

On generalized Picard varieties

By Megumu MIWA

Introduction. J.P. Murre has constructed generalized Picard varieties of a complete normal projective variety [4]. They are the analogy of generalized Jacobian varieties of a curve in the case of a higher dimensional algebraic variety. There he considered new equivalence relations (called α -linear equivalence) and parametrized the corresponding Picard groups by the commutative group varieties, so called the generalized Picard varieties.¹⁾

In the paper [4] Murre defined α -structure on algebraic variety, but we deal with slightly special case of α -structure (we call it m -structure) in this paper. We need this restriction in order to calculate the structure of function modules as algebraic groups and consider the structure of generalized Picard Varieties. In our paper [3] we considered the structure of $\text{Ext}(J, G_m)$, where J is the Jacobian variety of a curve C and G_m is 1-dimensional torus. We proved that $\text{Ext}(J, G_m)$ is generated by generalized Jacobian varieties of C with respect to 0-cycles of type P_1+P_2 ($P_1 \neq P_2$) on C . One of the purposes of this paper is to deal with this problem in the case of a higher dimensional algebraic variety. In §1~§4 we consider the structure of generalized Picard varieties and get a result analogous to the case of generalized Jacobian varieties. In §5~§8 we consider the problem stated above.

§1. Definitions and preliminaries.

Let V^r be an r -dimensional normal projective variety. We denote the rational function field of V over Ω by $\Omega(V)$ and that over a field K by $K(V)$. For a point P of V , we denote the local ring at P in $\Omega(V)$ by $\mathfrak{O}(P)$ and its maximal ideal by $\mathfrak{M}(P)$. When P is rational over a field K , we denote the local ring and its maximal ideal in $K(V)$ by $\mathfrak{O}_K(P)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_K(P)$ respectively.

Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m be a set of simple points on V and let $\mathfrak{M} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{M}(P_i)^{n_i}$, where n_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$) are positive integers. When the set of simple points $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m\}$ and the module \mathfrak{M} are given, we say an “ \mathfrak{M} -structure on V ” is defined on V . If all the points P_1, \dots, P_m are rational over a field k which is a field of definition of V , we say that the \mathfrak{M} -structure is defined over k . Through this section we

1) In the same paper of Murre [4], it is written that Oort has also constructed them by another method, simplifying Serre's construction. In this case he worked with Cartier divisors.

fix the \mathfrak{M} -structure defined over k which is defined as above.

Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(V)$ be the group of divisors on V . We denote the support of a divisor X by $\text{Supp}(X)$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}(V) = \{X \mid X \in \mathcal{D}, P_i \notin \text{Supp}(X) \ i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$. $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is a subgroup of \mathcal{D} . We put $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}a} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}} \cap \mathcal{D}_a$ where \mathcal{D}_a is the group of divisors on V which is algebraically equivalent to zero.

We say a divisor $X \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is *\mathfrak{M} -linearly equivalent to zero* if there exists a function f in $\mathcal{O}(V)$ such that $X = (f)$ and $f \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$.

We denote this condition by $X \stackrel{\mathfrak{M}}{\sim} 0$. (By $X \sim 0$ we denote the usual linear equivalence).

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}l} = \{X \mid X \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}, X \stackrel{\mathfrak{M}}{\sim} 0\}$. For two divisors X, Y in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ we say X is *\mathfrak{M} -linearly equivalent to Y* if $X - Y \stackrel{\mathfrak{M}}{\sim} 0$. This is an equivalence relation in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and we have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}l} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}a}$. We denote the quotient group $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}a} / \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}l}$ by $\text{Pic}_{\mathfrak{M}}(V)$. We denote the \mathfrak{M} -linear equivalence class of a divisor D in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}a}$ by $\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(D)$. (By $\text{Cl}(D)$ we denote the usual linear equivalence class for a divisor D in \mathcal{D}_a).

We define an \mathfrak{M} -linear system of positive divisors as follows: Let \mathcal{L} be an \mathcal{O} -submodule of $\mathcal{O} + \mathfrak{M}$ and D be a divisor in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ such that $D + (f) > 0$ for every function f in \mathcal{L} . We call the family of positive divisors $\{D + (f) \mid f \in \mathcal{L}\}$ an \mathfrak{M} -linear system defined by the module \mathcal{L} . We define the complete \mathfrak{M} -linear system $|X|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ of a divisor $X \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{M}}(X) &= \{f \mid f \in \mathcal{O} + \mathfrak{M}, X + (f) > 0\}, \\ |X|_{\mathfrak{M}} &= \{Y \mid Y > 0, Y - X = (f), f \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{M}}(X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the \mathfrak{M} -linear equivalence we have following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let X_i, Y_i ($i=1, 2$) be positive divisors in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and (Y_1, Y_2) be a specialization of (X_1, X_2) over a field K containing k . Then $X_1 \stackrel{\mathfrak{M}}{\sim} X_2$ implies $Y_1 \stackrel{\mathfrak{M}}{\sim} Y_2$.*

LEMMA 2. *Let $X \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ be a divisor on V which is rational over a field $K \supset k$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{M}}(X)$ has a set of basis defined over K .*

COROLLARY. *The assumption being as in Lemma 2 the associated variety $T_{\mathfrak{M}}(X)$ of $|X|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is defined over K .*

For the proof of them we refer to [4].

Let $\{X\}$ be an algebraic family of positive divisors on V . We say that $\{X\}$ is defined over a field K if the Chow variety W of $\{X\}$ is defined over K .

An algebraic family of positive divisors $\{X\}$ is called *total* if for a fixed member X' of $\{X\}$ there exists for every $Y \in \mathcal{D}_a(V)$ a divisor X in $\{X\}$ such that $Y \sim X - X'$. This definition is generalized for the case of \mathfrak{M} -linear equivalence.

An algebraic family of positive divisor $\{X\}$ of V is called *restricted \mathfrak{M} -total*

if there exists a field of definition K of $\{X\}$ such that for every Y in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}a}$ and for every generic member X' of $\{X\}$ over $K(y)$ there exists a divisor X in $\{X\} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ such that $Y \stackrel{\mathfrak{M}}{\sim} X - X'$.

An algebraic family of positive divisor $\{X\}$ of V is called \mathfrak{M} -total if for every fixed divisor $X' \in \{X\} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and for every $Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}a}$, there exists a divisor $X \in \{X\} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ such that $Y \stackrel{\mathfrak{M}}{\sim} X - X'$.

In [4] it was proved that a restricted \mathfrak{M} -total family $\{X\}$ of V exists when V is normal and an \mathfrak{M} -total family exists when V is non-singular.

Let $\{X\}$ be a restricted \mathfrak{M} -total family of V defined over k_0^{**} , W be its associated variety and X be a generic member of $\{X\}$ over a field k_0 . By $\xi = C(|X|_{\mathfrak{M}})$ we denote the Chow-point of the associated variety of $|X|_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Then by Cor. of Lemma 2, ξ is rational over $k_0(x)$, where x is the Chow-point of X . We denote by small letter x, y, z, \dots the Chow-points of divisors X, Y, Z, \dots .

