

## *A Brooks Type Integral with Respect to a Set-Valued Measure*

By Anca-Maria PRECUPANU

**Abstract.** A generalization of the set-valued Brooks integral [3] with respect to a set-valued measure whose values are subsets of a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space is presented.

The construction of this new kind of integral is based on Weber's result [19] concerning the existence of a family of semi-invariant pseudo-metrics which generates the uniformity of a uniform semigroup (in our case, the semigroup of convex, bounded, closed subsets of a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space).

Several properties of the new integral are given and also a theorem of Vitali type is established.

### 1. Introduction

In recent years, the study of set-valued measures has been developed extensively because of their applications in the mathematical economics, optimization and optimal control [11],[16],[17].

Significant contributions in this area were made by Artstein [2], Castaing-Valadier [4], Costé [5], Alò, de Korvin and Roberts [1], Brooks [3], Drewnowski [7], Godet-Thobie [9], Papageorgiou [13],[14], Hiai [10].

We recall that, recently, Papageorgiou [14] introduced a set-valued integral with respect to a  $h$ -set-valued measure in the sense of Alò, de Korvin and Roberts [1], of bounded variation using the set of Bochner integrals of a Banach valued function with respect to measure selectors of the given multimeasure.

Our purpose is to define a new kind of integral using Brooks' procedure [3] adapted in the setting of a set-valued measure whose values are subsets of a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space  $X$  and with respect to the  $\Gamma_\mu$ -convergence in submeasure defined with the aid of a family of

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28B20; Secondary 28A25, 28B10.

pseudometrics which generates the uniformity of the semigroup  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  of the convex, closed, bounded subsets of  $X$ .

Very briefly the organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we precise the terminology and notations, recall some properties of multimeasures and some basic results concerning the  $\Gamma_\mu$ -convergence in submeasure. In section 3 we define and study some basic properties of the integral of simple functions and in section 4 we present our set-valued integral with some natural properties and we prove a theorem of Vitaly-type for this kind of integral.

## 2. Terminology and notations

Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space (briefly *H.l.c.t.v.s.*),  $\tau$  its topology and  $\mathcal{V}$  a base of absolutely convex closed neighborhoods of the origin  $0$  in  $X$ .  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is the family of all nonvoid subsets of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  is the subfamily of  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  of all closed subsets of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is the subfamily of  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  of convex, closed, bounded subsets of  $X$ .

On  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  we define the equivalence relation " $\rho$ " by  $A\rho B$  iff  $\overline{A} = \overline{B}$ , where  $\overline{A}$  denotes the closure of  $A \subset X$  with respect to  $\tau$ .

The quotient  $\mathcal{A}(X)/\rho$  may be identified with  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ . It is easy to see that the addition  $(A, B) \rightarrow A + B$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is compatible with  $\rho$ . Hence  $(\mathcal{A}(X), +)$  admits a factorization by  $\rho$  and the resulting quotient semigroup may be identified with  $(\mathcal{C}(X), \dot{+})$ , where  $\dot{+}$  is the Minkowski addition that is

$$A\dot{+}B = \overline{A+B}, \quad \text{for every } A, B \in \mathcal{A}(X)$$

(see [7]).

Now let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the invariant uniformity on  $X$  compatible with  $\tau$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  the exponential extension of  $\mathcal{U}$  to  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ , that is the uniformity  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  defined by the following base of vicinities:

$$\mathcal{W}(U) = \{(A, B) \in \mathcal{A}(X) \times \mathcal{A}(X); A \subset B\dot{+}U, B \subset A\dot{+}U\}, \quad (\forall)U \in \mathcal{U}.$$

The topology on  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  induced by  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  will be denoted by  $\tilde{\tau}$ .

Using the equivalence relation  $\rho$  we may identify  $(\mathcal{A}(X), \tilde{\tau})/\rho$  with  $(\mathcal{C}(X), \tilde{\tau})$  and the separated uniform space associated with  $(\mathcal{A}(X), \tilde{\mathcal{U}})/\rho$  with  $(\mathcal{C}(X), \tilde{\mathcal{U}})$ .

