# On the Alternating Groups

Dedicated to Prof. Shôkichi Iyanaga on his 60th birthday

By Takeshi KONDO

Introduction. Let  $A_m$  be the alternating group on m letters  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Put m=4n+r, where n and r are non-negative rational integers and  $0 \le r \le 3$ . Define n elements  $\alpha_k$   $(1 \le k \le n)$  of  $A_m$  as follows:

$$\alpha_k = (1, 2)(3, 4) \cdot \cdot \cdot (4k-3, 4k-2)(4k-1, 4k)$$
.

In the present paper, we shall prove the following result.

THEOREM. Let G be a finite group satisfying the following conditions:

There exist n involutions  $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_n$  in G and a one-to-one mapping  $\varphi$  from  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_{A_m}(\alpha_i)$  to  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_i)$  such that  $\varphi$  induces an isomorphism between  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_i)$  and  $C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_i)(1 \le i \le n)$ . Here  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_{A_m}(\alpha_i)$  (resp.  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_i)$ ) denotes the set-theoretic union in  $A_m$  (resp. G).

Then if  $m \ge 8$ , G is isomorphic to  $A_m$ .

This is a generalization of W. J. Wong [6]. The idea of the proof is due to D. Held [4]. Further, in our proof, we shall use the results of W. J. Wong [6] and D. Held [3], which imply our theorem for m=8, 9 and 10.

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor H. Nagao, who proves a lemma (1.8).

Throughout the present paper,  $m, n, r, \alpha_k (1 \le k \le n)$ ,  $\varphi$  and G will be used in the same meaning as above.  $S_l$  (resp.  $A_l$ ) denote the symmetric group (resp. the alternating group) on l letters. For a set  $\Omega$ ,  $S_{\Omega}$  (resp.  $A_{\Omega}$ ) denote the symmetric group (resp. the alternating group) on the set  $\Omega$ . If  $x, y, \cdots$  are elements of a group H,  $\langle x, y, \cdots \rangle$  denotes a subgroup of H generated by  $x, y, \cdots$ . Moreover,  $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$  and  $x^y = y^{-1}xy$ .

### § 1. Preliminaries

1.1. We shall define some elements in  $A_m$  as follows:

$$\pi_k = (4k-3, 4k-2)(4k-1, 4k)$$
  $(1 \le k \le n),$ 
 $\pi_k' = (4k-3, 4k)(4k-2, 4k-1)$   $(1 \le k \le n),$ 

$$\begin{split} \mu_i &= (1,2)(4i+1,4i+2) & (1 \! \leq \! i \! \leq \! n-1) \; , \\ \mu_n &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{if} \quad r \! = \! 0 \text{ or } 1 \\ (1,2)(4n+1,4n+2), & \text{if} \quad r \! = \! 2 \text{ or } 3 \; , \\ \tau_{ij} &= (4i-3,4j-3)(4i-2,4j-2)(4i-1,4j-1)(4i,4j) \\ & (1 \! \leq \! i, j \! \leq \! n \; \text{ and } \; i \! \neq \! j) \; , \\ u_i &= (4i-3,4i-2,4i-1) & (1 \! \leq \! i \! \leq \! n) \; , \\ u_{n+1} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{if} \quad r \! = \! 0,1 \text{ or } 2 \; , \\ (4n+1,4n+2,4n+3) \; , & \text{if} \quad r \! = \! 3 \; . \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

We note that  $\alpha_k = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_k$   $(1 \le k \le n)$ . We have

(1) 
$$C_{A_m}(\alpha_k) = (\mathbf{W}_k \times X_k) \langle \mu_k \rangle \qquad (1 \le k \le n) .$$

Here,  $W_k$  is the centralizer of  $\alpha_k$  in  $A_{\Omega'}$ ,  $W_k\langle \mu_k \rangle$  is isomorphic to the centralizer of  $\alpha_k$  in  $S_{\Omega'}$ ,  $X_k = A_{\Omega''}$  and  $X_k\langle \mu_k \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $S_{\Omega''}$  where  $\Omega' = \{1, 2, \dots, 4k\}$  and  $\Omega'' = \{4k+1, \dots, m\}$ .

1.2. LEMMA. Put  $S = \langle \pi_1, \pi_1', \dots, \pi_n, \pi_n' \rangle$ . Then we have  $C_{A_m}(S) = S \times X_n$ . PROOF. From (1), it follows that

$$C_{A_m}(\langle \pi_1, \pi_2, \cdots, \pi_k \rangle) = (\langle \pi_1, \pi_1', \cdots, \pi_k, \pi_k' \rangle \times X_k) \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_k \rangle$$

In particular, we have

$$C_{A_m}(\langle \pi_1, \pi_2, \cdots, \pi_n \rangle) = (S \times X_n) \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_n \rangle$$
.

Since  $[\pi'_{i+1}, \mu_i] = \pi_i$   $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ , we get

$$C_{A_n}(S) = S \times X_n$$
.

1.3. LEMMA. The representatives of conjugacy classes of involutions of  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_n)$  are as follows: (i)  $\pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_s\pi'_{s+1}\cdots\pi'_{s+t}$   $(0 < s+t \le n)$  and  $\pi_1'\pi_2'\cdots\pi_n'\pi_n$ , when r=0 or 1, and (ii)  $\pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_s\pi'_{s+1}\cdots\pi'_{s+t}$   $(0 < s+t \le n)$  and  $\pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_s\pi'_{s+1}\cdots\pi'_{s+t}\mu_{n-1}\mu_n$   $(0 \le s \le n-1, 0 \le t \le n-1-s)$ , when r=2 or 3.