Let U be the locus of ξ over k_0 , then U has a commutative normal law of composition given by $\xi + \eta = \zeta$ where $\xi = C(|X|_{\mathfrak{M}})$, $\eta = C(|Y|_{\mathfrak{M}})$, $\zeta = C(|Z|_{\mathfrak{M}})$, X, Y are independent generic members of $\{X\}$ over k_0 and Z is a generic member of $\{X\}$ over k_0 such that $X + Y - X_0 \stackrel{\mathfrak{M}}{\sim} Z$. (Here X_0 is a fixed divisor in $\{X\} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$). Thus there is a commutative group variety $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ defined over k_0^{**} and a birational transformation $T: U \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ defined over k_0 which is compatible with the composition law. For the later use we define a rational mapping g from W to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ defined over k_0 by $g(x) = T(\xi)$ where x is a generic point of W over k_0 and $\xi = C(|X|_{\mathfrak{M}})$.

By the definition if $X \stackrel{\mathfrak{M}}{\sim} Y$ then we have $g(x) = g(y)$ and if $X + Y - X_0 \stackrel{\mathfrak{M}}{\sim} Z$ then we have $g(x) + g(y) = g(z)$. $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is unique up to birational isomorphisms over k . In [4] the following theorem is proved.

THEOREM. *Let notations and assumption be as above. There is a surjective isomorphism $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}: \text{Pic}_{\mathfrak{M}}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ such that :*

1) *If $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}a}$ is rational over a field $K \supset k$, then $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(D))$ is rational over K . If $D' \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}a}$ is a generic specialization of D over k (i. e. $D \xrightarrow{k} D'$) then $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(D'))$ is the unique generic specialization of $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(D))$ over $D \xrightarrow{k} D'$. ($\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is given by $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(X_1 - X_2)) = g(x_1) - g(x_2)$ for generic members X_1 and X_2 of $\{X\}$ over k_0 .)*

2) *Let G' be a commutative group variety defined over $k' \supset k$ and $\varphi': \text{Pic}_{\mathfrak{M}}(V) \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism such that (i) if $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}a}$ is rational over $K \ni k'$ then*

^{*}) The group variety $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ defined here may not be defined over k . But we can choose a group variety which is defined over k and is biregularly isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ as is shown in [4].

^{**}) We may take such a field k_0 that is separably algebraic over k .

$\varphi'(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(D))$ is rational over K and (ii) if D' is a generic specialization of D over k' then $\varphi'(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(D'))$ is the unique specialization of $\varphi'(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(D))$ over $D \xrightarrow{k} D'$. Then there exists a rational homomorphism $\alpha: \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow G'$ defined over k' such that $\varphi' = \alpha \circ \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}$.

§ 2. Covering homomorphisms.

Let V be a complete normal projective variety defined over a field k and P_1, \dots, P_m be a set of k -rational simple points of V . Put $\mathfrak{M} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{M}(P_i)^{n_i}$ and $\mathfrak{M}' = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m'} \mathfrak{M}(P_i)^{n'_i}$ ($n_i \geq n'_i \geq 0$). Then there exist two commutative group varieties $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}'}$ defined over k , (by Theorem in §1) such that $\text{Pic}_{\mathfrak{M}}(V) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $\text{Pic}_{\mathfrak{M}'}(V) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}'}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}'}$. By 2) of the Theorem, we have a rational homomorphism $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{M}'}: \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}'}$ defined over k such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Pic}_{\mathfrak{M}}(V) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}^a} / \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}^i} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}} & \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}} \\ \downarrow p_{\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{M}'} & & \downarrow \pi_{\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{M}'} \\ \text{Pic}_{\mathfrak{M}'}(V) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}'^a} / \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}'^i} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}'}} & \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}'} \end{array}$$

where $p_{\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{M}'}$ is a natural homomorphism defined by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}^a} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}'^a}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}^i} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}'^i}$.

LEMMA 3. *There exists a field L containing k such that if u is a generic point of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ over L then there exists a divisor $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}^a}$ where D is rational over $L(u)$ with $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(D)) = u$. We may add the condition that for any set of rational points R_1, R_2, \dots of V over k , $R_i \notin \text{Supp}(D)$ $i=1, 2, \dots$.*

Let Q_1, \dots, Q_t be a set of a sufficiently large number of independent generic points of V over k and z be a generic point of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ over $k_0(Q_1, \dots, Q_t)$, then the field $k_0(z, Q_1, \dots, Q_t)$ fulfils the conditions.

By this Lemma, $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{M}'}$ is a surjective rational homomorphism defined over k and moreover we have a rational cross section from $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}'}$ defined over some field $L \supset k$. In fact let v be a generic point of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}'}$ over the field L which is chosen in Lemma 3 for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}'}$. Then there exists a divisor $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}'^a}$ such that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}'}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}'}(D)) = v$ and D is rational over $L(v)$. Moreover we may assume that $P_i \notin \text{Supp}(D)$ $i=1, 2, \dots, m$, i.e. $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}^a}$. Thus $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(D))$ is a point on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ rational over $L(v)$. If we put $s(v) = u$, s gives a rational cross section from $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}'}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ with respect to $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{M}'}$. From this the surjectiveness of $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{M}'}$ follows. Therefore the kernel of $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{M}'}$ is a connected subgroup of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$. If we replace $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}'}$ by the usual Picard variety P of V defined over k , we have the following Theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let V be a complete normal projective variety with an \mathfrak{M} -structure defined over k . Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ be the generalized Picard variety of V for the \mathfrak{M} -structure and P be the Picard variety both defined over k . Then there*

exists a rational homomorphism $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ from $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ to P defined over k and the kernel $K_{\mathfrak{M}}$ of $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is a connected subgroup of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$.

REMARK. The kernel $K_{\mathfrak{M}}$ of $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ would be defined over a purely inseparable extension of k_0 .

§ 3. Algebraic structure of function modules.

Let d be a point of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and D be a divisor in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}a}$ such that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(D))=d$. For the neutral element e of P , $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}}(d)=e$ holds if and only if $D \sim 0$ (i. e. $D=(g)$ holds for a function $g \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ such that g is a unit at all points P_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$)).

Let $U(P_i)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$) be the multiplicative group of all units of $\mathfrak{S}(P_i)$ ($i=1, \dots, m$), and let $U(P_i)^{(n_i)}=1+\mathfrak{M}(P_i)^{n_i}$. Then $U(P_i)^{(n_i)}$ is a subgroup of $U(P_i)$. We put $R_{\mathfrak{M}}=\prod_{i=1}^m U(P_i)/U(P_i)^{(n_i)}$. Then a function g in $U_{\mathfrak{M}}=\prod_{i=1}^m U(P_i)$ determines an element of $R_{\mathfrak{M}}$. By this mapping we get a natural homomorphism $\bar{\theta}$ from $U_{\mathfrak{M}}$ to $R_{\mathfrak{M}}$.

LEMMA 4. Let V be an r -dimensional projective variety defined over k and P_1, \dots, P_m be a set of simple points of V which are rational over k . Then we can choose a set of generators t_{i1}, \dots, t_{ir} of $\mathfrak{M}_k(P_i)$ ($i=1, \dots, m$) such that all t_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq r$) are integral at every P_i ($i=1, \dots, m$) and t_{i1} is unit at all P_j ($i \neq j$).