In particular, if  $X$  is metrizable, then  $(\mathcal{A}(X), \tilde{\mathcal{U}})$  becomes a semimetrizable space for the Hausdorff semimetric between sets; if, besides,  $X$  is complete, then so is  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ .

When  $\tau$  is determined by a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , the Hausdorff semimetric is given by

$$d(A, B) = \inf\{t > 0; A \subset B + tU_1, B \subset A + tU_1\},$$

where  $U_1 = \{x \in X; \|x\| \leq 1\}$ .

If  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is a complete uniform space, then so is  $(\mathcal{C}(X), \tilde{\mathcal{U}})$ . It is easy to see that  $(\mathcal{C}(X), \dot{+}, \tilde{\mathcal{U}})$  is a Hausdorff uniform commutative semigroup with the unit  $\{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is a closed subsemigroup in which the cancellation law

$$A \dot{+} C = B \dot{+} C \implies A = B$$

holds in it.

Instead of  $\{0\}$  we will usually write simply 0. According to a result of Weber [19] there exists a family  $\mathcal{P} = \{p\}$  of semiinvariant pseudometrics on  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  taking values in  $[0, 1]$ , which generates the uniformity  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ . (A pseudometric  $p$  is semiinvariant if  $p(A \dot{+} C, B \dot{+} C) \leq p(A, B)$  for every  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ .)

If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  we denote by

$$(2) \quad |A|_p = p(A, 0),$$

where 0 represents the set  $\{0\}$ .

From the semiinvariance of  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  we easily obtain the following properties:

$$(3) \quad |A \dot{+} B|_p \leq |A|_p + |B|_p, \quad (\forall) A, B \in \mathcal{C}(X),$$

$$(4) \quad |A \dot{-} B|_p \geq |A|_p - |B|_p, \quad (\forall) A, B \in \mathcal{C}(X).$$

Beside  $X$  we consider  $S$  a nonvoid set,  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  the family of all subsets of  $S$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  a ring of subsets of  $S$ .

In the following we shall consider set-valued maps  $\mu$  from  $S$  to  $X$ , that is set-valued functions  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathcal{R}$  taking values in the semigroup  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  with the supplementary property  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. A set-valued map  $\mu$  from  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $X$  is said to be:

- I. an additive set-valued measure (multimeasure) if

$$(5) \quad \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) \dot{+} \mu(B), \quad (\forall) A, B \in \mathcal{R} \text{ with } A \cap B = \emptyset;$$

II. a  $\sigma$ -additive multimeasure if for every sequence  $(A_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{R}$  with  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  ( $i \neq j$ ) and  $\cup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \in \mathcal{R}$  holds

$$(6) \quad \mu \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \right) = \dot{\sum}_{n=1}^\infty \mu(A_n)$$

(the sum from the right side of the equality is considered with respect to the topology  $\tilde{\tau}$  and the Minkowski addition  $\dot{+}$ ).

In what follows by a set-valued measure or a multimeasure we shall mean an additive set-valued measure.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $\mu$  be a set-valued measure from  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $X$  and  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  an arbitrary pseudometric from the family  $\mathcal{P}$ .

The function  $\tilde{\mu}_p : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$  defined by

$$(7) \quad \tilde{\mu}_p(A) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mu(A_i)\|_p \right\},$$

where the supremum is taken on all finite partitions  $(A_i)_{i=1}^n$  of  $A \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $A_i \in \mathcal{R}$  is said to be the  $p$ -variation of  $\mu$ .

It is easy to see that if  $\mu$  is additive (respectively  $\sigma$ -additive) so is  $\tilde{\mu}_p$ .  $\tilde{\mu}_p$  may be extended to  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  by

$$(8) \quad \tilde{\mu}_p(E) = \inf \{ \tilde{\mu}_p(A); E \subseteq A \in \mathcal{R} \}, \quad (\forall) E \in \mathcal{P}(S)$$

which is a submeasure in Drewnowski sense [6].

Now  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  may be organized as a ring with respect to symmetrical difference  $\Delta$  as addition and the intersection  $\cap$  as product.