PROOF. The fusion of a 2-Sylow-group of  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_n)$  is the same as that of  $W_n\langle\mu_n\rangle$ . The conjugacy classes of  $W_n\langle\mu_n\rangle$  are known (e.g. see W. Specht [5]). From this our lemma follows. The details are omitted.

- 1.4. LEMMA. For a group H, let  $2^{r(H)}$  be the largest of the order of elementary abelian 2-subgroups of H. Then we have
  - (i)  $r(H_1 \times H_2) = r(H_1) + r(H_2)$

(ii) 
$$r(S_i) \leq \frac{l}{2}$$
.

PROOF. Let A be a maximal elementary abelian 2-subgroup of  $H_1 \times H_2$ . Take a non-identity element  $x_1x_2$  of A, where  $x_i \in H_i$  (i=1, 2). If  $A \ni y = y_1y_2$ , we have,  $x_1x_2 = (x_1x_2)^y = x_1^{y_1}x_2^{y_2}$ . This implies that  $x_1^y = x_1^{y_1} = x_1$  and  $x_2^y = x_2^{y_2} = x_2$ . By the maximality of A,  $x_i \in A$  (i=1, 2). Hence we get  $A = A_1 \times A_2$ , where  $A_i = H_i \cap A$  (i=1, 2). This proves (i). Let B be a maximal elementary abelian 2-subgroup of  $S_l$ . Assume that any element of B has no fixed letter. Then we have  $|B| \le l$ . Since  $l \le 2^{l/2}$ , we get  $r(B) \le l/2$ . Hence we may assume that an element x of B has at least one fixed letter. Obviously, we may assume that l is even. If x has 2k fixed letters  $(k \ge 1)$ , we have  $B \subseteq C_{S_l}(x) \cong U \times S_{l-2k}$ , where U has a 2-Sylow-group isomorphic to that of  $S_{2k}$ . By induction on l, we get  $r(U) \le k$  and  $r(S_{l-2k}) \le (l-2k)/2$ . From (i), if follows that  $r(B) \le r(U) + r(S_{l-2k}) \le l/2$ . This proves (ii).

- 1.5. Let H be a subgroup of  $S_i$  which is of the form  $S^{(i)} \times S^{(2)} \times \cdots \times S^{(l')} \times S^{(l'+1)}$ , where  $S^{(i)} \cong S_4$   $(1 \leq i \leq l')$  and  $S^{(l'+1)} \cong S_k$ . If the length of the orbits of  $S^{(i)} (1 \leq i \leq l')$  (resp.  $S^{(l'+1)}$ ) is 1 or 4 (resp. 1 or k) and  $S^{(i)} (1 \leq i \leq l')$  (resp.  $S^{(l'+1)}$ ) has precisely one orbit of length 4 (resp. k), we say that H is naturally imbedded in  $S_l$ .
- 1.6. LEMMA. Let H be as in (1.5). Then we have  $l \ge 4l'$ . Further, if k=2 or 3, we have  $l \ge 4l'+2$ .

PROOF. Since  $r(S_l) \le l/2$  and  $r(H) \ge 2l'$ , we get  $l \ge 4l'$ . If k=2 or 3, we have r(H) = 2l' + 1. Hence, we get  $l \ge 4l' + 2$ .

1.7. LEMMA. Let H be as in (1.5). If k=0 or 3 and N is normal subgroup of H, we have  $H' \cap N \neq 1$ , where H' is the commutator subgroup of H.

PROOF. Take an element  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_{l'+1}$  of  $H(x_i \in S^{(i)})$ . If  $x_i \neq 1$ , there exists an element  $x_{i'}$  of  $S^{(i)}$  such that  $[x_i, x_{i'}] \neq 1$ . Then  $1 \neq [x_i, x_{i'}] = [x_1x_2 \cdots x_{l'+1}, x_{i'}] \in H' \cap N$ .

- 1.8. LEMMA. Let H be as in (1.5). Assume that
- (i) l-1=4l'+k  $(0 \le k \le 3)$  and  $l \ne 6, 7,$
- (ii)  $S^{(i)}$  is conjugate in  $S_l$  to  $S^{(j)}$   $(1 \le i, j \le l')$  and  $S^{(l'+1)}$  is contained in a subgroup conjugate in  $S_l$  to  $S^{(i)}$  for every i  $(1 \le i \le l')$ ,
  - (iii)  $S^{(i)} \not\subseteq A_l$   $(1 \le i \le l'+1)$ .

Then H is naturally imbedded in  $S_i$ .

PROOF. Let  $\Omega$  be a set of l letters on which  $S_l$  operates.  $S^{(1)}$  has at least one orbit  $\Delta_1$  on which  $S^{(1)}$  operates faithfully. Let  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_{\rho}$  be all distinct orbits of  $S^{(1)}$ , each of which affords a permutation representation of  $S^{(1)}$  equivalent to that of  $S^{(1)}$  on  $\Delta_1$ . Put  $\Omega - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\rho} \Delta_i = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{\sigma}\}$ . Define a set

$$\bar{\Omega} = \{ \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \cdots, \Delta_{\rho}, i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{\sigma} \}$$

of  $\rho+\sigma$  elements. Put  $K=S^{(2)}\times\cdots\times S^{(l')}$ . K induces a permutation respresentation on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Let N be the kernel of this representation. If  $S^{(i)}\cap N\neq 1$   $(2\leq i\leq \tau)$  and  $S^{(i)}\cap N=1$   $(\tau+1\leq i\leq l')$ , it is easy to see that

$$(2) N \cap (S^{(c+1)} \times \cdots \times S^{(l')}) = 1$$

and  $|N \cap S^{(i)}| \ge 4$  ( $2 \le i \le \tau$ ). If c is the order of the centralizer in  $S_{d_i}$  of the representation of  $S^{(1)}$  on  $A_i$ , we have

(3) 
$$c^{\rho} \ge |N| \ge 2^{2(\varepsilon-1)}$$
.

We remark that  $|\Delta_1|=4, 6, 8, 12$  or 24. Put  $\lambda=|\Delta_1|$ .

Case  $(\alpha)$ ,  $\lambda=4$ . Since c=1 in this case, we have N=1. Hence K operates faithfully on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . By (1.6), we have  $4(l'-1) \le \rho + \sigma$ . Since  $l=4\rho + \sigma$ , we obtain  $l-4l' \ge 3\rho -4$ . If  $\rho \ge 3$ , we get  $l-5 \ge 4l'$ , which is impossible on account of the assumption (i).

Subcase  $(\alpha_1)$ ,  $\rho=2$ . First, we assume  $k\geq 2$ . Since K' is generated by elements of order 3 and  $\rho=2$ , K' leaves  $J_i$  invariant (i=1,2). From this and c=1, it follows that every element of K' fixes any element of  $J_i$  (i=1,2). On the other hand, K operates on  $\{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_{l-8}\}$ . If the kernel  $N_0$  of this representation is non-trivial, it follows from (1.7) that  $K'\cap N_0\neq 1$ . This is impossible since K operates faithfully on Q. Hence K operates faithfully on  $\{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_{l-8}\}$ . From (1.6), we get  $4(l'-1)\leq l-8$ . This is imposible if  $k\leq 2$ . Next, we assume k=3. Put

$$K_1 = S^{(2)} \times \cdots \times S^{(l')} \times S^{(l'+1)}$$

where  $S^{(l'+1)} \cong S_3$ . By the same argument as above,  $K_1$  operates faithfully on  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{l-8}\}$ . From (1.6) we get  $4(l'-1)+2 \le l-8$ , which is impossible on account cf the assumption (i).

Subcase  $(\alpha_2)$ ,  $\rho=1$ . From the assumption (ii), it follows that  $S^{(i)}$   $(1 \le i \le l')$  has unique faithful orbit  $\Delta^{(i)}$  of length 4 and  $\Delta^{(i)} \cap \Delta^{(j)} = \phi$   $(i \ne j)$ . By the assumption (iii),  $S^{(i)}$   $(1 \le i \le l')$  fixes any element in  $\Omega - \bigcup_{i=1}^{l'} \Delta^{(i)}$ . This implies our lemma in the case  $\lambda=4$ .

Case  $(\beta)$ ,  $\lambda=6$ . Since c=2, from (3) we get  $(\rho/2)+1\geq \tau$ . Then it follows from (2) and (1.6) that  $4(l'-\tau)\leq \rho+\sigma$ . Since  $l=6\rho+\sigma$ , we get  $l-4l'\geq 3\rho-4$ . If  $\rho\geq 3$ , we have  $l-5\geq 4l'$ , which is impossible.

Subcase  $(\beta_1)$ ,  $\rho=2$ . By the same argument as in the subcase  $(\alpha_1)$ , K operates faithfully on  $\{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_{l-12}\}$ . Then (1.6) yields that  $4(l'-1) \le l-12$ , which is impossible.

Subcase  $(\beta_2)$ ,  $\rho=1$ . By the assumption (ii),  $S^{(i)}$   $(1 \le i \le l')$  has unique faithful orbit  $\Delta^{(i)}$  of length 6 and we have  $\Delta^{(i)} \cap \Delta^{(j)} = \phi$   $(i \ne j)$ . This implies that  $l=|\Omega| \ge 6l'$ . If  $k \le 2$ , it follows that l=6 or 7, which is impossible on account of the assumption (i). If k=3,  $S^{(l'+1)}$  has a faithful orbit  $\Delta$  such that  $|\Delta| \ge 3$  and  $\Delta \cap \Delta^{(i)} = \phi$   $(1 \le i \le l')$ . Hence we have  $l=|\Omega| \ge 6l'+3$ . This is impossible since l=4l'+4.

Case (7),  $\lambda=8$ . Since c=2, we obtain  $(\rho/2)+1\geq \tau$  from (3). By (2) and (1.6) we have  $4(l'-\tau)\leq \rho+\sigma$ . Since  $l=8\rho+\sigma$ , we have  $l-4l'\geq 5\rho-4$ . If  $\rho\geq 2$ , we get  $l-6\geq 4l'$  which is impossible. If  $\rho=1$ , K operates faithfully on  $\{i_1,i_2,\cdots i_{l-8}\}$ . (1.6) yields that  $4l'\leq l-4$ . Then k=3 and  $K_1=S^{(1)}\times\cdots\times S^{(l'+1)}$  operates faithfully on  $\{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_{l-8}\}$ . (1.6) yields that  $4(l'-1)+2\leq l-8$ , which is impossible.

Case  $(\hat{o})$   $\lambda=12$ . In this case, we have  $c \leq 4$ . By (2) and (3) we obtain  $4l' \leq 5\rho + \sigma + 4$ . Since  $l=12\rho + \sigma$ , we get  $l-4l' \geq 7\rho - 4$ . If  $\rho \geq 2$ , we have  $l-10 \geq 4l'$ , which is impossible. If  $\rho=1$ , K operates faithfully on  $\{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_{l-12}\}$ . (1.6) yields  $4(l'-1) \leq l-12$ , which is impossible.

