

# Maximal subgroups of low rank of finite symmetric and alternating groups

By Eiichi BANNAI<sup>\*)</sup>

## § 0. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to investigate maximal subgroups of low rank of finite symmetric groups  $S_n$  and alternating groups  $A_n$ . Here the rank of a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is by definition the number of the  $H$ -double cosets  $H\backslash G/H$ . This equals the rank of the permutation group  $G$  acting on the coset space  $G/H$  via the natural action. Thus, classifying maximal subgroups of rank  $l$  of  $G$  up to inner automorphism of  $G$  is equivalent to classifying primitive permutation representations of rank  $l$  of  $G$  up to equivalence as permutation representation.

In § 1 we shall present some general results on maximal subgroups of the groups  $S_n$  and  $A_n$ . Our main results in § 1 are Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, which assert that the index of maximal subgroups of rank  $l$  in  $S_n$  (or  $A_n$ ) is bounded by some relatively small value depending only on  $n$  and  $l$ . In § 2 we will classify all maximal subgroups of the groups  $S_n$  and  $A_n$  which are of rank  $\leq 5$ . (See also Tables 1 and 2 in Appendix). The Mathieu groups  $M_{11}$  and  $M_{12}$  are among them, with ranks 5 and 4 in  $A_{11}$  and  $A_{12}$  respectively. The results for those subgroups of rank 2 were formerly obtained by Ed. Maillet [2] in 1895. (Cf. E. Bannai [1]<sup>1)</sup>.)

## § 1. General results on maximal subgroups of the groups $S_n$ and $A_n$

We state two elementary lemmas which are fundamental in our study.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let  $G$  be a primitive permutation group of rank  $l$  on a set  $\Omega$  and let the lengths of the orbits of a stabilizer  $G_a$  ( $a \in \Omega$ ), ordered according to increasing magnitude, be  $1 = n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_l$ . Then  $n_j \leq n_2 n_{j-1}$  for every  $j$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq l$ .*

PROOF. See Theorem 17.4 in [6] page 47.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let the assumptions be the same as in Lemma 1.1. Then for*

<sup>\*)</sup> Supported in part by the Fujukai Foundation.

<sup>1)</sup> Permutation representations considered in [1] are all assumed to be faithful, although the author forgot to write down the assumption explicitly. The author takes this opportunity to correct some errata in [1]: page 296 on line 16, " $S_6/K$ " should read " $S_6/K^\sigma$ "; page 296 on line 20, "as permutation groups" should read "as permutation representations".

every non-identity element  $x$  of  $G$ , there exist at least  $n_2$  elements of  $G$  which are conjugate to  $x$ .

(This lemma is a slight extension of Lemma 1 in Ed. Maillet [2] page 21, where the assertion was proved only for the case  $l=2$ .)

PROOF. Let  $x=(c, d, \dots) \dots, c, d \in \Omega$ , where the cycle containing  $c$  is of length greater than 1. Let  $d$  lie on the  $i$ -th orbit of the stabilizer  $G_c$  of the length  $n_i$ . Then, for every element  $d_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, n_i$ ) in the  $i$ -th orbit, there exists an element  $y_j \in G_c$  such that  $d^{y_j}=d_j$ . These  $n_i$  elements  $y_j^{-1}xy_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, n_i$ ) are all distinct from each other and are of course conjugate to  $x$ . Hence the assertion is proved.

Now we state some properties about maximal subgroups of the groups  $S_n$  and  $A_n$ . In the following, let  $\Sigma_n$  be a fixed set of  $n$  letters on which  $S_n$  and  $A_n$  act naturally.

I. Let  $H$  be a maximal subgroup of  $S_n$  (resp.  $A_n$ ), and let  $H$  be intransitive on  $\Sigma_n$ . Then  $H$  consists of the elements of  $S_n$  (resp.  $A_n$ ) which fix a given subset  $\Sigma_r$  (consisting of  $r$  letters) of  $\Sigma_n$ , where  $r < [n/2]$ . Moreover, let  $H'$  be such a subgroup fixing some subset  $\Sigma_{r'}$ . Then  $H$  and  $H'$  are conjugate in  $S_n$  (resp.  $A_n$ ) if and only if  $r=r'$ . We denote by  $H(\Sigma_r)$  (resp.  $H(\Sigma_r) \cap A_n$ ) the subgroup of  $S_n$  (resp.  $A_n$ ) which consists of the elements fixing some given subset  $\Sigma_r$  with  $r < [n/2]$ . Clearly  $|H(\Sigma_r)|=r!(n-r)!$  (resp.  $|H(\Sigma_r) \cap A_n|=1/2 \cdot r!(n-r)!$ ), and in fact,  $(S_n, S_n/H(\Sigma_r))$  (resp.  $(A_n, A_n/(H(\Sigma_r) \cap A_n))$ ) is the primitive permutation group acting on the set of unordered  $r$ -tuples of elements in  $\Sigma_n$ , and we can easily show that the primitive permutation group is of rank  $r+1$ .

