

***A Supplement to Fujino’s Paper:  
On Isolated Log Canonical Singularities  
with Index One***

By Shihoko ISHII

**Abstract.** Let  $E$  be the essential part of the exceptional locus of a good resolution of an isolated, log canonical singularity of index one. We describe the dimension of the dual complex of  $E$  in terms of the Hodge type of  $H^{n-1}(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ , which is one of the main results of the paper [1] of Fujino. Our proof uses only an elementary classical method, while Fujino’s argument depends on the recent development in minimal model theory.

In this paper, a normal singularity  $(X, x)$  of dimension  $n \geq 2$  is always assumed to be isolated, strictly log canonical of index one, where a strictly log canonical singularity means a log canonical and not log terminal singularity. Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a good resolution (*i.e.*, a resolution with the simple normal crossing exceptional divisor) of the singularity of  $X$ . We have

$$K_Y = f^*K_X - E + F,$$

where  $E = E_{red} > 0$  and  $F \geq 0$  have no common components. The divisor  $E$  is called the essential part of the exceptional divisor on the resolution. For a simple normal crossing divisor  $E$ , we associate a simplicial complex  $\Gamma_E$  called the dual complex in a canonical way. Fujino defines an invariant  $\mu(X, x)$  and it turns out to be

$$\mu = \mu(X, x) = \min\{\dim W \mid W \text{ is a stratum of } E\}$$

(see [1, 4.11]). Note that  $\dim \Gamma_E = n - \mu - 1$ .

On the other hand, we define the Hodge type of the singularity  $(X, x)$  in the following way: Since

$$\mathbb{C} = H^{n-1}(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \simeq Gr_F^0 H^{n-1}(E, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} H_{n-1}^{0,i}(E),$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14B05, 32S35, 14E30.

there is unique  $i$  such that  $H_{n-1}^{0,i}(E) \neq 0$ , where  $H_{n-1}^{0,i}(E)$  is  $(0, i)$ -Hodge component of  $H^{n-1}(E, \mathbb{C})$  and  $F$  is the Hodge filtration. In this case, we call the singularity  $(X, x)$  of type  $(0, i)$ . We can easily prove that the type is independent of the choice of resolutions ([2]).

One of the main results (Theorem 5.5) in [1] states that for  $(X, x)$  of type  $(0, i)$ , the equality  $\mu(X, x) = i$  holds. Theorem 1 below states the same conclusion and its proof was privately communicated by the author to Fujino in 1999 (cited as [I3] in the reference list of [1]). The author thinks that it is reasonable to publish the original proof as a supplement to Fujino's article, because her original proof is simpler and used only classical method, while Fujino uses recent results in minimal model theory.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $E$  be the essential part of the exceptional divisor of a good resolution  $Y \rightarrow X$  of an  $n$ -dimensional isolated strictly log canonical singularity  $(X, x)$ . If the Hodge type is of  $(0, i)$ , then  $\dim \Gamma_E = n - i - 1$ .*

The following lemma appeared in [3, Lemma 7.4.9]. As it is written in Japanese, we write the proof down here for the non-Japanese readers.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $E$  be a simple normal crossing divisor on an  $n$ -dimensional non-singular variety. If  $H_{n-1}^{0,i}(E) \neq 0$ , then  $\dim \Gamma_E \geq n - i - 1$ .*

**PROOF.** After renumbering the suffixes if necessary, we prove that there exist  $n - i$  irreducible components  $E_1, \dots, E_{n-i}$  such that  $E_1 \cap \dots \cap E_{n-i} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $E'$  be a minimal subdivisor of  $E$  such that  $H_{n-1}^{0,i}(E') \neq 0$ . If  $E'$  is irreducible, then it is a non-singular variety of dimension  $n - 1$ , therefore we obtain  $i = n - 1$  by the basic fact in mixed Hodge theory (see for example [3, Theorem 7.1.6]). Therefore,

$$\dim \Gamma_E \geq 0 = n - (n - 1) - 1,$$

*i.e.*, the required inequality becomes trivial. If  $E'$  is not irreducible, take an irreducible component  $E_1 < E'$  and decompose  $E'$  as  $E' = E_1 + E_1^\vee$ . Then by the minimality of  $E'$ , we have  $H_{n-1}^{0,i}(E_1) = H_{n-1}^{0,i}(E_1^\vee) = 0$ . Consider the exact sequence:

$$H^{n-2}(E_1 \cap E_1^\vee, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(E', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(E_1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{n-1}(E_1^\vee, \mathbb{C}).$$

By the above vanishing, the  $(0, i)$ -component of the center term comes from the left term, therefore  $i \leq n - 2$  and  $H_{n-2}^{0,i}(E_1 \cap E_1^\vee) \neq 0$ .

