

On a special class of pseudo-differential operators

By Daisuke FUJIWARA

Introduction. The theory of pseudo-differential operators on \mathbf{R}^n was first discussed in Kohn-Nirenberg [4] and in Unterberger and Bakobza [5]. Then Hörmander [6] treated pseudo-differential operators on differentiable manifold M in more intrinsic manner.

In this note a special subclass of pseudo-differential operators on $M \times \mathbf{R}^1$ is treated, where M is a differentiable σ -compact manifold and \mathbf{R}^1 is the real line. Operators in this class are called β -pseudo-differential operators for the time being.

Briefly speaking, a β -pseudo-differential operator is a pseudo-differential operator on $M \times \mathbf{R}^1$ which has constant coefficients in the direction of \mathbf{R}^1 . Some global properties of β -pseudo-differential operators are required by the theory of elliptic operators on M .

The aim of this note is to establish these properties by slightly modifying the discussions in Hörmander [6]. Applications of the theory of β -pseudo-differential operators will appear in the immediately following paper in this volume.

§ 1. β -pseudo-differential operators.

Let M be a σ -compact differentiable manifold of dimension n . We denote by $\mathcal{D}(M)$ the function space of complex valued C^∞ functions on M with compact support. The space of C^∞ functions on M is denoted by $\mathcal{E}(M)$. If M is an open set in \mathbf{R}^n and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is in M , we denote by D^α with multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of non negative integers and $D_j = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ the differential operator $D_1^{\alpha_1} D_2^{\alpha_2} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n}$. For the other notations for various distribution spaces, we follow the notations in L. Schwartz [1] and A. Grothendieck [2].

DEFINITION 1. A continuous linear mapping P from $\mathcal{D}(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ into $\mathcal{E}(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ will be called a β -pseudo-differential operator if there exists a sequence $\{z_j = s_j + it_j\}_{j=0,1,2,3,\dots}$ of complex numbers with decreasing real parts $s_0 > s_1 > s_2 > \dots \rightarrow -\infty$ such that, for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ and $g \in \mathcal{E}(M)$ which is real valued with $dg \neq 0$ on $\text{supp } f$, there is an asymptotic expansion

$$(1) \quad e^{-i\lambda(\rho g + \sigma)} P(f e^{i\lambda(\rho g + \sigma)}) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_j(f; \rho g, x, \sigma) \lambda^{s_j}$$

which has the following property:

$e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}P(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)})$ is independent of s and, for any integer $N>0$ and compact set \mathcal{H} of real functions g in $\mathcal{E}(M)$ with $dg \neq 0$ on $\text{supp} f$,

$$(2) \quad \lambda^{-sN}(e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}P(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}) - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_j(f; \rho g, x, \sigma)\lambda^{2j})$$

remains bounded in $\mathcal{E}(M \times S)$ with $S = \{(\rho, \sigma) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid 1/2 \leq \rho^2 + \sigma^2 \leq 2\}$. We call the formal sum

$$\sigma_P(f, g) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(f; \rho g, x, \sigma)\lambda^{2j}$$

the symbol of P .

PROPOSITION 2. Put

$$p(f; \rho g; x, \sigma, \lambda) \otimes 1_s = e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}P(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}).$$

Then, $P(f, \rho g; x, \sigma, \lambda)$ is an $\mathcal{E}(M \times S)$ valued C^∞ function in λ .

PROOF. Since $fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}$ is a $\mathcal{D}(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ valued C^∞ function of λ, ρ and σ in $\mathbf{R}^1 \times S$, $P(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)})$ is an $\mathcal{E}(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ valued C^∞ function of λ, ρ and σ . $e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}$ is also an $\mathcal{E}(M) \hat{\otimes} C_M$ valued C^∞ function of λ, ρ , and σ . The multiplication of functions is a hypocontinuous bilinear mapping from $(\mathcal{E}(M) \hat{\otimes} C_M) \times (\mathcal{E}(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1))$ to $\mathcal{E}(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$. Thus $p(f, \rho g; x, \sigma, \lambda) \otimes 1_s = e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}P(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)})$ is an $\mathcal{E}(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ valued C^∞ function of λ, ρ and σ . For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ with $\int_{\mathbf{R}^1} \varphi(s) ds = 1$,

$$p(f; \rho g, x, \sigma, \lambda) = \langle e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}P(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}), \varphi \rangle$$

is an $\mathcal{E}(M)$ valued C^∞ function of λ, ρ and σ . This proves Proposition 2.

REMARK 3. If f runs in a bounded set of $\mathcal{D}(M)$, the asymptotic expansion is uniform in f . In fact, the mappings $\Psi_{N, \rho, \sigma, \lambda}$ defined by

$$\Psi_{N, \rho, \sigma, \lambda}(f) = \lambda^{-sN}(e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}P(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}) - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_j(f; \rho g, x, \sigma)\lambda^{2j})$$

are continuous linear mappings from $\mathcal{D}(M)$ into $\mathcal{E}(M \times S)$. These mappings constitute a bounded set in $L_s(\mathcal{D}(M), \mathcal{E}(M \times S))$. Since $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is a barrelled space, this set is equi-continuous.

In the following we shall treat the case that M is an open subset Ω (not necessarily connected) of \mathbf{R}^n . In this case, for any f in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, we shall define

$$p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) = e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)}P(fe^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)})$$

and

$$p_j(f, x, \xi, \sigma) = p_j(f, x \cdot \xi; x, \sigma), \quad j=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

PROPOSITION 4.

$p(f, x, \xi, \sigma)$ is in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$.

$p_j(f, x, \xi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^{n+1} - \{0\})$ and p_j is homogeneous in (ξ, σ) of degree α_j . Moreover, for arbitrary multi-index α_1 and any integer α_2 , the set

$$(3) \quad (|\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-\alpha_1 + |\alpha_1| + \alpha_2} D_{\xi}^{\alpha_1} D_{\sigma}^{\alpha_2} (p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_j(f, x, \xi, \sigma))$$

is bounded in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, when $|\xi| + |\sigma| \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. The fact that $p(f, x, \xi, \sigma)$ is in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ can be proved in the same way as in Proposition 1. Let ξ satisfy $1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2$, then

$$\lambda^{-\alpha_N} \left[p(f, x, \lambda \rho \xi, \lambda \sigma) - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_j(f, x, \rho \xi, \sigma) \lambda^{2j} \right]$$

remains bounded in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega \times S)$ uniformly in λ and ξ . Therefore for any $\alpha \geq 0$, the set

$$\lambda^{-\alpha_N} \left[D_{\sigma}^{\alpha} p(f, x, \lambda \rho \xi, \lambda \sigma) - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} D_{\sigma}^{\alpha} p_j(f, x, \rho \xi, \sigma) \lambda^{2j} \right]$$

is bounded in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega \times S)$ uniformly in λ and ξ . Thus $D_{\sigma}^{\alpha} p_j(f, x, \rho \xi, \sigma)$ is an $\mathcal{E}(\Omega \times S)$ -valued continuous function in ξ .

Differentiability of $p(f, x, \rho \xi, \sigma)$ gives

$$(4) \quad -i \frac{\partial p(f, x, \rho \xi, \sigma)}{\partial \rho \xi_j} = -x_j p(f, x, \rho \xi, \sigma) + p(x_j f, x, \rho \xi, \sigma).$$

Both $x_j p(f, x, \lambda \rho \xi, \lambda \sigma)$ and $p(x_j f, x, \lambda \rho \xi, \lambda \sigma)$ admit asymptotic expansion in λ in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega \times S)$, uniformly in ξ . So that,

$$(5) \quad \lambda^{-\alpha_N} \left(D_{\rho \xi}^{\alpha} p(f, \lambda \rho \xi, \lambda \sigma) - \sum_0^{N-1} p_j(f, x, \lambda \rho \xi, \lambda \sigma) \right)$$

also admits asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{E}(M \times S)$ uniformly in ξ . We show that the expansion of (5) does not contain any term of positive power in λ . If $\beta=0$, this is assumed. So, considered as a $\mathcal{G}'(M \times S \times \{1/2 \leq |\xi|^2 \leq 1\})$ -valued function in λ , (5) is bounded. Therefore, it does not contain any term of positive power in λ . This implies that (5) is bounded in $\mathcal{E}(M \times S)$ uniformly in ξ . Thus $D_{\rho \xi}^{\beta} p_N(f, x, \rho \xi, \sigma)$ is a $\mathcal{E}(M \times S)$ valued continuous function in ξ . This implies that $p_j(f, x, \rho \xi, \sigma)$ is in $\mathcal{E}(M \times S \times \{1/2 \leq |\xi|^2 \leq 2\})$ thus $p_j(f, x, \xi, \rho)$ is in $\mathcal{E}(M \times \{|\xi|^2 + |\sigma|^2 = 1\})$. Since (5) is bounded in $\mathcal{E}(M \times S)$ uniformly in ξ, λ, f ,

$$\lambda^{-sN} \left(D_\sigma^{\alpha_2} D_{\rho\xi}^{\alpha_1} p(f, \lambda\xi\rho, \lambda\sigma) - \sum_0^{N-1} D_{\rho\xi}^{\alpha_1} D_\sigma^{\alpha_2} p_j(f, x, \lambda\rho\xi, \lambda\sigma) \right)$$

is bounded uniformly in ξ, λ .

Introducing $\lambda\xi\rho$ and $\lambda\sigma$ as new variables instead of $\rho\xi, \sigma$, we have the desired estimate.

Now we can prove that

$$p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}).$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ and $\varphi \equiv 1$ if $|\xi|^2 + |\sigma|^2 > 1$, $\varphi \equiv 0$ in some neighbourhood of 0. Then

$$\varphi(\xi, \sigma) p_0(f, x, \xi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}).$$

On the other hand the estimate proved above implies that

$$p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) - \varphi(\xi, \sigma) p_0(f, x, \xi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}).$$

Thus we have

$$p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}).$$

LEMMA 5. If φ is in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ and \mathcal{F} is compact in Ω , we have

$$(6) \quad P(\varphi) = (2\pi)^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{\varphi}(\xi, \sigma) e^{i(x\xi + s\sigma)} d\xi d\sigma,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ with $f \equiv 1$ on \mathcal{F} . Here the integral is only the symbolic expression of the following fact:

$$\varphi(x, s) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1) \text{ so that } \hat{\varphi}(\xi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1).$$

Since $p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{L}'(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$, (see Proposition 4) and

$$\mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}) = \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^1),$$

we have $p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{\varphi}(\xi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{L}'(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$.