Furthermore the family  $\Gamma_\mu = \{ \tilde{\mu}_p^*; p \in \mathcal{P} \}$  of submeasures on  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  generates a topology  $\tau_{\Gamma_\mu}$  on  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  such that  $(\mathcal{P}(S), \Delta, \cap; \tau_{\Gamma_\mu})$  becomes a topological ring for which the family  $\mathcal{B}_{\Gamma_\mu}$  of subsets  $V_{k,\varepsilon} = \{ A \subset S; \tilde{\mu}_p^*(A) < \varepsilon, p \in K \}$ , where  $K$  is a finite subset in  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , is a base of neighborhoods of  $\emptyset$  for this topology [6].

In what follows we shall write  $\mathcal{P}(S)(\Gamma_\mu)$  for  $(\mathcal{P}(S), \Delta, \cap; \tau_{\Gamma_\mu})$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_\mu)$  for a topological subring  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(S)(\Gamma_\mu)$ .

We shall also denote by  $\mathcal{R}_\mu$  the hereditary subring of  $\mathcal{R}$  of all  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable members of  $\mathcal{R}$  that is the family of all sets  $A \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\tilde{\mu}_p(A) < \infty$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ .

If  $\mu$  is a set-valued measure from  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $X$ , then  $\mu$  satisfies the following property:

- ( $\alpha$ ) For every  $B \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$  and for every vicinity  $W$  from  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every finite family  $\{(\alpha_i, \beta_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  of real numbers such that  $|\alpha_i - \beta_i| < \varepsilon$ ,  $(\forall) i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and for every finite disjoint sequence  $(A_i)_{i=1}^n$  of subsets of  $\mathcal{R}$ , the following relation holds

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i \cap B), \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \mu(A_i \cap B) \right) \in W.$$

Next we denote by  $\mathbb{R}^S$  the set of all functions  $f$  from  $S$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . For every finite  $K \subset \mathcal{P}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we consider

$$W_K(\varepsilon) = \{(f, g) \in \mathbb{R}^S \times \mathbb{R}^S; \tilde{\mu}_p^*(\{s \in S; |f(s) - g(s)| \geq \varepsilon\}) < \varepsilon, p \in K\}.$$

The family of all subsets  $W_k(\varepsilon)$  constitutes a base of vicinities for a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}_{\Gamma_\mu}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^S$ .

We shall also use the notation  $\mathbb{R}^S(\Gamma_\mu)$  for  $(\mathbb{R}^S, \mathcal{U}_{\Gamma_\mu})$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. A net  $(f_\alpha)$  from  $\mathbb{R}^S$  is said to be *convergent in  $\Gamma_\mu$ -submeasure* to  $f \in \mathbb{R}^S$ , denoted  $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\Gamma_\mu} f$ , if  $f_\alpha$  converges to  $f$  in  $\mathbb{R}^S(\Gamma_\mu)$ .

Remarking that the function  $\varphi$  which associates to every  $E \in \mathcal{P}(S)$  its indicatrice function is an isomorphism between the uniform space  $\mathcal{P}(S)(\Gamma_\mu)$  and the uniform subspace  $Y$  of  $\mathbb{R}^S(\Gamma_\mu)$  of all indicatrice functions of subsets of  $S$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is endowed with its natural uniform structure, it is legitimate to use the notation  $E_\alpha \xrightarrow{\Gamma_\mu} E$  for the convergence in  $\mathcal{P}(S)(\Gamma_\mu)$ .

### 3. Integration of simple functions

DEFINITION 3.1. A function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^S$  is said to be a simple  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable function if: a) it assumes only a finite number of distinct values  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ; b)  $f^{-1}(\{a_i\}) = A_i \in \mathcal{R}$ ; c) if  $a_i \neq 0$  then  $A_i \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$ .

In this case if  $T \in \mathcal{R}$  the integral of  $f$  over  $T$  is defined by

$$(9) \quad \int_T f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu(T \cap A_i).$$

We remark that if  $\chi_T$  denotes the characteristic function of  $T$ , then  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i}$ .

It is easy to see that the integral of  $f$  is independent of the representation of  $f$  as a finite combination of this type.

In what follows we shall denote by  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$ , or briefly  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_\mu)$ , the set of all  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable simple functions.