We omit the proof.

PROPOSITION 1. $\bar{\theta}$ is surjective.

PROOF. Let $(t_{i1}, t_{i2}, \dots, t_{ir})=(t_i)$ be the generators of $\mathfrak{M}_k(P_i)$ ($i=1, \dots, m$) chosen as in Lemma 4. Let $\bar{g}=(\bar{g}_i)$ ($i=1, \dots, m$) be an element of $R_{\mathfrak{M}}$ such that $\bar{g}_i=\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n_i} f_{ik}(t_i)$ where $f_{ik}(t_i)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k of the form $f_{ik}=\sum a_{k i_1 \dots i_r} t_{i1}^{k i_1} \dots t_{ir}^{k i_r}$ and \sum is taken all over the monomials with $\sum_{j=1}^r k_{ij}=k$.

Let $g_1=\sum_{0 \leq k} h_k(t_2)$ and $t_{11}^{n_1}=a_2+f_2$, be the power series expansion of g_1 and $t_{11}^{n_1}$ at P_2 . h_k is the homogeneous part of degree k and $a_2 \in \mathcal{O}$, $a_2 \neq 0$, $f_2 \in \mathfrak{M}(P_2)$.

If we put $g'_1=\bar{g}_1-\frac{h_0(t_2)-f_{20}(t_2)}{\alpha_2} \cdot t_{11}^{n_1}$ then $g'_1=f_{20}(t_2)+\sum_{1 \leq k} h'_k(t_2)$. If we put

$g''_1=g'_1-\frac{h'_1(t_2)-f_{21}(t_2)}{\alpha_2} \cdot t_{11}^{n_1}$ then $g''_1=f_{20}(t_2)+f_{21}(t_2)+\sum_{2 \leq k} h''_k(t_2)$. Thus we get

$g_1^{(n_2)} \equiv \bar{g}_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}(P_2)^{n_2}}$. Also we have $g_1^{(n_2)} \equiv \bar{g}_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}(P_1)^{n_1}}$. Let $g_2=g_1^{(n_2)}=\sum_{0 \leq k} p_k(t_3)$,

$t_{11}^{n_1} \cdot t_{21}^{n_2}=\alpha_3+f_3$ be the power series expansion of g_2 , $t_{11}^{n_1} \cdot t_{21}^{n_2}$ at P_2 respectively, where $p_k(t_3)$ is the homogeneous part of degree k and $\alpha_3 \neq 0$, $f_3 \in \mathfrak{M}(P_3)$. If we put

$g'_2=g_2-\frac{p_0(t_3)-f_{30}(t_2)}{\alpha_3} t_{11}^{n_1} \cdot t_{21}^{n_2}$ then $g'_2=f_{30}(t_3)+\sum p'_k(t_2)$. If we put

$g''_2=g'_2-\frac{p'_1(t_2)-f_{31}(t_3)}{\alpha_2} t_{11}^{n_1} \cdot t_{21}^{n_2}$ then $g''_2=f_{30}(t_3)+f_{31}(t_3)+\sum_{2 \leq k} p''_k(t_3)$. Thus we get

$g_3 = g_2^{(n_3)} \equiv g_3 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}(P_3)^{n_3}}$. Also we have $g_2^{(n_3)} \equiv \bar{g}_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}(P_1)^{n_1}}$ and $g_2^{(n_3)} \equiv \bar{g}_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}(P_2)^{n_2}}$. Repeating this process we get a function $g_m = g$ such that $\bar{\theta}(g_m) = \bar{g}$.

Q. E. D.

REMARK. By the proof of the above Proposition we can choose a function which is defined over $k(\cdots, a_{k_1, \dots, k_r}, \cdots)$, as a function g such that $\bar{\theta}(g) = \bar{g}$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \overbrace{\{a, \dots, a\}}^m \{a \in \mathcal{O}^*\}$, then \mathcal{A} is a subgroup of $R_{\mathfrak{M}}$. We put $H_{\mathfrak{M}} = R_{\mathfrak{M}}/\mathcal{A}$. The mapping $\bar{\theta}$ induces a bijective isomorphism θ from $K_{\mathfrak{M}}$ to $H_{\mathfrak{M}}$ as abstract groups. This bijective isomorphism is given as follows. Let $d = \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(Cl_{\mathfrak{M}}(D)) \in K_{\mathfrak{M}}$ then we have $D = (f)$ for a function $f \in U_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Let $\bar{\theta}(f_i) \in R_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and (f_i) be the image of (\bar{f}_i) by the natural homomorphism $R_{\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{M}}/\mathcal{A} = H_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Then we have $\theta(d) = (f_i)$. If $(f_i) = 1$ in $H_{\mathfrak{M}}$ then we have $f_i = a + h_i$ ($a \in \mathcal{O}$, $h_i \in \mathfrak{M}(P_i)^n$) ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) and $\frac{1}{a} f \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$. Therefore $d = e$ in $K_{\mathfrak{M}}$. This proves the injectivity of θ . Surjectivity is clear by Prop. 1.

The proof of the following Propositions 2–4 is essentially the same as that in Serre's Book [7] Chap. V.

Let P be a simple point of V^r and t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r be a set of local parameters of V at P in $\mathfrak{M}(P)$. Then $U(P)/U(P)^{(n)}$, which is defined as above, has, as its system of representatives, the polynomials of the form,

$$f = \sum_{0 \leq K < n} a_{k_1, \dots, k_r} t_1^{k_1} \cdot t_2^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot t_r^{k_r} \quad \left(K = \sum_{j=1}^r k_j \right)$$

Therefore we may consider $U(P)/U(P)^{(n)}$ as an open subspace of N -dimensional affine space, where $N = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} H_j$ and the group structure is compatible with this algebraic structure.

PROPOSITION 2. $U(P)/U(P)^{(1)}$ is birationally isomorphic to G_m as algebraic groups.

This is clear by the definition of algebraic structure of $U(P)/U(P)^{(1)}$.

PROPOSITION 3. Let the characteristic p of \mathcal{O} be equal to zero. Then $U(P)^{(1)}/U(P)^{(n)}$ is birationally isomorphic to G_a^{N-1} as algebraic groups. The isomorphism is given in the following way. We give the lexicographic order in the set of monomials $\left\{ t_1^{k_1} \cdot t_2^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot t_r^{k_r} \mid 0 < \sum_{j=1}^r k_j < n \right\}$. Let g_{k_1, \dots, k_r} be the formal power series in $\{t_1, \dots, t_r\}$ such that the first term is $t_1^{k_1} \cdot t_2^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot t_r^{k_r}$. Then every element g in $U(P)^{(1)}/U(P)^{(n)}$ is uniquely written as $g \equiv \Pi \exp(a_{k_1, \dots, k_r} g_{k_1, \dots, k_r})$ modulo formal power series of degree n , where $K = \sum_{j=1}^r k_j$, $a_{k_1, \dots, k_r} \in \mathcal{O}$ $0 < K < n$. The mapping $g \rightarrow (\cdots, a_{k_1, \dots, k_r}, \cdots)$ gives the isomorphism.