In fact, the multiplication mapping

$$\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)) \times (\mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^1)) \\ \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1) \end{array}$$

is a separately continuous bilinear mapping, where topologies in tensor products are projective topologies. Since $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^1)$ are barrelled spaces (A. Grothendieck [2], Chap. I p. 44 cor.) this bilinear mapping is hypocontinuous. Therefore, we can prolong this and obtain a hypocontinuous bilinear

mapping,

$$(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)) \times (\mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1).$$

This proves

$$p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{\phi}(\xi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1).$$

Expressing symbolically the Fourier inverse transform by integration, we have

$$(7) \quad (2\pi)^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{\phi}(\xi, \sigma) d\xi d\sigma$$

in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$.

The bilinear mapping $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ defined by multiplication of functions induces a linear map from $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ into $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$. The image of (7) by this map is what we express by the symbol

$$(2\pi)^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{\phi}(\xi, \sigma) e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} d\xi d\sigma.$$

PROOF OF THE LEMMA 5. We have only to prove the formula (6) when $\varphi = \phi_1(x) \otimes \phi_2(s)$ with $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega)$, $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^1)$. In this case,

$$\varphi = f \cdot \varphi = (2\pi)^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} f(x) e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} \hat{\phi}_1(\xi) \otimes \hat{\phi}_2(\sigma) d\xi d\sigma.$$

This integral converges in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$, because this converges even in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^1)$. Thus

$$P(\varphi) = P(f\varphi) = (2\pi)^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} P(f e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)}) \hat{\phi}_1(\xi) \otimes \hat{\phi}_2(\sigma) d\xi d\sigma.$$

This, together with the definition of $p(f, x, \xi, \sigma)$, gives (6).

COROLLARY. If $\varphi = \phi_1 \otimes \phi_2$, $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^1)$, then we have

$$(8) \quad P(\varphi) = (2\pi)^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \hat{\phi}_1(\xi) e^{i x \cdot \xi} d\xi \int_{\mathbf{R}^1} p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{\phi}_2(\sigma) e^{i s \sigma} d\sigma,$$

where the integral over \mathbf{R}^1 means the coupling of functions $(1 + \sigma^2)^{-1} e^{i s \sigma}$ in the space \mathcal{S}_{L^1} and distribution

$$p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{\phi}_2(\sigma) (1 + \sigma^2)^{-1} \text{ in the space } \mathcal{S}'.$$

Especially,

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} P(\phi \otimes e^{i s \sigma}) &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{R^n} \hat{\phi}_1 e^{i x \cdot \xi} d\xi \int_{R^1} p(f, x, \xi, \tau) \delta_\sigma e^{i s \tau} d\tau \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} e^{i s \sigma} \int_{R^n} \hat{\phi}_1(\xi) p(f, x, \xi, \sigma) e^{i x \cdot \xi} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY. A β -pseudo-differential operator P maps $\mathcal{D}(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^1)$ into $\mathcal{E}(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^1)$ continuously.

§2. Fourier integral operators

Let $\Omega \subset R^n$ be an open set. We denote by K a function in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_M(R^{n+1})$ such that there are functions $K_j(x, \xi, \sigma)$ in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}(R^{n+1} - \{0\})$ which are positively homogeneous of degree $z_j = s_j + i t_j$ in ξ, σ , with $s_j \rightarrow -\infty$ and that have the following property: for any multi-index α_1 and non negative integers α_2 and N ,

$$(10) \quad (|\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0 + |\alpha_1| + \alpha_2} D_\xi^{\alpha_1} D_\sigma^{\alpha_2} \left(K(x, \xi, \sigma) - \sum_0^{N-1} K_j(x, \xi, \sigma) \right)$$

is bounded in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, when $|\xi| + |\sigma| \rightarrow \infty$.

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$, we define

$$(11) \quad (K\varphi) = (2\pi)^{-n-1} \int_{R^{n+1}} K(x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{\varphi}(\xi, \sigma) e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} d\xi d\sigma,$$

where the integral has the same symbolic meaning as in Lemma 5. As stated in Lemma 5, K maps $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ continuously into $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$.

LEMMA 6. For any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, we have,

$$e^{-i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(f(x) e^{i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)}) \sim \sum_{\alpha, j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{\xi, \lambda}^\alpha K_j(x, \xi \cdot \lambda, \sigma \cdot \lambda) D_\sigma^\alpha f.$$

More precisely

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & (|\lambda|^{-s_0 + N} + |\lambda|^{-s_j}) \left(e^{-i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(f e^{i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \sum_{j \in J} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{\xi, \lambda}^\alpha K_j(x, \lambda \xi, \lambda \sigma) D^\alpha f \right) \end{aligned}$$

is bounded in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega \times S_2)$ with $S_2 = \{(\xi, \sigma) \in R^{n+1}, 1/2 \leq |\xi|^2 + \sigma^2 \leq 2\}$. Moreover, the expansion is uniform if f remains bounded in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$.

PROOF. Since $\widehat{e^{i s \sigma \lambda}} = 2\pi \delta(\tau - \lambda \sigma)$, we have

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} & e^{-i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(f e^{i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)}) \\ & = e^{-i\lambda x \cdot \xi} \int_{R^n} K(x, \eta, \lambda \sigma) \hat{f}(\eta - \lambda \xi) e^{i x \cdot \eta} d\eta \\ & = \int_{R^n} K(x, \eta + \lambda \xi, \lambda \sigma) e^{i x \cdot \eta} \hat{f}(\eta) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in \Omega$ remain in a fixed compact set F . Then we have for any multi-index β ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| D_x^\beta K(x, \eta + \lambda\xi, \lambda\sigma) - \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{\lambda\xi}^\alpha D_x^\beta K(x, \lambda\xi, \lambda\sigma) \eta^\alpha \right| \\ & \leq C |\eta|^N (1 + \lambda)^{s_0 - N}, \text{ if } |\eta| < \frac{\lambda}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\leq C |\eta|^N$, for any η . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| D_x^\beta (e^{-i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(fe^{i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)})) - \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{\lambda\xi}^\alpha D_x^\beta K(x, \lambda\xi, \lambda\sigma) D^\alpha f \right| \\ & \leq C(1 + \lambda)^{s_0 - N} \int_{|\eta| < \lambda/4} |\hat{f}(\eta)| |\eta|^N d\eta + C \int_{|\eta| > \lambda/4} |\eta|^N \hat{f}(\eta) d\eta \\ & \leq C(1 + \lambda)^{s_0 - N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\eta)| |\eta|^N d\eta + C \int_{|\eta| > \lambda/4} (1 + |\eta|)^{s_0 - 2N - n} |\eta|^N d\eta \\ & \leq C(1 + \lambda)^{s_0 - N}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad & \left| \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_x^\beta D_{\lambda\xi}^\alpha K(x, \lambda\xi, \lambda\sigma) D^\alpha f - \sum_{j < J} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{\lambda\xi}^\alpha D_x^\beta K_j(x, \lambda\xi, \lambda\sigma) D^\alpha f \right| \\ & \leq C(1 + \lambda)^{s_j}. \end{aligned}$$

So that we have the expansion in the topology of $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}(S_2)$. To obtain expansion in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}(S_2)$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{\xi_j} e^{-i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(fe^{i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)}) \\ & = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_{\eta_j} K(x, \eta + \lambda\xi, \lambda\sigma) e^{i\lambda(x \cdot \eta)} \hat{f}(\eta) d\eta \\ & = \lambda e^{-i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} (D_{\xi_j} K)(fe^{i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)}). \end{aligned}$$

The kernel $D_{\xi_j} K$ has the similar property to that of K . Therefore repeating these processes, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda^{-s_0 + N} + \lambda^{-s_j}) \left[D_{\xi_j}^{\alpha_1} D_{\sigma}^{\alpha_2} e^{-i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(fe^{i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \sum_{j \in J} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{\xi_j}^{\alpha_1} D_{\sigma}^{\alpha_2} D_{\lambda\xi}^\alpha K_j(x, \lambda\xi, \lambda\sigma) D^\alpha f \right] \end{aligned}$$

admits an asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ which is uniform in $\xi, \sigma \in S_2$. We can prove that this doesn't contain any term of positive power in λ by the same method used in the proof of Proposition 4. Therefore, we can prove that

$$(\lambda^{-s_0+N} + \lambda^{-s_0}) \left[D_{\xi}^{\alpha_1} D_{\sigma}^{\alpha_2} (e^{-i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + \sigma)}) K(f e^{i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + \sigma)}) \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \sum_{j \in J} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{\lambda \xi}^{\alpha} K_j(x, \lambda \xi, \lambda \sigma) D^{\alpha} f \right]$$

is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{L}(\Omega)$. This completes the proof.

The following lemma is due to Hörmander [3].

LEMMA 7. *Let B_0 be a bounded subset of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, and let B be a bounded set of $\mathcal{L}(\Omega)$ with only real elements. If c is an upper bound for $|\text{grad } h|$ in $\text{supp } f$ when $f \in B_0$ and $h \in B$, then, for every positive integers N and k , there is a constant C such that*

$$(16) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f \left(e^{i\lambda h} - \sum_0^{k-1} \frac{1}{j!} (i\lambda h)^j \right) (i\lambda h)^{-k} e^{-i\lambda h} dx \right| \leq C |\xi|^{-N},$$

if $|\xi| > 2\lambda c$. When $N=0$, the estimate holds for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

Using this, we can prove

THEOREM 8. *If K satisfies (10), the operator K defined by (11) is a β -pseudo-differential operator of order s_0 . The symbol of K is given by*

$$(17) \quad \sigma_K(f, g) = \sum_{\alpha, j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{\lambda \rho \xi}^{\alpha} K_j(x, \lambda \rho \xi_x, \lambda \sigma) D^{\alpha} (f e^{i\lambda \rho^2}),$$

where

$$\xi_x = \text{grad } g(x), \quad h_x(y) = g(y) - g(x) - \langle y - x, \xi_x \rangle.$$

PROOF. Let $F \subset \Omega$ be compact, B and B_0 be bounded sets of $\mathcal{L}'(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, respectively, such that, when $g \in B$ and $f \in B_0$, we have $|dg| \geq c > 0$ which is independent of f and g . We wish to study

$$e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma + \sigma)} K(f e^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma + \sigma)}), \quad (\rho, \sigma) \in S$$

$$S = \left\{ (\rho, \sigma) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : \frac{1}{2} \leq \rho^2 + \sigma^2 \leq 2 \right\}.$$

We may assume that for any f in B_0 support f is contained in F .