Case  $(\varepsilon)$ ,  $\lambda=24$ . Since c=24, we get  $2^{5\rho} \ge 24^{\rho} \ge 2^{2(\tau-1)}$  from (3). Hence  $5\rho/2+1 \ge \tau$ . Then we have  $l-4l' \ge 13\rho-4$ . This yields  $l-9 \ge 4l'$ , which is impossible. This completes the proof of our lemma.

#### § 2. Conjugacy classes of involutions of G.

- **2.1.** Let G and  $\varphi$  be as in the introduction. For a subset X of  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} C_{A_m}(\alpha_k)$ ,  $\bar{X}$  denotes the image of X by  $\varphi$ .
- **2.2.** LEMMA. Any involution of  $C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_n)$  is conjugate in G to one of  $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_n$ .

PROOF. We shall show that  $\tilde{\pi}_1 \cdots \tilde{\pi}_s \tilde{\pi}'_{s+1} \cdots \tilde{\pi}'_{s+t}$  (resp.  $\tilde{\pi}_1' \cdots \tilde{\pi}_n' \tilde{\pi}_n$ ) is conjugate to  $\tilde{\alpha}_{s+t}$  (resp.  $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ ) in G. Suppose that s=0. Since  $\pi_{t'}$  is conjugate to  $\pi_{n'}$  in  $W_n \langle \mu_n \rangle$  and  $\pi_{n'} u_n = \pi_n$  and  $[\pi_{t'}, u_n] = 1$  in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_{n-1})$  ( $1 \le i \le n-1$ ),  $\tilde{\pi}_1' \tilde{\pi}_2' \cdots \tilde{\pi}_{t'}$  is conjugate to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1)</sup>  $S_4$  has two inequivalent faithful transitive representation of degree 12, one of which has c=2 and the other has c=4.

 $\tilde{\pi}_1' \cdots \tilde{\pi}'_{t-1}\tilde{\pi}_n$  which is conjugate to  $\tilde{\pi}_1'\tilde{\pi}_2' \cdots \tilde{\pi}_{t'}$  in  $C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_n)$ . Hence we may assume that  $s \ge 1$ . Since  $\pi_i'^u = \pi_i$  and  $[\pi_j', u_i] = 1$  in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_s)$   $(s+1 \le i, j \le s+t \text{ and } i \ne j)$ , we get that  $\tilde{\pi}_1 \cdots \tilde{\pi}_s \tilde{\pi}'_{s+1} \cdots \tilde{\pi}'_{s+t}$  is conjugate to  $\tilde{\alpha}_{s+t}$  in G. Since  $\pi_n^{u_n} = \pi_n \pi_n'$  and  $[\pi_i', u_n] = 1$   $(1 \le i \le n-1)$  in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_{n-1})$ , it follows that  $\tilde{\pi}_1' \cdots \tilde{\pi}_n' \tilde{\pi}_n$  is conjugate to  $\tilde{\alpha}_n$  in G.

Futhermore,  $\pi_1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_s\pi'_{s+1} \cdots \pi'_{s+t}\mu_{n-1}\mu_n$  is conjugate to  $\pi_1 \cdots \pi_s\pi'_{s+1} \cdots \pi'_{s+t} \times \pi_{s+t+1}$  in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_1)$ . From this and the fact obtained above, follows that  $\tilde{\pi}_1 \cdots \tilde{\pi}_s\tilde{\pi}'_{s+1} \times \cdots \tilde{\pi}'_{s+t}\tilde{\mu}_{n-1}\tilde{\mu}_n$  is conjugate to  $\tilde{\alpha}_{s+t+1}$  in G. Then (1, 3) implies our lemma.

# **2.3.** LEMMA. A 2 Sylow-subgroup of $C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_n)$ is that of G.

PROOF. Let D be a 2-Sylow-subgroup of  $C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_n)$  and F be that of G containing D. Then we have  $D=F\cap C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_n)$ . If z is in the center of F, [z,D]=1 and in particular,  $[z,\tilde{\alpha}_n]=1$ . Hence we get  $z\in Z(D)$ . By (2.2), there exists an element x of G such that  $z^z=\tilde{\alpha}_k$  for some k. Since  $C_G(z)^z=C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_k)$  and

$$|C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_k)|_2 = |C_{A_m}(\alpha)|_2 \le |C_{A_m}(\alpha_n)|_2 = |C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_n)|_2^{2}$$
,

we have  $|C_G(z)|_2 \le |C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_n)|_2 = |D|$ . This yields F = D.

**2.4.** LEMMA. G has n conjugacy classes of involutions whose representatives are  $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_n$ .

PROOF. By (2.2) and (2.3), it is sufficient to see that  $\tilde{\alpha}_i$  is not conjugate to  $\tilde{\alpha}_j$   $(i \neq j)$ . This follows from the fact that  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_i)$  is not isomorphic to  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_j)$ .

### § 3. The proof of the Theorem.

3.1. We shall prove our theorem by induction on m. First, we note that our theorem holds good for m=8, 9, or 10. By W. J. Wong's theorem [6], our theorem is true for m=8. D. Held [3] proved that, if  $G_0$  is a finite group satisfying the condition that (i)  $G_0$  has no normal subgroup of index 2 and (ii)  $G_0$  has an involution a such that  $C_{G_0}(a)$  is isomorphic to  $C_{A_8}(\alpha_2)$ , then  $G_0$  is isomorphic to  $A_8$ ,  $A_9$  or a semidirect product of L and E, where  $L\cong PSL(2,7)$ , E is an elementary abelian group of order 8 and  $G_0\triangleright E$ . It is easy to see that the last group does not satisfy the assumption of our theorem. If m=9, our assumption yields that G has no normal subgroup of index 2. This turns out by examining fusion of involutions

<sup>2)</sup> For a set X, if |X| = 2ab and (2b) = 1,  $|X|_2 = 2a$ .

of G and applying the focal subgroup theorem. From this it follows that our theorem is true for m=9. Similarly, D. Held's theorem [4] yields that if m=10, G is isomorphic to  $A_{10}$ . Hence we shall assume that  $m \ge 11$ .