II. Let  $H$  be a maximal subgroup of  $S_n$  (resp.  $A_n$ ), and let  $H$  be transitive but imprimitive on  $\Sigma_n$ . Then  $H$  consists of the elements of  $S_n$  (resp.  $A_n$ ) which permute a complete set of blocks  $\{\pi_i\}$  ( $i=1, \dots, v$ ) (where such  $\pi_i$  consists of  $u$  letters) of  $\Sigma_n$  among themselves, where  $|\pi_i|=u \neq 1$ ,  $n=uv$  and  $v \neq 1$ . Let  $H'$  also be such a subgroup which consists of the elements permuting a complete set of blocks  $\{\pi'_i\}$  ( $i=1, \dots, v'$ ) with  $|\pi'_i|=u' \neq 1$ ,  $n=u'v'$  and  $v' \neq 1$ . Then  $H$  and  $H'$  are conjugate in  $S_n$  (resp.  $A_n$ ) if and only if  $u=u'$  (hence of course  $v=v'$ ). We denote by  $H(H_u)$  (resp.  $H(H_u) \cap A_n$ ) the subgroup of  $S_n$  (resp.  $A_n$ ) which consists of the elements permuting a fixed nontrivial complete set of blocks  $\pi_i$  with  $|\pi_i|=u$  among themselves. Clearly  $|H(H_u)|=n!/(u!)^v v!$  (resp.  $|H(H_u) \cap A_n|=1/2 \cdot n!/(u!)^v v!$  where  $uv=n$ , and  $H(H_u)$  (resp.  $H(H_u) \cap A_n$ ) are maximal in  $S_n$  (resp.  $A_n$ ). Moreover it is immediately proved that

$$|S_n : H(\Pi_n)| \geq \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right]! \quad \left( \text{resp. } |A_n : H(\Pi_n) \cap A_n| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right]! \right).$$

III. Let  $H$  be a maximal subgroup of  $S_n$  (resp.  $A_n$ ), and let  $H$  be primitive on  $\Sigma_n$ . Then we have for

$$H \neq A_n, |S_n : H| \geq \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right]! \quad \left( \text{resp. } |A_n : H| \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right]! \right)$$

by the theorem of Bochert (Theorem 14.2 in [6] page 41).

Now we state our main theorems.

THEOREM 1.3. *If*

$$\left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right]! > 2 \cdot \left( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \right)^{l-1},$$

then the  $H(\Sigma_{l-1})$  are the only maximal subgroups ( $\neq A_n$ ) of rank  $l$  of the group  $S_n$ .

THEOREM 1.4. *If*

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right]! > 2 \cdot \left( \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3} \right)^{l-1},$$

then the  $H(\Sigma_{l-1}) \cap A_n$  are the only maximal subgroups of rank  $l$  of the group  $A_n$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. Let  $G=S_n$  be represented as a primitive permutation group of rank  $l$  on a set  $\Omega$ . Let  $x$  be a transposition (i.e., an element consisting of one 2-cycle) in  $S_n$ . Then there exist  $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$  elements of  $S_n$  which are conjugate to  $x$ . Thus by Lemma 1.2, for the permutation group  $(G, \Omega)$ , the length  $n_2$  of the smallest orbit  $\neq \{a\}$  of the stabilizer  $H=G_a$  ( $a \in \Omega$ ) is not greater than  $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ .

Therefore by Lemma 1.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega| &= 1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + \dots + n_l \\ &\leq 1 + n_2 + n_2^2 + n_2 n_3 + \dots + n_2 n_{l-1} \\ &\leq 1 + n_2 + n_2^2 + n_2^3 + \dots + n_2^{l-1} \\ &\leq 2 \cdot n_2^{l-1} \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \right)^{l-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that  $H$  is transitive on  $\Sigma_n$ . Then from the preceding argument in subsections II and III we obtain  $|\Omega| \geq \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right]!$  Therefore

$$\left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right]! \leq 2 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \right)^{l-1}.$$

But this is a contradiction. Hence  $H$  is intransitive on  $\Sigma_n$ , and by the argument

in subsection I, the assertion is proved.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4. For an element  $x$  of  $A_n$  which consists of one 3-cycle, there exist  $\frac{1}{3}n(n-1)(n-2)$  elements of  $A_n$  which are conjugate to  $x$ . By the argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1, the assertion is proved.

REMARK 1.5. The inequality

$$\left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right]! > 2 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2}n(n-1) \right)^{l-1}$$

holds, if  $n > 8$  for  $l=2$ , if  $n > 14$  for  $l=3$ , if  $n > 20$  for  $l=4$ , and if  $n > 26$  for  $l=5$ . Generally, the above inequality holds, e.g., if  $n > 14 + 6(l-3)$ .

REMARK 1.6. The inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right]! > 2 \cdot \left( \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3} \right)^{l-1}$$

holds, if  $n > 14$  for  $l=2$ , if  $n > 20$  for  $l=3$ , if  $n > 26$  for  $l=4$ , and if  $n > 32$  for  $l=5$ . Generally the above inequality holds, e.g., if  $n > 14 + 6(l-2)$ .

## § 2. Maximal subgroups of rank $\leq 5$

From now on we shall always assume that  $H$  is a maximal subgroup of rank  $\leq 5$  of the group  $S_n$  or  $A_n$ . We also assume that  $H \neq A_n$  when  $H$  is a maximal subgroup of  $S_n$ .

I. Let us assume that  $H$  is a maximal subgroup of the group  $S_n$  and that  $H$  is primitive on the set  $\Sigma_n$ .

By Remark 1.5, we have  $n \leq 26$ . The list of primitive permutation groups of degree up to 20 has been known for a long time. (Cf. the book of R. D. Carmichael, Introduction to the theory of groups of finite order, page 162, Exercise 10). Here the references will be made to Table I of C. C. Sims [5], where primitive permutation groups of degree  $n \leq 20$  are classified up to conjugacy in  $S_n$ . Clearly  $|H| \cdot 4 \leq |S_n : H| - 1$  must hold, since  $H$  is maximal and of rank  $\leq 5$  in  $S_n$ , and  $H$  must not be contained in  $A_n$ . Thus, we can easily see from Table I of C. C. Sims [5] that if  $n \leq 20$  then only the following primitive subgroup  $H$  listed below as 1)~6) satisfy the property mentioned above.

$$(2.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} 1) & n=5, & \text{No. 3, order 20;} \\ 2) & n=6, & \text{No. 2, order 120;} \\ 3) & n=7, & \text{No. 4, order 42;} \\ 4) & n=8, & \text{No. 4, order 336;} \\ 5) & n=9, & \text{No. 7, order 432;} \\ 6) & n=10, & \text{No. 7, order 1440;} \end{array} \right.$$

where the No. are that of Table I in [5].

A quick examination of the character tables of the groups  $S_n$  ( $n \leq 10$ ) shows that the subgroups  $H$  of cases 3), 5) and 6) are of rank  $\geq 6$ , whereas the ranks of the  $H$  of cases 1), 2) and 4) are 2, 2 and 5 respectively.

Now assume that  $21 \leq n \leq 26$ . Then the following lemma can be applied.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $H$  be a maximal subgroup of  $S_n$  and of rank  $\leq 5$  and let  $H$  be primitive on  $\Sigma_n$ . If  $21 \leq n \leq 26$ , then the minimal degree<sup>2)</sup> of the primitive permutation group  $(H, \Sigma_n)$  is  $\leq 15$ .*

PROOF. The proof will be by the method of contradiction, so let us assume that the minimal degree is  $> 15$ . By the proof of Theorem 1.3, we have

$$|S_n : H| \leq 2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)\right)^4.$$

