

Twisted Alexander Polynomials and Incompressible Surfaces Given by Ideal Points

By Takahiro KITAYAMA

Abstract. We study incompressible surfaces constructed by Culler-Shalen theory in the context of twisted Alexander polynomials. For a 1st cohomology class of a 3-manifold the coefficients of twisted Alexander polynomials induce regular functions on the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety. We prove that if an ideal point gives a Thurston norm minimizing non-separating surface dual to the cohomology class, then the regular function of the highest degree has a finite value at the ideal point.

1. Introduction

Culler and Shalen [CS] applied Tits-Bass-Serre theory [Se1, Se2] to the functional field of the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation variety of a 3-manifold, and presented a method to construct essential surfaces in the 3-manifold from an ideal point of the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety. On the basis of their theory, for example, Morgan and Shalen [MS1, MS2, MS3] gave new understanding of Thurston's fundamental works [Th2, Th3] in the context of representations of a 3-manifold group, and Culler, Gordon, Luecke and Shalen [CGLS] proved the cyclic surgery theorem on Dehn filling of knots. We refer to the exposition [Sh] for literature on Culler-Shalen theory.

Twisted Alexander polynomials [Li, W] of a 3-manifold, which is essentially equal to certain Reidemeister torsion [KL, Kitan], induce regular functions on its character varieties. The works [FV1, FV3] by Friedl and Vidussi showing that twisted Alexander polynomials detect fiberedness of 3-manifolds and the Thurston norms of ones which are not closed graph manifolds were breakthroughs. Of particular interest on the invariants in recent years has been properties and applications of the regular functions on the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety [DFJ, KKM, KM, Kitay, KT, Mo]. We

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M27; Secondary 57Q10.

Key words: Twisted Alexander polynomial, Reidemeister torsion, character variety.

refer to the survey paper [FV2] for details and related topics on twisted Alexander polynomials.

This work was intended as an attempt to bring together the above two areas. In general, it is difficult to figure out the isotopy class of an essential surface constructed by Culler-Shalen theory. In this paper we describe a necessary condition on the regular functions induced by twisted Alexander polynomials for such a surface to be of a certain type. It is known that the homology classes of the boundary components of such a surface can be determined by trace functions for simple closed curves in ∂M [CCGLS, CGLS]. The important point to note here is that our result concerns the homology class of such a surface itself. The result extends the main theorem of [Kitay] on knot complements to general 3-manifolds.

Let M be a connected compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold with empty or toroidal boundary and let $\psi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be nontrivial. We denote by $X^{irr}(M)$ the Zariski closure of the subset of the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety of M consisting of the characters of irreducible representations. For an irreducible component X_0 in $X^{irr}(M)$ we define an invariant $\mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0} \in \mathbb{C}[X_0][t, t^{-1}]$ induced by refined torsion invariants in the sense of Turaev [Tu1, Tu2], which is regarded as certain normalizations of twisted Alexander polynomials. In the case where M is a knot complement $\mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0}$ coincides with the invariant introduced in [DFJ, Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 7.2], and is called the *torsion polynomial function* of X_0 . From the corresponding property of twisted Alexander polynomials [FK1, Theorem 1.1] the invariant $\mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0}$ satisfies that $\deg \mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0} \leq 2 \|\psi\|_T$, where $\|\psi\|_T$ is the Thurston norm of ψ . For a curve C in X_0 we write $\mathcal{T}_\psi^C \in \mathbb{C}[C][t, t^{-1}]$ for the restriction of $\mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0}$ to C , and set $c(\mathcal{T}_\psi^C) \in \mathbb{C}[C]$ to be the coefficient function in \mathcal{T}_ψ^C of the highest degree $2 \|\psi\|_T$.

We suppose that $X^{irr}(M)$ has an irreducible component of positive dimension. For an ideal point χ of a curve in $X^{irr}(M)$ we say that χ *gives a surface* S in M if S is constructed from χ by Culler-Shalen theory [CS, Section 2] as described in Section 2. The main theorem of this paper is as follows:

THEOREM 1. *Let $\psi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be nontrivial. Suppose that an ideal point χ of a curve C in $X^{irr}(M)$ gives a surface S in M satisfying the following:*

- (1) *The homology class of S is dual to ψ .*
- (2) *S is Thurston norm minimizing.*
- (3) *The surface obtained by identifying components of S parallel to each other is non-separating.*

Then $c(\mathcal{T}_\psi^C)(\chi)$ is finite.

An initial motivation of this work came from the following conjecture by Dunfield, Friedl and Jackson [DFJ, Conjecture 8.9].