3.2. LEMMA.  $C_G(\bar{u}_n) = \langle \bar{u}_n \rangle \times U_0$ ,  $U_0 \cong A_{m-3}$  and  $U_0$  contains  $\bar{\pi}_i$  and  $\bar{\pi}_i$   $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ .

PROOF. Put  $Q = \{i \mid 1 \le i \le m\} - \{4n-3, 4n-2, 4n-1\}$  or  $\{i \mid 1 \le i \le m\} - \{4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3\}$  according to whether  $r \le 2$  or r=3. Then we have |Q| = m-3.

Case  $r \le 2$ . As contains  $\alpha_k$   $(1 \le k \le n-1)$ . For  $1 \le k \le n-1$ , we have by (1),

$$C_{A_m}(u_n) \cap C_{A_m}(\alpha_k) = \langle u_n \rangle \times C_{A_n}(\alpha_k)$$
.

Hence we get

$$C_G(\tilde{u}_n) \cap C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_k) = \langle \tilde{u}_n \rangle \times \widetilde{C_{AO}(\alpha_k)}$$
.

Put  $\mathfrak{g}=C_G(\tilde{u}_n)/\langle \tilde{u}_n\rangle$ . Denote by  $\phi$  the canonical homomorphism from  $C_G(\tilde{u}_n)$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Involutions  $\phi(\tilde{\alpha}_1)$ ,  $\phi(\tilde{\alpha}_2)$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\phi(\tilde{\alpha}_{n-1})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a mapping  $\phi\varphi$  from  $C_{A_D}(\alpha_k)$  into  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfy the condition of the theorem with m-3 in place of m. By induction assumption,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to  $A_{m-3}$ . Since the order of the Schur multipliers of  $A_{m-3}$  ( $m\geq 11$ ) is prime to 3, we have  $C_G(\tilde{u}_n)=\langle \tilde{u}_n\rangle \times U_0$ ,  $U_0\cong A_{m-3}$ . Since  $u_n$ ,  $\pi_i$  and  $\pi_i'$  ( $1\leq i\leq n-1$ ) are contained in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_{n-1})$  and  $[u_n,\pi_i]=[u_n,\pi_i']=1$  ( $1\leq i\leq n-1$ ),  $U_0$  contains  $\tilde{\pi}_i$  and  $\tilde{\pi}_i'$  ( $1\leq i\leq n-1$ ).

Case r=3. Put  $\mathfrak{g}=C_G(\tilde{u}_{n+1})/\langle \tilde{u}_{n+1}\rangle$ . If  $\phi$  is the canonical homomorphism from  $C_G(\tilde{u}_{n+1})$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$ , involutions  $\phi(\tilde{\alpha}_1), \cdots \phi(\tilde{\alpha}_n)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a mapping  $\phi \varphi$  from  $C_{A_G}(\alpha_k)$  into  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfy the condition of the theorem. In the same way as above, we get

$$C_G(\tilde{u}_{n+1}) = \langle \tilde{u}_{n+1} \rangle \times U_1$$
, where  $U_1 \cong A_{m-3}$ .

Since  $u_n$  is conjugate to  $u_{n+1}$  in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_{n-1})$ ,  $\bar{u}_n$  is conjugate to  $\bar{u}_{n+1}$  in G. Hence we get

$$C_G(\bar{u}_n) = \langle \bar{u}_n \rangle \times U_0$$
, where  $U_0 \cong A_{m-3}$ .

3.3. Put  $\tilde{u}_1 = \tilde{u}_n^{\tau_{1n}}$ . (Note that  $\tilde{u}_1$  has not been defined, since  $u_1 \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n C_{A_m}(\alpha_k)$ .) For  $2 \le i \le n-1$ , we have  $\tilde{u}_i = \tilde{u}_n^{\tau_{in}}$ , since  $u_i = u_n^{\tau_{in}}$  in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_{i-1})$ . In the case r=2 or 3, we define an element x of  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_{n-1})$  as follows:

$$x = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (4n-3,\ 4n+1)(4n-2,\ 4n+2), & \text{if} \quad r = 2 \\ (4n+1,\ 4n-3,\ 4n+2,\ 4n-2)(4n-1,\ 4n+3) & \text{if} \quad r = 3. \end{array} \right.$$

- 3.4. LEMMA. We have
- (i)  $[\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\pi}_i] = [\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\pi}_i'] = 1$   $(2 \le i \le n)$ ,

- (ii)  $[\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\tau}_{ij}] = 1$   $(2 \leq i, j \leq n)$ ,
- (iii)  $[\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{\mu}_n]=1$  if  $r\geq 2$ , and
- (iv)  $[\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{x}] = 1$ .