Take  $E_1^\dagger$ , a minimal subdivisor of  $E_1^\vee$  such that  $H_{n-2}^{0,i}(E_1 \cap E_1^\dagger) \neq 0$ . If  $E_1 \cap E_1^\dagger$  is irreducible, then it is a non-singular variety of dimension  $n - 2$ , therefore we obtain  $i = n - 2$  by the basic fact in mixed Hodge theory. Therefore,

$$\dim \Gamma_E \geq \dim \Gamma_{E_1 + E_1^\dagger} \geq 1 = n - (n - 2) - 1,$$

*i.e.*, the required inequality holds. If  $E^\dagger = E_1 \cap E_1^\dagger$  is not irreducible, take an irreducible component  $E_2$  of  $E^\dagger$  such that the decomposition  $E^\dagger = E_2 + E_2^\vee$  gives a non-trivial decomposition  $E_1 \cap E_1^\dagger = E_1 \cap E_2 + E_1 \cap E_2^\vee$ . By the same argument as above, we obtain  $i \leq n - 3$  and  $H_{n-3}^{0,i}(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_2^\vee) \neq 0$ . Continue this procedure successively until we eventually obtain

$$H_i^{0,i}(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \cdots \cap E_{n-i-1} \cap E_{n-i-1}^\vee) \neq 0,$$

which yields  $E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \cdots \cap E_{n-i-1} \cap E_{n-i-1}^\vee \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. The inequality  $\geq$  is proved in Lemma 2. Assume the strict inequality. Then there exist components  $E_1, \dots, E_s$ , ( $s > n - i$ ) such that  $C := E_1 \cap \dots \cap E_s \neq \emptyset$ . We may assume that  $E_j \cap C = \emptyset$  for any  $E_j$  ( $j > s$ ). Let  $\varphi : Y' \rightarrow Y$  be the blow-up at  $C$ ,  $E'$  the reduced total pull-back of  $E$ ,  $E_0$  the exceptional divisor for  $\varphi$  and  $E'_j$  the proper transform of  $E_j$ . Then  $E'$  is again the essential part on  $Y'$  and  $E'$  itself is a minimal subdivisor of  $E'$  such that  $H_{n-1}^{0,i}(E') \neq 0$  by [2, Corollary 3.9]. Make the procedure of the proof of the lemma with taking  $E_0$  as  $E_1$  in the lemma. Then we obtain  $E'_1, \dots, E'_{n-i-1}$  (by renumbering the suffices  $1, \dots, s$ ) such that  $H_i^{0,i}(E_0 \cap E'_1 \cap \dots \cap E'_{n-i-1}) \neq 0$ . On the other hand,  $i$ -dimensional variety  $E_0 \cap E'_1 \cap \dots \cap E'_{n-i-1}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^{s-n+i}$ -bundle over  $C$ , because it is the exceptional divisor of the blow up of an  $(i + 1)$ -dimensional variety  $E_1 \cap \dots \cap E_{n-i-1}$  with the  $(n - s)$ -dimensional center  $C$ . By the assumption on  $s$ , we note that  $s - n + i > 0$ . Hence we have  $H^i(E_0 \cap E'_1 \cap \dots \cap E'_{n-i-1}, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ . In particular

$$H_i^{0,i}(E_0 \cap E'_1 \cap \dots \cap E'_{n-i-1}) = 0,$$

a contradiction.  $\square$

**References**

- [1] Fujino, O., On isolated log canonical singularities with index one, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **18** (2011), 299–323.
- [2] Ishii, S., On isolated Gorenstein singularities, *Math. Ann.* **270** (1985) no. 4, 541–554.
- [3] Ishii, S., *Introduction to Singularity Theory* (in Japanese), Springer-Verlag Tokyo 1997.

(Received March 21, 2012)

Graduate School of Mathematical Science  
University of Tokyo  
3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro  
153-8914 Tokyo, Japan  
E-mail: shihoko@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp