# On the hypoellipticity of differential operators

# By Atsushi Yoshikawa

### 0. Introduction.

0.0. In this paper we consider the regularity property of differential operators. A linear differential operator P (of order m) with  $C^{\infty}$  coefficients defined in a domain  $\Omega$  in the n-dimensional Euclidean space  $R_n$ , in short, a differential operator P (of order m), is written as follows:

$$P = P(x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha}$$
,  $a_{\alpha}(x) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .

Here  $x=(x^1,\cdots,x^n)$  is a generic point of  $\Omega$ ;  $D_j=-i\partial/\partial x^j, j=1,\cdots,n, i^2=-1;$   $D^{\infty}=D_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots D_n^{\alpha_n}$  for  $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n)\in N^n$  with  $N=\{0,1,2,\cdots\};$  and  $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n.$   $P_m(x,\xi)=\sum_{|\alpha|=m}a_{\alpha}(x)\xi^{\alpha}$  is the principal symbol of P, where  $\xi=(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_n)\in R_n$  and  $\xi^{\alpha}=\xi_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\xi_n^{\alpha_n}$ . Function spaces  $C^{\infty}(\Omega), C_0^{\infty}(\Omega), \mathscr{H}^{loc}_{(s)}(\Omega)$ , and  $\mathscr{H}'(\Omega)$  are as usual.  $C_0^{\infty}(K)$  and  $\mathscr{H}_{(s)}(K)$  are subspaces of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and of  $\mathscr{H}^{loc}_{(s)}(\Omega)$  respectively, consisting of those elements with support in K where K is any compact subset of  $\Omega$  with interior points. Note that the topology of  $\mathscr{H}^{loc}_{(s)}(\Omega)$  can be defined by the seminorm system. Note that the topology of  $\mathscr{H}^{loc}_{(s)}(\Omega)$  can be defined by the seminorm system. Here the  $\Omega_j$  are subdomains of  $\Omega$  with  $\Omega_j \subset \Omega_{j+1}$ , the closures  $\Omega_j$  being compact and  $U_j \Omega_j = \Omega$ . A differential operator P is called hypoelliptic in  $\Omega$  if we have

sing supp 
$$P\varphi = \operatorname{sing supp} \varphi^{(i)}$$
 for all  $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ .

0.1. In § 1, we prove certain inequalities as necessary conditions of hypoellipticity. We also show that the adjoint operator of a hypoelliptic differential operator is locally solvable. In § 2, we show that hypoelliptic operators in  $C_0^{\infty}(K)$  have finite dimensional nullspaces and closed ranges. Finally we give an example of non-elliptic differential operators with finite index on a compact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold.

<sup>1)</sup> As for definitions and notations we follow mainly those in Hörmander [2].

 $<sup>||\</sup>varphi||_{(s)} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|\xi|^2)^s \, |\varphi^{\hat{}}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \right\}^{1/2}, \text{ where } \varphi^{\hat{}} \text{ is the Fourier transform of } \varphi \in \mathscr{H}_{(s)}(R_n).$ 

<sup>3)</sup>  $\int M$  denotes the complement in  $\Omega$  of the set  $M \subset \Omega$ . M denotes the closure in  $\Omega$  of the set  $M \subset \Omega$ .

sing supp  $\varphi = \{\{x \in \mathcal{Q}; \varphi \text{ is } C^{\infty} \text{ near } x\} \text{ for } \varphi \in \mathcal{Q}'(\mathcal{Q}). \text{ It is well-known that we have, for any differential operator } P$ ,

sing supp  $P\varphi \subset \operatorname{sing supp} \varphi$ 

0.2. As an application of Theorem 1.2, the author had obtained a result concerning first order hypoelliptic differential operators. But recently the author was informed that Mr. Y. Kato independently obtained a more general result by a similar method. See [4]. So we deleted this part from the original manuscript. In the preparation of the present paper, the author owes very much to Prof. K. Yosida.