PROOF. Since  $\pi_i^{\tau_{1n}} = \pi_i$   $(2 \le i \le n-1)$  and  $\pi_1^{\tau_{1n}} = \pi_n$  in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_n)$ , we have  $\tilde{\pi}_i^{\tau_{1n}} = \tilde{\pi}_i$   $(2 \le i \le n-1)$  and  $\tilde{\pi}_1^{\tau_{1n}} = \tilde{\pi}_n$ . This yields that  $[\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\pi}_i] = [\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\pi}_i'] = 1$   $(2 \le i \le n)$  by (3.2) and (3.3). This proves (i).  $\tau_{ij}$   $(1 \le i, j \le n-1)$  is contained in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_{n-1})$  and  $[\tau_{ij}, u_n] = 1$   $(1 \le i, j \le n-1)$ . Futher we have

$$\tau_{ij1n}^{\tau_{ij1n}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \tau_{ij}, & \text{if} \quad 1 \! + \! i \! < \! j \! \leqslant \! n \! - \! 1 \text{ ,} \\ \tau_{in}, & \text{if} \quad 1 \! = \! i \! < \! j \! \leqslant \! n \! - \! 1 \text{ .} \end{array} \right.$$

From this and (3.3), it follows that  $[\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\tau}_{ij}] = 1$  ( $2 \le i, j \le n$ ). If  $r \ge 2$ , we have  $[\tau_{1n}, \mu_1 \mu_n] = 1$  in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_n)$  and  $[u_n, \mu_1 \mu_n] = 1$  in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_{n-1})$ . From this and (3.3), (iii) follows. We have  $[u_n, x^{\epsilon_{1n}}] = 1$  in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_{n-1})$ . Then we get  $[\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{x}] = [\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{x}^{\epsilon_{1n}}] = 1$ .

3.5. LEMMA.  $[\bar{u}_1, \bar{X}_1] = 1$ .

PROOF. Since  $u_n$  normalizes  $\langle \pi_n, \pi_n' \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{u}_1$  normalizes  $\langle \tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_1' \rangle$ . By (1), we have

$$C_G(\langle \tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_1' \rangle) = \langle \tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_1' \rangle \times \tilde{X}_1, \text{ where } \tilde{X}_1 \cong A_{m-4}.$$

Hence  $\tilde{u}_1$  normalizes  $\tilde{X}_1$  and induces an inner automorphism of  $\tilde{X}_1$ . From (1.2) and (i) and (iii) of (3.4), it follows that  $\tilde{u}_1$  must centralize  $\tilde{X}_1$ 

3.6. Lemma.  $C_G(\tilde{u}_1) = \langle \tilde{u}_1 \rangle \times U$ , where  $U \cong A_{m-3}$ , and  $U \supset \tilde{X}_1$ .

PROOF. The first statement follows from (3.2) and (3.3). The second statement follows from (3.5) and the fact that  $X_1' = X_1$  and U' = U.

3.7. LEMMA.  $N_G(\langle \tilde{u}_1 \rangle) = (\langle \tilde{u}_1 \rangle \times U) \langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{u}_1^{\tilde{\mu}_{n-1}} = \tilde{u}_1^{-1}$  and  $U(\mu_{n-1}) \cong S_{m-3}$ .

PROOF. Since  $u_n^{\mu_{n-1}} = u_n^{-1}$  in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_{n-1})$  and  $[\tau_{1n}, \mu_{n-1}] = 1$  in  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_n)$ , we get  $\tilde{u}_1^{\mu_{n-1}} = \tilde{u}_1^{-1}$ . From (1) and (2.4), any involution of G does not centralize a subgroup of G isomorphic to  $A_{m-3}$ . If  $U\langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle$  is not isomorphic to  $S_{m-3}$ , we have  $U\langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle = \langle y \rangle \times U$ , where y is an involution of  $U\langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle$ . This is impossible.

3.8. LEMMA.  $N_G(\langle \tilde{u}_1 \rangle) \cap C_G(\tilde{\pi}_1) = \tilde{X}_1 \langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle \text{ and } \tilde{X}_1 \langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle \cong S_{m-4}$ .

PROOF. By (1) and (3.5),  $\tilde{X}_1$  is contained in  $C_U(\tilde{\pi}_1)$ .  $\tilde{\pi}_1$  does not centralize U, since  $U \cong A_{m-3}$ . Hence we have  $C_U(\tilde{\pi}_1) = \tilde{X}_1$ , since  $\tilde{X}_1$  is a maximal subgroup of U. From (3.7), we get  $N_G(\langle \tilde{u}_1 \rangle) \cap C_G(\tilde{\pi}_1) = \tilde{X}(\tilde{\mu}_{n-1})$ . The second statement follows from (1).

3.9. Let H be a group isomorphic to  $S_l$ . Then H is generated by l-1 element  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{l-1}$  satisfying the following relations:

$$x_1^2 = \cdots = x_{l-1}^2 = (x_i x_{i+1})^3 = (x_j x_k)^2 = 1$$
  $(1 \le i, j, k \le l-1 \text{ and } |j-k| > 1)$ 

(cf. [2; p. 287]). We call an ordered set of such generators of H a set of canonical generators of H. If an involution t of H is a member of a set of canonical generators of H, we say that t is a transposition of H. Remark that, if l=6, this terminology is slightly vague because of the existence of an outer automorphism of order 2 of  $S_6$ . However, in the subsequent lemmas, this will cause no troubles. Let  $H_0$  be a group isomorphic to  $A_l \cdot H_0$  is generated by l-2 elements  $y_1, y_2, \cdots$ ,  $y_{l-2}$  satisfying the following relations:

$$y_1 = \cdots = y_{l-2} = (y_i y_{i+1})^3 = (y_j y_k)^2 = 1$$
  $(1 \le i, j, k \le l-2 \text{ and } |j-k| > 1).$ 

We call an ordered set of such generators of  $H_0$  a set of canonical generators of  $H_0$ .

3.10. LEMMA. Let H and  $H_0$  be as in (3.9). Assume that  $H_0$  is a subgroup of H. Let  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  be transpositions in H such that  $[t_1, t_2] = 1$  and if l = 6,  $t_1$  is conjugate to  $t_2$  in H. Then we have (i)  $C_H(t_1) = \langle t_1 \rangle \times K$ , where  $H_0 \supset K \cong S_{l-2}$ , and (ii)  $t_1t_2$  is a transposition of K.