From the definition 3.1 we immediately obtain

**THEOREM 3.2.** *If  $f, g \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_\mu)$ , then:*

- I.  $f + g \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_\mu)$  and  $\int_T (f + g) d\mu = \int_T f d\mu + \int_T g d\mu, (\forall) T \in \mathcal{R}$ ;
- II. for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}, p(\int_T f d\mu, \int_T g d\mu) \leq \int_T |f - g| d\mu_p, (\forall) T \in \mathcal{R}$ .
- III. the set-valued map  $\nu(T) = \int_T f d\mu, (\forall) T \in \mathcal{R}$ , is a multimeasure and if moreover  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -additive then so is  $\nu$ .
- IV.  $\lim_{T \xrightarrow{\Gamma_\mu} \emptyset} \int_T f d\mu = 0$ .

**PROOF.** I), III) and IV) may be immediately obtained from the definition 3.1.

To prove II) let  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i}$  and  $g = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \chi_{B_j}$ . We observe that it is possible to find a finite family  $(E_k)_{k=1}^s \subset \mathcal{R}$  such that  $f = \sum_{k=1}^s a_k \chi_{E_k}$  and  $g = \sum_{k=1}^s b_k \chi_{E_k}$ . Next, for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} p\left(\int_T f d\mu, \int_T g d\mu\right) &= p\left(\sum_{k=1}^s a_k \mu(T \cap E_k), \sum_{k=1}^s b_k \mu(T \cap E_k)\right) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^s p(a_k \mu(T \cap E_k), b_k \mu(T \cap E_k)) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^s |a_k - b_k| \mu(T \cap E_k)_p \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^s |a_k - b_k| \tilde{\mu}_p(T \cap E_k). \quad \square$$

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be a Cauchy net in  $\mathbb{R}^S(\Gamma_\mu)$  of simple  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable functions.*

*The net  $(\int_T f_\alpha d\mu)_{\alpha \in I}$  is uniformly Cauchy with respect to  $T \in \mathcal{R}$  if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

- I. for every neighborhood  $V$  of the origin in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  there exists  $\alpha_0 \in I$  and a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of  $\emptyset$  in  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_\mu)$  such that  $\int_T f_\alpha d\mu \in V$  for every  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  and every  $T \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$ ;*
- II. for every neighborhood  $V$  of the origin in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  there exist  $\alpha_0 \in I$  and  $M \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$  such that  $\int_T f_\alpha d\mu \in V$  for every  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  and every  $T \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $T \subset S \setminus M$ .*

**PROOF.** First let us assume that the net  $(\int_T f_\alpha d\mu)_{\alpha \in I}$  is uniform Cauchy with respect to  $T \in \mathcal{R}$  and let  $V$  be an arbitrary neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ . Then there exists a symmetrical vicinity  $W$  from  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  such that  $W^2(0) \subset V$ . By virtue of hypothesis there exists  $\alpha_0 \in I$  such that  $(\int_T f_\alpha d\mu, \int_T f_{\alpha_0} d\mu) \in W$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ . Now, from the theorem 3.2, IV), there exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of  $\emptyset$  in  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_\mu)$  such that  $\int_T f_{\alpha_0} d\mu \in W(0)$  for  $T \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$ . Hence  $\int_T f_\alpha d\mu \in V$  for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  and  $T \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  that is I) is satisfied. To obtain II) it is sufficient to take  $M = \{s \in S; f_{\alpha_0} \neq 0\}$ . We see that  $M \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$  and  $\int_T f_{\alpha_0} d\mu = 0$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $T \subset S \setminus M$ , that is II) is proved.

Conversely, let  $W_1$  be a vicinity of  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  and  $W$  a symmetrical vicinity of  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  such that that  $W^2 + W^2 + W^2 \subset W_1$  ( $W$  exists because of the uniform continuity of the addition in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ .)

Let  $j_0 \in J$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  and  $M \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$  corresponding to  $W(0)$  by virtue of hypotheses I) and II). ( $\alpha_0$  can be chosen to satisfy simultaneously I) and II).