# 1. Some inequalities.

Let E and F be vector spaces and P a linear operator defined in E into F. Let  $E_1$  and  $F_1$  be subspaces of E and F respectively. Let us denote by  $P^*_{E_1,F_1}$ , in short  $P^*$ , the restriction of P to the domain  $E_1 \cap \{e; e \text{ in the domain of } P$  with  $Pe \in F_1$ , and call  $P^*$  the operator induced in the pair  $(E_1, F_1)$  by P. We have the obvious

LEMMA 1.1. Let P be a linear differential operator defined in  $\Omega$ . Then P is a continuous operator in  $\mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ , and the following operators  $P^{\hat{}}$  induced by P in each pair are closed operators:

- (1.1)  $P^{\hat{}}: \mathcal{H}_{(s)}^{loc}(\Omega) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for every fixed  $s \in R_1$ ;
- (1.2)  $P^{\hat{}}: \mathcal{H}_{(s)}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{(t)}(K)$  for s and t in  $R_1$ ,

where K is any fixed compact subset of  $\Omega$  with interior points. Thus  $\mathscr{G}_P = \{\varphi \in \mathscr{H}^{loc}_{(s)}(\Omega); \ P^\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)\}$  equipped with the graph topology becomes a Fréchet space.

THEOREM 1.1. Let P be a hypoelliptic differential operator in  $\Omega$  and Q be any fixed differential operator in  $\Omega$ . Let s be a fixed real number. For any  $t \in R_1$  and  $j \in N$ , we have

$$||\boldsymbol{\chi}_{j} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{\varphi}||_{(t)} \leq c \{||\boldsymbol{\chi}_{j_{1}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}||_{(s)} + ||\boldsymbol{\chi}_{j_{2}} \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\varphi}||_{(t')}\}$$

for all  $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with suitable  $c>0, j_1, j_2 \in N$  and  $t' \in R_1$ .

PROOF. Let P and Q be respectively the induced operators of P and Q in the pair  $(\mathscr{H}^{\text{loc}}_{(s)}(\Omega), C^{\omega}(\Omega))$ . P and Q are closed operators. From the hypoellipticity of P, the domain of P is contained in  $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , and hence in the domain of Q. The mapping  $J: [\varphi, P\varphi] \in \mathscr{C}_P \to Q\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  being closed, J is continuous by Banach's closed graph theorem. Recalling Sobolev's lemma  $C^{\infty}(\Omega) = \bigcap_s \mathscr{H}^{\text{loc}}_{(s)}(\Omega)$ , we obtain the desired inequality by writing down explicitly the continuity of J in terms of the seminorm systems. q.e.d.

In the sequel, we consider only the case Q=1=the identity.

REMARK 1.1. The author found that essentially the same inequality (in case

Q=1 and designed for pseudo-differential operators P) was obtained earlier by Hörmander [3].

By the local property of differential operators, we have the

LEMMA 1.2. Let K be any compact subset of  $\Omega$  with interior points. We have, for any  $t \in R_1$ ,

$$(1.3) ||\varphi||_{(0)} \leq c\{||\varphi||_{(0)} + ||P\varphi||_{(u')}\} \text{ for all } \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(K)$$

with suitable c>0 and  $t' \in R_1$ .

REMARK 1.2. If we denote by  $\alpha(t)$  the infinimum of t' such that the inequality (1.3) holds with  $c<+\infty$ . Then we easily see that  $\alpha(t)$  is non-decreasing. In fact, since  $||\varphi||_{(s)} \leq ||\varphi||_{(t)}$  for  $s \leq t$ , we have for any fixed  $t' > \alpha(t)$ ,

$$||\varphi||_{(s)} \leq c(t')\{||\varphi||_{(0)} + ||P\varphi||_{(t')}\}$$
.

Hence  $\alpha(s) \leq \alpha(t)$  if  $s \leq t$ .

COROLLARY 1.1.

By Poincaré's inequality we have, from Lemma 1.2, the following

Lemma 1.3. For every  $x \in \Omega$  there exists a sufficiently small neighborhood U of x such that

$$||\varphi||_{(1)} \leq c||P\varphi||_{(u')}$$
 for all  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\overline{U})$ .

THEOREM 1.2. If a differential operator P (of order m) is hypoelliptic in  $\Omega$ , then its formal adjoint  $P^*$  is locally solvable in  $\Omega$ , that is, at every  $x \in \Omega$  there exists a neighborhood U of x such that we can find, for every  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(U)$ , a distribution u satisfying  $P^*u = \varphi$  in U.

$$C_{2m-1}(x,\xi)=2\ Re\ i\ \sum_{j=1}^n\partial P_m(x,\xi)/\partial \xi_j\ \overline{\partial P_m(x,\xi)/\partial x^j}=0$$

if  $P_m(x, \hat{\xi})=0$  at  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\hat{\xi} \in R_n$ . Here  $\overline{\phantom{A}}$  denotes the complex conjugate.

PROOF. This is an immediate consequence of the solvability of  $P^*$ . See Chapter 6 of Hörmander [2].