PROOF. Since  $H=H_0\langle t_1\rangle$ , we have  $C_H(t_1)=\langle t_1\rangle\times C_{H_0}(t_1)$ . Put  $K=C_{H_0}(t_1)$ . We can find a set of canonical generators  $t_1', t_2', \cdots, t'_{l-1}$  of H with  $t_1'=t_1$  and  $t_3'=t_2$ . Then it is clear that  $t_1't_3', \cdots, t_1't'_{l-1}$  are contained in K and they are a set of canonical generators of K. This implies our lemma.

3.11 LEMMA.  $\tilde{\mu}_i$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_i\tilde{u}_{i+1}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_i\tilde{\pi}_{i+1}$   $(1 \le i \le n-1)$  are transpositions in  $U\langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle$ . If r=2, so is  $\tilde{\mu}_n$ . Further, if r=3, so are  $\tilde{\mu}_n$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_n\tilde{u}_{n+1}$ .

PROOF. Put  $S^{(i)} = \langle \tilde{\mu}_i, \tilde{\mu}_i \tilde{u}_{i+1}, \tilde{\mu}_i \tilde{\pi}_{i+1} \rangle$   $(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ . Then it is easy to see that  $S^{(i)}$  is isomorphic to  $S_4$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_i, \tilde{\mu}_i \tilde{u}_{i+1}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_i \tilde{\pi}_{i+1}$  are a set of canonical generators of  $S^{(i)}$ . Put

$$S^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r = 0 \text{ or } 1, \\ \langle \tilde{\mu}_n \rangle, & \text{if } r = 2, \\ \langle \tilde{\mu}_n & \tilde{\mu}_n \tilde{u}_{n+1} \rangle, & \text{if } r = 3. \end{cases}$$

Then we have  $[S^{(i)}, S^{(j)}] = 1$   $(1 \le i < j \le n)$ . From (3.4) we know that  $\tilde{\tau}_{i+1, j+1}$   $(1 \le i, j \le n-1)$  and  $\tilde{x}$  are contained in U. Since  $(S^{(i)})^{\tilde{\tau}_{i+1, j+1}} = S^{(j)}$   $(1 \le i < j \le n-1)$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_{n-1}^{\tilde{x}} = \tilde{\mu}_n$ 

and  $\tilde{u}_n^{\tilde{s}} = \tilde{u}_{n+1}$ , a subgroup  $S^{(1)} \times S^{(2)} \times \cdots \times S^{(n)}$  of  $U(\tilde{\mu}_{n-1})$  satisfies the assumption of (1.8). Then (1.8) yields our lemma.

**3.12** LEMMA. G contains a subgroup Q isomorphic to  $A_m$ . Q has a property that, for any involution t of Q,  $C_G(t)$  is contained in Q.

PROOF.  $\tilde{X}_1\langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle$  is a subgroup isomorphic to  $S_{m-4}$  of  $U\langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle$ , which is isomorphic to  $S_{m-3}$ . Since, by [1, section 161],  $S_{m-3}$  contains exactly one conjugate class of subgroups isomorphic to  $S_{m-4}$ ,  $\tilde{X}_1\langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle$  is naturally imbedded in  $U\langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle$  in the same meaning as in (1.5). Then (3.11) yields that there exist an involution  $\delta_1$  in  $U\langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle - (U \cup \tilde{X}_1\langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle)$  and n-1 involutions  $\delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$  in  $\tilde{X}_1\langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle - \tilde{X}_1$  such that

- (i)  $C = \{\tilde{\mu}_1, \, \tilde{\mu}_1 \tilde{u}_2, \, \tilde{\rho}_1 \tilde{\pi}_2, \, \tilde{\delta}_2, \, \cdots, \, \tilde{\mu}_k, \, \tilde{\mu}_k \bar{u}_{k+1}, \, \tilde{\rho}_k \tilde{\pi}_{k+1}, \, \tilde{\delta}_k, \, \cdots, \, \tilde{\mu}_{n-1}, \, \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \tilde{u}_n, \, \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \tilde{\pi}_n, \, \tilde{\delta}_n, \, \tilde{\mu}_n, \, \tilde{\mu}_n \tilde{u}_{n+1} \}$  is a set of canonical generator of  $\tilde{X}_1 \langle \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \rangle$ , where the last 3-r elements of C do not appear.
- (ii)  $(\tilde{u}_1\delta_1)^2=1$ ,  $(\delta_1\tilde{\mu}_1)^3=1$ , and every element of  $C-\{\mu_1\}$  commutes with  $\delta_1$ . Let Q be a subgroup of G generated by a set  $C_1=\{\tilde{u}_1,\ \tilde{\pi}_1,\ \delta_1\}\cup C$ . We shall show that  $\tilde{\pi}_1^{\delta_1}$  is of order 3. This implies that Q is isomorphic to  $A_m$  and  $C_1$  is a set of canonical generators of Q. Put  $y=\tilde{\pi}_2'\tilde{\tau}_{12}$ ,  $C_2=C-\{\tilde{\mu}_1\}$  and  $C_3=C-\{\tilde{\mu}_1,\ \tilde{\mu}_1u_2,\ \tilde{\mu}_1H_2,\ \delta_2\}$ . Then we have
- (iii)  $\langle \tilde{\pi}_1, \delta_1 \rangle \subset C_G(C_2)$ ,

96

- (iv)  $(\tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{u}_2)^y = \tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{u}_1$  and  $(\tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{\pi}_2)^y = \tilde{\mu}_1$  and
- (v)  $v^y = \tilde{\mu}_1 v$  for any element v of  $C_3$ .