On the other hand, from the theorem of W. A. Manning [4] (cf. Theorem 14.1 in [6] page 40),  $|S_n : H|$  must be divisible by  $\prod_{q=1}^{\infty} \tau_q$ . Here  $\tau_q$  denotes the product of all prime numbers  $p$  in the following intervals:

$$(2.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} q=1: & 2 \leq p < n-2; \\ q=2, 3, 4: & q+1 < p < \frac{n}{q} - 1; \\ q=5: & 5 < p < \frac{n-6}{5}; \\ q=6: & 5 < p < \frac{n-10}{6}; \\ q=7: & 2q-2 < p < (n-4q+4)/q. \end{array} \right.$$

Vacuous products are set to be 1. Now let  $K (\cong S_{15})$  be the subgroup of  $S_n$  consisting of all the elements which fix pointwisely the letters  $16, \dots, n$  of  $\Sigma_n$ . Let  $K^{(p)}$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of the group  $K$ . Then  $|K^{(2)}| = 2^{11}$  and  $|K^{(3)}| = 3^6$ . From the assumption that the minimal degree is  $> 15$ ,  $H$  must not contain any element of  $K^{(p)}$ ; hence  $|S_n : H|$  must be divisible by  $|K^{(p)}|$  for any prime  $p$ . Since  $\prod_{q=1}^{\infty} \tau_q$  is divisible by 2 and 3 only by the first power,  $|S_n : H|$  must be divisible by  $2^{10} \cdot 3^5 \cdot \prod_{q=1}^{\infty} \tau_q$ . But we can easily check that if  $21 \leq n \leq 26$ , then

$$2^{10} \cdot 3^5 \cdot \prod_{q=1}^{\infty} \tau_q > 2 \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right)^4,$$

and this contradicts the inequality

<sup>2)</sup> For the definition of the minimal degree, see [6]. In [3] this is called the class of a permutation group.

$$|S_n : H| \leq 2 \left( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \right)^4.$$

Hence the lemma is proved.

Incidentally, those primitive permutation groups whose minimal degrees are  $\leq 15$  were completely classified formerly by the series of papers of W. A. Manning [3] (cf. [6], chap. II, § 15). Exploiting those results of W. A. Manning [3], we can easily conclude that if  $21 \leq n \leq 26$ , then there exists no primitive permutation group  $H$  of degree  $n$  such that  $|H| \cdot 4 \geq |S_n : H| - 1$ .

Summarizing the above argument, we obtain the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $H (\neq A_n)$  be a maximal subgroup of rank  $\leq 5$  of  $S_n$  and let  $H$  be primitive on the set  $\Sigma_n$ . Then  $H$  is in one of the cases 1), 2) and 4) in (2.1). Moreover, the subgroups  $H$  of the same case form a single class up to conjugacy in  $S_n$ .*

**II.** Let us assume that  $H$  is a maximal subgroup of the group  $A_n$  and that  $H$  is primitive on the set  $\Sigma_n$ .

Noting the fact that  $|H| \cdot 4 \geq |A_n : H| - 1$  and that  $H$  is contained in  $A_n$ , we can easily see from Table 1 of C. C. Sims that if  $n \leq 20$ , then  $H$  is in one of the cases 7)~14) given below. Here the cases  $n=8$ , No. 2;  $n=8$ , No. 3 and  $n=9$ , No. 8 are eliminated because these subgroups are not maximal in  $A_n$ .

$$(2.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} 7) & n=5, & \text{No. 2,} & \text{order 10;} \\ 8) & n=6, & \text{No. 1,} & \text{order 60;} \\ 9) & n=7, & \text{No. 5,} & \text{order 168;} \\ 10) & n=8, & \text{No. 5,} & \text{order 1344;} \\ 11) & n=9, & \text{No. 9,} & \text{order 1512;} \\ 12) & n=10, & \text{No. 6,} & \text{order 720;} \\ 13) & n=11, & \text{No. 6,} & \text{order 7920;} \\ 14) & n=12, & \text{No. 4,} & \text{order 95040;} \end{array} \right.$$

By examining the character tables of the groups  $S_n$  ( $n \leq 12$ ), we see easily that the subgroup  $H$  in the case 12) is of rank  $\geq 6$ , and that the ranks of  $H$  in the cases 7), 8), 9), 10), 11), 13), 14) are 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 5 and 4 respectively.