PROOF. Let $\exp(f) = 1 + f + \frac{f^2}{2!} + \frac{f^3}{3!} + \cdots$ for all power series f in $\{t_1, \dots,$

$t_r\}$. Then we have $\exp(f_1) \cdot \exp(f_2) = \exp(f_1 + f_2)$. Let g be an element of $U(P)^{(1)}/U(P)^{(n)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g &= 1 + b_{10\dots 0}t_1 + b_{010\dots 0}t_2 + \dots + b_{k_1\dots k_r}t_1^{k_1}\dots t_r^{k_r} + \dots \\ g/(1 + b_{10\dots 0}g_{10\dots 0}) &= 1 + b'_{011\dots 0}t_2 + \dots \\ g/(1 + b_{10\dots 0}g_{10\dots 0})(1 + b''_{010\dots 0}g_{010\dots 0}) &= 1 + b'''_{0010\dots 0}t_3 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this step we get

$$g = (1 + a_{10\dots 0}g_{10\dots 0}) \cdots (1 + \alpha_{k_1\dots k_r}g_{k_1\dots k_r}) \cdots (1 + a_{0\dots 0n}g_{0\dots 0n}),$$

(modulo formal power series of degree n).

If we put $\exp(\alpha_{k_1\dots k_r}g_{k_1\dots k_r}) = 1 + f_{k_1\dots k_r}$ then $f_{k_1\dots k_r}$ has $\alpha_{k_1\dots k_r}t_1^{k_1}\dots t_r^{k_r}$ as its first term. Choosing suitable $(\dots \alpha_{k_1\dots k_r} \dots)$ in \mathcal{Q} we get

$$g = \prod_{0 < K < n} \exp(\alpha_{k_1\dots k_r}g_{k_1\dots k_r}) \quad (\text{modulo formal power series of degree } n).$$

The uniqueness of this expression is clear. By the above argument $\alpha_{k_1\dots k_r}$ must be a polynomial of the coefficients of g and the coefficients of g are polynomials of $(\dots, \alpha_{k_1\dots k_r}, \dots)$. This completes the proof of our Proposition. Q. E. D.

COROLLARY. When $p=0$, $U(P)/U(P)^{(n)}$ is birationally isomorphic to $G_m \times G_a^{n-1}$. When the characteristic of \mathcal{Q} is not equal to zero we have the following

PROPOSITION 4. Let the characteristic p of \mathcal{Q} be $\neq 0$. Let $0 < \sum_{j=1}^r k_j < n$, l be a positive integer such that $l \sum_{j=1}^r k_j \leq n-1$, $(l, p) = 1$ and $r_{k_1\dots k_r l} = \text{Min}\{r | p^r \geq n/l \sum k_j\}$. Let $g_{k_1\dots k_r}$ be a formal power series of $t_1^{k_1} \cdot t_2^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot t_r^{k_r}$ such that its first term is $(t_1^{k_1} \cdot t_2^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot t_r^{k_r})^l$. Then every g in $U(P)^{(1)}/U(P)^{(n)}$ can be written uniquely as

$$g = \prod_{(k_1, \dots, k_r, l)} \overrightarrow{E}(a_{k_1\dots k_r l}) \quad (\text{modulo formal power series of degree } n)$$

using Witt vector $\overrightarrow{a}_{k_1\dots k_r l}$ of length $r_{k_1\dots k_r l}$. $U(P)^{(1)}/U(P)^{(n)}$ is birationally isomorphic to $\prod_{(k_1, \dots, k_r, l)} W_{r_{k_1\dots k_r l}}$ as algebraic groups, where $E(x) = \exp(-x^{(0)} - x^{(1)} - x^{(2)} - \dots)$ for a Witt vector $x = (x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots)$.

The proof is quite similar to that of Proposition 3.

Thus we get

PROPOSITION 5. When $p=0$, $R_{\mathfrak{M}}$ (resp. $H_{\mathfrak{M}}$) is birationally isomorphic to $G_m^m \times G_a^M$ (resp. $G_m^{m-1} \times G_a^M$) over k , where $M = \sum_{i=1}^m (N_i - 1)$, $N_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} r_j H_j$.

When $p \neq 0$, $R_{\mathfrak{M}}$ (resp. $H_{\mathfrak{M}}$) is birationally isomorphic to $G_m^m \times \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{(k_1^{(i)}, \dots, k_r^{(i)}, l)} W_{r_{k_1^{(i)}, \dots, k_r^{(i)}, l}}$ (resp. $G_m^{m-1} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{(k_1^{(i)}, \dots, k_r^{(i)}, l)} W_{r_{k_1^{(i)}, \dots, k_r^{(i)}, l}}$) over k .

REMARK. By the isomorphisms of Proposition 4 we identify these algebraic groups respectively. Then the mapping θ is given as follows:

1) $p=0$: Let $A=(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m, \dots, a_{k_1, \dots, k_r, l}^{(i)}, \dots) \in R_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and A be the image of A by the natural mapping $R_{\mathfrak{M}} \xrightarrow{q} R_{\mathfrak{M}}/A = H_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Let g be a function in $U_{\mathfrak{M}}$ such that $g \equiv \alpha_i \prod_{0 < K < u_i} \exp(a_{k_1, \dots, k_r, l}^{(i)} g_{k_1, \dots, k_r, l}^{(i)})$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$). Then $\theta(A) = \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(g))$.

2) $p \neq 0$: Let $A=(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m, \dots, a_{k_1, \dots, k_r, l}^{(i)}, \dots) \in R_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and A be the image of A by the natural mapping $R_{\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{M}}/A = H_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Let g be a function in $U_{\mathfrak{M}}$ such that $g \equiv \alpha_i \prod_{(k_1, \dots, k_r, l)} E(a_{k_1, \dots, k_r, l}^{(i)} g_{k_1, \dots, k_r, l}^{(i)})$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$). Then $\theta(A) = \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(g))$.

3) By the Remark of Prop. 1, we may assume that g is defined over $k(A)$.

§4. The structure of generalized Picard Varieties.

For the proof of Theorem 2 first we remark the following Lemma.

LEMMA 4. *Let D and D' be divisors on V such that $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $D=(f)$ for a function $f \in \Omega(V)$. If D and D' are generic specializations of each other over a field $K \supset k$, then $D' \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $D'=(f^\sigma)$ hold, where σ is a K -isomorphism of $K(d)$ to $K(d')$ such that $D'=D^\sigma$ and d, d' are Chow-points of D, D' respectively.*

PROOF. For a generic point N of V over $K(d, d')$, σ can be extended to a $K(N)$ -isomorphism of $K(N, d)$ to $K(N, d')$. We denote it also by σ . $f(N)=z$ may be assumed to be an element of $K(N, d)$ and z^σ is an element of $K(N, d')$. Thus there exists a function $f^\sigma(N)=z^\sigma$ on V defined over $K(d')$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} D' &= D^\sigma = (f)^\sigma = pr_v[\Gamma_f \cdot (V \times (0 - \infty))]^\sigma \\ &= pr_v[\Gamma_f^\sigma \cdot (V \times (0 - \infty))] \\ &= pr_v[\Gamma_{f^\sigma} \cdot (V \times (0 - \infty))] = (f^\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly we have $D'=D^\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$.