At first we also require that

$$(18) \quad |\text{grad } g(x) - \text{grad } g(y)| \leq \frac{1}{4} |\text{grad } g(x)|$$

for any $x, y \in F, g \in B$. This hypothesis will be removed at the end of the proof.

Let $x \in F, \xi_x = \text{grad } g(x)$ and $h_x(y) = g(y) - g(x) - \langle y - x, \xi_x \rangle$, then $h_x(y)$ vanishes to the second order at x . The function $u_{\lambda} = f e^{i\lambda(\rho g + \sigma)}$ can be written as

$$(19) \quad u_\lambda(y, t, \rho, \sigma) = \left[f(y) e^{i\lambda(\rho g(x) + \langle y-x, \rho \xi_x \rangle)} \sum_0^{k-1} \frac{1}{j!} (i\lambda \rho h_x(y))^j + e^{i\lambda \rho g(x)} R_\lambda(y) \right] e^{i\lambda \sigma t}.$$

Where $R_\lambda(y) = R_\lambda(y, \rho)$ is the remainder term which we shall study later. Hence

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} & e^{-i\lambda(\rho g + s\sigma)} K(u_\lambda)(x, \rho, \sigma, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j!} e^{-i\lambda(\rho x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(f \cdot (i\lambda \rho h_x(y))^j e^{i(y\rho \xi + \sigma t)}) \\ & \quad + e^{-i\lambda s\sigma} K(R_\lambda(y, \rho)) e^{i\lambda \sigma t}, \end{aligned}$$

$(1/2)C\lambda \leq \lambda(|\rho \xi| + |\sigma|) \leq 2(C+1)\lambda$, $f(\rho h_x)^j$ remains bounded uniformly in ρ . So, by Lemma 6, the sum in (20) admits an asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega \times S)$ which is given by

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{j,\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{\lambda \rho \xi}^\alpha K_j(x, \lambda \rho \xi, \lambda \sigma) D^\alpha (f(i\lambda \rho h_x)^l).$$

Since $D^\alpha (f(i\lambda \rho h_x))$ vanishes for $\alpha < 2l$, if k is sufficiently large the terms in this sum involving λ to a power larger than any given number will be the same as those in the formal sum

$$\sum_{\alpha, \nu} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{\lambda \rho \xi}^\alpha K_j(x, \lambda \rho \xi, \lambda \sigma) D^\alpha (f e^{i\lambda \rho h_x}).$$

Hence to prove the theorem we have only to estimate the error term $e^{-i\lambda s\sigma} K(R_\lambda e^{i\lambda \sigma t})$ with

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} R_\lambda(y) &= e^{i\lambda(\rho g(y) - \rho g(x))} f(y) - f(y) e^{i\lambda \langle y-x, \rho \xi_x \rangle} \sum_0^{k-1} \frac{1}{j!} (i\lambda \rho h_x(y))^j \\ &= e^{i\lambda \rho \langle y-x, \xi \rangle} f(y) F_\lambda(y, \rho) (i\lambda \rho h_x)^k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(22) \quad F_\lambda(y, \rho) = \left[e^{i\lambda \rho h_x} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j!} (i\lambda \rho h_x)^j \right] (i\lambda \rho h_x)^{-k}.$$

Note that $(h_x)^k$ vanishes to the order $2k$ at x . So we have

$$f(y) (ih_x)^k = \sum_{|\alpha|=2k} H_\alpha(y) (y-x)^\alpha$$

for suitable H_α which can be chosen in a bounded set in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ for all x in F and all $f \in B_0$ and $g \in B$.

Let $G_\alpha(y; \lambda, \rho) = F_\lambda(y, \rho) H_\alpha(y)$, then

$$(23) \quad |\hat{G}_\alpha(\xi; \lambda, \rho)| \leq C|\xi|^{-N}, \text{ if } |\xi| \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda\rho|\xi_x|.$$

In fact, if $|\xi| \geq (1/2)\lambda\rho|\xi_x|$, then by (18)

$$|\text{grad } h_x| = |\text{grad } g(y) - \xi_x| \leq \frac{1}{4}|\xi_x|.$$

By Lemma 7 we have (23). When $N=0$, (23) holds for any ξ .

$$\hat{R}_\lambda(\xi, \rho) = (2\pi)^n (\lambda\rho)^k e^{-i\lambda\rho(x, \xi)} \sum_{|\alpha|=2k} (-D_\xi - x)^\alpha \hat{G}_\alpha(\xi - \lambda\rho\xi_x; \lambda, \rho).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-i\lambda\sigma s} K(R_\lambda(y) e^{i\lambda\sigma s}) \\ &= e^{-i\lambda\sigma s} (\lambda\rho)^k \int_{R^{n+1}} K(x, \xi, \tau) e^{-i\lambda\rho x \cdot \xi} \sum_{|\alpha|=2k} (-D_\xi - x)^\alpha \hat{G}_\alpha(\xi - \lambda\xi_x \rho; \lambda, \rho) \\ (24) \quad & \otimes \delta(\tau - \lambda\sigma) e^{i(x, \xi + \sigma\tau)} d\xi d\tau \\ &= (\lambda\rho)^k \int_{R^n} K(x, \xi, \lambda\sigma) e^{ix \cdot (\xi - \lambda\rho\xi_x)} \sum_{|\alpha|=2k} (-D_\xi - x)^\alpha \hat{G}_\alpha(\xi - \lambda\xi_x \rho; \lambda, \rho) d\xi \\ &= (\lambda\rho)^k \int_{R^n} K(x, \xi + \lambda\rho\xi_x, \lambda\sigma) e^{ix \cdot \xi} \sum_{|\alpha|=2k} (-D_\xi - x)^\alpha \hat{G}_\alpha(\xi; \lambda, \rho) d\xi \\ &= (\lambda\rho)^k \int_{R^n} \sum_{|\alpha|=2k} (D_\xi^\alpha K(x, \xi + \lambda\rho\xi_x, \lambda\sigma)) e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{G}_\alpha(\xi; \lambda, \rho) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

If $\rho \geq 1/4$, using (23) and (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (25) \quad & e^{-i\lambda\sigma s} K(R_\lambda(y, \rho) e^{i\lambda\sigma s})(x, \lambda, \rho, \sigma) \\ & \leq C(\lambda\rho)^k \int_{|\xi| \geq (1/2)\lambda\rho|\xi_x|} |\xi|^{-N} d\xi + C(\lambda\rho)^k \int_{|\xi| < (1/2)\lambda\rho|\xi_x|} (|\xi_x \lambda\rho| + |\lambda\sigma|)^{\sigma_0 - 2k} d\xi \\ & \leq C(\lambda\rho)^k [(\lambda\rho|\xi_x|)^{-N+n} + (|\xi_x|\lambda\rho)^{\sigma_0+n-2k}] \\ & \leq C \left(\left(\frac{\lambda c}{4} \right)^{-N+n+k} + \left(\frac{\lambda c}{4} \right)^{n-k} \right) \quad \text{uniformly in } \rho, \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

And if $\rho < 1/4$, then $\sigma > 1/4$ and we have for large k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=2k} |D_\xi^\alpha K(x, \xi + \lambda\rho\xi_x, \lambda\sigma)| & \leq C(1 + |\xi + \lambda\rho\xi_x| + |\lambda\sigma|)^{\sigma_0 - 2k} \\ & \leq C(|\xi + \lambda\rho\xi_x| + |\lambda\sigma|)^{\sigma_0 - 2k}. \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (26) \quad & e^{-i\lambda\sigma s} K(R_\lambda(y, \rho)) e^{i\lambda\sigma t} \\
 & \leq C(\lambda\rho)^k \int_{R^n} (|\xi + \lambda\rho\xi_x| + |\lambda\sigma|)^{s_0-2k} d\xi \\
 & \leq C(\lambda\rho)^k \int_{R^n} (|\xi| + |\lambda\sigma|)^{s_0-2k} d\xi \\
 & \leq C(\lambda\rho)^k (\lambda\sigma)^{s_0-2k+n} \int_{R^n} (1 + |\xi|)^{s_0-2k} d\xi \\
 & \leq C(\lambda\sigma)^{s_0-k+n} \\
 & \leq C(2\lambda)^{s_0-k+n} \quad \text{uniformly in } \rho, \sigma.
 \end{aligned}$$

(25) and (26) imply that the asymptotic expansion holds in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega \times S)$ topology.

Next we shall prove that the expansion holds in $\mathcal{L}(\Omega \times S)$ topology.

From (11) we have

$$(27) \quad D_j K(\varphi) = K_{(j)}(\varphi) + K(D_j \varphi),$$

where $K_{(j)}$ is the operator with the kernel $D_j K(x, \xi, \sigma)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (28) \quad & D_j(e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)} K(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)})) \\
 & = -\lambda\rho \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)} K(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}) \\
 & \quad + e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)} \left[K(D_j f) e^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)} + \lambda\rho K\left(f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} e^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}\right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + K_{(j)}(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D_j(e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)} K(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}))$ admits an asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega \times S)$ topology. Since the differentiation is continuous in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times S)$ this is the formal differentiation of $e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)} K(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (29) \quad & D_\rho e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)} K(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}) = -\lambda g e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)} K(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}) \\
 & \quad + \lambda e^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)} K(fg e^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore similar argument is valid for

$$D_\rho e^{-i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)} K(fe^{i\lambda(\rho\sigma+s\sigma)}).$$

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 (30) \quad & K(\varphi \otimes e^{i\sigma\tau}) = (2\pi)^{-n-1} \int_{R^{n+1}} e^{i(x \cdot \xi + \sigma\tau)} K(x, \xi, \tau) \hat{\varphi}(\xi) 2\pi \delta(\tau - \sigma) d\tau d\xi \\
 & = (2\pi)^{-n} e^{i\sigma\tau} \int_{R^n} e^{i x \cdot \xi} K(x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{\varphi}(\xi) d\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_\sigma[e^{-i\sigma\theta}K(\varphi\otimes e^{i\sigma\theta})] &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i\xi\xi} D_\sigma K(x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{\varphi}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= e^{-i\sigma\theta} (D_\sigma K)(\varphi\otimes e^{i\sigma\theta}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $(D_\sigma K)$ is the operator with the Kernel $D_\sigma K(x, \xi, \sigma)$. Hence we have

$$D_\sigma e^{-i\lambda(g\rho+\sigma\theta)} K(fe^{i\lambda(g\rho+\sigma\theta)}) = e^{-i\lambda(g\rho+\sigma\theta)} (D_\sigma K)(fe^{i\lambda(g\rho+\sigma\theta)}).$$

Therefore we can apply to this case the argument used in treating (28) and (29).