CONJECTURE 2 ([DFJ, Conjecture 8.9]). *Let M be the exterior of a knot K in a homology 3-sphere and let $\psi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be a generator. If an ideal point χ of a curve C in $X^{\text{irr}}(M)$ gives a Seifert surface of K , then the leading coefficient of \mathcal{T}_ψ^C has a finite value at χ .*

In [Kitay] the author gave a partial affirmative answer to the conjecture. Now the result is a direct corollary of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 3 ([Kitay, Theorem 1.2]). *Let M be the exterior of a knot K in a homology 3-sphere and let $\psi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be a generator. If an ideal point χ of a curve C in $X^{\text{irr}}(M)$ gives a minimal genus Seifert surface of K , then $c(\mathcal{T}_\psi^C)(\chi)$ is finite.*

Note that an essential surface is not necessarily Thurston norm minimizing, and that if $\deg \mathcal{T}_\psi^C < 2 \|\psi\|_T$, then $c(\mathcal{T}_\psi^C) = 0$, but the leading coefficient of \mathcal{T}_ψ^C is not necessarily bounded. Thus we can ask the following two questions:

1. Can condition (2) in Theorem 1 be eliminated?
2. Can the conclusion ‘ $c(\mathcal{T}_\psi^C)(\chi)$ is finite’ of Theorem 1 be replaced by ‘the leading coefficient of \mathcal{T}_ψ^C has a finite value at χ ’?

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following three key observations. We denote by S' the non-separating surface in condition (3) and by N the complement of an open tubular neighborhood of S' identified with $S' \times (-1, 1)$. Since the surface S is essential, we can regard $\pi_1 N$ as a subgroup of $\pi_1 M$. First, for an irreducible representation $\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$,

the coefficient of the highest degree in a certain normalization of the twisted Alexander polynomial associated to ψ and ρ coincides with the torsion invariant of the pair $(N, S' \times 1)$ associated to $\rho|_{\pi_1 N}$. This is proved by a surgery formula of torsion invariants. Second, by the definition of torsion invariants the regular function on the curve C induced by the above torsion invariant is written as a polynomial in $\{I_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \pi_1 N}$, where $I_\gamma: C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the trace function associated to $\gamma \in \pi_1 M$. Thirdly, it follows from [CS, Theorem 2.2.1] that I_γ does not have a pole at the ideal point χ for all $\gamma \in \pi_1 N$. Combining these observations, we can prove Theorem 1. See Section 4 for the details of the proof.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains a brief overview of the construction of essential surfaces from an ideal point by Culler and Shalen. In Section 3 we define the torsion polynomial functions for general 3-manifolds. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1 along the above outline. In this paper we mainly work with Reidemeister torsion rather than twisted Alexander polynomials.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions in revising the manuscript. This research was supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

2. Ideal Points and Essential Surfaces

We begin with setting up notation, and briefly review the construction of essential surfaces from ideal points of the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety by Culler and Shalen [CS]. For a thorough treatment we refer to the exposition [Sh]. For more details on character varieties we also refer to [LM].

Let M be a connected compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold. We set $R(M) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1 M, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$, which naturally has the structure of an affine algebraic set over \mathbb{C} . The algebraic group $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $R(M)$ by conjugation of representations, and we denote by $X(M)$ the algebro-geometric quotient $\text{Hom}(\pi_1 M, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))/SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and by $t: R(M) \rightarrow X(M)$ the quotient map. The affine algebraic set $X(M)$ is called the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety of M . Its coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[X(M)]$ is equal to the subring $\mathbb{C}[R(M)]^{SL_2(\mathbb{C})}$ of $\mathbb{C}[R(M)]$ consisting of regular functions which are invariant under conjugation. For $\rho \in R(M)$ its character $\chi_\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $\chi_\rho(\gamma) = \text{tr } \rho(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \pi_1 M$. It is known that $X(M)$ is real-

ized as the set of the characters χ_ρ for $\rho \in R(M)$ so that $t(\rho) = \chi_\rho$. For $\gamma \in \pi_1 M$ the trace function $I_\gamma: X(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $I_\gamma(\chi_\rho) = \text{tr } \rho(\gamma)$ for $\rho \in R(M)$. It is known that $\mathbb{C}[X(M)]$ is generated by $\{I_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \pi_1 M}$. We denote by $X^{irr}(M)$ the Zariski closure of the subset of $X(M)$ consisting of the characters of irreducible representations.

Suppose $X(M)$ has an irreducible component of positive dimension, and let C be a curve in $X(M)$. For the smooth projective model \tilde{C} of C the points where the rational map $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is undefined are called the ideal points of C . Let χ be an ideal point of C . By [CS, Proposition 1.4.4] there exists a curve D in $t^{-1}(C)$ such that $t|_D$ is not constant. The map $t|_D$ extends to a regular map $\tilde{t}|_D: \tilde{D} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ so that the inverse image of ideal points of C consists of ones of D . Thus we have the following commutative diagram of rational maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{D} & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & R(M) \\ \downarrow \tilde{t}|_D & & \downarrow t|_D & & \downarrow t \\ \tilde{C} & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & X(M) \end{array}$$

