p(n) \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^v & \text{if } p \neq 2 , \\ 1 & \text{if } p = 2 . \end{cases} \pmod{p^\alpha}$$

Since the right hand side of the above equality is obviously equal to $(-1)^{p^\alpha \cdot v}$, this lemma implies the following

THEOREM 1. *Let $p, \Gamma_p(n), \alpha$ be as before. Let n_1, n_2 be two natural numbers satisfying $n_1 \equiv n_2 \pmod{p^\alpha}$. Then we have*

$$(-1)^{n_1} \Gamma_p(n_1) \equiv (-1)^{n_2} \Gamma_p(n_2) \pmod{p^\alpha} .$$

In particular, $(-1)^n \Gamma_p(n)$ can be extended to a continuous function from \mathbf{Z}_p to \mathbf{Z}_p .

REMARK. Since $\Gamma_p(n)$ satisfies

$$(-1)^{n+1} \Gamma_p(n+1) \equiv \begin{cases} -n(-1)^n \Gamma_p(n) & \text{if } n \notin p\mathbf{Z}_p \\ -(-1)^n \Gamma_p(n) & \text{if } n \in p\mathbf{Z}_p \end{cases}$$

for any natural number n , this functional equation holds for any $n \in \mathbf{Z}_p$. In particular,

$$\Gamma_p(-n) = \prod_{\substack{-n \leq t \leq 0 \\ (t, p) = 1}} t^{-1}$$

for any negative integer $-n$.

§2. An application of the results of Kubota-Leopoldt

Let p be a prime number, C_p the completion of the algebraic closure of \mathbf{Q}_p .

Put $q=4$, if $p=2$, and $q=p$ otherwise. Let $|\cdot|$ be the valuation of C_p such that $|p|=p^{-1}$. We denote by \mathcal{S} the ring consisting of all power series $A(u)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(u-1)^n$ ($a_n \in \mathbf{Q}_p$) that converge on the set $U=\{u \in C_p \mid |u-1| \leq |q|\}$.

For any $A(u)$ of \mathcal{S} , we define $\|A\|$ by

$$\|A\| = \max_n |a_n q^n|.$$

Then it is known that $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm of \mathcal{S} and $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies

(i)
$$\left| \frac{1}{k!} A^{(k)}(u) q^k \right| \leq \|A\|$$

and

(ii)
$$\left| \frac{q^k}{k!} \|A^{(k)}\| \leq \|A\|,$$

where k is a non-negative integer, u is an element of U , and $A^{(k)}$ is the k -th derivative of A (cf. Kubota-Leopoldt [3]).

If $p \neq 2$, we define $\omega(x)$ ($x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$) by

$$\omega(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{p^n}.$$

Then $\omega(x)$ induces a Dirichlet character modulo p . If $p=2$, let $\omega(x)$ be the quadratic character with conductor 4 such that $\omega(x) \equiv x \pmod{4}$. For any p -adic unit x (p is any prime number), we define $\langle x \rangle$ by $x = \omega(x) \cdot \langle x \rangle$. Then $\langle \cdot \rangle$ induces a map from \mathbf{Z}_p^\times to U .

Let χ be a Dirichlet character, f the conductor of χ . Let \bar{f} be the smallest common multiple of f and q . Further, for any subset I of \mathbf{Z} , $\sum_{x \in I}^*$ denotes the sum over all x such that x is an element of I prime to p . For any natural number n , we define a linear operator \mathfrak{M}_χ^n on \mathcal{S} with values in C_p by

$$\mathfrak{M}_\chi^n(A) = \frac{1}{\bar{f} q^n} \sum_{x=1}^{\bar{f} q^n} \chi(x) A(\langle x \rangle) \quad (A \in \mathcal{S}).$$

Then Kubota-Leopoldt proved that (i) for any $A \in \mathcal{S}$, the sequence $\{\mathfrak{M}_\chi^n(A)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has a limit $\mathfrak{M}_\chi(A)$ in C_p ; (ii) there exists a constant C_χ depending only on χ satisfying

$$|\mathfrak{M}_\chi^n(A)| \leq C_\chi \|A\|$$

and

$$|\mathfrak{M}_\chi^{n+1}(A) - \mathfrak{M}_\chi^n(A)| \leq C_\chi \|A\| |\bar{f} q^{n-1}|.$$

In particular, $|\mathfrak{M}_\chi(A)| \leq C_\chi \|A\|$ and hence \mathfrak{M}_χ is a bounded linear operator on \mathcal{S} with values in C_p .

Let z be an element of $q\mathcal{Z}_p$. For any natural number n and for any element A of \mathcal{F} , put

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\chi, s}^n(A) = \frac{1}{\bar{f}q^n} \sum_{x=1}^{\bar{f}q^n} \chi(x) A(\langle x+z \rangle).$$

By the Taylor expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(\langle x+z \rangle) &= A(\langle x \rangle) + \omega^{-1}(x)z \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{m!} A^{(m)}(\langle x \rangle) \omega^{-m}(x) z^m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_{\chi, s}^n(A) &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{f}q^n} \sum_{x=1}^{\bar{f}q^n} \chi \omega^{-m}(x) A^{(m)}(\langle x \rangle) \right\} \frac{z^m}{m!} \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \mathfrak{M}_{\chi \omega^{-m}}^n(A^{(m)}) \frac{z^m}{m!}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega(x)$ is a Dirichlet character, some power of ω is the trivial character. Hence the quantity

$$C_{\chi}^* = \max_{0 \leq m < \infty} C_{\chi \omega^{-m}} < \infty$$

is defined. Hereafter we assume that $\sum_{m \geq 0} \left\| \frac{q^m}{m!} A^{(m)}(u) \right\|$ is finite. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 0} \left\| \frac{q^m}{m!} A^{(m)}(u) \right\| &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \left\| \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{k+m}{k} a_{k+m} (u-1)^k q^m \right\| \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \max_k \left| \binom{k+m}{k} a_{k+m} q^{k+m} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{m \geq 0} \max_k |a_{k+m} q^{k+m}|, \end{aligned}$$

this condition is satisfied if $A(u)$ is convergent for $|u-1| \leq |q|^{1-\varepsilon}$ with a positive number ε . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{M}_{\chi, s}^{n+1}(A) - \mathfrak{M}_{\chi, s}^n(A)| &\leq \max_{m \geq 0} |\mathfrak{M}_{\chi \omega^{-m}}^{n+1}(A^{(m)}) - \mathfrak{M}_{\chi \omega^{-m}}^n(A^{(m)})| \left| \frac{z^m}{m!} \right| \\ &\leq \max_{m \geq 0} C_{\chi}^* \|A^{(m)}\| |\bar{f}q^{n-1}| \left| \frac{q^m}{m!} \right| \\ &\leq C_{\chi}^* \|A\| |\bar{f}q^{n-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the limit

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\chi, s}(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{M}_{\chi, s}^n(A)$$

exists. Furthermore, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 0} \left| \mathfrak{M}_{\chi \omega^{-m}}^n(A^{(m)}) \frac{z^m}{m!} \right| &\leq \sum_{m \geq 0} C_{\chi}^* \|A^{(m)}\| \left| \frac{q^m}{m!} \right| \\ &= C_{\chi}^* \sum_{m \geq 0} \left\| \frac{q^m}{m!} A^{(m)} \right\| < \infty \end{aligned}$$

for any natural number n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_{\chi, z}(A) &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{M}_{\chi \omega^{-m}}^n(A^{(m)}) \frac{z^m}{m!} \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \mathfrak{M}_{\chi \omega^{-m}}(A^{(m)}) \frac{z^m}{m!}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can define an analytic function $F_{A, z}$ from $q\mathbb{Z}_p$ to C_p by

$$F_{A, z}(z) = \mathfrak{M}_{\chi, z}(A) - \mathfrak{M}_{\chi}(A).$$

Now we assume that z is an integer satisfying $z \equiv 0 \pmod{\bar{f}}$. Then we have

$$\chi(x+z) = \chi(z).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A, z}(z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\bar{f}q^n} \sum_{x=1}^{\bar{f}q^n} \chi(x) A(\langle x+z \rangle) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\bar{f}q^n} \sum_{x=1}^{\bar{f}q^n} \chi(x) A(\langle x \rangle) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\bar{f}q^n} \sum_{x=1}^{\bar{f}q^n} \{ \chi(x+z) A(\langle x+z \rangle) - \chi(x) A(\langle x \rangle) \} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\bar{f}q^n} \sum_{x=0}^{\bar{f}q^n} \{ \chi(x + \bar{f}q^n) A(\langle x + \bar{f}q^n \rangle) - \chi(x) A(\langle x \rangle) \} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{\bar{f}q^n} \chi(x) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\bar{f}q^n} \{ A(\langle x + \bar{f}q^n \rangle) - A(\langle x \rangle) \} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{\bar{f}q^n} \chi(x) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\bar{f}q^n} \{ A(\langle x \rangle + \omega^{-1}(x)\bar{f}q^n) - A(\langle x \rangle) \} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{\bar{f}q^n} \chi \omega^{-1}(x) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \{ A(\langle x \rangle + h) - A(\langle x \rangle) \} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{\bar{f}q^n} \chi \omega^{-1}(x) A^{(1)}(\langle x \rangle); \end{aligned}$$

here $\sum_{x=0}^{\bar{f}q^n}$ should be replaced by $-\sum_{x=z}^0$ if z is a negative integer. Therefore we have obtained the following

THEOREM 2. *Let $p, C_p, q, \omega, \chi, \bar{f}, \sum^*$ be as before. Let $A(u)$ be an analytic function $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(u-1)^n$ ($a_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p$) that is convergent on $U_1 = \{u \in C_p \mid |u-1| \leq |q|^{1-p}\}$*

for a positive number ε . Then

$$F_{A,\chi}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f\bar{q}^n} \sum_{x=1}^{\bar{f}q^n} \chi(x) A(\langle x+z \rangle) \\ - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f\bar{q}^n} \sum_{x=1}^{\bar{f}q^n} \chi(x) A(\langle x \rangle),$$

determines a well-defined analytic function from $q\mathbf{Z}_p$ to C_p . Furthermore, if z is an integer satisfying $z \equiv 0 \pmod{\bar{f}}$, we have

$$F_{A,\chi}(z) = \begin{cases} \sum_{x=0}^z \chi \omega^{-1}(x) A^{(1)}(\langle x \rangle) & \text{if } z \geq 0, \\ - \sum_{x=z}^0 \chi \omega^{-1}(x) A^{(1)}(\langle x \rangle) & \text{if } z < 0. \end{cases}$$

REMARK. It should be noted that, if $A(u) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n (u-1)^n \in \mathcal{S}$ is convergent for $|u-1| < \rho$, then

$$F_{A,\chi}(z) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \mathfrak{M}_{\chi \omega^{-m}}(A^{(m)}) \frac{z^m}{m!}$$

is convergent for $|z| < \rho$.

REMARK. If $|z| < |q|$, the linear functional $\mathfrak{M}_{\chi, z} : A(u) \mapsto \mathfrak{M}_{\chi, z}(A)$ is well-defined and continuous on \mathcal{S} .

§ 3. $(-1)^s \Gamma_p(z)$ as an analytic function

Let p be a prime number. Put

$$A(u) = u(\log u - 1), \\ \log u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} (u-1)^n.$$

Then $A(u)$ is an element of \mathcal{S} , and

$$A^{(1)}(u) = \log u.$$

Let ω be the Dirichlet character modulo q that was defined in §2. It follows from Theorem 2 that $F_{A,\omega}(z)$ is an analytic function from $q\mathbf{Z}_p$ to \mathbf{Q}_p . Moreover, if z is a non-negative integer (resp. a negative integer), we have

$$F_{A,\omega}(z) = \sum_{x=0}^z \log \langle x \rangle \\ (\text{resp. } F_{A,\omega}(z) = - \sum_{x=z}^0 \log \langle x \rangle).$$

Let $(-1)^s \Gamma_p(z)$ be the continuous function from \mathbf{Z}_p to \mathbf{Q}_p that was defined in §1. Then the preceding facts imply that $\log \langle (-1)^{s+1} \Gamma_p(z+1) \rangle$ is an analytic function from $q\mathbf{Z}_p$ to C_p . Since $(-1)^{s+1} \Gamma_p(z+1)$ ($z \in 2q\mathbf{Z}_p$) belongs to $U' = \{u \in \mathbf{Z}_p \mid |u-1| \leq |2q|\}$ (cf. Theorem 1), and since $\exp(u) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^m}{m!}$ satisfies

$$\exp(\log u) = u$$

for any $u \in U'$, we see that

$$(-1)^{s+1} \Gamma_p(z+1) = \exp[\log \{(-1)^{s+1} \Gamma_p(z+1)\}]$$

is an analytic function on $2q\mathbf{Z}_p$ with values in \mathbf{Q}_p . Therefore we have proved the following