THEOREM 2. *The bijective isomorphism θ from $H_{\mathfrak{M}}$ to $K_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is a birational isomorphism defined over k' , where k' is a purely inseparable extension of k_0 over which $K_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is defined (See Remark of Theorem 1)*

PROOF. Let $A \in H_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $g \in U_{\mathfrak{M}}$ be such that $\theta(A) = \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(g))$. Since we may choose such a function g which is defined over $k'(A)$, $\theta(A)$ is rational over $k'(A)$. Conversely let $L=k'(z, Q_1, \dots, Q_t)$ be the field chosen in Lemma 3, where Q_1, \dots, Q_t are independent generic points of V over k' and z is a generic point of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ over $k'(Q_1, \dots, Q_t)$. Let $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(g))=w$ be a point of $K_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and u be a generic point of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ over $L(w)$. If we put $v=w-u$, v is a generic point of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ over L and $L(u, v)=L(u, w)$. Let D_u and D_v be divisors in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}, u}$, whose existence is assured in Lemma 3, such that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(D_u))=u$, $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(D_v))=v$ and D_u, D_v are rational over $L(u), L(v)$ respectively. We have $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(D_u + D_v))=u+v=w$. Therefore there exists a function f in $U_{\mathfrak{M}}$ which is defined over $L(u, v)$ such that $D_u + D_v=(f)$ (By [12], Theorem 10, VIII). We have also $\theta(A)=\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(f))$. By

these arguments we see that A is rational over $L(u, v) = L(u, w)$. Replacing u by another generic point of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ over $L(w, u)$ we see that A is rational over $L(w)$. We may also replace z, Q_1, \dots, Q_r by another set of independent generic points of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and V over $k'(z, Q_1, \dots, Q_r)$ respectively then we see that A is rational over $k'(w)$. Thus the mapping θ is birational at every point in point wise. Let A_1 and A_2 be two points of $H_{\mathfrak{M}}$ such that $\theta(A_1) = \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(g_1))$, $\theta(A_2) = \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(g_2))$. First we assume that A_1 and A_2 are generic specializations of each other over k' . Then we may assume that (g_1) and (g_2) are generic specializations of each other over k' . Therefore $\theta(A_1)$ and $\theta(A_2)$ are generic specializations of each other over k . (By Theorem in § 1)

Conversely we can show that if $\theta(A_1)$ and $\theta(A_2)$ are generic specializations of each other over k' then A_1 and A_2 are generic specializations of each other over k' then A_1 and A_2 are generic specializations of each other over k . In fact, there exists a k -isomorphism σ from $k'(\theta(A_1))$ to $k'(\theta(A_2))$ transporting $\theta(A_1)$ to $\theta(A_2)$. Let X_1 and Y_1 be generic members of $\{X\}$ over k' such that $X_1 - Y_1 \sim^{\mathfrak{M}}(g_1)$, where $\{X\}$ is the algebraic family defined in § 1. We have a function f in $U_{\mathfrak{M}}$ defined over $k'(x_1, y_1)$ such that $X_1 - Y_1 = (f_1)$. By Theorem in § 1 we have $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(X_1 - Y_1)) = g(x_1) - g(y_1) = \theta(A_1)$. Let (X_2, Y_2) be a generic specialization of (X_1, Y_1) over $\theta(A_1) \xrightarrow{k'} \theta(A_2)$. Then $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{M}}(X_2 - Y_2)) = g(x_2) - g(y_2) = \theta(A_2)$. σ can be extended to a k -isomorphism of $k'(\theta(A_1), x_1, y_1)$ to $k(\theta(A_2), x_2, y_2)$ transporting $(\theta(A_1), x_1, y_1)$ to $(\theta(A_2), x_2, y_2)$. We also denote this isomorphism by σ . We have $(X_1 - Y_1)^{\sigma} = X_2 - Y_2 = (f_1^{\sigma})$ where f_1^{σ} shall be defined as in Lemma 4. A_1 and A_2 are defined by f_1 and f_1^{σ} respectively. Therefore A_1 is transformed to A_2 by k -isomorphism σ . That is to say, A_1 and A_2 are generic specializations of each other over k' . Thus we proved the above assertion.

Taking into account that θ is an isomorphism as abstract groups, we can easily see that θ gives a surjective birational isomorphism from $H_{\mathfrak{M}}$ to $K_{\mathfrak{M}}$ defined over k' . This completes the proof of Theorem. Q. E. D.

We get immediately the following Theorem 3 by Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. *Let V^r be a complete normal projective variety with \mathfrak{M} -structure $\{P_1, \dots, P_m; \mathfrak{M} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{M}(P_i)^{n_i}\}$ defined over k , $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ be the generalized Picard variety of V defined over k with respect to the \mathfrak{M} -structure and P be the usual Picard variety of V defined over k . Then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is an extension of P by the linear group $G_m^{n-1} \times G_a^M$ ($M = \sum_{i=1}^m (N_i - 1)$, $N_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} r_j H_j$) when the characteristic $p=0$, and by $G_m^{n-1} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{(k_1^{(i)}, \dots, k_r^{(i)}, l^{(i)})} W_{r_{k_1^{(i)}, \dots, k_r^{(i)}, l^{(i)}}$ when $p \neq 0$, where the product is taken for all $(k_1^{(i)}, \dots, k_r^{(i)}, l^{(i)})$ such that $(k_1^{(i)}, \dots, k_r^{(i)}) = 1$, $0 < l^{(i)} \sum_{j=1}^r k_j^{(i)} \leq n_i - 1$ and $W_{r_{k_1^{(i)}, \dots, k_r^{(i)}, l^{(i)}}$ is the Witt group with length $r_{k_1^{(i)}, \dots, k_r^{(i)}, l^{(i)}} = \text{Min}\{r | p^r \geq n_i / l^{(i)} \sum_{j=1}^r k_j^{(i)}\}$.*

§ 5. K/k -image and K/k -trace of Abelian varieties.

For the proof of the statements of this section we refer to the Book of Lang [1], Chap. VIII and Appendix.

Let V be an r -dimensional complete normal projective variety. We fix an algebraically closed field k in Ω over which V is defined. Let W_u be a generic hyperplane section of V over k , which is defined over $k(u)$. Then W is also normal. Let A be the Albanese variety of V defined over k and A_u be that of W_u defined over $k(u)$. We denote the canonical mappings by $f: V \rightarrow A$ and $g: W_u \rightarrow A_u$, respectively. The inclusion mapping $i: W_u \rightarrow V$ induces a rational homomorphism $i^*: A_u \rightarrow A$ defined over $k(u)$. i^* is defined by $i^*(\text{Cl}(Y)) = \text{Cl}(i^{-1}(Y))$ for a divisor Y on V . By the universal mapping property of the Albanese variety we have the commutative diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W_u & \xrightarrow{i} & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_u & \xrightarrow{i^*} & A \end{array}$$

By Theorem 4 Chap. VIII § 2 [1] (A, i^*) is $k(u)/k$ -image of A_u , i. e. i^* is a surjective homomorphism such that if B is an abelian variety defined over k and $\alpha: A_u \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism defined over $k(u)$, then there exists a homomorphism $\alpha': A \rightarrow B$ defined over k and $\alpha = \alpha' \cdot i^*$.