Now we may assume that  $21 \leq n \leq 32$  by Remark 1.6. A similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 shows that if the minimal degree of  $(H, \Sigma_n)$  is  $> 15$ , then  $|A_n : H|$  is divisible by  $2^9 \cdot 3^5 \cdot \prod_{q=1}^{\infty} \tau_q$ , and we have

$$2^9 \cdot 3^5 \cdot \prod_{q=1}^{\infty} \tau_q > 2 \left( \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3} \right)^4$$

for every  $n$  with  $21 \leq n \leq 32$ . Hence we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $H$  be a maximal subgroup of  $A_n$  and of rank  $\leq 5$ , and let  $H$  be primitive on  $\Sigma_n$ . If  $21 \leq n \leq 32$ , then the minimal degree of the primitive permutation group  $(H, \Sigma_n)$  is  $\leq 15$ .*

The results of W. A. Manning [3] show that if  $21 \leq n \leq 32$ , then  $A_n$  contains no primitive permutation subgroup  $H$  such that  $|H| \cdot 4 \geq |A_n : H| - 1$ . Hence, summarizing the above argument together with some additional considerations, we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let  $H$  be a maximal subgroup of rank  $\leq 5$  of the group  $A_n$ , and let  $H$  be primitive on the set  $\Sigma_n$ . Then  $H$  is in one of the cases 7), 8), 9), 10), 11), 13) and 14) in (2.3). Moreover, the subgroups  $H$  in case 7) or 8) form a single class up to conjugacy in  $A_n$ , and the groups  $H$  in case 9) or 10), 11), 13), 14) form two classes up to conjugacy in  $A_n$ .*

III. Let us assume that  $H$  is a maximal subgroup of the groups  $S_n$  and that  $H$  is imprimitive on the set  $\Sigma_n$ .

If  $H$  is intransitive on  $\Sigma_n$ , then the argument in the subsection I of §1 shows that  $H$  is conjugate to some  $H(\Sigma_r)$  in  $S_n$  with  $r < [n/2]$  and  $r \leq 4$  since the rank of  $H$  is  $\leq 5$ , and that  $H$  is of rank  $r+1$ .

Let us assume that  $H$  is transitive on  $\Sigma_n$ . The argument in the subsection II of §1 shows that  $H$  is conjugate to some  $H(\Pi_u)$  in  $S_n$ , where  $uv=n$ ,  $u \neq 1$ ,  $v \neq 1$ . Now let us count the rank of the subgroups  $H(\Pi_u)$  in  $S_n$ . Let  $M_v(u)$  denote the set of all  $v \times v$  matrices  $(a_{ij})$  whose coefficients are nonnegative integers satisfying the relations

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^v a_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^v a_{ij} = u.$$

Two elements  $(a_{ij})$  and  $(b_{ij})$  of  $M_v(u)$  will be called equivalent if there exists a permutation matrix  $(p_{ij})$  such that  $(p_{ij})^{-1}(a_{ij})(p_{ij}) = (b_{ij})$ . Let  $m_v(u)$  be the number of equivalence classes in  $M_v(u)$ . Then it is easily verified that the rank of the permutation group  $(S_n, S_n/H(\Sigma_n))$  is equal to  $m_v(u)$ . Although we do not know the explicit value of  $m_v(u)$  for an arbitrary pair of  $u$  and  $v$ , we can easily determine those pairs of  $u$  and  $v$  for which the inequalities  $m_v(u) \leq 5$  hold:

THEOREM 2.5. *Let  $H (\neq A_n)$  be a maximal subgroup of rank  $\leq 5$  of the group  $S_n$ , and let  $H$  be imprimitive on the set  $\Sigma_n$ . Then  $H$  is conjugate in  $S_n$  to one of the members listed below:*

- a)  $H(\Sigma_r)$  with  $r < [n/2]$  and  $r \leq 4$ , and of rank  $r+1$ ;
- b)  $H(\Pi_u)$  with the following pairs of  $u$  and  $v (=n/u)$ :

- |     |            |           |                   |
|-----|------------|-----------|-------------------|
| 1)  | $u=2, v=2$ | $(n=4);$  | <i>of rank 2</i>  |
| 2)  | $u=3, v=2$ | $(n=6);$  | <i>of rank 2</i>  |
| 3)  | $u=2, v=3$ | $(n=6);$  | <i>of rank 3</i>  |
| 4)  | $u=4, v=2$ | $(n=8);$  | <i>of rank 3</i>  |
| 5)  | $u=2, v=4$ | $(n=8);$  | <i>of rank 3</i>  |
| 6)  | $u=3, v=3$ | $(n=9);$  | <i>of rank 5</i>  |
| 7)  | $u=5, v=2$ | $(n=10);$ | <i>of rank 3</i>  |
| 8)  | $u=6, v=2$ | $(n=12);$ | <i>of rank 4</i>  |
| 9)  | $u=7, v=2$ | $(n=14);$ | <i>of rank 4</i>  |
| 10) | $u=8, v=2$ | $(n=16);$ | <i>of rank 5</i>  |
| 11) | $u=9, v=2$ | $(n=16);$ | <i>of rank 5.</i> |