Let $\tilde{\chi} \in \tilde{D}$ be an ideal point of D with $\tilde{t}|_D(\tilde{\chi}) = \chi$. From Bass-Serre theory [Se1, Se2] associated to the discrete valuation of $\mathbb{C}(D)$ at $\tilde{\chi}$ there exists a canonical action of $SL_2(\mathbb{C}(D))$ on a tree $T_{\tilde{\chi}}$ without inversions. Here an action of a group on a tree is said to be *without inversions* if the action does not reverse the orientation of any invariant edge. We denote by $\tilde{\rho}: \pi_1 M \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C}(D))$ the tautological representation, which is defined by $\tilde{\rho}(\gamma)(\rho) = \rho(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \pi_1 M$ and $\rho \in D$. Pulling back the action by $\tilde{\rho}$, we have an action of $\pi_1 M$ on $T_{\tilde{\chi}}$ without inversions. A heart of Culler-Shalen theory is that the action is nontrivial, i.e., for any vertex of $T_{\tilde{\chi}}$ the stabilizer subgroup of $\pi_1 M$ is proper [CS, Theorem 2.2.1]. Essentially due to Stallings, Epstein and Waldhausen it follows from the nontriviality that there exists a map $f: M \rightarrow T_{\tilde{\chi}}/\pi_1 M$ such that $f^{-1}(P)$ is an essential surface, where P is the set of the midpoints of edges in $T_{\tilde{\chi}}/\pi_1 M$. We recall that a non-empty properly embedded compact orientable surface S in M is called *essential* if for any component S_0 of S the inclusion-induced homomorphism $\pi_1 S_0 \rightarrow \pi_1 M$ is injective, and S_0 is not homeomorphic to S^2 nor a boundary parallel surface. We say that χ gives a surface S if $S = f^{-1}(P)$ for some f as above.

3. Torsion Polynomial Functions

We first review Reidemeister torsion and its refinement by an Euler structure introduced by Turaev [Tu1, Tu2]. Then we define the torsion polynomial functions for general 3-manifolds, following the constructions of these for knot complements by Dunfield, Friedl and Jackson [DFJ, Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 7.2]. For basics of topological torsion invariants we also refer the reader to the expositions [Mi, N].

Let $C_* = (C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0)$ be a finite dimensional chain complex over a field \mathbb{F} , and let $c = (c_i)_i$ and $h = (h_i)_i$ be bases of C_i and $H_i(C_*)$ respectively. We choose a basis b_i of $\text{Im } \partial_{i+1}$ for each i . Taking a lift of h_i in $\text{Ker } \partial_i$ and combining it with b_i , we have a basis $b_i h_i$ of $\text{Ker } \partial_i$ for each i . Then taking a lift of b_{i-1} in C_i and combining it with $b_i h_i$, we have a basis $b_i h_i b_{i-1}$ of C_i for each i . The *algebraic torsion* $\tau(C_*, c, h)$ is defined as:

$$\tau(C_*, c, h) := \prod_{i=0}^n [b_i h_i b_{i-1} / c_i]^{(-1)^{i+1}} \in \mathbb{F}^\times,$$

where $[b_i h_i b_{i-1} / c_i]$ is the determinant of the base change matrix from c_i to $b_i h_i b_{i-1}$ for each i . It can be checked that $\tau(C_*, c, h)$ does not depend on the choices of $(b_i)_i$ and $(b_i h_i b_{i-1})_i$. When C_* is acyclic, we just write $\tau(C_*, c)$ for $\tau(C_*, c, \emptyset)$.

Let Y be a connected finite CW-complex and let Z be a proper subcomplex of Y . We denote by \tilde{Y} the universal cover of Y and by \tilde{Z} the pullback of Z by the covering map $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$. For a representation $\rho: \pi_1 Y \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ we define the twisted homology group and the twisted cohomology group as:

$$\begin{aligned} H_i^\rho(Y, Z; \mathbb{F}) &:= H_i(C_*(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 Y]} \mathbb{F}^n), \\ H_\rho^i(Y, Z; \mathbb{F}) &:= H^i(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 Y]}(C_*(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}), \mathbb{F}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

When Z is empty, we just write $H_i^\rho(Y; \mathbb{F}^n)$ and $H_\rho^i(Y; \mathbb{F}^n)$ for $H_i^\rho(Y, \emptyset; \mathbb{F}^n)$ and $H_\rho^i(Y, \emptyset; \mathbb{F}^n)$ respectively.

For a representation $\rho: \pi_1 Y \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ and a basis h of $H_*^\rho(Y, Z; \mathbb{F}^n)$ the *Reidemeister torsion* $\tau_\rho(Y, Z; h)$ associated to ρ and h is defined as follows.

We choose a lift $\{\tilde{e}_i\}$ of cells of $Y \setminus Z$ in \tilde{Y} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\rho(Y, Z; h) &:= \tau(C_*(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 Y]} \mathbb{F}^n, \langle \tilde{e}_i \otimes f_j \rangle_{i,j}, h) \\ &\in \mathbb{F}^\times / (-1)^n \det \rho(\pi_1 Y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{F}^n . It is checked that $\tau_\rho(Y, Z; h)$ is invariant under conjugation of representations, and is known that $\tau_\rho(Y, Z; h)$ is a simple homotopy invariant. When Z is empty or when $H_*^\rho(Y, Z; \mathbb{F}^n) = 0$, then we drop Z or h in the notation $\tau_\rho(Y, Z; h)$.