If fact, (iii) follows from (i) and (ii). We have  $(\tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{u}_2)^v = (\tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{u}_2)^{\epsilon_12} = \tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{u}_1$  and  $(\mu_1\tilde{\pi}_2)^v = \tilde{\mu}_1^{\epsilon_12} = \tilde{\mu}_1$ . This proves (iv). We shall verify (v). If  $C_3 \ni v \neq \delta_k$ , we get  $v^v = \tilde{\mu}_1 v$  by using the isomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $C_{A_m}(\alpha_n)$  to  $C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_n)$  and computing directly. Suppose that  $v = \delta_k$   $(k \geq 3)$ . In order to verify (v) in this case, firstly we shall show that  $\tilde{X}_2$  is generated by the totality of products of any two elements of  $C_3$ . We denote by  $C_4$  the totality of products of any two elements of  $C_4$  commutes with  $\tilde{u}_1$ . Since  $\tilde{u}_1 = \tilde{u}_1 = \tilde{u}_1 = \tilde{u}_1$ , we get  $C_4 \subset C_G(\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_1', \tilde{\pi}_2, \tilde{\pi}_2')$ . By (i), the group generated by the set  $C_4$  is isomorphic to  $A_{m-8}$ . Since  $C_G(\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_1', \tilde{\pi}_2, \tilde{\pi}_2') = \langle \tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_1', \tilde{\pi}_2, \tilde{\pi}_2' \rangle \times \tilde{X}_2$  and  $\tilde{X}_2 \cong A_{m-8}$  by the equality (1) in (1.1),  $\tilde{X}_2$  must be the group generated by  $C_4$ . Since  $[\tilde{\tau}_{12}, \tilde{X}_2] = 1$ , any element of  $C_4$  commutes with  $\tilde{\tau}_{12}$ . In particular, we have  $[\tilde{\tau}_{12}, \tilde{\mu}_{k-1}\tilde{\pi}_k\delta_k] = 1$   $(k \geq 3)$ . Hence we have  $\tilde{\mu}_{k-1}\tilde{\pi}_k\delta_k = (\tilde{\mu}_{k-1}\tilde{\pi}_k\delta_k)^{\epsilon_{12}} = \tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{\mu}_{k-1}\tilde{\pi}_k\delta^{\epsilon_{12}} = \delta_k$ . Thus we have proved (v). By (iv), we have  $(C_G(\tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{u}_2) \cap C_G(\tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{\pi}_2))^v = C_G(\tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{u}_1) \cap C_G(\tilde{\mu}_1) =$ 

 $C_G(\tilde{u}_1)\cap C_G(\tilde{\mu}_1)$ . Put  $Z=C_G(\tilde{u}_1)\cap C_G(\tilde{\mu}_1)$ . By (3,6), (3,7) and (3,11), we have  $C_G(\tilde{\mu}_1)\cap U\langle \tilde{\mu}_1\rangle = \langle \tilde{\mu}_1\rangle \times Z$  and  $U\supset Z\cong S_{m-5}$ . Put  $W=\langle \tilde{\mu}_1v\mid v\in C_3\rangle$ . By (v), we have  $Z\supset W$ . From (i) it follows that W is isomorphic to  $S_{m-8}$  and the set  $\{\mu_1v\mid v\in C_3\}$  is a set of canonical generators of W. Then by applying (3.10) with  $U\langle \tilde{\mu}_1\rangle$ , U, Z,  $\tilde{\mu}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_1v$   $(v\in C_3)$  in place of H,  $H_0$ , K,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively, we get that  $H_2$  is naturally imbedded in  $H_2$  in the same meaning as in (1.5). Hence we get  $H_2(W)\cong S_3$ . Since  $H_2(W)\supset C_G(C_2)^v$  and  $H_3(\tilde{\mu}_1,\tilde{\mu}_1)\neq 1$  by (3.8), (iii) yields that  $\tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{\mu}_1$  must be of order  $H_1(W)\supset C_G(C_2)^v$  and  $H_2(W)\supset C_G(C_2)^v$  and  $H_3(W)\supset C_G(C_2)^v$ 

### 3.13 LEMMA. G=Q.

PROOF. By way of contradiction assume that Q is a proper subgroup of G. If any involution is not contained in G-Q, Q is a normal subgroup of G. Then Frattini argument yields that  $G=C_G(\tilde{\alpha}_n)\cdot Q$ . This contradicts (3.12). Take an involution x in G-Q. If y is an involution of Q, x is conjugate to y in G. Otherwise, there would exist an involution z such that [x,z]=[y,z]=1. Then (3.12) would imply that x is contained in Q, a contradiction. Hence G has one class of involutions. This contradicts (2.4) Hence we get G=Q.

This completes the proof the theorem.

College of General Education, University of Tokyo.

#### References

- [1] W. Burnside, Theory of groups of finite order, Dover, 1955, 2nd edition.
- [2] L. E. Dickson, Linear groups with an exposition of the Galois field theory, Dover, 1958.
- [3] D. Held, A characterization of the alternating groups of degree eight and nine, J. Algebra, 7 (1967), 218-237.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, A characterization of some multiply transitive permutation groups I, Illinois J. Math. (to appear).
- [5] W. Specht, Eine Verallgemeinerung der symmetrischen Gruppe, Schr. Math. Sem. Berlin 1 (1932), 1-32.
- [6] W. J. Wong, A characterization of the alternating group of degree eight, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 13 (1963), 359-383.

(Received November 15, 1967)