IV. Let us assume that  $H$  is a maximal subgroup of the group  $A_n$ , and that  $H$  is imprimitive on the set  $\Sigma_n$ .

If  $H$  is intransitive on  $\Sigma_n$ , then the argument in the subsection I of §1 shows that  $H$  is conjugate to some  $H(\Sigma_r) \cap A_n$  in  $A_n$ , where  $r < [n/2]$  and  $r \leq 4$ , since the rank of  $H$  is  $\leq 5$ .

Let us assume that  $H$  is transitive on  $\Sigma_n$ . The argument in the subsection II of §1 shows that  $H$  is conjugate to some  $H(\Pi_u) \cap A_n$  in  $A_n$ , where  $uv=n$ ,  $u \neq 1$  and  $v \neq 1$ . Clearly the rank of  $H(\Pi_u) \cap A_n$  in  $A_n$  is no less than the rank of  $H(\Pi_u)$  in  $S_n$ . And we can easily determine the rank of the subgroup  $H(\Pi_u) \cap A_n$  in  $A_n$  by consulting the list in Theorem 2.5 b). Namely, we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $H$  be a maximal subgroup of rank  $\leq 5$  of the group  $A_n$ , and let  $H$  be imprimitive on the set  $\Sigma_n$ . Then  $H$  is conjugate in  $A_n$  to one of the members listed below:*

- a)  $H(\Sigma_r) \cap A_n$  with  $r < [n/2]$  and  $r \leq 4$ ; and of rank  $r+1$ ;  
 b)  $H(\Pi_u) \cap A_n$  with the following pairs of  $u$  and  $v$ :

- |    |            |           |                  |
|----|------------|-----------|------------------|
| 1) | $u=2, v=2$ | $(n=4);$  | <i>of rank 2</i> |
| 2) | $u=3, v=2$ | $(n=6);$  | <i>of rank 2</i> |
| 3) | $u=2, v=3$ | $(n=6);$  | <i>of rank 3</i> |
| 4) | $u=4, v=2$ | $(n=8);$  | <i>of rank 3</i> |
| 5) | $u=2, v=4$ | $(n=8);$  | <i>of rank 3</i> |
| 6) | $u=3, v=3$ | $(n=9);$  | <i>of rank 5</i> |
| 7) | $u=5, v=2$ | $(n=10);$ | <i>of rank 3</i> |
| 8) | $u=6, v=2$ | $(n=12);$ | <i>of rank 4</i> |
| 9) | $u=7, v=2$ | $(n=14);$ | <i>of rank 4</i> |



- 10)  $u=8, v=2$       ( $n=16$ );      of rank 5  
 11)  $u=9, v=2$       ( $n=18$ );      of rank 5.

## Appendix

Summing up the results obtained in §2, we have the following Tables 1 and 2 which list all primitive permutation representations of rank  $\leq 5$  of the groups  $S_n$  and  $A_n$ , together with the decompositions of the permutation characters. The decompositions of the permutation characters are easily obtained, and so we omit the proof.

## References

- [1] Bannai, E., Multiply transitive permutation representations of finite symmetric groups, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I* **16** (1969), 287-296.  
 [2] Maillet, Ed., Sur les isomorphes holoédriques et transitifs des groupes symétriques ou alternés, *J. Math. Pures Appl. Ser (5)*, **1** (1895), 5-34.  
 [3] Manning, W. A., Many papers about classification of primitive permutation groups of small classes (=minimal degrees), most of which were published in the *Amer. J. Math.* (1910-1930). See bibliography at the end of the book [6].  
 [4] Manning, W. A., On the order of primitive groups, IV, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (1919), 66-78.  
 [5] Sims, C. C., *Computational methods in the study of permutation groups*, Computational method in abstract algebra (1970), 169-183, Pergamon Press.  
 [6] Wielandt, H., *Finite Permutation Groups*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1964.