Let $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of Abelian varieties defined over k . We have a homomorphism $t_\alpha: \widehat{B} \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ defined over k , where \widehat{A} and \widehat{B} are dual abelian varieties of A and B respectively. The homomorphism t_α is defined by $t_\alpha(\text{Cl}(Y)) = \text{Cl}(\alpha^{-1}(Y))$ for the divisor Y on B . By Theorem 11 Chap. VIII § 5 (\widehat{A}, t_{i^*}) is a $k(u)/k$ -trace of \widehat{A}_u , i. e. t_{i^*} has finite kernel, and if B is an abelian variety defined over k and $\beta: B \rightarrow \widehat{A}_u$ is a homomorphism defined over $k(u)$, then there exists a homomorphism $\beta': B \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ defined over k and $\beta = t_{i^*} \cdot \beta'$.

§ 6. Homological mappings.

In this section we consider the algebraic objects (algebraic groups, rational mappings, etc.) defined or rational over a suitable field with respect to the objects considered there, but we shall not notice the field explicitly.

Let A, B be commutative algebraic groups and C, D be commutative linear groups.

a) Let $\alpha: B \rightarrow A$ a rational homomorphism. Then α induces a homomorphism $\alpha_0: \text{Ext}(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(B, C)$. Let $\beta: C \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism. Then β induces a homomorphism $\beta^0: \text{Ext}(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(A, D)$. Moreover, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}(A, C) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_C^0} & \text{Ext}(B, C) \\ \downarrow \beta_A^0 & & \downarrow \beta_B^0 \\ \text{Ext}(A, D) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_D^0} & \text{Ext}(B, D). \end{array}$$

b) The rational factor system of A with values in C is a rational mapping $f : A \times A \rightarrow C$ such that

$$f(y, z) - f(x + y, z) + f(x, y + z) - f(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{for } x, y, z \in A.$$

When $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$, f is said symmetric. For a rational mapping $g : A \rightarrow C$, $\delta g(x, y) = g(x + y) - g(x) - g(y)$ is called trivial factor system. We denote by $H_{\text{rat}}^2(A, C)$, the group of symmetric rational factor systems modulo trivial ones. Then α induces a homomorphism $\beta^f : H_{\text{rat}}^2(A, C) \rightarrow H_{\text{rat}}^2(A, D)$. Moreover we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{rat}}^2(A, C)_s & \xrightarrow{\alpha'_C} & H_{\text{rat}}^2(B, C)_s \\ \downarrow \beta'_A & & \downarrow \beta'_B \\ H_{\text{rat}}^2(A, D)_s & \xrightarrow{\alpha'_D} & H_{\text{rat}}^2(B, D)_s. \end{array}$$

c) Let G be an element of $\text{Ext}(A, C)$. Since C is a linear group, there exists a rational cross section $s : A \rightarrow G$ and $f(x, y) = s(x + y) - s(x) - s(y)$ ($x, y \in A$) is a symmetric rational factor system. If we take another cross section $s' : A \rightarrow G$ and put $f'(x, y) = s'(x + y) - s'(x) - s'(y)$, then $f(x, y) - f'(x, y) = (s(x + y) - s'(x + y)) - (s(x) - s'(x)) - (s(y) - s'(y)) = \delta(s - s')(x, y)$ is trivial. If we write $h(G) = f$, h defines a homomorphism $h : \text{Ext}(A, C) \rightarrow H_{\text{rat}}^2(A, C)_s$. By Prop. 4 Chap. VII [7] h is an isomorphism onto.

PROPOSITION 6. *Let the notation be as above. We have commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}(A, C) & \xrightarrow{h_A} & H_{\text{rat}}^2(A, C)_s \\ \downarrow \alpha^0 & & \uparrow \alpha^f \\ \text{Ext}(B, C) & \xrightarrow{h_B} & H_{\text{rat}}^2(B, C)_s \end{array}$$

PROOF. Let G be an element of $\text{Ext}(A, C)$ and $\alpha^0(G) = H \in \text{Ext}(B, C)$. Let $s : A \rightarrow G$ be a rational section, and $f(x, y) = s(x + y) - s(x) - s(y)$. Then $\alpha^f(f) = f \circ \alpha$. Since $s \circ \alpha$ is a rational section from B to H , we have $h_B = f \circ \alpha$ i.e. $\alpha^f(h_A(G)) = f \circ \alpha h_B(\alpha^0(G))$.
Q.E.D.

d) Let G be an element of $\text{Ext}(A, C)$ and $s : A \rightarrow G$ be a rational section. Then there exists an open set U on A on which s is everywhere regular. There is a finite open covering $\{U_i = U + a_i \mid a_i \in U\}$ of A . If we put $s_i(x + a_i) = s(x) + s(a_i)$ ($x \in U$) and $c_{i,j} = s_j - s_i$ then $(c_{i,j})$ is a 1-cocycle and determines an element $c \in H^1(A, C_A)$, where C_A is the sheaf of germs of regular mapping from A to C . If we write $\pi(G) = c$, π defines an into-isomorphism $\pi : \text{Ext}(A, C) \rightarrow H^1(A, C_A)$ (see Prop. 5

Chap. VII n° 6 [7]). Clearly $(b_{ij}=s_j \circ \alpha - s_i \circ \alpha)$ is a 1-cocycle for finite open covering $\{V_i=\alpha^{-1}(U_i)\}$ of B and it determines an element $b \in H^1(B, C_A)$. If we put ${}^*\alpha(c)=b$, ${}^*\alpha$ defines a homomorphism ${}^*\alpha: H^1(A, C_A) \rightarrow H^1(B, C_B)$.

PROPOSITION 7. *Let the notations be as above a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}(A, C) & \xrightarrow{\pi_A} & H^1(A, C_A) \\ \downarrow \alpha^0 & & \downarrow {}^*\alpha \\ \text{Ext}(B, C) & \xrightarrow{\pi_B} & H^1(B, C_B). \end{array}$$

PROOF. Let G be an element of $\text{Ext}(A, C)$ and $\alpha^0(G)=H$. Let $s:A \rightarrow G$ be a rational section then $s \circ \alpha: B \rightarrow H$ is also a rational section. If s is everywhere regular on an open set U of A then $s \circ \alpha$ is everywhere regular on $V=\alpha^{-1}(U)$ of H . For a finite open covering $\{V+b_i=V_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ of B , $\{U+\alpha(b_i)=U_i\}$ is a finite open covering of A . If we put

$$\begin{aligned} (s \circ \alpha_i)(\alpha + b_i) &= s \circ \alpha(x) + s \circ \alpha(b_i) & (x \in V), \\ s_i(y + a_i) &= s(y) + s(a_i) & (y \in U, a_i = \alpha(b_i)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$b_{ij} = (s \circ \alpha)_j - (s \circ \alpha)_i, \quad c_{ij} = s_j - s_i, \quad [b_{ij}] = b, \quad [c_{ij}] = c.$$

Then ${}^*\alpha(c)=b$. We have $\alpha^*(\pi_A(G)) = \pi_B(\alpha^0(G))$.