Repeating this process, we can prove Theorem 8 under the hypothesis (18).

Finally we must remove the hypothesis (18). At any point x_0 in F , there exists a relatively compact open neighbourhood U_ε , with the following properties:

(31) For any $g \in B$, there is a function $g_{x_0} \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$(32) \quad g_{x_0} = g + \text{const. on } U_{x_0}, \quad |\text{grad } g_{x_0}(x) - \text{grad } g_{x_0}(y)| < \frac{1}{4} |\text{grad } g_{x_0}(x)|,$$

for any x, y in F .

To prove this, we shall introduce a function $\varphi_n(x) = \varphi(n(x-x_0))$ where $\varphi(z)$ is a C_0^∞ function which is identically one in some neighbourhood of the origin and has its support in the unit ball. We have the estimate

$$(33) \quad |\text{grad } \varphi_n(x)| \leq C \cdot n.$$

Now define a function

$$g_n(x) = \varphi_n(x)(g(x) - g(x_0)) + (1 - \varphi_n(x)) \langle \xi_{x_0}, x - x_0 \rangle$$

where $\xi_{x_0} = \text{grad } g(x_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grad } g_n(x) &= \varphi_n(x) \text{grad } g(x) + (1 - \varphi_n(x)) \xi_{x_0} \\ &\quad + (g(x) - g(x_0)) \text{grad } \varphi_n(x) - \langle \xi_{x_0}, x - x_0 \rangle \text{grad } \varphi_n(x) \\ |\xi_{x_0} - \text{grad } g_n(x)| &\leq |\varphi_n(x)(\text{grad } g(x) - \xi_{x_0}) \\ &\quad + (g(x) - g(x_0) - \langle \xi_{x_0}, x - x_0 \rangle) \text{grad } \varphi_n(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the support of φ_n is contained in the sphere $|x-x_0| \leq 1/n$, we have

$$|\xi_{x_0} - \text{grad } g_n(x)| \leq O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \text{ uniformly for } g \in B \text{ and for } x \in F.$$

Therefore for our purpose we have only to choose large n and define $g_{x_0} = g_n$. Because F is compact, we can choose a finite open covering $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^J$, functions $g_j \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ and constants a_j such that $|dg_j| > C$ and $g_j = g + a_j$ on U_j and g_j satisfies hypothesis (18), that is,

$$(18)' \quad |\text{grad } g_j(x) - \text{grad } g_j(y)| \leq \frac{1}{4} |\text{grad } g_j(x)|, \quad \forall x, y \in F.$$

Let $\{\phi_j\}$ be a C^∞ partition of unity subordinate to the covering $\{V_j\}$ which is a star refinement of $\{U_j\}$. Then,

$$(34) \quad e^{-i\lambda \langle g\rho + s\sigma \rangle} K(fe^{i\lambda \langle g\rho + s\sigma \rangle}) = \sum_{j,k} e^{-i\lambda \langle g_j\rho + s\sigma \rangle} \phi_k K(\phi_j fe^{i\lambda \langle g_j\rho + s\sigma \rangle}).$$

If $\text{supp } \phi_j \cap \text{supp } \phi_k \neq \emptyset$, then both $\text{supp } \phi_j$ and $\text{supp } \phi_k$ are contained in an open set U_l . Therefore

$$(35) \quad e^{-i\lambda \langle g\rho + s\sigma \rangle} \phi_k K(\phi_j fe^{i\lambda \langle g\rho + s\sigma \rangle}) = e^{-i\lambda \langle g_l\rho + s\sigma \rangle} \phi_k K(\phi_j fe^{i\lambda \langle g_l\rho + s\sigma \rangle}).$$

Since g_l satisfies (18)', (35) admits an asymptotic expansion in λ in $\mathcal{S}(\Omega \times S)$.

If $\text{supp } \phi_j \cap \text{supp } \phi_k = \emptyset$, then we have

$$(36) \quad e^{-i\lambda \langle g\rho + s\sigma \rangle} \phi_k K(\phi_j fe^{i\lambda \langle g\rho + s\sigma \rangle}) = e^{-i\lambda \langle g - g_j + a_j \rangle} \phi_k e^{-i\lambda \langle g_j\rho + s\sigma \rangle} K(\phi_j fe^{i\lambda \langle g_j\rho + s\sigma \rangle}).$$

Since g_j satisfies (18)

$$\phi_k e^{-i\lambda \langle g_j\rho_j + s\sigma \rangle} K(\phi_j fe^{i\lambda \langle g\rho + s\sigma \rangle})$$

admits the asymptotic expansion (17) with $K_j = \phi_k K_j$ and $f = \phi_j f$. Therefore for any integer $N \geq 0$,

$$(37) \quad \lambda^{-N} (e^{-i\lambda \langle g - g_j + a_j \rangle} \phi_k e^{-i\lambda \langle g_j\rho + s\sigma \rangle} K(\phi_j fe^{i\lambda \langle g_j\rho + s\sigma \rangle}))$$

is bounded in $\mathcal{S}(\Omega \times S)$. This, together with (34), (35) and (36) completes our proof.

If $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^k)$, we introduce for any real s , the norm

$$(38) \quad \|u\|_s = \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^k)} = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left[\int |\hat{u}(\eta)|^2 (1 + |\eta|)^{2s} d\eta \right]^{1/2}.$$

THEOREM 9. *If K is the operator given by (11), then for any fixed $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant C such that for any $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^k)$,*

$$(39) \quad \|e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} \varphi K(e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} u)\|_{H^{a-s_0}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{1+s_0} \|u\|_{H^a(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}.$$

Besides this, if $s_0 \leq 0$, there holds for $\forall b \in [s_0, -s_0]$

$$(40) \quad \|e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} \varphi K(e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} u)\|_{H^{a+b}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^b \|u\|_{H^a(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}.$$

PROOF. First we shall prove with $a_1 + b_1 = s_0$ the inequality

$$(41) \quad |\langle e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} u), \varphi v \rangle| \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0} \|u\|_{H^{a_1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \|v\|_{H^{b_1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}$$

holds for any $v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. We have

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} u), \varphi v \rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} v(x, s) \varphi(x) dx ds \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(x, \zeta + \xi, \rho + \sigma) \hat{u}(\zeta, \rho) d\zeta d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

To compute this we introduce the Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} h(\eta, \tau; \zeta, \rho; \xi, \sigma) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} e^{-i(x \cdot \eta + s\tau)} \varphi(x) K(x, \zeta + \xi, \rho + \sigma) dx ds \\ &= h_1(\eta; \zeta, \rho; \xi, \sigma) \otimes \hat{\sigma}(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

By partial integration, from (10), we have for any N ,

$$(43) \quad |h_1(\eta; \zeta, \rho; \xi, \sigma) (1 + |\eta|)^N (1 + |\zeta + \xi| + |\rho + \sigma|)^{-s_0}| < c.$$

Now we have

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} u), \varphi v \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} \hat{v}(\eta, \tau) h(-\eta - \zeta, -\tau - \rho; \zeta, \rho; \xi, \sigma) \hat{u}(\zeta, \rho) d\zeta d\rho d\eta d\tau \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \hat{v}(\eta, -\rho) h_1(-\eta - \zeta; \zeta, \rho; \xi, \sigma) \hat{u}(\zeta, -\rho) d\zeta d\rho d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} V(\eta, \rho) &= \hat{v}(\eta, -\rho) (1 + |\eta|^2 + \rho^2)^{b_1/2} \\ U(\zeta, \rho) &= \hat{u}(\zeta, -\rho) (1 + |\zeta|^2 + \rho^2)^{a_1/2} \\ H(\eta; \zeta, \rho; \xi, \sigma) &= (1 + |\eta|^2 + \rho^2)^{-b_1/2} (1 + |\zeta|^2 + \rho^2)^{-a_1/2} \times h_1(-\eta - \zeta; \zeta, \rho; \xi, \sigma), \end{aligned}$$

we write (44) as

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} u), \varphi v \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} H(\eta; \zeta, \rho; \xi, \sigma) V(\eta, \rho) \cdot U(\zeta, \rho) d\zeta d\rho d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

From (43) follows

$$(46) \quad |H(\eta; \zeta, \rho; \xi, \sigma)| \leq C (1 + |-\eta - \zeta|)^{-N} (1 + |\zeta + \xi| + |\rho + \sigma|)^{s_0} \times (1 + |\eta|^2 + \rho^2)^{-b_1/2} (1 + |\zeta|^2 + \rho^2)^{-a_1/2}.$$

For any $b_1 \in \mathbf{R}$, there holds

$$(47) \quad (1 + |\eta|^2 + \rho^2)^{-b_1/2} \leq (1 + |-\eta - \zeta|)^{b_1} (1 + |\zeta|^2 + \rho^2)^{-b_1/2},$$

because $(1 + |\eta| + |\rho|) \leq (1 + |-\eta - \zeta|)(1 + |\zeta| + |\rho|)$ and $(1 + |-\eta - \zeta|)^{-1} (1 + |\eta| + |\rho|)^{-1} \leq (1 + |\zeta| + |\rho|)^{-1}$ hold. Thus we have

$$(48) \quad |H(\eta; \zeta, \rho, \xi, \sigma)| \leq C (1 + |-\zeta - \eta|)^{-N + b_1} (1 + |\zeta|^2 + \rho^2)^{-(a_1 + b_1)/2} \times (1 + |\zeta + \xi| + |\rho + \sigma|)^{s_0}.$$

If $a_1 + b_1 = s_0$, then the estimate

$$(1 + |\zeta + \xi| + |\rho + \sigma|)^{s_0} \leq (1 + |\zeta|^2 + \rho^2)^{s_0/2} (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0}$$

holds, so that

$$|H(\eta; \zeta, \rho, \xi, \sigma)| \leq C(1 + |-\zeta - \eta|)^{-N + |b_1|} (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0}.$$

Since $\int_{R^n} (1 + |\zeta|)^{-N + |b_1|} d\zeta < \infty$, from (45) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s_0 \sigma)} K(e^{i(x \cdot \zeta + s_0 \sigma)} u), \varphi v \rangle| \\ & \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0} \int_{R^1} d\rho \int_{R^n} \int_{R^n} (1 + |-\zeta - \eta|)^{-N + |b_1|} |V(\eta, \rho) U(\zeta, \rho)| d\zeta d\eta \\ & \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0} \int_{R^1} \left[\int_{R^n} |U(\zeta, \rho)|^2 d\zeta \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{R^n} |V(\eta, \rho)|^2 d\eta \right]^{1/2} d\rho \\ & \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0} \left[\int |U(\zeta, \rho)|^2 d\zeta d\rho \right]^{1/2} \left[\int |V(\eta, \rho)|^2 d\eta d\rho \right]^{1/2} \\ & \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0} \|u\|_{a_1} \|v\|_{b_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved (41). From (41), (39) follows easily. If $s_0 \leq 0$, we shall prove, with $a_1 + b_1 = -s_0$

$$(49) \quad |\langle e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s_0 \sigma)} K(e^{i(x \cdot \zeta + s_0 \sigma)} u), \varphi v \rangle| \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0} \|u\|_{a_1} \|v\|_{b_1}.$$

In fact, then we have from (48),

$$\begin{aligned} (50) \quad & |(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0} H(\eta; \zeta, \rho; \xi, \sigma)| \\ & \leq C(1 + |-\zeta - \eta|)^{-N + |b_1|} (1 + |\zeta|^2 + \rho^2)^{s_0/2} (1 + |\zeta + \xi| + |\rho + \sigma|)^{s_0} (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0} \\ & \leq C(1 + |-\zeta - \eta|)^{-N + |b_1|} (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0} (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0} \\ & \leq C(1 + |-\zeta - \eta|)^{-N + |b_1|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int (1 + |-\zeta - \eta|)^{-N + |b_1|} d\zeta = \int (1 + |-\xi - \eta|)^{-N + |b_1|} d\eta < \infty$, we have, from (45) and (50),

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s_0 \sigma)} K(e^{i(x \cdot \zeta + s_0 \sigma)} u), \varphi v \rangle| (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0} \\ & \leq \int_{R^1} d\rho \int_{K^n} \int_{K^n} (1 + |-\eta - \zeta|)^{-N + |b_1|} |V(\eta, \rho) U(\zeta, \rho)| d\zeta d\eta \leq C \|u\|_{a_1} \|v\|_{b_1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (49), the estimate (40) is a direct consequence of (49), if $b = s_0$.

By the theory of interpolation (see J.L. Lions and J. Peetre [3] and E. Magenes [7]), (40), for general b , follows easily from (49) and (50).

REMARK. Similar estimate holds for usual Fourier integral operator K . Namely, let Ω be an open set in R^n , and K be a function in $\mathcal{L}(\Omega \times R^n)$ such that, there

exist functions $K_j(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega \times (\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}))$ which are positively homogeneous of degree $z_j = s_j + it_j$ with $s_j \rightarrow -\infty$ and for all N, α, β ,

$$(51) \quad (1 + |\xi|)^{-(\beta + \alpha N)} D_\xi^\beta \left(K(x, \xi) - \sum_0^{N-1} K_j(x, \xi) \right)$$

remains bounded in $\mathcal{L}(\Omega)$, when $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. Then for any fixed $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $a \in \mathbf{R}$, there is a constant C such that for any $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$

$$(52) \quad \|\varphi e^{-ix \cdot \xi} K(e^{ix \cdot \xi} u)\|_{a-s_0} \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{|s_0|} \|u\|_a.$$

If $s_0 \leq 0$, we have, for any $b \in [s_0, -s_0]$,

$$(53) \quad \|\varphi e^{-ix \cdot \xi} K(e^{ix \cdot \xi} u)\|_{a+b} \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^b \|u\|_a.$$

The proof is quite similar to the proof of Theorem 9.

THEOREM 10. *If K is the operator given by (11) with $s_0 \leq 0$, then for any fixed $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $a \in \mathbf{R}$ there exists a constant C such that for any $b, |b| \leq |s_0|$ and for any $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, we have*

$$(54) \quad \|e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(ue^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)})\|_{H^{a+b}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^b \|u\|_{H^a(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(ue^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)}) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi(x) K(x, \zeta, \sigma) \hat{u}(\zeta - \xi) e^{-ix \cdot (\eta - \xi)} d\zeta \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi(x) K(x, \zeta + \xi, \sigma) \hat{u}(\zeta) e^{ix \cdot \zeta} d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

For any $v \in \mathcal{D}(M)$, we have

$$(55) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \varphi e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(ue^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)}), v \rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} v(x) \varphi(x) dx \cdot \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} K(x, \zeta + \xi, \sigma) \hat{u}(\zeta) e^{ix \cdot \zeta} d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Introducing Fourier transform

$$h(\eta, \zeta, \xi, \sigma) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \eta} \varphi(x) K(x, \zeta + \xi, \sigma) dx.$$

We can write this as

$$(56) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \varphi e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} K(ue^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)}), v \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \hat{v}(\eta) h(-\eta - \zeta, \zeta; \xi, \sigma) \hat{u}(\zeta) d\zeta d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By partial integration we obtain from (10), for any $N > 0$,

$$(57) \quad |h(\eta, \zeta; \xi, \sigma)| (1 + |\eta|)^N (1 + |\zeta + \xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0} < C.$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} V(\eta) &= (1 + |\eta|^2)^{b_1/2} \hat{v}(\eta) \\ U(\zeta) &= (1 + |\zeta|^2)^{a_1/2} \hat{u}(\zeta) \\ H(\eta, \zeta; \xi, \sigma) &= h(-\eta - \zeta, \zeta, \xi, \sigma) (1 + |\eta|^2)^{-b_1/2} (1 + |\zeta|^2)^{-a_1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(58) \quad |H(\eta, \zeta; \xi, \sigma)| \leq C (1 + |\eta + \zeta|)^{-N} (1 + |\zeta|^2)^{-a_1/2} (1 + |\zeta + \xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0} (1 + |\eta|^2)^{-b_1/2}$$

and

$$(59) \quad \langle \varphi e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s_0)} K(u e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s_0)}), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(\eta, \zeta, \xi, \sigma) V(\eta) U(\zeta) d\eta d\zeta.$$

If $a_1 + b_1 = s_0 < 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + |\zeta + \xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_1} &\leq (1 + |\zeta|)^{s_0} (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0} \\ (1 + |\eta|)^{-b_1/2} &\leq (1 + |-\eta - \zeta|)^{b_1/2} (1 + |\zeta|^2)^{-b_1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|H(\eta, \zeta; \xi, \sigma)| \leq C (1 + |\eta + \zeta|)^{-N + |b_1|} (1 + |\zeta|^2)^{-(a_1 + b_1)/2 + s_0} (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int |H(\eta, \zeta; \xi, \sigma)| d\eta &\leq C (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0}, \\ \int |H(\eta, \zeta; \xi, \sigma)| d\zeta &\leq C (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (59),

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \varphi e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s_0)} K(e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s_0)} u), v \rangle| &\leq C \|V(\eta)\|_{L^2} \|U(\zeta)\|_{L^2} (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0} \\ &= C \|u\|_a \|v\|_b (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0}, \end{aligned}$$

so that,

$$(60) \quad \|\varphi e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s_0)} K(e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s_0)} u)\|_{H^{a-s_0}(M)} \leq C \|u\|_{H^a(M)} (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0}.$$

Next, $a_1 + b_1 = -s_0 \geq 0$, then by (58)

$$\begin{aligned} &|(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0} H(\eta, \zeta, \xi, \sigma)| \\ &\leq C (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0} (1 + |\eta + \zeta|)^{-N} (1 + |\zeta|^2)^{-a_1/2} (1 + |\zeta + \xi| + |\eta|)^{s_0} (1 + |\eta|^2)^{-b_1/2} \\ &\leq C (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{-s_0} (1 + |\eta + \zeta|)^{-N} (1 + |\zeta|^2)^{-a_1/2} (1 + |\zeta|)^{-s_0} (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0} (1 + |\eta|^2)^{-b_1/2} \\ &\leq C (1 + |\eta + \zeta|)^{-N} (1 + |\zeta|^2)^{-a_1/2} (1 + |\zeta|)^{-s_0} (1 + |\eta|^2)^{-b_1/2} \\ &\leq C (1 + |\eta + \zeta|)^{-N} (1 + |\zeta|^2)^{-a_1/2 - s_0 - b_1/2} (1 + |\zeta + \eta|)^{b_1/2} \leq C (1 + |\eta + \zeta|)^{-N + |b_1|/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int |H(\eta, \zeta, \xi, \sigma)| d\eta \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0},$$

$$\int |H(\eta, \zeta, \xi, \sigma)| d\zeta \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\rho|)^{s_0},$$

Hence, from (59),

$$(61) \quad |\langle \varphi e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s_0 \sigma)} K(e^{i(x \cdot \zeta + s_0 \sigma)} u), v \rangle| \leq C \|u\|_{H^a(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{H^b(\mathbb{R}^n)} (1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0}.$$

This implies

$$(62) \quad \|\varphi e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s_0 \sigma)} K(u e^{i(x \cdot \zeta + s_0 \sigma)})\|_{H^{a+s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0} \|u\|_{H^a(M)}.$$

Interpolating (60) and (62), we have

$$\|\varphi e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s_0 \sigma)} K(u e^{i(x \cdot \zeta + s_0 \sigma)})\|_{H^{a+b}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^b \|u\|_{H^a(M)}$$

for $s_0 \leq b \leq -s_0$.