(Received April 26, 1971)

Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Science  
 University of Tokyo  
 Hongo, Tokyo  
 113 Japan

Table 1. The list of primitive permutation representations of rank  $\leq 5$  of the symmetric groups  $S_n$ .  
(Here  $H$  denotes the stabilizer of a point.)

$n$	Degree = $ S_n : H_i $	$ H_i $	Structure of $H$	Rank	Decomposition of the permutation character $(1_H)^{S_n}$
$n \geq 2^*$	2	$(1/2) \cdot n!$	Primitive on $\Sigma_n$ , ( $=A_n$ )	2	$[1] + [1^n]$
$n \geq 3$	$n$	$(n-1)!$	$H(\Sigma_1)$	2	$[n] + [n-1, 1]$
$n \geq 5$	$(1/2)n(n-1)$	$2 \cdot (n-2)!$	$H(\Sigma_2)$	3	$[n] + [n-1, 1] + [n-2, 2]$
$n \geq 7$	${}_n C_3$	$6 \cdot (n-3)!$	$H(\Sigma_3)$	4	$[n] + [n-1, 1] + [n-2, 2] + [n-3, 3]$
$n \geq 9$	${}_n C_4$	$24 \cdot (n-4)!$	$H(\Sigma_4)$	5	$[n] + [n-1, 1] + [n-2, 2] + [n-3, 3] + [n-4, 4]$
$4^*$	3	8	$H(I/2)$	2	$[4] + [2, 2]$
5	6	20	Primitive on $\Sigma_5$ , (No. 3)	2	$[5] + [2^2, 1]$
6	6	120	Primitive on $\Sigma_6$ , (No. 2), $\cong S_5$	2	$[6] + [2^3]$
"	10	72	$H(I/3)$	2	$[6] + [4, 2]$
"	15	48	$H(I/2)$	3	$[6] + [4, 2] + [2^3]$
8	35	1152	$H(I/4)$	3	$[8] + [6, 2] + [4^2]$
"	105	384	$H(I/2)$	5	$[8] + [6, 2] + [4^2] + [4, 2^2] + [2^4]$
"	120	336	Primitive on $\Sigma_8$ , (No. 4), $\cong PGL(2, 7)$	5	$[8] + [4^3] + [4, 2^2] + [4, 1^4] + [2^4]$
9	280	1296	$H(I/3)$	5	$[9] + [7, 2] + [6, 3] + [5, 2^2] + [4^3, 1]$
10	1260	2880	$H(I/5)$	3	$[10] + [8, 2] + [6, 4]$
12	$12! / 2 \cdot (6!)^2$	$2 \cdot (6!)^2$	$H(I/6)$	4	$[12] + [10, 2] + [8, 4] + [6, 6]$
14	$14! / 2 \cdot (7!)^2$	$2 \cdot (7!)^2$	$H(I/7)$	4	$[14] + [12, 2] + [10, 4] + [8, 6]$
16	$16! / 2 \cdot (8!)^2$	$2 \cdot (8!)^2$	$H(I/8)$	5	$[16] + [14, 2] + [12, 4] + [10, 6] + [8, 8]$
18	$18! / 2 \cdot (9!)^2$	$2 \cdot (9!)^2$	$H(I/9)$	5	$[18] + [16, 2] + [14, 4] + [12, 6] + [10, 8]$

Remark. 1) \* denotes that the permutation representation is not faithful.

2) The No. when  $H$  is primitive on  $\Sigma_n$  denotes the number given in Table 1 in C. C. Sims [5].

3) [\*] denotes the irreducible character of  $S_n$  associated with a Young diagram of type [\*].

Table 2. The list of primitive permutation representations of rank  $\leq 5$  of the alternating groups  $A_n$ .  
(Here  $H$  denotes the stabilizer of a point).