REMARK 1.3. Hörmander [3] proved the above Corollary 1.2 directly from Lemma 1.3 by substituting a suitable function into  $\varphi$ . We note that for any

differential operators of the form  $P^n$ , where  $n \ge 2$  and P is an arbitrary differential operator, the above relation in Corollary 1.1 trivially holds. However we have the

THEOREM 1.3. A differential operator P is hypoelliptic if and only if its n-th power  $P^n$  is hypoelliptic, where n is any natural number  $\geq 2$ .

PROOF. This follows immediately from the following LEMMA 1.4. Let P and Q be differential operators.

- (1.4) If P and Q are hypoelliptic, then PQ is hypoelliptic;
- (1.5) If PQ is hypoelliptic, then Q is hypoelliptic.

Proof of Lemma. Let  $\varphi$  be any element in  $\mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ . Then we have sing supp  $PQ\varphi = \operatorname{sing\ supp} Q\varphi = \operatorname{sing\ supp} \varphi$ ,

if P and Q are hypoelliptic. This proves (1.4). Next, if PQ is hypoelliptic, sing supp  $\varphi$ =sing supp  $PQ\varphi$  sing supp  $Q\varphi$  sing supp  $\varphi$ ,

that is.

sing supp 
$$Q\varphi = \text{sing supp } \varphi$$
.

Hence Q is hypoelliptic.

# 2. Nullspaces and ranges.

Let K be any fixed compact subset of  $\Omega$  with interior points. In this section we study the nullspace and range of a hypoelliptic differential operator in  $C_v^\infty(K)$ . Let T and T' be strictly increasing divergent sequences of positive numbers:  $T=\{t_i\}_{i\in N}$ , and  $T'=\{t_i'\}_{i\in N}$  such that for every  $t=t_i\in T$  we assign  $t'=t_i'\in T'$  to satisfy the inequality in Lemma 1.2. Let  $P_i$  be the closure as an operator from  $\mathscr{H}_{(t_i)}(K)$  into  $\mathscr{H}_{(t_i)}(K)$  of the differential operator P in  $C_v^\infty(K)$ . The preclosedness of the operator P follows from (1.2) in Lemma 1.1. Then by Lemma 1.2 and passing to the limit we have

(2.1) 
$$\|\varphi\|_{(t_i)} \leq c_i \{ \|\varphi\|_{(0)} + \|P_i\varphi\|_{(t_i)} \}$$

for all  $\varphi \in D^i$  with a suitable constant  $c_i > 0$ . Here we denote by  $D^i$  the domain of  $P_i$ .

LEMMA 2.1.

(2.2) 
$$D^{i+1} \subset D^i$$
, and  $\varphi \in D^{i+1}$  implies  $P_{i+1}\varphi = P_i\varphi$ ;

$$\bigcap_i D^i = C_0^{\infty}(K) .$$

PROOF. Let  $\varphi \in D^{i+1}$ . Then there exist  $\varphi_k \in C^{\infty}_{0}(K)$  such that  $\varphi_k \to \varphi$  in  $\mathscr{H}_{(i+1)}(K)$  and  $P\varphi_k \to P_{i+1}\varphi$  in  $\mathscr{H}_{(i'+1)}(K)$ . Since  $t_{i+1} > t_i$  and  $t'_{i+1} > t'_i$ , we have  $\varphi_k \to \varphi$  in  $\mathscr{H}_{(i,j)}(K)$  and  $P\varphi_k \to P_{i+1}\varphi$  in  $\mathscr{H}_{(i'j)}(K)$ . By the definition of  $P_i$ , we have  $\varphi \in D^i$  and  $P_{i+1}\varphi = P_i\varphi$ . This shows (2.2). (2.3) follows from the inclusion relations  $C^{\infty}_{0}(K) \subset D^i \subset \mathscr{H}_{(i,j)}(K)$  and  $\bigcap_i \mathscr{H}_{(i,j)}(K) = C^{\infty}_{0}(K)$ . q.e.d.

LEMMA 2.2.

- (2.4)  $\mathcal{N}_i$ , the nullspace of  $P_i$ , is of finite dimension;
- (2.5)  $\mathcal{R}_i$ , the range of  $P_i$ , is closed.

PROOF. For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}_i$ , we have, from (2.1),

$$\|\varphi\|_{(t_i)} \leq c_i \|\varphi\|_{(0)}$$
.