THEOREM 11. *If K is the operator given by (11) with $s_0 \leq 0$, then for any fixed $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, there exists a constant C such that for any $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $0 \leq b \leq -s_0$,*

$$(63) \quad \|\varphi e^{-is\sigma} K(u e^{is\sigma})\|_{H^{a+b}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(1 + |\sigma|)^{b+s_0} \|u\|_{H^a(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

PROOF. For any $v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by (59),

$$(64) \quad \langle \varphi e^{-is\sigma} K(u e^{is\sigma}), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(\eta, \zeta; 0, \sigma) V(\eta) U(\zeta) d\eta d\zeta.$$

And for $\forall N > 0$,

$$(65) \quad |H(\eta, \zeta; 0, \sigma)| \leq C(1 + |\eta + \zeta|)^{-N} (1 + |\zeta|^2)^{-a_1/2} (1 + |\eta|^2)^{-b_1/2} (1 + |\zeta| + |\sigma|)^{s_0}.$$

If $a_1 + b_1 = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |H(\eta, \zeta, 0, \sigma)| &\leq C(1 + |\eta + \zeta|)^{-N+b_1} (1 + |\zeta|)^{-|a_1+b_1|/2} (1 + |\sigma|)^{s_0} \\ &= C(1 + |\eta + \zeta|)^{-N+b_1} (1 + |\sigma|)^{s_0} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(66) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(\eta, \zeta, 0, \sigma) d\eta \leq C(1 + |\sigma|)^{s_0}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(\eta, \zeta; 0, \sigma) d\zeta \leq C(1 + |\sigma|)^{s_0}.$$

By (64), (66), we have, if $a + b = 0$,

$$|\langle \varphi e^{-is\sigma} K(u e^{is\sigma}), v \rangle| \leq C(1 + |\sigma|)^{s_0} \|u\|_0 \|v\|_0.$$

From this

$$(67) \quad \| \varphi e^{-i\sigma} K(ue^{i\sigma}) \|_a \leq C(1 + |\sigma|)^{s_0} \| u \|_a .$$

If $a + b = s_0$, from (65) we have

$$(68) \quad |H(\eta, \zeta, 0, \sigma)| \leq C(1 + |\eta + \zeta|)^{-N+|b|} (1 + |\zeta| + |\sigma|)^{s_0} \leq C(1 + |\eta + \zeta|)^{-N+|b|} .$$

So that we have

$$(69) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |H(\eta, \zeta, 0, \sigma)| d\eta < C. \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |H(\eta, \zeta, 0, \sigma)| d\zeta < C .$$

From (64) and (69), we have

$$(70) \quad | \langle \varphi e^{-i\sigma} K(ue^{i\sigma}), v \rangle | \leq C \| u \|_a \| v \|_b .$$

Therefore we have proved

$$(71) \quad \| \varphi e^{-i\sigma} K(ue^{i\sigma}) \|_{a-s_0} \leq C \| u \|_a .$$

Interpolating (67) and (71), we obtain the estimate (63).

THEOREM 12. *If K is the operator given by (11) satisfying (10), with $s_0 = -\infty$, the mapping K has the unique continuous extension from $\mathcal{E}'(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ to $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^1)$.*

We call these operators K operators of order $-\infty$.

PROOF. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega)$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$, then

$$(72) \quad K(\varphi \otimes \phi)(x, s) = (2\pi)^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} K(x; \xi, \sigma) \hat{\varphi}(\xi) \otimes \hat{\phi}(\sigma) e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} d\xi d\sigma .$$

Since $s_0 = -\infty$,

$$(73) \quad K(x, \xi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}) = \mathcal{E}'(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1) .$$

Therefore we can define the bilinear mapping

$$B: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}'_e(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}'_e(\mathbf{R}^1) \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi \\ (\varphi, \phi) & \rightarrow & K(x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{\varphi}(\xi) \hat{\phi}(\sigma) , \end{array}$$

which is separately continuous. Since $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ are barrelled $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S})$ spaces, this mapping B is continuous. The Fourier inverse transformation induces a continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}'_e(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}'_e(\mathbf{R}^1)$ to $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^1)$, defined by

$$\Psi: \quad g(x, \xi, \sigma) \rightarrow (2\pi)^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} g(x, \xi, \sigma) e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} d\xi d\sigma .$$

The following mapping Φ induced by multiplication of functions in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and in $\mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is continuous

$$\Phi: \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_M(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_M(\mathbf{R}^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_M(\mathbf{R}^1),$$

because the mapping $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{O}_M(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ is the composition of two continuous mappings $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{O}_M(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$. Composing B, Ψ and Φ , we obtain a bilinear continuous mapping from $\mathcal{F}'(\mathbf{R}^n) \times \mathcal{F}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ to $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_M(\mathbf{R}^1)$ which can symbolically be written as

$$(\varphi, \phi) \rightarrow (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} K(x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{\phi}(\xi) \hat{\phi}(\sigma) e^{i(x \cdot \xi + \sigma x)} d\xi d\sigma.$$

This last mapping induces the continuous linear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}'(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}'(\mathbf{R}^1) &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_M(\mathbf{R}^1) \\ \varphi \otimes \hat{\phi} &\rightarrow (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} K(x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{\phi}(\xi) \hat{\phi}(\sigma) e^{i(x \cdot \xi + \sigma x)} d\xi d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ is dense in $\mathcal{E}'(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ and the mapping \tilde{K} that we have just defined is identical with the mapping K on $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$, \tilde{K} is the unique extension of K to $\mathcal{E}'(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$.

§ 3. Calculus of β -pseudo-differential operators

We have proved in Lemma 5 that if P is a β -pseudo-differential operator on $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and if f is in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, the map $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}'(\mathbf{R}^1) \ni u \rightarrow P(f \cdot u)$ is of the form (11) with $K = p(f, x, \xi, \sigma)$. Therefore Lemma 6 implies

$$(74) \quad \sum_j p_j(u f, x, \xi, \sigma) \sim \sum_{j, \alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_x^\alpha p_j(f, x, \xi, \sigma) D_x^\alpha u(x).$$

THEOREM 13. *Let P be a β -pseudo-differential operator on $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $f_1 = f_2$ in some neighbourhood of $x \in \Omega$, then*

$$(75) \quad p_j(f_1, x, \xi, \sigma) = p_j(f_2, x, \xi, \sigma).$$

PROOF. If $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $u \equiv 1$ in some open neighbourhood of x where $f_1 = f_2$, then $u f_1 = u f_2$. So that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j p_j(f_1; x, \xi, \sigma) &= \sum_{j, \alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_x^\alpha p_j(f_1; x, \xi, \sigma) D_x^\alpha u(x) \\ &= \sum_j p_j(u f_1; x, \xi, \sigma) \\ &= \sum_j p_j(u f_2; x, \xi, \sigma) \\ &= \sum_{j, \alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_x^\alpha p_j(f_2, x, \xi, \sigma) D_x^\alpha u(x) \\ &= \sum_j p_j(f_2; x, \xi, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 13, it is possible to adopt the following

DEFINITION 14. If P is a β -pseudo-differential operator, we define $p_j(x, \xi, \sigma)$, $x \in \Omega$ as $p_j(f; x, \xi, \sigma)$, where $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ with $f \equiv 1$ in some neighbourhood of x .

THEOREM 15. If P is a β -pseudo-differential operator,

$$(76) \quad \sum_j p_j(u; x, \xi, \sigma) = \sum_{\alpha, j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_\xi^\alpha p_j(x, \xi, \sigma) D_x^\alpha u(x).$$

PROOF. With a function $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $f \equiv 1$ in some neighbourhood of x , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j p_j(u, x, \xi, \sigma) &= \sum_j p_j(fu; x, \xi, \sigma) \\ &= \sum_{j, \alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_\xi^\alpha p_j(f; x, \xi, \sigma) D_x^\alpha u \\ &= \sum_{j, \alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_\xi^\alpha p_j(x, \xi, \sigma) D_x^\alpha u. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 16. Let p be a continuous linear map from $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ to $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ such that $e^{-i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} P(fe^{i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)})$ is independent of s and an asymptotic expansion

$$e^{-i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} P(fe^{i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)}) \sim \sum_0^\infty p_j(f; x, \xi, \sigma) \lambda^{2j}$$

holds in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega \times S_1)$, $S_1 = \{1/2 \leq |\xi|^2 + \sigma^2 \leq 2\}$. Then P is a β -pseudo-differential operator, and the symbol of P at the point x is given by

$$(77) \quad \sigma_p(f, g) = \sum \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_\xi^\alpha p_j(\xi_x, \sigma) D_x^\alpha (fe^{ih_x})$$

where

$$(78) \quad \xi_x = \text{grad } g(x), \quad h_x(y) = g(y) - g(x) - \langle y - x, \xi_x \rangle.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, the operator $u \rightarrow P(fu)$ is, by Lemma 5, of the form (11). Hence from Theorem 8, it follows that this is a β -pseudo-differential operator and that

$$\sum_j p_j(uf, g, x, \sigma) = \sum_{\alpha, j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_\xi^\alpha p_j(f, \xi_x, \sigma) D_x^\alpha (ue^{ih_x}).$$

Taking $f \equiv 1$ in some neighbourhood of x , we obtain (77).

REMARK 17. It is obvious that a β -pseudo-differential operator on $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^1$ is a pseudo-differential operator on $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^1$ in the sense of Hörmander [3].

THEOREM 18. Let P, Q be β -pseudo-differential operators on $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^1$, Ω is open in \mathbf{R}^n and let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. Then $R = QfP$ is also a β -pseudo-differential operator and we have

$$(79) \quad \sum_j r_j(x, \xi, \sigma) = \sum_{\alpha, j, k} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_\xi^\alpha q_k(x, \xi, \sigma) D_x^\alpha (f p_j(x, \xi, \sigma)) .$$

PROOF. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, and let $g \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ be real valued and $dg \neq 0$ in $\text{supp } u$. Then for any ρ, σ in $S = \{(\rho, \sigma) \in \mathbf{R}^2, 1/2 \leq \rho^2 + \sigma^2 \leq 2\}$

$$(80) \quad e^{i\lambda(g\rho + \sigma)} f P(e^{i\lambda(g\rho + \sigma)} u) \sim \sum_0^\infty f p_j(u, g; x, \sigma, \rho) \lambda^{z_j}$$

in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega \times S_1)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\lambda(g\rho + \sigma)} R(u e^{i\lambda(g\rho + \sigma)}) &= e^{-i\lambda(g\rho + \sigma)} Q(e^{i\lambda(g\rho + \sigma)} e^{-i\lambda(g\rho + \sigma)} f P(u e^{i\lambda(g\rho + \sigma)})) \\ &\sim \sum_{j, k=0}^\infty Q_k(f p_j(u, g; x, \sigma, \rho)) \lambda^{z_j + z'_k} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}(\Omega \times S_1) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore R is a β -pseudo-differential operator. Setting $g = \langle x, \xi \rangle$, we obtain (79).

THEOREM 19. *To every β -pseudo-differential operator P there is one and only one β -pseudo-differential operator tP , called its formal adjoint, such that*

$$(81) \quad \langle Pu, v \rangle = \langle u, {}^tPv \rangle$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^1)$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$. The symbol of tP is given by

$$(82) \quad \sum_j {}^t p_j(x, \xi, \sigma) = \sum_{\alpha, j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (-D_x)^\alpha p_j^{(\alpha)}(x, -\xi, -\sigma) .$$

PROOF. It is obvious that the operator tP is uniquely determined and maps $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ into $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^1)$. To prove the existence of tP , it suffices to show that for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ there is a β -pseudo-differential operator Q_f such that

$$\langle P(fu), v \rangle = \langle u, Q_f v \rangle \quad \text{if } u, v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1) .$$

For then, we obtain $gQ_f = fQ_g$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. So that there is an operator tP satisfying

$$Q_f = f {}^tP, \quad \text{for all } f .$$

Obviously tP is a β -pseudo-differential operator if and only if all Q_f are, and tP satisfies (81).