THEOREM 3. *Let p be a prime number, $(-1)^s \Gamma_p(z)$ the function defined in §1. Put $q=p$, if $p \neq 2$, and $q=4$ otherwise. Then $(-1)^{s+1} \Gamma_p(z+1)$ is an analytic function from $2q\mathbf{Z}_p$ to \mathbf{Q}_p . Namely, $(-1)^{s+1} \Gamma_p(z+1)$ can be expanded as a convergent power series $\sum_{n \geq 0} \gamma_n (z-z_0)^n$ ($\gamma_n \in \mathbf{Q}_p$) for every $z_0 \in 2q\mathbf{Z}_p$.*

REMARK. It follows from the calculations in §2 that

$$\log \langle \Gamma_p(z+1) \rangle = \mathfrak{M}_1(\log u)z + \sum_{m \geq 2} \mathfrak{M}_{\omega^1-m}((-1)^m (m-2)! u^{-m+1}) \frac{z^m}{m!}.$$

We note that

- (i) $\log \langle \Gamma_p(1) \rangle = 0;$
- (ii) $-\left[\frac{d}{dz} \log \langle \Gamma_p(z+1) \rangle \right]_{z=0} = -\mathfrak{M}_1(\log u),$

which is a *p*-adic analogue of the Euler number;

- (iii) $\left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 \log \langle \Gamma_p(z+1) \rangle = \mathfrak{M}_{\omega^{-1},s}(u^{-1}),$

which is a *p*-adic analogue of $\left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 \log \Gamma(z+1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+z)^2}$ (cf. Appendix);

(iv) the above Taylor expansion of $\log \langle \Gamma_p(z+1) \rangle$ is convergent for any $z \in C_p$ such that $|z| < 1$.

REMARK. We can prove that $(-1)^{s+1} \Gamma_p(z+1)$ gives a uniform analytic function on $\{z \in C_p \mid |z| \leq |2q|\}$ (cf. Krasner [2]).

§4. Further discussions of analytic properties

Let p, q, A etc. be as in Theorem 2. Let \bar{f} be a natural number divisible by q, ν an element of $\mathbf{Z}/\bar{f}\mathbf{Z}$ such that $\nu \pmod{p} \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times$. For any natural

number n , put

$$\mathfrak{R}_\nu^n(A) = \frac{1}{\bar{f}q^n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq \bar{f}q^n \\ x \equiv \nu \pmod{\bar{f}}} } A(\langle x \rangle).$$

Then, following step-by-step the proof of Satz 1 of Kubota-Leopoldt [3], we can prove that (i) the sequence $\{\mathfrak{R}_\nu^n(A)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has a limit $\mathfrak{R}_\nu(A)$ in \mathbf{Q}_p ; (ii) there exists a constant C_ν depending only on (f) and ν satisfying

$$|\mathfrak{R}_\nu^n(A)| \leq C_\nu \|A\|$$

and

$$|\mathfrak{R}_\nu^{n+1}(A) - \mathfrak{R}_\nu^n(A)| \leq C_\nu \|A\| |\bar{f}q^{n-1}|.$$

Therefore, as in §2, we can prove that (i)

$$\mathfrak{R}_{s,\nu}(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\bar{f}q^n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq \bar{f}q^n \\ x \equiv \nu \pmod{\bar{f}}} } A(\langle x+z \rangle)$$

is a well-defined analytic function on $q\mathbf{Z}_p$ with values in C_p ; (ii)

$$\mathfrak{R}_{s,\nu}(A) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \omega^{-m}(\nu) \mathfrak{R}_\nu(A^{(m)}) \frac{z^m}{m!};$$

(iii) if z is an integer satisfying $z \equiv 0 \pmod{\bar{f}}$, then

$$\mathfrak{R}_{s,\nu}(A) - \mathfrak{R}_\nu(A) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq z \\ x \equiv \nu \pmod{\bar{f}}}} \omega^{-1}(\nu) A^{(1)}(\langle x \rangle) & \text{if } z \geq 0 \\ - \sum_{\substack{z \leq x \leq 0 \\ x \equiv \nu \pmod{\bar{f}}}} \omega^{-1}(\nu) A^{(1)}(\langle x \rangle) & \text{if } z < 0; \end{cases}$$

(iv) if χ is a Dirichlet character modulo \bar{f} , then

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\chi,s}(A) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbf{Z}/\bar{f}\mathbf{Z} \\ \nu \pmod{p} \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times}} \chi(\nu) \mathfrak{R}_{s,\nu}(A).$$

Let ν, ν' be elements of $\mathbf{Z}/\bar{f}\mathbf{Z}$ such that $\nu \pmod{p}, \nu' \pmod{p} \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times$. Let μ, μ' be natural numbers satisfying $1 \leq \mu, \mu' \leq \bar{f}-1$, $\mu \pmod{\bar{f}} = \nu$ and $\mu' \pmod{\bar{f}} = \nu'$. Suppose $\mu \leq \mu'$. Then we have $\mathfrak{R}_{s,\nu}(A) = \mathfrak{R}_{s+\mu-\mu',\nu'}(A)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{R}_{s,\nu}(A)$ is, as a function in z , well-defined on $\{z \in \mathbf{Z}_p | z \not\equiv -\nu \pmod{p}\}$.