Q.E.D.

e) Let $s_A: A \times A \rightarrow A$ be the composition law of A and $p_{A_i}: A \times A \rightarrow A$ ($i=1, 2$) be the projections to the first factor ($i=1$) and to the second factor ($i=2$) respectively. Then we have homomorphisms ${}^*s_A: H^1(A, C_A) \rightarrow H^1(A \times A, C_{A \times A})$ and ${}^*p_{A_i}: H(A, C_A) \rightarrow H^1(A \times A, C_{A \times A})$ ($i=1, 2$). An element x of $H(A, C_A)$ is called primitive if ${}^*s_A(x) = {}^*p_{A_1}(x) + {}^*p_{A_2}(x)$.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let the notations be as above ${}^*\alpha: H^1(A, C_A) \rightarrow H^1(B, C_B)$ maps each primitive element to a primitivite element.*

This follows immediately from the following Lemma.

LEMMA 6. *For a commutative diagram of algebraic groups and their homomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\tau_2} & D \\ \downarrow \tau_1 & & \downarrow \tau_4 \\ B & \xrightarrow{\tau_3} & E \end{array}$$

we have commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(A, C_A) & \xleftarrow{{}^*\tau_2} & H^1(D, C_D) \\ \uparrow {}^*\tau_1 & & \uparrow {}^*\tau_4 \\ H^1(A, C_B) & \xleftarrow{{}^*\tau_3} & H^1(B, C_E). \end{array}$$

The proof is quite easy.

§ 7. Geometric mappings.

Now we return to our problem. Let V be a complete normal projective variety defined over k and C be a 1-dimensional generic hyperplane section of V defined over $k(u)=k$. Let A be the Albanese variety of V defined over k and J be the Jacobian variety of C defined over $k(u)$. Let P be the Picard variety of V . We denote by α , the homomorphism $t_*: P \rightarrow J$ defined in § 1. J and A can be considered as subgroups of $H^1(J, \mathcal{O}_J^*)$ and $H^1(P, \mathcal{O}_P^*)$ respectively and they coincide with the groups of primitive elements of them respectively, where \mathcal{O}_J^* (resp. \mathcal{O}_P^*) is the sheaf of non-zero elements of local rings of J (resp. P). (See Chap. VII n° 16 [7]). By Prop. 3 § 2 $*\alpha: H^1(J, \mathcal{O}_J^*) \rightarrow H^1(P, \mathcal{O}_P^*)$ maps J to A .

PROPOSITION 9. *Let the notations be as above, We have $t_\alpha = *\alpha|_J$.*

PROOF. Let D be a divisor on J such that $\alpha^{-1}(D)$ is defined. Then there exists a definite open covering $\{U_i\}$ of J such that D is locally defined on U_i by a rational function $R_i(x)$ (i.e. $D \cap U_i = (R_i(x)) \cap U_i$) such that $f_{ij} = R_j(x)/R_i(x)$ is unit at every point on $U_i \cap U_j$. $\{f_{ij}\}$ is 1-cocycle and determines an element $[D] = [f_{ij}] \in H^1(J, \mathcal{O}_J^*)$. By the definition $*\alpha([D]) = *\alpha([f_{ij}]) = [f_{ij} \circ \alpha] = [R_j \circ \alpha / R_i \circ \alpha]$. Putting $V_i = \alpha^{-1}(U_i)$ we get a finite open covering $\{V_i\}$ of P . The divisor $\alpha^{-1}(D)$ on P is locally defined on V_i by the rational function $R_i \circ \alpha$ on P . (See App. Theorem 3 Cor. 2 Lang [1]). Thus we have $t_\alpha([D]) = [\alpha^{-1}(D)] = [R_j \circ \alpha / R_i \circ \alpha]$ and we get $t_\alpha[D] = *\alpha[D]$. Q. E. D.

By Theorem 5 Chap. VII [7] $\pi: \text{Ext}(A, C) \rightarrow H^1(A, C_A)$ maps $\text{Ext}(A, C)$ isomorphically onto the subgroup of primitive elements of $H^1(A, C_A)$. By Prop. 2 we have commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}(J, G_m) & \xrightarrow{\pi_J} & J \subset H^1(J, \mathcal{O}_J^*) \\ \downarrow \alpha^0 & & \downarrow t_\alpha \quad \downarrow *\alpha \\ \text{Ext}(P, G_m) & \xrightarrow{\pi_P} & A \subset H^1(P, \mathcal{O}_P^*) \end{array}$$

where π_J and π_P are surjective isomorphisms. As is stated in § 1 t_α is a surjective homomorphism. Therefore we have

PROPOSITION 10. *Let the notations be as above, $\alpha^0: \text{Ext}(J, G_m) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(P, G_m)$ is a surjective homomorphism.*

§ 8. Structure of function modules and the correspondence of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m be a set of distinct points of C . Since V is normal, C is non-singular. Therefore, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m are simple points of C but also they are simple points on V because C is a generic hyperplane section of V over the field of definition of V . Let $\mathfrak{O}(P_i)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(P_i)$ be the local ring and its maximal ideal

at P_i respectively in the rational function field $\mathcal{Q}(V)$ of V over \mathcal{Q} . We denote by $\mathfrak{D}(P_i)$ and $\mathfrak{m}(P_i)$ the local ring and its maximal ideal of C at P_i in $\mathcal{Q}(C)$ respectively. We define the \mathfrak{M} -structure on V and C by $\{P_1, \dots, P_m, \mathfrak{M} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{M}(P_i)^{n_i}\}$ and $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m, \mathfrak{m} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{m}(P_i)^{n_i}\}$ respectively.

REMARK. The \mathfrak{M} -structure is determined if we give a 0-cycle $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i P_i$. Therefore we use sometimes 0-cycle instead of \mathfrak{M} -structure.

Let $U(P_i)$ and $u(P_i)$ be the unit groups of $\mathfrak{D}(P_i)$ and $\mathfrak{o}(P_i)$ respectively. We denote by $\mathfrak{D}(V, C)$ the specialization ring of C in $\mathcal{Q}(V)$. The restriction of a function on V to the function on C defines an onto-homomorphism $\rho: \mathfrak{D}(V, C) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(C)$. The homomorphism ρ maps $\mathfrak{D}(P_i)$ onto $\mathfrak{o}(P_i)$, $\mathfrak{M}(P_i)$ onto $\mathfrak{m}(P_i)$ and $U(P_i)$ onto $u(P_i)$ respectively. By [12] Chapter VIII, Prop. 10 we can choose such local parameters $\{t_{i1}, t_{i2}, \dots, t_{ir}\}$ at P_i on V and t_i at P_i on C that $\rho(t_{i1}) = t_i$ and $\rho(t_{ij}) = 0$ ($j \geq 2$). Choosing such local parameters $\bar{\rho}_i = \rho|_{\mathfrak{D}(P_i)}: \mathfrak{D}(P_i) \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}(P_i)$ is given by

$$\bar{\rho}_i\left(\sum_{0 \leq \sum k_j} a_{k_{i1}, \dots, k_{ir}} t_{i1}^{k_{i1}} \cdot t_{i2}^{k_{i2}} \cdots t_{ir}^{k_{ir}}\right) = \sum_{0 \leq k_{i1}} \alpha_{k_{i1}, 0, \dots, 0} t_i^{k_{i1}}.$$

$\bar{\rho}_i$ induces a homomorphism $\rho_i: U(P_i)/U(P_i)^{(n_i)} \rightarrow u(P_i)/u(P_i)^{(n_i)}$.