Now we suppose that $\chi(Y) = 0$. For two lifts $\{\tilde{e}_i\}$ and $\{\tilde{e}'_i\}$ of cells of Y in \tilde{Y} we set

$$\{\tilde{e}'_i\} / \{\tilde{e}_i\} := \sum_i (-1)^{\dim e_i} \tilde{e}'_i / \tilde{e}_i \in H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\tilde{e}'_i / \tilde{e}_i$ is the element $[\gamma] \in H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ for $\gamma \in \pi_1 Y$ such that $\tilde{e}'_i = \gamma \cdot \tilde{e}_i$. Two lifts $\{\tilde{e}_i\}$ and $\{\tilde{e}'_i\}$ are called equivalent if $\{\tilde{e}'_i\} / \{\tilde{e}_i\} = 0$. An equivalence class of a lift $\{\tilde{e}_i\}$ is called an *Euler structure* of Y and we denote by $\text{Eul}(Y)$ the set of Euler structures. For $h \in H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ and $[\{\tilde{e}_i\}] \in \text{Eul}(Y)$ we set $[h \cdot \{\tilde{e}_i\}]$ to be the class of some lift $\{\tilde{e}_i\}$ with $\{\tilde{e}'_i\} / \{\tilde{e}_i\} = h$. This defines a free and transitive action of $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ on $\text{Eul}(Y)$. For a subdivision Y' it is checked that the natural map $\text{Eul}(Y') \rightarrow \text{Eul}(Y)$ is a $H^1(M)$ -equivalent bijection.

For a representation $\rho: \pi_1 Y \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ and $\mathfrak{e} \in \text{Eul}(Y)$ we define the *refined Reidemeister torsion* $\tau_\rho(Y; \mathfrak{e})$ associated to ρ and \mathfrak{e} is defined as follows. We choose a lift $\{\tilde{e}_i\}$ of cells of Y in \tilde{Y} representing \mathfrak{e} . If $H_*^\rho(Y; \mathbb{F}^n) = 0$, then we define

$$\tau_\rho(Y; \mathfrak{e}) := \tau(C_*(\tilde{Y}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 Y]} \mathbb{F}^n, \langle \tilde{e}_i \otimes f_j \rangle_{i,j}, h) \in \mathbb{F}^\times / \langle (-1)^n \rangle,$$

and if $H_*^\rho(Y; \mathbb{F}^n) \neq 0$, then we set $\tau_\rho(Y; \mathfrak{e}) = 0$. It is checked that $\tau_\rho(Y; \mathfrak{e})$ is also invariant under conjugation of representations, and is known that $\tau_\rho(Y; \mathfrak{e})$ is invariant under subdivisions of CW-complexes. It is straightforward from the definitions to see that if $H_*^\rho(Y; \mathbb{F}^n) = 0$, then

$$\tau_\rho(Y) = [\tau_\rho(Y; \mathfrak{e})] \in \mathbb{F}^\times / (-1)^n \det \rho(\pi_1 Y).$$

Note that the ambiguity $\langle (-1)^n \rangle$ can be also eliminated if a *homology orientation* is fixed. Since the case of $n = 2$ is our focus here, we do not touch that topic in this paper.

In the following we consider torsion invariants of a connected compact orientable 3-manifold M with empty or toroidal boundary. Let $\psi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be nontrivial. By abuse of notation, we use the same letter ψ for the homomorphism $\pi_1 M \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$ corresponding to ψ , where $\langle t \rangle$ is the infinite cyclic group generated by the indeterminate t . For a representation $\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ a representation $\psi \otimes \rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{F}(t))$ is defined by $(\psi \otimes \rho)(\gamma) = \psi(\gamma)\rho(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \pi_1 M$. If $H_*^{\psi \otimes \rho}(M; \mathbb{F}(t)^n) = 0$, then the Reidemeister torsion $\tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M) \in \mathbb{F}(t)^\times / (-1)^n \det(\psi \otimes \rho)(\pi_1 M)$ is defined, and was shown by Kirk and Livingston [KL], and Kitano [Kitan] to be essentially equal to the *twisted Alexander polynomial* associated to ψ and ρ . We refer the reader to the survey paper [FV2] for details and related topics on twisted Alexander polynomials. Friedl and Kim [FK1, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2] showed that

$$\deg \tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M) \leq n \|\psi\|_T$$

and that if ψ is represented by a fiber bundle $M \rightarrow S^1$ and if $M \neq S^1 \times D^2, S^1 \times S^2$, then

$$\deg \tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M) = n \|\psi\|_T$$

and $\tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M)$ is represented by a fraction of monic polynomials over \mathbb{F} (cf. [FK2]). Here $\|\psi\|_T$ is the Thurston norm of ψ [Th1], which is defined to be the minimum of $\sum_{i=1}^l \max\{-\chi(S_i), 0\}$ for a properly embedded surface dual to ψ with the components S_1, \dots, S_l . The second statement for fibered knot complements has been shown by Cha [C], and Goda, Kitano and Morifuji [GKM].