$n$	Degree = $ A_n : H $	$ H $	Structure of $H$	Rank	Decomposition of the permutation character $(1_H)^{A_n}$
3	3	1	1	3	$[3] + [2, 1]_1 + [2, 1]_2$
$n \geq 4$	$n$	$(1/2) \cdot (n-1)!$	$H(\Sigma_2) \cap A_n$	2	$[n] + [n-1, 1]$
$n \geq 5$	$(1/2)n(n-1)$	$(n-2)!$	$H(\Sigma_3) \cap A_n$	3	$[n] + [n-1, 1] + [n-2, 2]$
$n \geq 7$	${}_n C_3$	$3 \cdot (n-3)!$	$H(\Sigma_3) \cap A_n$	4	$[n] + [n-1, 1] + [n-2, 2] + [n-3, 3]$
$n \geq 9$	${}_n C_4$	$12 \cdot (n-4)!$	$H(\Sigma_4) \cap A_n$	5	$[n] + [n-1, 1] + [n-2, 2] + [n-3, 3] + [n-4, 4]$
4*)	3	4	$H(H_2) \cap A_4$	3	$[4] + [2, 2]_1 + [2, 2]_2$
5	6	10	Primitive on $\Sigma_5$ , (No. 2)	2	$[5] + [2^2, 1]$
6	6	60	Primitive on $\Sigma_6$ , (No. 1), $\cong A_5$	2	$[6] + [2^3]$
"	10	36	$H(H_3) \cap A_6$	2	$[6] + [4, 2]$
"	15	24	$H(H_2) \cap A_6$	3	$[6] + [4, 2] + [4^2]$
{ 7	15	168	Primitive on $\Sigma_7$ , (No. 5), $\cong PSL(3, 2)$	2	$[7] + [4, 3]$
"	"	"	"	"	"
{ 8	15	1344	Primitive on $\Sigma_8$ , (No. 5)	2	$[8] + [4^3]$
"	"	"	"	"	"
"	35	576	$H(H_4) \cap A_8$	3	$[8] + [6, 2] + [4^2]$
"	105	192	$H(H_2) \cap A_8$	5	$[8] + [6, 2] + [4^2] + [4, 2^2] + [2^4]$
{ 9	120	1512	Primitive on $\Sigma_9$ , (No. 8), $\cong P\Gamma L(2, 8)$	3	$[9] + [4^2, 1] + [5, 1^4]_1$
"	"	"	"	"	$[9] + [4^2, 1] + [5, 1^4]_2$
"	280	648	$H(H_3) \cap A_9$	5	$[9] + [7, 2] + [6, 3] + [5, 2^2] + [4^2, 1]$
10	1260	1440	$H(H_3) \cap A_{10}$	3	$[10] + [8, 2] + [6, 4]$
{ 11	2520	7920	Primitive on $\Sigma_{11}$ , (No. 6), $\cong M_{11}$	5	$[11] + [6, 5] + [6, 2^2, 1] + [5, 2^2] + [4^2, 3]$
"	"	"	"	"	"
{ 12	2520	95040	Primitive on $\Sigma_{12}$ , (No. 4), $\cong M_{12}$	4	$[12] + [6^2] + [6, 2^3] + [4^3]$
"	"	"	"	"	"

$n$	Degree = $ A_n : H $	$ H $	Structure of $H$	Rank	Decomposition of the permutation character $(1_H)^{A_n}$
12	$12! / 2 \cdot (6!)^2$	$(6!)^2$	$H(II_6) \cap A_{12}$	4	$[12] + [10, 2] + [8, 4] + [6, 6]$
14	$14! / 2 \cdot (7!)^2$	$(7!)^2$	$H(II_7) \cap A_{14}$	4	$[14] + [12, 2] + [10, 4] + [8, 6]$
16	$16! / 2 \cdot (8!)^2$	$(8!)^2$	$H(II_8) \cap A_{16}$	5	$[16] + [14, 2] + [12, 4] + [10, 6] + [8, 8]$
18	$18! / 2 \cdot (9!)^2$	$(9!)^2$	$H(II_9) \cap A_{18}$	5	$[18] + [16, 2] + [14, 4] + [12, 6] + [10, 8]$

Remark. 1)  $*$  denotes that the permutation representation is not faithful.

2) The No. when  $H$  is primitive on  $S_n$  denotes the number given in Table 1 in C. C. Sims [5].

3)  $[*]_{|A_n} = [*]_H + [*]_2$ , if  $[*]$  is an associated character of  $S_n$ . (Note that  $[*]_1$  and  $[*]_2$  are irreducible character of  $A_n$ ).

$[*]_{|A_n} = [*]$ , if  $[*]$  is not an associated character of  $S_n$ . (Note that  $[*]$  are irreducible character of  $A_n$ .)

4)  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right\}$  denotes that the both  $H$ 's are transformed to each other by an inner automorphism of  $S_n$ .