By Prop. 2 and Prop. 3 in §4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p=0: U(P_i)/U(P_i)^{(n_i)} &\approx G_m \times G_a^{N_i-1}, & u(P_i)/u(P_i)^{(n_i)} &\approx G_m \times G_a^{n_i-1}, \\ p \neq 0: U(P_i)/U(P_i)^{n_i} &\approx G_m \times \prod_{(k_i^{(1)} \cdots k_i^{(p)}, l^{(i)})} W r_{k_i^{(1)} \cdots k_i^{(p)}, l^{(i)}} & u(P_i)/u(P_i)^{(n_i)} &\approx G_m \times \prod_{k_i^{(1)}} W r_{k_i^{(1)}, l^{(i)}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the proof of these Propositions and the above selection of local parameters,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i: G_m \times G_a^{N_i-1} &\longrightarrow G_m \times G_a^{n_i-1} & (p=0), \\ \rho_i: G_m \times \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{(k_i^{(1)}, \dots, k_i^{(p)}, l^{(i)})} W r_{k_i^{(1)} \cdots k_i^{(p)}, l^{(i)}} &\longrightarrow G_m \times \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{k_i^{(1)}} W r_{k_i^{(1)}, l^{(i)}} & (p \neq 0) \end{aligned}$$

are projections onto suitable factors.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ be the generalized Picard variety of V with respect to the \mathfrak{m} -structure $\{P_1, \dots, P_m, \mathfrak{M}\}$ of V and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ be the generalized Jacobian variety of C with respect to the \mathfrak{m} -structure $\{P_1, \dots, P_m; \mathfrak{m}\}$ of C . P and J be the Picard and Jacobian variety of V and of C respectively. Then by Theorem 3 in §4 we have the exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathfrak{M}} & \xrightarrow{\ell_P} & \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}} & \xrightarrow{p_P} & P \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & k_{\mathfrak{M}} & \xrightarrow{\ell_J} & \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{M}} & \xrightarrow{p_J} & J \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $K_{\mathfrak{M}} = [\prod_{i=1}^m (U(P_i)/U(P_i)^{(n_i)})]/G_m$ and $k_{\mathfrak{M}} = [\prod_{i=1}^m u(P_i)/u(P_i)^{(n_i)}]/G_m$

We have a homomorphism $\tau: K_{\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow k_{\mathfrak{M}}$ defined by $\prod_{i=1}^m \rho_i$, and a homomorphism $\alpha: P \rightarrow J$ induced by $i: C \rightarrow V$. Therefore we have homomorphisms

$$\tau_0: \text{Ext}(P, K_{\mathfrak{M}}) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(P, k_{\mathfrak{M}}), \quad \alpha^0: \text{Ext}(J, k_{\mathfrak{M}}) \longrightarrow (P, k_{\mathfrak{M}}).$$

THEOREM 4. $\tau_0(\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}) = \alpha^0(\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{M}})$.

PROOF. By the definition it is clear that if $f \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$ for a function f on V , we have $\rho(f) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $i^{-1}(\rho(f)) = (\rho(f))$ (By Theorem 3 App. [1]). Therefore i^{-1} induces a homomorphism $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}^n}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}^n}(C)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}^n}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}^n}(C)$, and we get, taking quotient, a homomorphism $\bar{\alpha}: \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{M}}$. By the definition of the homomorphisms $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \tau$, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathfrak{M}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}} & \longrightarrow & P \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \bar{\alpha} & & \downarrow \alpha \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & k_{\mathfrak{M}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{M}} & \longrightarrow & J \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Thus we get $\tau_0(\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}) = \alpha^0(\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{M}})$.

Q. E. D.

By Theorem 4 we get immediately

COROLLARY. When $m=2$ and $n_1=n_2=1$, we have $K_{\mathfrak{M}} = k_{\mathfrak{M}} = G_m$ and τ_0 must be identity. In this case we have $\alpha^0(\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{M}}) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}$.

In the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}(J, G_m) & \xrightarrow{\pi_J} & J \\ \uparrow \alpha^0 & & \uparrow t_{\alpha} \\ \text{Ext}(P, G_m) & \xrightarrow{\pi_P} & A \end{array}$$

t_{α} is surjective by the statements in §1 and π_J, π_P are surjective isomorphisms. Therefore α^0 is also surjective. By the Theorem in our paper [3], $\text{Ext}(J, G_m)$ is generated by $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ defined as in Corollary of Theorem 1. By the same Corollary we conclude that $\text{Ext}(P, G_m)$ is generated by the generalized Picard varieties of V with respect to 0-cycles $P_1 + P_2$ ($P_1 \neq P_2$) on V .

Summarizing above results we get

THEOREM 5. Let V be a normal projective variety and P be its Picard variety. Then $\text{Ext}(P, G_m)$ is generated by the generalized Picard varieties of V with respect to 0-cycles $P_1 + P_2$ ($P_1 \neq P_2$) on V .

University of Tokyo.

References

- [1] S. Lang: Abelian varieties. Interscience Publications New York-London (1959).
- [2] T. Matsusaka: On the algebraic construction of Picard variety I and II, Jap. J. Math., **21**, (1951), 217-235, **22** (1952), 51-62.

- [3] M. Miwa: Extension of group varieties and generalized Jacobian varieties, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. of Tokyo, Sect. I.* **11** (1964), 39-45.
- [4] J. P. Murre: On the generalized Picard varieties, *Math. Ann.*, **145** (1962), 334-353.
- [5] M. Rosenlicht: Some basic theorems on algebraic groups, *Amer. J. of Math.*, **78** (1956), 401-443.
- [6] ———: Generalized Jacobian variety, *Ann. of Math.*, **59** (1954), 505-530.
- [7] J. P. Serre: *Groupes algébriques et corps de classes*, Hermann, Paris (1961).
- [8] S. Koizumi, G. Shimura: On Specializations of Abelian Varieties. *Scientific Papers of the College of General Education, Univ. of Tokyo*, **9** (1959), 187-211.
- [9] A. Weil: On the algebraic groups of transformations. *Amer. J. Math.*, **77** (1955), 355-391.
- [10] ———: Algebraic groups and homogeneous spaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, **77** (1955), 493-512.
- [11] ———: *Variété abéliennes et courbes algébriques*, Hermann, Paris (1948).
- [12] ———: *Foundation of algebraic geometry*, Amer. Math. Soc. **29**, New York (1946).

(Received October 30, 1964)