The following lemma is an extension of [DFJ, Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 7.2] on knot complements to general 3-manifolds.

LEMMA 4. *Let X_0 be an irreducible component of $X^{irr}(M)$. There is an invariant $\mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0} \in \mathbb{C}[X_0][t, t^{-1}]$, which is the refined Reidemeister torsion associated to a representation of $\pi_1 M$ and an Euler structure of M , satisfying the following for all representations $\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ with $\chi_\rho \in X_0$:*

- (1) *If $H_*^{\psi \otimes \rho}(M; \mathbb{C}(t)^2) = 0$ then, $\mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0}(\chi_\rho) = \tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M) \in \mathbb{C}(t)/\langle t \rangle$.*
- (2) *If $H_*^{\psi \otimes \rho}(M; \mathbb{C}(t)^2) \neq 0$ then, $\mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0}(\chi_\rho) = 0$.*

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0}(\chi_\rho)(t^{-1}) = \mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0}(\chi_\rho)(t).$$

We call $\mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0}$ in Lemma 4 the *torsion polynomial function* of X_0 . For a curve C in X_0 we denote by $\mathcal{T}_\psi^C \in \mathbb{C}[X_0][t, t^{-1}]$ the restriction of $\mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0}$ to C , and by $c(\mathcal{T}_\psi^C) \in \mathbb{C}[C]$ the coefficient function in \mathcal{T}_ψ^C of the highest degree $2 \|\psi\|_T$.

Before the proof we recall the relation between Spin^c -structures and Euler structures for 3-manifolds. We denote by $\text{Spin}^c(M)$ the set of Spin^c -structures of M . The set $\text{Spin}^c(M)$ admits a canonical free and transitive action by $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Given $\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(M)$, we can consider the Chern class $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \in H^2(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z})$, and we have

$$c_1(h \cdot \mathfrak{s}) = c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + 2h$$

for $h \in H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ under the identification $H^2(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ by the Poincaré duality. Turaev showed that there exists a canonical $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ -equivariant bijection between $\text{Spin}^c(M)$ and $\text{Eul}(M)$ for a triangulation of M . See [Tu2, Section XI.1] for full details.

PROOF OF LEMMA 4. Let X_0 be an irreducible component of $X^{irr}(M)$. By [CS, Proposition 1.4.4] there exists an irreducible component R_0 of $R(M)$ such that $t(R_0) = X_0$. We regard the tautological representation $\tilde{\rho}: \pi_1 M \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C}[R_0])$, which is defined as in Section 2, as a representation $\pi_1 M \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C}(R_0))$. Since the subspace of R_0 consisting of irreducible representations is dense, $\tilde{\rho}$ is also irreducible. We choose $\mathfrak{e} \in \text{Eul}(M)$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(M)$. Then we set

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{T} = \psi(c_1(\mathfrak{s})) \cdot \tau_{\psi \otimes \tilde{\rho}}(M; \mathfrak{e}) \in \mathbb{C}(R_0)(t),$$

where $\psi(c_1(\mathfrak{s})) \in \langle t \rangle$ is the image of $c_1(\mathfrak{s})$ by the homomorphism $H_1(M) \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$ induced by $\psi: \pi_1 M \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$. Since $\tilde{\rho}$ is irreducible, by [FKK, Theorem A.1] we see that $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{C}(R_0)[t, t^{-1}]$. It follows from (3.1) that

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{T}(\rho) = \psi(c_1(\mathfrak{s})) \cdot \tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M; \mathfrak{e}) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$$

for all $\rho \in R_0$. In particular, the coefficients of $\mathcal{T}(\rho)$ have well-defined values for all $\rho \in R_0$, and hence $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{C}[R_0][t, t^{-1}]$. Furthermore, Reidemeister

torsion is invariant under conjugation of representations, and so we see that $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{C}[R_0]^{SL_2(\mathbb{C})}[t, t^{-1}]$, which implies that \mathcal{T} descends to an element of $\mathbb{C}[X_0][t, t^{-1}]$. We define $\mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0}$ to be this element. Conditions (1) and (2) can be checked from (3.2). It follows from [FKK, Theorem 1.5] and the proof that for irreducible $\rho \in R_0$ we have

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0}(\chi_\rho)(t^{-1}) = \mathcal{T}_\psi^{X_0}(\chi_\rho)(t).$$

Since the subset of χ_ρ for irreducible $\rho \in R_0$ is dense in X_0 , (3.3) holds for all $\chi_\rho \in X_0$, which shows condition (3). \square

4. Proof of the Main Theorem

Now we prove Theorem 1. Let M be a connected compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold with empty or toroidal boundary and let $\psi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be nontrivial. Suppose that $X^{irr}(M)$ contains a curve C and suppose that an ideal point χ of C gives an essential surface S in M satisfying the following:

- (1) The homology class of S is dual to ψ .
- (2) S is Thurston norm minimizing.
- (3) The surface obtained by identifying components of S parallel to each other is non-separating.