Set $K(x, \xi, \sigma) = P(f; x, \xi, \sigma)$, which satisfies condition (10), then we have by Lemma 5

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P(uf), \varphi \otimes \phi \rangle &= (2\pi)^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} K(x, \xi, \sigma) \hat{u}(\xi, \sigma) e^{i(x \cdot \xi + \sigma s)} \varphi(x) \phi(s) d\xi d\sigma dx ds \\ &= \langle u, Q(\varphi \otimes \phi) \rangle , \end{aligned}$$

$Q(\varphi \otimes \phi)$ is the Fourier transform of the function of ξ, σ .

$$(83) \quad \begin{aligned} q(\varphi \otimes \phi)(\xi, \sigma) &= (2\pi)^{-n-1} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^1} K(x, \xi, \sigma) \varphi(x) \phi(s) e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)} dx ds \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \tilde{\phi}(\sigma) \int_{\mathcal{Q}} K(x, \xi, \sigma) \varphi(x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\phi}$ is the inverse Fourier transform of ϕ . By integration by part.

$$\xi^\alpha q(\varphi \otimes \phi)(\xi, \sigma) = (2\pi)^{-n} \tilde{\phi}(\sigma) \int_{\mathcal{Q}} (-D_x)^\alpha (K(x, \xi, \sigma) \varphi(x)) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx$$

so that by (10), we have

$$|D_x^\alpha K(x, \xi, \sigma)| \leq C(|\xi| + |\sigma|)^{s_0}.$$

Since α is arbitrary, $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} D_x^\alpha K(x, \xi, \sigma) \varphi(x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_M(\mathbf{R}^1)$. The map $\phi \rightarrow q(\varphi \otimes \phi)$ can be extended continuously from $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$.

Now we shall seek asymptotic expansion of

$$e^{-i(x \cdot \eta + s\sigma)} Q(\varphi e^{i(x \cdot \eta + s\sigma)}), \quad |\tau| + |\eta| \rightarrow \infty.$$

This is the Fourier transform of the function

$$(\xi, \sigma) \rightarrow q(\varphi e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s\sigma)})(\xi - \eta, \sigma - \tau).$$

By (83), this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^{-n} \tilde{\delta}(\sigma - \tau + \tau) \int_{\mathcal{Q}} K(x, \xi - \eta, \sigma - \tau) \varphi(x) e^{i(x \cdot (\xi - \eta + \tau))} dx \\ = (2\pi)^{-n} \tilde{\delta}(\sigma) \otimes \int_{\mathcal{Q}} K(x, \xi - \eta, -\tau) \varphi(x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $e^{-i(x \cdot \eta + s\sigma)} Q(\varphi e^{i(x \cdot \eta + s\sigma)})$ is independent of s . We now study the Taylor expansion of $K(x, \xi - \eta, -\tau)$ at $(-\eta, -\tau)$. The partial sum is

$$(2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{\xi^\alpha}{\alpha!} D_x^\alpha K(x, -\eta, -\tau) \varphi(x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

At the point x , the Fourier transform of this is

$$\sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (-D_x)^\alpha (D_\eta^\alpha K(x, -\eta, -\tau)) \varphi(x).$$

This has the asymptotic expansion by Lemma 6.

The remainder term $R_\eta^N(\xi)$ can be written as

$$R_\eta^N(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int \left(K(x, \xi - \eta, -\tau) - \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{\xi^\alpha}{\alpha!} D_\eta^\alpha K(x, -\eta, -\tau) \right) \varphi(x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

To estimate R_η^N we again integrate by parts, then, we have

$$(-\xi)^\beta R_{\eta,\tau}^N(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} e^{ix \cdot \zeta} D_x^\beta \left(K(x, \xi - \eta, -\tau) - \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{\xi^\alpha}{\alpha!} D_x^\alpha K(x, -\eta, -\tau) \right) \varphi(x) e^{ix \cdot \zeta} dx,$$

and

$$(84) \quad |(-\xi)^\beta R_{\eta,\tau}^N(\xi)| \leq \begin{cases} C|\xi|^N (|\eta| + |\tau|)^{s_0 - N}; & \text{if } |\xi| < \frac{1}{4} (|\eta| + |\tau|) \\ C(|\xi|^N + |\xi|^{s_0}) & \text{if } |\xi| \geq \frac{1}{2} (|\eta| + |\tau|). \end{cases}$$

If $|\xi| < 1/2 (|\eta| + |\tau|)$, taking $\beta = N$, then we have

$$|R_{\eta,\tau}^N(\xi)| \leq C (|\eta| + |\tau|)^{s_0 - N}.$$

If $(|\tau| + |\eta|) \leq 2|\xi|$ choosing $|\beta|$ large, we have

$$|R_{\eta,\tau}^N(\xi)| \leq C (|\xi| + |\tau|)^M \leq C (|\eta| + |\tau|)^{-M}.$$

Therefore

$$\widehat{R}_{\eta,\tau}^N(x) \leq \int |R_{\eta,\tau}^N(\xi)| d\xi = O (|\eta| + |\tau|)^{-s_0 - N + n}, \quad |\eta| + |\tau| \rightarrow \infty$$

so that

$$e^{-i(x \cdot \eta + s_0 \sigma)} Q(v e^{i(x \cdot \zeta + s_0 \sigma)}) \sim \sum_{\alpha, j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (-D_x)^\alpha K_j^{(s_0)}(x, \eta).$$

Where the series is asymptotic in $\mathcal{S}'(\Omega)$ topology. By the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 7 we can prove that this expansion holds in $\mathcal{S}'(\Omega)$ topology. It is easy to prove that the operator K is defined on $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ by the formula (11) with kernel of type (10), therefore K can easily be extended to a continuous mapping from $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ to $\mathcal{S}'(\Omega) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$. Thus Q is a β -pseudo-differential operator.

DEFINITION 20. A β -pseudo-differential operator P of order s_0 is called elliptic if the principal part $p_0(x, \xi)$ of degree s_0 of the symbol is $\neq 0$ for every real $\xi \neq 0$ and $x \in \Omega$.

THEOREM 21. If P is an elliptic β -pseudo-differential operator of order s_0 on $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^1$, then one can find a β -pseudo-differential operator E of order $-s_0$, such that for every $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, the symbols of the operators EfP and PfE are identically one on any open set when $f=1$. The symbol of E is uniquely determined.

We omit the proof, but note that symbol $e = \sum_j e_j(x, \xi, \sigma)$ of E is determined uniquely by

$$(85) \quad \sum_{\alpha, j, k} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_\xi^\alpha e_j(x, \xi, \sigma) D_x^\alpha e_k(x, \xi, \sigma) = 1$$

or

$$(86) \quad \sum_{\alpha, j, k} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_\xi^\alpha p_k(x, \xi, \sigma) D_x^\alpha e_j(x, \xi, \sigma) = 1.$$

§4. The case M is a manifold.

In this section, we again assume that M is a σ -compact differentiable n -manifold. In this case we shall restate the results corresponding to those which were obtained in the preceding sections.

Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a smooth partition of unity on M then an operator P is a β -pseudo-differential operator if and only if every $\varphi_j P \varphi_k$, $j, k \in J$, is a β -pseudo-differential operator. Therefore corresponding to Theorem 16, we have

THEOREM 22. *Let P be a continuous linear map from $\mathcal{D}(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^1)$ to $\mathcal{E}(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^1)$. P is a β -pseudo-differential operator if and only if for any $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ whose supports are both contained in a coordinate neighbourhood U (not necessarily connected) and for any linear function $x \cdot \xi$ of coordinate functions x_1, \dots, x_n in U , an asymptotic expansion*

$$e^{-i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + \sigma\sigma)} \varphi_1 P(\varphi_2 e^{i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + \sigma\sigma)}) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(x; \xi, \sigma) \lambda^{2j}$$

holds in $\mathcal{E}(M \times S_1)$, where $S_1 = \{(\xi, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 1/2 \leq \sigma^2 + |\xi|^2 \leq 2\}$. Then the symbol of $\varphi_1 P \varphi_2$ is given by

$$(87) \quad \sigma_{\varphi_1 P \varphi_2}(f, \rho g) = \sum_{\alpha, j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{\lambda \xi}^\alpha p_j(x, \lambda \rho \xi_x, \lambda \sigma) D_x^\alpha (f e^{i\lambda \rho h_x}).$$

where $\xi_x = \text{grad } g(x)$, $h_x = g(y) - g(x) - \langle y - x, \xi_x \rangle$.

COROLLARY 1. *If P is a β -pseudo-differential operator and if $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ with $\text{supp } \varphi_1 \cap \text{supp } \varphi_2 = \emptyset$ then, $\varphi_1 P \varphi_2$ is of order $-\infty$.*

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1. We may assume that there is a coordinate neighbourhood U (not necessarily connected) containing $\text{supp } \varphi_1 \cup \text{supp } \varphi_2$. Let ψ_1 (resp. ψ_2) be in $\mathcal{D}(U)$ satisfying $\psi_1 \equiv 1$ (resp. $\psi_2 \equiv 1$) some neighbourhood of $\text{supp } \varphi_1$ (resp. $\text{supp } \varphi_2$). Using the asymptotic expansion

$$e^{-i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + \sigma\sigma)} \psi_1 P(\psi_2 e^{i\lambda(x \cdot \xi + \sigma\sigma)}) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(x, \xi, \sigma) \lambda^{2j}$$

we can write as

$$e^{-i\lambda(g\rho+s\sigma)}\varphi_1 P(\varphi_2 e^{i\lambda(g\rho+s\sigma)}) = e^{-i\lambda(g\rho+s\sigma)}\varphi_1 \cdot \phi_1 P(\varphi_2 \phi_2 e^{i\lambda(g\rho+s\sigma)}) \\ \sim \varphi_1 \sum_{\alpha, j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{\lambda\rho}^\alpha p_j(x, \lambda\rho_{\xi^*}, \lambda, \sigma) D_x(\varphi_2 e^{i\lambda(g\rho+s\sigma)}) .$$

Since $\text{supp } \varphi_1 \cap \text{supp } \varphi_2 = \phi$, the right hand side of (88) is equal to 0.