Since the injection from  $\mathcal{H}_{(i)}(K)$  into  $\mathcal{H}_{(0)}(K)$  is compact, we see that  $\mathcal{N}_i$  is of finite dimension. Thus we can decompose  $\mathcal{H}_{(i)}(K)$  into the topological direct sum of closed subspaces:

$$\mathscr{H}_{(t_i)}(K) = \mathscr{N}_i + \mathscr{F}_i$$
.

If we show

$$\|\varphi\|_{(t_i)} \leq c \|P_i\varphi\|_{(t_i')}$$
 for  $\varphi \in \mathscr{F}_i \cap D^i$ 

with a suitable constant c>0, then the proof of this lemma will be complete. In fact, suppose that there exists a sequence  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\varphi_k\in\mathscr{F}_i\cap D^i$  and

We may assume  $\|\varphi_k\|_{(t_i)}=1$ . Since the injection from  $\mathscr{H}_{(t_i)}(K)$  into  $\mathscr{H}_{(0)}(K)$  is compact, we may choose a subsequence  $\{\varphi_{k'}\}$  from  $\{\varphi_k\}$  such that  $\varphi_{k'}\to \psi$  in  $\mathscr{H}_{(0)}(K)$  where  $\psi$  is a certain element in  $\mathscr{H}_{(0)}(K)$ . Since  $\|P_i\varphi_{k'}\|_{(t_i)}\to 0$  by (2.6), we see that  $\psi\in\mathscr{H}_{(t_i)}(K)$  and  $\varphi_{k'}\to\psi$  in  $\mathscr{H}_{(t_i)}(K)$  from (2.1). By the closedness of  $P_i$ , we have  $\psi\in D^i$  and  $P_i\psi=0$ . On the other hand, we observe that  $\psi\in\mathscr{F}_i$  from the closedness of the subspace  $\mathscr{F}_i$ , and that  $\psi\neq 0$  from  $\|\varphi_{k'}\|_{(t_i)}=1$ . In conclusion, we have

$$\phi \in \mathcal{F}_i \cap D^i$$
,  $\phi \neq 0$  and  $P_i \phi = 0$ ,

but this is a contradiction. q.e.d.

Theorem 2.1. Let K be a compact subset of  $\Omega$  with interior points. For a hypoelliptic differential operator considered as a linear operator in  $C_0^{\infty}(K)$ , we have

i) the dimension of the nullspace is finite;

ii) the range is closed.

PROOF. Let  $\mathscr{N}$  and  $\mathscr{R}$  be respectively the nullspace and the range of the differential operator P acting in  $C_0^{\infty}(K)$ . P being hypoelliptic,  $\mathscr{N}=\mathscr{N}_i$  and this proves the statement i) by (2.4). If we show  $\mathscr{R}=\bigcap_i\mathscr{R}_i$ , the proof will be complete. For any  $\psi\in\bigcap_i\mathscr{R}_i$  there exists  $\varphi_i\in D^i$  such that  $P_i\varphi_i=\psi$ . From the hypoellipticity of P and (2.2), we see  $\varphi_i-\varphi_j\in C_0^{\infty}(K)$  for j>i. Thus we have  $\varphi_i-\varphi_j+(\varphi_i-\varphi_j)\in\bigcap_{j>i}D^j\subset C_0^{\infty}(K)$ . The inclusion  $\mathscr{R}\subset\bigcap_i\mathscr{R}_i$  being obvious, we obtain  $\mathscr{R}-\bigcap_i\mathscr{R}_i$ . q.e.d.

REMARK 2.1. This proof is inspired by Grisvard [1].

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $x=(x^1, \dots, x^n)$  be a local coordinate system of class  $C^{\infty}$  in an open set U. Let  $y=(y^1, \dots, y^n)$  be another local coordinate in U such that the Jacobian J of the coordinate transformation  $x \rightarrow y$  is  $C^{\infty}$  and non-vanishing in U. Let P be a differential operator. We denote by  $P_x^*$  (resp.  $P_y^*$ ) the adjoint operator with respect to the coordinate system x (resp. y), that is,

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} P\varphi \cdot \psi dx = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \varphi \cdot P_{x}^{*} \psi dx \left( resp. \int_{\mathcal{U}} P\varphi \cdot \psi dy = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \varphi \cdot P_{y}^{*} \psi dy \right)$$

for  $\varphi, \psi \in C_0^{\infty}(U)$ . If  $P_x^*$  is hypoelliptic in U, then so is  $P_y^*$ .

PROOF. Since the mapping  $\varphi {\rightarrow} P \varphi$  is independent of coordinate system, we have

$$\int_{U} P\varphi \cdot \psi dx = \int_{U} P\varphi \cdot \psi J dy .$$

Hence

$$\int_{U} \varphi(P_{x}^{*}\psi) J dy = \int_{U} \varphi P_{y}^{*}(\psi J) dy ,$$

that is,

$$JP_x^*\phi = P_y^*(J\phi)$$
.

Since J is  $C^{\omega}$  and non-vanishing, the assertion holds. q.e.d.

Let  $\Omega$  be a compact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold of dimension n, and P be a differential operator on  $\Omega$ . The adjoint operator of P is determined depending on the choice of the partition of unity of  $\Omega$  and the local coordinate systems. However, since the hypoellipticity of a differential operator is a local property and from the above lemma, it is well-defined that the adjoint operator of a differential operator is hypoelliptic on  $\Omega$  or not. Therefore, from the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Banach's closed range theorem, we obtain the following

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $\Omega$  be a compact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold of dimension n. If a differential operator P and its adjoint  $P^*$  are both hypoelliptic in  $\Omega$ , then P and  $P^*$  considered as linear operators in  $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  are of finite index, that is, their nullspaces are of finite dimension, and their ranges are closed and of finite codimension.

It is well-known that any elliptic operator satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2. However we can give an example, slightly deviated from elliptic operators.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let  $\Omega$  be a compact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold of dimension 2, and P be a first order elliptic operator with  $C^{\infty}$  coefficients in  $\Omega$ . In some neighborhood  $U_1$  of a point  $\omega_0 \in \Omega$  there exists a local coordinate (x, y) about  $\omega_0$  such that P is expressed, in this coordinate system, in the form

$$P = rac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \ b(x, y) rac{\partial}{\partial y} + c(x, y)$$
 in  $U_1$  ,

where b(x, y) is a real-valued  $C^{\infty}$  function with b(x, y) > 0 in  $U_1$ , and c(x, y) is a  $C^{\infty}$  function in  $U_1$ . Take neighborhoods  $U_2$  and  $U_3$  of  $\omega_0$  with compact closure such that

$$U_3 \subset \widetilde{U}_3 \subset U_2 \subset \widetilde{U}_2 \subset U_1$$
.

Let  $\varphi_j \in C_0^{\infty}(U_{j-1})$  (j=2,3) be such that  $1 \ge \varphi_j \ge 0$  on  $U_{j-1}$  and  $\varphi_j = 1$  on  $\overline{U}_j$ . We shall construct a new differential operator  $P^{(1)}$  from P: In  $U_i$  we define  $P^{(1)}$  by

$$P^{\scriptscriptstyle (1)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i b_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (1 - \varphi_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}(x, y)) c(x, y)$$

where

$$b_1(x, y) = (1 - \varphi_3(x, y)) b(x, y) + x^{2m} \varphi_2(x, y)$$
,  $m \in N$ 

and outside  $U_1$  we define  $P^{(1)}$  as equal to P. Then we see that  $P^{(1)}$  and its adjoint operator are both hypoelliptic in  $\Omega$ . In fact,  $P^{(1)}$  being elliptic in  $\Omega - \{\omega_0\}$ , so is its adjoint there. Since

$$P^{(1)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i x^{2m} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

in  $U_3$  in the coordinate system (x, y), its adjoint takes the form

$$P^{\scriptscriptstyle (1)}*=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-i\;x^{\scriptscriptstyle 2m}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}=-P^{\scriptscriptstyle (1)}$$

in  $U_3$  in this coordinate. The hypoellipticity of  $P^{(1)}$  in  $U_3$  was proved by Mizohata [5]. Therefore  $P^{(1)*}$  is also hypoelliptic in  $U_3$ .

University of Tokyo

### References

- Grisvard, P.: L'indice des opérateurs de Calderón-Zygmund elliptiques, Séminaire H. Cartan (1963-64).
- [2] Hörmander, L.: Linear Partial Differential Operators, Springer-V. (1963).
- [3] : Pseudo-differential operators and non-elliptic boundary problems, Ann. of Math. 83 (1966), p. 129-209.
- [4] Kato, Y.: Note on hypoellipticity of a first order linear partial differential operator, to appear.
- [5] Mizohata, S.: Solutions nulles et solutions non analytiques, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 1 (1962), p. 271-302.

(Received November 25, 1966. Revised March 10, 1967)