We need to show that $c(\mathcal{T}_\psi^C)(\chi)$ is finite.

If $\deg \mathcal{T}_\psi^C < 2 \|\psi\|_T$, then $c(\mathcal{T}_\psi^C)(\chi) = 0$. Therefore in the following we can also suppose that $\deg \mathcal{T}_\psi^C = 2 \|\psi\|_T$, which holds if and only if $H_*^{\psi \otimes \rho}(M; \mathbb{C}(t)^2) = 0$ and $\deg \tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M) = 2 \|\psi\|_T$ for all but finitely many irreducible representations $\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ with $\chi_\rho \in C$.

We denote by S' the surface in condition (3) with its components labeled S_1, \dots, S_l . Note that since S is essential, so is S' . We identify a tubular neighborhood of S' in M with $S' \times [-1, 1]$, and set $N := M \setminus S' \times (-1, 1)$. We denote by $\iota_\pm: S' \rightarrow N$ the natural embeddings such that $\iota_\pm(S') = S' \times (\pm 1)$. Since the inclusion induced homomorphisms $\pi_1 N \rightarrow \pi_1 M$ and $\pi_1 S_i \rightarrow \pi_1 M$ for all i are all injective, in the following we identify $\pi_1 N$ and $\pi_1 S_i$ with their images. (More precisely, for such identifications we need to fix paths connecting base points of subspaces to the one in M . Also in considering

the twisted homology and cohomology groups of subspaces such paths are understood to be chosen. See, for instance, [FK1, Section 2.1] for details on a general treatment.)

Taking appropriate triangulations of M , N and S' and lifts of simplices in the universal covers, we have the following exact sequences of twisted chain complexes for a representation $\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$:

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^l C_*(\tilde{S}_i) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t)^2 \xrightarrow{t(\iota_+)_* - (\iota_-)_*} C_*(\tilde{N}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t)^2 \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{M}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t)^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^l C_*(\tilde{S}_i) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{(\iota_+)_*} C_*(\tilde{N}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{N}, \widetilde{S' \times 1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

where the local coefficients in the first and second exact sequences are understood to be induced by $\psi \otimes \rho$ and ρ respectively.

First, we prove that for an irreducible representation $\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $H_*^{\psi \otimes \rho}(M; \mathbb{C}(t)^2) = 0$ and $\deg \tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M) = 2 \|\psi\|_T$ the homomorphism $(\iota_+)_*: \bigoplus_{i=1}^l H_*^\rho(S_i; \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow H_*^\rho(N; \mathbb{C}^2)$ is an isomorphism and $H_*^\rho(N, S \times 1; \mathbb{C}^2) = 0$. The second assertion follows from the first one and the homology long exact sequence of (4.2). Since $H_*^{\psi \otimes \rho}(M; \mathbb{C}(t)^2) = 0$, it follows from the homology long exact sequence of (4.1) that $(t(\iota_+)_* - (\iota_-)_*): \bigoplus_{i=1}^l H_*^\rho(S_i; \mathbb{C}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t) \rightarrow H_*^\rho(N; \mathbb{C}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t)$ is an isomorphism. Hence

$$(4.3) \quad \text{rank} \bigoplus_{i=1}^l H_*^\rho(S_i; \mathbb{C}^2) = \text{rank} H_*^\rho(N; \mathbb{C}^2).$$

Since $(N, S \times 1)$ is homotopy equivalent to a CW pair with all vertices in $S \times 1$ and without 3-cells, $H_0^\rho(N, S \times 1) = H_3^\rho(N, S \times 1) = 0$. Hence it follows from the homology long exact sequence of (4.2) that $(\iota_+)_*: \bigoplus_{i=1}^l H_j^\rho(S_i; \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow H_j^\rho(N; \mathbb{C}^2)$ is surjective for $j = 0$ and is injective for $j = 2$. From (4.3) the homomorphisms are isomorphisms for $j = 0, 2$. The assertion for $j = 1$ is proved by techniques developed in [FK1] in terms of twisted Alexander polynomials. Since $H_*^{\psi \otimes \rho}(M; \mathbb{C}(t)^2) = 0$ and $\deg \tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M) = 2 \|\psi\|_T$, it follows from the proof of [FK1, Theorem 1.1] that the inequalities in [FK1, Proposition 3.3] turn into equalities. Now it follows from the proof of [FK1,

Proposition 3.3] that $(\iota_+)_* : \bigoplus_{i=1}^l H_1^\rho(S_i; \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow H_1^\rho(N; \mathbb{C}^2)$ is an isomorphism.