Let $A(u) = u(\log u - 1)$ be as in §3. Put

$$f_\nu(z) = \omega(\nu+z) \mathfrak{R}_{s,\nu}(A) - \omega(\nu) \mathfrak{R}_\nu(A)$$

for each $\nu \in (\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})^\times$. Then the above remarks show that (i) $f_\nu(z)$ is well-defined on $\{z \in \mathbf{Z}_p | z \not\equiv -\nu \pmod{p}\}$; (ii) if z is a non-negative integer (resp. a negative integer) satisfying $z \not\equiv -\nu \pmod{p}$, then

$$f_\nu(z) = \omega(\nu+z)\mathfrak{N}_{\nu+z}(A) - \omega(\nu)\mathfrak{N}_\nu(A) + \log \left\langle \prod_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq z \\ x \equiv \nu+z \pmod{q}}} x \right\rangle$$

(resp. $f_\nu(z) = \omega(\nu+z)\mathfrak{N}_{\nu+z}(A) - \omega(\nu)\mathfrak{N}_\nu(A) + \log \left\langle \prod_{\substack{z \leq x \leq 0 \\ x \equiv \nu+z \pmod{q}}} x^{-1} \right\rangle$);

(iii) $\log(-1)^{s+1}\Gamma_p(z+1) = \sum_{\nu \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} f_\nu(z)$ for any $z \in 2q\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Let z be an element of $q\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then we have

$$f_\nu(z) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \omega^{1-m}(\nu)\mathfrak{N}_\nu(A^{(m)}) \frac{z^m}{m!}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^2 f_\nu(z) &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \omega^{-1-m}(\nu)\mathfrak{N}_\nu(A^{(m+2)}) \frac{z^m}{m!} \\ &= \omega^{-1}(\nu)\mathfrak{N}_{\nu,\nu}(u^{-1}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^{n+1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq q^{n+1} \\ x \equiv \nu \pmod{q}}} \frac{1}{x+z}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$g_n(z) = \frac{1}{q^{n+1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq q^{n+1} \\ x \equiv \nu \pmod{q}}} \frac{1}{x+z}.$$

Then $g_n(z)$ is a rational function in z such that its poles are in the set $\{z \in \mathbb{Z}_p \mid z + \nu \equiv 0 \pmod{q}\}$ and such that the sequence $\{g_n(z)\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges to $\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^2 f_\nu(z)$ for each $z \in q\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Now let z be an element of the complete algebraic closure C_p of \mathbb{Q}_p such that $|z + \nu_0| > |q|$, where ν_0 is the smallest non-negative integer satisfying $\nu_0 \equiv \nu \pmod{q}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(z) &= \frac{1}{q^{n+1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq q^{n+1} \\ x \equiv \nu \pmod{q}}} \frac{1}{(x-\nu_0) + (z+\nu_0)} \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{n+1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq q^{n+1} \\ x \equiv \nu \pmod{q}}} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^m (x-\nu_0)^m}{(z+\nu_0)^{m+1}} \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{q^{n+1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq q^{n+1} \\ x \equiv \nu \pmod{q}}} (x-\nu_0)^m \right\} \frac{(-1)^m}{(z+\nu_0)^{m+1}} \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{1 \leq x \leq q^n} x^m \right\} \frac{(-1)^m q^{m-1}}{(z+\nu_0)^{m+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{1 \leq x \leq q^n} x^m \right\} \frac{(-1)^m q^{m-1}}{(z+\nu_0)^{m+1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{1 \leq z \leq q^n} x^m \right\} \frac{(-1)^m q^{m-1}}{(z + \nu_0)^{m+1}} \\
&= \frac{q^{-1}}{z + \nu_0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{-1}{(z + \nu_0)^2} + \sum_{m \geq 2} \mathfrak{M}_{\omega^m}(u^m) \frac{(-1)^m q^{m-1}}{1 - p^{m-1}} \frac{1}{(z + \nu_0)^{m+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for any positive number ε , this convergence is uniform in z for any $z \in C_p$ such that $|z + \nu_0| \geq |q|^{1-\varepsilon}$. Therefore $\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^2 f_\nu(z)$ is a uniform analytic function on $\{z \in C_p \cup \{\infty\} \mid |z + \nu_0| > |q|\}$ in the sense of Krasner [2].