COROLLARY 2. (i) If $\varphi_3, \varphi_4 \in \mathcal{S}(M)$ with $\varphi_3 = \varphi_1, \varphi_4 = \varphi_2$ in a neighbourhood V of x ,

$$(89) \quad \sigma_{\varphi_1 P \varphi_2}(f, g) = \sigma_{\varphi_3 P \varphi_4}(f, g) \text{ in } V \times \mathbf{R}^1 \times S^1$$

(ii) if $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 1$ in a neighbourhood V of x , then

$$(90) \quad \sigma_P(f, g) = \sigma_{\varphi_1 P \varphi_2}(f, g) \text{ in } V$$

(iii) if $f_1 \equiv f_2 \equiv 1$ in some neighbourhood of x ,

$$(91) \quad \sigma_P(f_1, g) = \sigma_P(f_2, g) \text{ in } x .$$

These are direct consequences of Theorem 21 and Corollary 1.

We can define $\sigma_P(g)(x, \rho, \sigma, \lambda)$ as $\sigma_P(f, g)(x; \rho, \sigma, \lambda)$, where $f \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ and $f \equiv 1$ in some neighbourhood of x . We don't use the following theorem, however it will not be of no use to state it here.

THEOREM 23. Let P be a β -pseudo-differential operator. Let $J^\mu(M)$ be the μ -jet bundle of M . Then for any k there are integers $l > 0$ and function Φ_l from $J^{l+k}(M) \times S_1$ to complex number field \mathbf{C} such that

$$p_j(g, x, \rho, \sigma) \lambda^{2j} = \Phi_l(\tau_l(g), \rho, \sigma) \lambda^{2j}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k .$$

where $\tau_l(g)$ is the section of $J^l(M)$ defined by g . For this it is sufficient to choose $l \leq 2(k - s_0)$.

PROOF. $p_j(g, x, \rho, \sigma)$ has an intrinsic meaning by definition. On the other hand, (87) implies that for fixed $k, p_j(g, x, \rho, \sigma), 0 \leq j \leq k$ are determined completely by $\tau_l(g)$ with sufficiently large l . (It is sufficient to choose as $l \leq 2(k - s_0)$.) Since the fibre of $J^l(M)$ over x is generated by the Image $\tau_l(g)(x), g \in \mathcal{D}(M)$, this implies our theorem.

REMARK 24. It is possible to state corresponding result for symbols of usual pseudo-differential operator in the sense of Hörmander [3].

In the rest of this section we assume that M is compact. Let $\{U_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a finite coordinate covering of M and we denote the diffeomorphism from U_j to an open subset Ω_j in \mathbf{R}^n by Φ_j . Φ_j^* is the corresponding isomorphism from $\mathcal{E}(\Omega_j)$ to $\mathcal{E}(U_j), j \in J$. We can choose and fix a partition of unity $\{\varphi_j\}$ such that if

$\text{supp } \varphi_j \cap \text{supp } \varphi_k \neq \emptyset$, then there is an index $l(i, j) \in J$ satisfying $\text{supp } \varphi_j \cup \text{supp } \varphi_k \subset U_{l(i, j)}$.

DEFINITION 25. We say

(i) a distribution $T \in \mathcal{D}'(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$ belongs to $H^a(M \times \mathbf{R}^1)$ $a \in \mathbf{R}$, if

$$(92) \quad \|T\|_{H^a(M \times \mathbf{R}^1)}^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|\varphi_j T \circ \phi_j^* \otimes I\|_{H^a(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^1)} < \infty.$$

(ii) A distribution $S \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$ belongs to $H^a(M)$, $a \in \mathbf{R}$, if

$$(93) \quad \|S\|_{H^a(M)}^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|\varphi_j S \phi_j^*\|_{H^a(\mathbf{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

We can easily prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 28. Let Q be an elliptic β -pseudo-differential operator of order s_0 on $M \times \mathbf{R}^1$. Then one can find a β -pseudo-differential operator F of order $-s_0$ such that symbols of $F \cdot Q$ and $Q \cdot F$ are identically 1 on $M \times \mathbf{R}^1$.

PROOF of THEOREM 28. Choose a coordinate patches $\{U_i\}$ and partition of unity as above and consider the mapping

$$(95) \quad P_i = (\phi_i^{*-1} \otimes I) \circ Q \circ (\phi_i^* \otimes I) : \mathcal{D}(\Omega_i) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Omega_i) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1).$$

This is, by definition, an elliptic β -pseudo-differential operator of order s_0 on $\Omega_i \times \mathbf{R}^1$. Therefore, there is an β -pseudo-differential operator E_i of order $-s_0$ on $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^1$ with the properties stated in Theorem 21.

With

$$(96) \quad F_i = (\phi_i^* \otimes I) \circ E_i \circ (\phi_i^{*-1} \otimes I),$$

we define F as

$$(97) \quad Fu = \sum_{i,j} \varphi_i \cdot F_{l(i,j)} \cdot \varphi_j u.$$

Now we shall prove the symbol $Q \cdot F$ is identically one. To do this, fix a point x in M . Let I be the subset of the index set J such that for any $i \in I, x \in \text{supp } \varphi_i$ and let $U = \bigcap_{i,j \in I} U_{l(i,j)}$. We note that, if $i, j, i', j' \in I$, then the symbols of mappings $u \rightarrow F_{l(i,j)}(u)$ and $u \rightarrow F_{l(i',j')}(u)$ is the same. In fact for any $\phi \in D(U)$ the symbols of $F_{l(i,j)} \phi P$ and $F_{l(i',j')} \phi P$ are equal in some neighbourhood of x . This is a relation invariant by coordinate transformation. Therefore we can represent this relation in terms of coordinate function. Since R is elliptic, Theorem 21 implies that the symbols of $F_{l(i,j)}$ and $F_{l(i',j')}$ are the same on U . We call this $\sigma(F)$. Then for any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ with $\phi=1$ in some neighbourhood of x , the symbol of F

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{at } x = & \text{the symbol of } F \cdot \phi = \sum_{i,j} \varphi_j \cdot (\text{the symbol of } (F_{l(i,j)} \circ \varphi_j \cdot \phi) \text{ at } x) \\
& = \sum_{i,j} \varphi_j \cdot (\text{the symbol of } (F_{l(i,j)} \phi) \text{ at } x) \\
& = \sum_{i,j} \varphi_j \sigma(F) \\
& = \sigma(F) \\
& = \text{the symbol of } F_{l(i,j)} \text{ for } \forall i, j \in I.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the symbol of $P \cdot F$ at $x = \text{the symbol of } P \cdot F_{l(i,j)}$ at $x = 1$.

This completes the proof.

THEOREM 29. *Let Q be a β -pseudo-differential operator of order $s_0 \leq 0$, then for any fixed $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(M)$, whose supports are both contained in a coordinate neighbourhood U (not necessarily connected) and for any $a \in \mathbf{R}$ and $b \in [s_0, -s_0]$, there is a constant C such that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(M) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^1)$,*

$$(98) \quad \begin{aligned} & \| e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s_0 \sigma)} \varphi_1 Q(\varphi_2 \varphi e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s_0 \sigma)}) \|_{H^{a+b}(M \times \mathbf{R}^1)} \\ & \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^b \| \varphi \|_{H^a(M \times \mathbf{R}^1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x \cdot \xi$ is a linear function of local coordinate function x_1, \dots, x_n in U .

This is a simple consequence of Theorem 9.

COROLLARY 1. *Under the same hypothesis of Theorem 29,*

$$(99) \quad \| Q(\varphi_2 e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s_0 \sigma)} \varphi) \|_{L^2(M \times \mathbf{R}^1)} \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^b \| \varphi \|_{H^{-b}(M \times \mathbf{R}^1)}.$$

THEOREM 30. *Let Q, φ_1, φ_2 , and $x \cdot \xi$ be as in Theorem 29, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $b \in [+s_0, -s_0]$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}(M)$, we have*

$$(100) \quad \| (e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + s_0 \sigma)} \varphi_1 Q(\varphi_2 e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s_0 \sigma)} u)) \|_{H^{a+b}(M)} \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^b \| u \|_{H^a(M)}.$$

This follows from Theorem 10.

COROLLARY 1. *Under the same hypothesis as in Theorem 30*

$$(101) \quad \| Q(\varphi_2 e^{i(x \cdot \xi + s_0 \sigma)} u) \|_{L^2(M)} \leq C(1 + |\xi| + |\sigma|)^b \| u \|_{H^{-b}(M)}.$$

Finally, we have

THEOREM 31. *Let Q be a β -pseudo-differential operator of order $s_0 \leq 0$ and let a be an arbitrary real number, then there exists a constant C such that for any b in $[0, -s_0]$ and u in $\mathcal{S}(M)$, we have*

$$(102) \quad \| e^{-i s_0 \sigma} Q(e^{i s_0 \sigma} u) \|_{H^{a+b}(M)} \leq C(1 + |\sigma|)^{b+s_0} \| u \|_{H^a(M)}.$$

This follows from Theorem 11.

PROOF. Consider a finite smooth partition of unity $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in J}$ such that for any $j, k \in J$, there exists a coordinate neighbourhood U (not necessarily connected)

which contains both $\text{supp } \varphi_j$ and $\text{supp } \varphi_k$. Then from Theorem 11,

$$(103) \quad \|e^{-is\sigma} \varphi_j Q \varphi_k (e^{is\sigma} u)\|_{H^{a+b}(M)}^2 \leq C(1+|\sigma|)^{b+s_0} \|u\|_{H^a(M)}^2.$$

Summing these by j and k , we obtain (102).

University of Tokyo

References

- [1] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*. III^e ed. Hermann, Paris (1966).
- [2] A. Grothendieck, *Produit tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*. *Memoirs of the A.M.S.* (1955).
- [3] J. L. Lions and J. Peetre, *Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation*. Publication de l'Institut des Hautes Etudes (1963).
- [4] J. J. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, *An algebra of pseudo-differential operators*. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **18** (1965), 269-305.
- [5] A. Unterberger, and J. Bokobza, *Sur une généralisation des opérateurs de Calderón Zygmund et des espaces H^s* , *C.R.A.S. Paris*, **260** (1965), 3265-3267.
- [6] L. Hörmander, *Pseudo-differential operators*. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **18** (1965), 501-517.
- [7] E. Magenes, *Spazi di interpolazione ed equazioni a derivate parziali*. *Atti del VII congresso dell'Unione Matematica Italiana*, Geneva (1963), 124-197.

(Received August 31, 1967)