Second, we prove that for an irreducible representation $\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $H_*^{\psi \otimes \rho}(M; \mathbb{C}(t)^2) = 0$ and $\deg \tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M) = 2 \|\psi\|_T$, the following formula holds:

$$(4.4) \quad \tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M) = \tau_\rho(N, S' \times 1) \prod_{j=0}^2 \det(t \cdot id - \iota_j)^{(j+1)},$$

where ι_j denotes the isomorphism $(\iota_+)_*^{-1} \circ (\iota_-)_* : \bigoplus_{i=1}^l H_j^\rho(S_i; \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow H_j^\rho(N; \mathbb{C}^2)$ for each j . We pick a basis h of $H_*^\rho(S; \mathbb{C}^2)$. By the multiplicativity of Reidemeister torsion [Mi, Theorem 3.1] we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(N; (\iota_+)_*(h \otimes 1)) & \prod_{j=0}^2 \det(t \cdot id - (\iota_j)_*) \\ & = \tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(S; h \otimes 1) \tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.6) \quad \tau_\rho(N; (\iota_+)_*(h \otimes 1)) = \tau_\rho(S; h) \tau_{\rho_0}(N, S \times 1).$$

By the functoriality of Reidemeister torsion [Tu1, Proposition 3.6] we have

$$(4.7) \quad \tau_{\alpha \otimes \rho}(N; (\iota_+)_*(h \otimes 1)) = \tau_\rho(N; (\iota_+)_*(h)),$$

$$(4.8) \quad \tau_{\alpha \otimes \rho}(S; h \otimes 1) = \tau_\rho(S; h).$$

The formula (4.4) follows from (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8).

Thirdly, we prove that there exists a regular function φ of C in the subring of $\mathbb{C}[C]$ generated by $\{I_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \pi_1 N}$ satisfying that

$$(4.9) \quad \varphi(\chi_\rho) = \tau_\rho(N, S \times 1)$$

for all irreducible representations $\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ with $\chi_\rho \in C$ such that $H_*^{\psi \otimes \rho}(M; \mathbb{C}(t)^2) = 0$ and $\deg \tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M) = 2 \|\psi\|_T$. Let ρ_0 be such a representation. We take a finite 2-dimensional CW-pair (C, W) with $C_0(V, W) = 0$ which is simple homotopy equivalent to $(N, S \times 1)$, and we identify $\pi_1 V$ with $\pi_1 N$ by the homotopy equivalence. The differential map $C_2(\tilde{V}, \tilde{W}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow C_1(\tilde{V}, \tilde{W}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ is represented by $\rho_0(A)$ for a matrix A in $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 N]$, where $\rho_0(A)$ is the matrix obtained by naturally forgetting the submatrix structure of the matrix whose entries are the images of those of

A by ρ_0 . The Reidemeister torsion $\tau_{\rho_0}(N, S \times 1)$ equals $\det \rho_0(A)$, which is written as a polynomial in $\{\text{tr } \rho_0(A)^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and is also one in $\{\text{tr } \rho_0(\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in \pi_1 N}$. Thus we can write

$$(4.10) \quad \tau_{\rho_0}(N, S \times 1) = \sum_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k \in \pi_1 N} a_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k} \text{tr } \rho_0(\gamma_1) \dots \text{tr } \rho_0(\gamma_k),$$

where the sum runs over some finitely many tuples $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)$ of elements in $\pi_1 N$ with $a_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k} \in \mathbb{C}$. We set

$$\varphi = \sum_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k \in \pi_1 N} a_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k} I_{\gamma_1} \dots I_{\gamma_k}.$$

Since the form of (4.10) is invariant under changes of representations ρ_0 , the regular function φ satisfies (4.9).

Finally, we prove that $c(\mathcal{T}_C^\psi)(\chi)$ is finite. It follows from the second step that $c(\mathcal{T}_C^\psi)(\chi_\rho) = \tau_\rho(S, N \times 1)$ for all but finitely many irreducible representations $\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ with $\chi_\rho \in C$. Hence $c(\mathcal{T}_C^\psi)$ coincides with the regular function φ in the third step, which is in the subring of $\mathbb{C}[C]$ generated by $\{I_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \pi_1 N}$. Since $\pi_1 N$ is contained in the stabilizer subgroup of a vertex of $T_{\tilde{\chi}}$ in the construction of S in Section 2, it follows from [CS, Theorem 2.2.1] that I_γ does not have a pole at χ for all $\gamma \in \pi_1 N$. Therefore $c(\mathcal{T}_C^\psi)(\chi) \in \mathbb{C}$, which completes the proof.

References

- [C] Cha, J. C., Fibred knots and twisted Alexander invariants, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2003), no. 10, 4187–4200.
- [CCGLS] Cooper, D., Culler, M., Gillet, H., Long, D. D. and P. B. Shalen, Plane curves associated to character varieties of 3-manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994), no. 1, 47–84.
- [CGLS] Culler, M., Gordon, C. McA., Luecke, C. J. and P. B. Shalen, Dehn surgery on knots, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **125** (1987), no. 2, 237–300.
- [CS] Culler, M. and P. B. Shalen, Varieties of group representations and splittings of 3-manifolds, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **117** (1983), no. 1, 109–146.
- [DFJ] Dunfield, N. M., Friedl, S. and N. Jackson, Twisted Alexander polynomials of hyperbolic knots, *Experiment. Math.* **21** (2012), 329–352.
- [FK1] Friedl, S. and T. Kim, The Thurston norm, fibered manifolds and twisted Alexander polynomials, *Topology* **45** (2006), no. 6, 929–953.