REMARK. If z is an element of $\{z \in C_p \cup \{\infty\} \mid |z| > 1\}$, we have

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^2 \log \langle \Gamma_p(z+1) \rangle = \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m \mathfrak{M}_{\omega^m}(u^m) \frac{1}{z^{m+1}}.$$

We note that this formula is a p -adic analogue of the well-known asymptotic formula

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^2 \log \Gamma(z+1) \sim \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m (-m) \zeta(1-m) \frac{1}{z^{m+1}}.$$

Appendix

Let $\zeta(s, z)$ be the Hurwitz zeta function. Hence

$$\zeta(s, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z+n)^s}$$

for any complex number s with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$. We define polynomials $\phi_n(z)$ in z by

$$\frac{t^s e^{-zt}}{e^{-t} - 1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_m(z) (-t)^m}{m!}.$$

Then it is known (cf. e.g., Whittaker-Watson [4]) that if s is zero or a negative integer ($= -m$), we have

$$\zeta(-m, z) = -\frac{\phi_{m+2}(z)}{(m+1)(m+2)}.$$

Let p be a prime number and put

$$\zeta'(s, z+1) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n < \infty \\ (n, p)=1}} \frac{1}{(z+n)^s}.$$

Then we have

$$\zeta'(s, z+1) = \zeta(s, z+1) - p^{-s} \zeta\left(s, \frac{z}{p} + 1\right).$$

Hence

$$\zeta'(-m, z+1) = \zeta(-m, z+1) - p^m \zeta\left(-m, \frac{z}{p} + 1\right).$$

Now, as a formal power series in z and t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{-zt}}{e^{-t}-1} - \frac{e^{-nt}e^{-zt}}{e^{-t}-1} &= \frac{e^{-zt}(1-e^{-nt})}{e^{-t}-1} \\ &= -e^{-zt} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-kt} \\ &= -\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-(z+k)t} \\ &= -\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (z+k)^m \frac{(-t)^m}{m!} \end{aligned}$$

for any non-negative integer n . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (z+k)^m \frac{(-t)^m}{m!} \\ = -\frac{te^{-zt}}{e^{-t}-1} n + (\text{a function in } n \text{ of degree } \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

Now we assume that z is an element of \mathbb{Q}_p such that $|z| \leq |q|$ and n is a power p^α of p . Then, taking the limit as $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain

$$-\frac{te^{-zt}}{e^{-t}-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{p^\alpha-1} (z+k)^m \right\} \frac{(-t)^m}{m!}.$$

Hence we have

$$\zeta(-m, z) = -\frac{1}{m+1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{k=0}^{p^n-1} (z+k)^{m+1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'(-m, z+1) &= \zeta(-m, z+1) - p^m \zeta\left(-m, \frac{z}{p} + 1\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{m+1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{x=1}^{p^n} (z+x)^{m+1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{m+1} \mathfrak{M}_{\omega^{m+1}, z}(u^{m+1}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the following

THEOREM. Let $p, \chi, \omega, z, \mathfrak{M}_{\chi, z}$ be as in §2. Let $\zeta'(s, z+1)$ be as above. Let m be zero or a non-negative integer. Then we have

$$\zeta'(-m, z+1) = -\frac{1}{m+1} \mathfrak{M}_{\omega^{m+1}, z}(u^{m+1}).$$

References

- [1] Iwasawa, K., Lectures on p -adic L -functions, Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 74, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1972.
- [2] Krasner, M., Prolongement analytique uniforme et multiforme dans les corps valués complets, Colloque Int. C.N.R.S., 143, Paris, 1966.
- [3] Kubota, T. and H. W. Leopoldt, Eine p -adische Theorie der Zetawerte, I, J. Reine und angew. Math., **214/215** (1964), 328-339.
- [4] Whittaker, E. T. and G. N. Watson, A course of modern analysis, Cambridge University Press, London, 1902.

(Received March 25, 1975)

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
University of Tokyo
Hongo, Tokyo
113 Japan