- [FK2] Friedl, S. and T. Kim, Twisted Alexander norms give lower bounds on the Thurston norm, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), no. 9, 4597–4618.
- [FKK] Friedl, S., Kim, T. and T. Kitayama, Poincaré duality and degrees of twisted Alexander polynomials, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **61** (2012), 147–192.
- [FV1] Friedl, S. and S. Vidussi, Twisted Alexander polynomials detect fibered 3-manifolds, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **173** (2011), no. 3, 1587–1643.
- [FV2] Friedl, S. and S. Vidussi, *A survey of twisted Alexander polynomials*, *The mathematics of knots*, 45–94, *Contrib. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 1, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [FV3] Friedl, S. and S. Vidussi, The Thurston norm and twisted Alexander polynomials, to appear in *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, arXiv:1204.6456.
- [GKM] Goda, H., Kitano, T. and T. Morifuji, Reidemeister torsion, twisted Alexander polynomial and fibered knots, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **80** (2005), no. 1, 51–61.
- [KKM] Kim, T., Kitayama, T. and T. Morifuji, Twisted Alexander polynomials on curves in character varieties of knot groups, *Internat. J. Math.* **24** (2013), no. 3, 1350022, 16 pp.
- [KM] Kim, T. and T. Morifuji, Twisted Alexander polynomials and character varieties of 2-bridge knot groups, *Internat. J. Math.* **23** (2012), no. 6, 1250022, 24 pp.
- [KL] Kirk, P. and C. Livingston, Twisted Alexander invariants, Reidemeister torsion, and Casson-Gordon invariants, *Topology* **38** (1999), no. 3, 635–661.
- [Kitan] Kitano, T., Twisted Alexander polynomial and Reidemeister torsion, *Pacific J. Math.* **174** (1996), no. 2, 431–442.
- [Kitay] Kitayama, T., Twisted Alexander polynomials and ideal points giving Seifert surfaces, to appear in a special issue of *Acta Math. Vietnam.* for the proceedings of the conference "The Quantum Topology and Hyperbolic Geometry" (Nha Trang, Vietnam, May 13–17, 2013), arXiv:1406.4626.
- [KT] Kitayama, T. and Y. Terashima, Torsion functions on moduli spaces in view of the cluster algebra, to appear in *Geom. Dedicata*, arXiv:1310.3068.
- [Li] Lin, X. S., Representations of knot groups and twisted Alexander polynomials, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **17** (2001), no. 3, 361–380.
- [LM] Lubotzky, A. and A. R. Magid, Varieties of representations of finitely generated groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **58** (1985), no. 336, xi+117 pp.
- [Mi] Milnor, J., Whitehead torsion, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1966), 358–426.

- [MS1] Morgan, J. W. and P. Shalen, Valuations, trees, and degenerations of hyperbolic structures I, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **120** (1984), no. 3, 401–476.
- [MS2] Morgan, J. W. and P. Shalen, Degenerations of hyperbolic structures II, Measured laminations in 3-manifolds, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **127** (1988), no. 2, 403–456.
- [MS3] Morgan, J. W. and P. Shalen, Degenerations of hyperbolic structures III, Actions of 3-manifold groups on trees and Thurston’s compactness theorem, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **127** (1988), no. 3, 457–519.
- [Mo] Morifuji, T., On a conjecture of Dunfield, Friedl and Jackson, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **350** (2012), no. 19–20, 921–924.
- [N] Nicolaescu, L. I., *The Reidemeister torsion of 3-manifolds*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 30, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2003, xiv+249 pp.
- [Se1] Serre, J.-P., *Arbres, amalgames, SL_2* (French), Avec un sommaire anglais, Rédigé avec la collaboration de Hyman Bass, *Astérisque*, No. 46, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1977, 189 pp., (1 plate).
- [Se2] Serre, J.-P., *Trees*, Translated from the French by John Stillwell, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980, ix+142 pp.
- [Sh] Shalen, P. B., *Representations of 3-manifold groups*, Handbook of geometric topology, 955–1044, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [Th1] Thurston, W. P., A norm for the homology of 3-manifolds, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **59** (1986), no. 339, ivi and 99–130.
- [Th2] Thurston, W. P., Hyperbolic structures on 3-manifolds I, Deformation of acylindrical manifolds, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **124** (1986), no. 2, 203–246.
- [Th3] Thurston, W. P., On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surfaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **19** (1988), no. 2, 417–431.
- [Tu1] Turaev, V., *Introduction to combinatorial torsions*, Notes taken by Felix Schlenk, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zurich, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2001, viii+123 pp.
- [Tu2] Turaev, V., *Torsions of 3-dimensional manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics, 208, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2002, x+196 pp.
- [W] Wada, M., Twisted Alexander polynomial for finitely presentable groups, *Topology* **33** (1994), no. 2, 241–256.

(Received June 30, 2014)

(Revised November 28, 2014)

Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Institute of Technology
2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku
Tokyo 152-8551, Japan
E-mail: kitayama@math.titech.ac.jp