According to  $(\alpha)$  from section 1, to  $M$  and  $W$  it corresponds  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $n \in N$ , every  $\{(\alpha_i, \beta_i)\}_{i=0}^n$  with  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|\alpha_i - \beta_i| < \delta$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $(E_i)_{i=0}^n$ , a finite disjoint sequence of members of  $\mathcal{R}$ , the following relation holds

$$\left( \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \mu(E_i \cap M), \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i \mu(E_i \cap M) \right) \in W.$$

Let  $M_{\alpha, \alpha'} = \{s \in S; |f_\alpha(s) - f_{\alpha'}(s)| \geq \delta\}$ .

We see that  $M_{\alpha, \alpha'} \in \mathcal{R}$  for every  $\alpha, \alpha' \in J$  and since  $(f_\alpha)$  is a Cauchy net in  $\mathbb{R}^S(\Gamma_\mu)$  there exists  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0$  such that  $M_{\alpha, \alpha'} \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$  and  $\alpha' \geq \alpha_1$ .

Now, taking into account that  $W^2 + W^2 + W^2 \subset W_1$  we obtain for every  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_T f_\alpha d\mu, \int_T f_{\alpha'} d\mu \right) &= \left( \int_{T \cap M_{\alpha, \alpha'}} f_\alpha d\mu, \int_{T \cap M_{\alpha, \alpha'}} f_{\alpha'} d\mu \right) + \\ &+ \left( \int_{T \setminus (M_{\alpha, \alpha'} \cup M)} f_\alpha d\mu, \int_{T \setminus (M_{\alpha, \alpha'} \cup M)} f_{\alpha'} d\mu \right) + \\ &+ \left( \int_{(T \setminus M_{\alpha, \alpha'}) \cap M} f_\alpha d\mu, \int_{(T \setminus M_{\alpha, \alpha'}) \cap M} f_{\alpha'} d\mu \right) \in \\ &\in W(0) \times W(0) + W(0) \times W(0) + W^2 \subseteq \\ &\subseteq W^2 + W^2 + W^2 \subset W_1 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\alpha \geq \alpha_1, \alpha' \geq \alpha_1$ ; hence the net  $\{\int_T f_\alpha d\mu\}$  is uniform Cauchy with respect to  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Integration with respect to a multimeasure

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  and  $\{g_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$  be two nets in  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$  both convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^S(\Gamma_\mu)$  to the same function. If  $(\int_T f_\alpha d\mu)$  and  $(\int_T g_\beta d\mu)$  are Cauchy nets uniformly with respect to  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ , then for every vicinity  $W$  from  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ , there are  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$  such that for every  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  and  $\beta \geq \beta_0$ ,  $(\int_T f_\alpha d\mu, \int_T g_\beta d\mu) \in W$  uniformly in  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $W$  be a vicinity from  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  and let  $W_1$  be a symmetrical vicinity of  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  such that  $W^2 + W^2 + W^2 \subset W_1$ .

Let  $\delta > 0$  corresponding to  $W_1$  by virtue of the condition  $(\alpha)$ .

We denote by  $M_{\alpha, \beta} = \{s \in S; |f_\alpha(s) - g_\beta(s)| > \delta\}$ .

Using the theorem 3.3 we obtain  $\alpha_0, \beta_0$ , a  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable set  $M$  in  $S$  and a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of  $\emptyset$  in  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_\mu)$  such that  $\int_T f_\alpha d\mu \in W_1(0)$  and  $\int_T g_\beta d\mu \in W_1(0)$  for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0, \beta \geq \beta_0, T \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  with  $T \subset S \setminus M, T \in \mathcal{R}$ .

By virtue of hypotheses there exist  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0$  and  $\beta_1 \geq \beta_0$  such that  $M_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$  and  $\beta \geq \beta_1$  and every  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ .

In the same way as in theorem 3.3 we find that  $(\int_T f_\alpha d\mu, \int_T g_\beta d\mu) \in W$  for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_1, \beta \geq \beta_1$  and every  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ .  $\square$

DEFINITION 4.2. A function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^S$  is said to be  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable if there exists a net  $\{f_\alpha\}$  in  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_\mu)$  such that  $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\Gamma_\mu} f$  and  $\{\int_T f_\alpha d\mu\}$  is a Cauchy net in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  uniform with respect to  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ .

In this case the element of the completion  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  defined by  $\int_T f d\mu = \lim_\alpha \int_T f_\alpha d\mu$  is said to be the  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integral of  $f$  on  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ .

By virtue of Lemma 4.1 the notion of  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integral is well-defined.

REMARK. If  $X$  is a Banach space and  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  is endowed with Hausdorff distance, then  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  becomes a complete metric space in which  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is a closed subspace, that is also complete.

Now, let  $\mu$  be a multimeasure from  $S$  to  $X$ ,  $\nu$  its variation and  $\nu^*$  the corresponding submeasure defined as in (8). Then the  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integral of a function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^S$ , defined as in definition 4.2 is just the integral studied by Brooks in [3].

In what follows we shall denote by  $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$  the set of all  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable functions.

Evidently  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_\mu, X) \subset \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$ .

Now we can obtain some remarkable properties of  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable functions.

THEOREM 4.3. If  $f, g \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$  we have:

- I.  $f + g \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$  and  $\int_T (f + g)d\mu = \int_T f d\mu + \int_T g d\mu, (\forall)T \in \mathcal{R}$ ;
- II. the set-valued map defined by  $\nu(T) = \int_T f d\mu, (\forall)T \in \mathcal{R}$ , is a multimeasure and if moreover  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -additive then so is  $\nu$ ;
- III.  $\lim_{\substack{E \xrightarrow{\Gamma_\mu} \emptyset \\ E \in \mathcal{R}}} \nu(E) = 0$ ;

IV. if  $S \notin \mathcal{R}_\mu$ , then the family  $\{B_M\}_{M \in \mathcal{R}_\mu}$ , where the nonvoid set  $B_M$  is associated to  $M \in \mathcal{R}_\mu$  by  $B_M = \{T \in \mathcal{R}; T \cap M = \emptyset\}$  constitutes a filterbase  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{R}$  and for every  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$ ,  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \int_T f d\mu = 0$ .

PROOF. We immediately obtain I) and II) from the definition 4.2 and the analogous properties for simple integrable functions (see theorem 3.2).

To prove III) let  $W$  be a symmetrical vicinity of  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ , the completion of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ , and let  $g \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$  such that  $(\int_T f d\mu, \int_T g d\mu) \in W$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  be a neighborhood of  $\emptyset$  in  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_\mu)$  such that  $\int_T g d\mu \in W(0)$  for  $T \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$ . But  $\int_T f d\mu \in W^2(0)$  for  $T \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  that is III) is satisfied.

To prove IV) it is sufficient to remark that  $M = \{s \in S; g(s) \neq 0\}$  is  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable and then for  $T \in B_M$  we have  $\int_T f d\mu \in W(0)$  that is IV).  $\square$

THEOREM 4.4. Let  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in D}$  be a net of  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable functions, Cauchy in  $\mathbb{R}^S(\Gamma_\mu)$ . The net  $\{\int_T f_\alpha d\mu\}_{\alpha \in D}$  is uniform Cauchy with respect to  $T \in \mathcal{R}$  if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- I. for every neighborhood  $V$  of the origin in  $\tilde{K}(X)$  there exist an index  $\alpha_0$  and a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of  $\emptyset$  in  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_\mu)$  such that  $\int_T f_\alpha d\mu \in V$  for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  and  $T \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$ ;
- II. for every neighborhood  $V$  of the origin in  $\tilde{K}(X)$  there exist an index  $\alpha_0 \in D$  and a  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable set  $M$  such that  $\int_T f_\alpha d\mu \in V$  for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ , and  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $T \subset S \setminus M$ .

PROOF. The necessity can be obtained in the same way as in the theorem 3.3 using the theorem 4.3, III) and IV).

Conversely, let  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in D}$  be a Cauchy net in  $\mathbb{R}^S(\Gamma_\mu)$  of  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable functions which satisfies the conditions I) and II) from theorem.

By virtue of the  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrability of  $f_\alpha$ , for every  $\alpha \in D$ , there exists a net  $\{f_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\beta \in D_\alpha}$  in  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$  such that  $f_{\alpha,\beta} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_\mu} f_\alpha$  and  $\lim_{\beta} \int_T f_{\alpha,\beta} d\mu = \int_T f_\alpha d\mu$  uniformly in  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Now let us consider  $\{f_{\alpha,\varphi(\alpha)}; (\alpha, \varphi) \in D \times \prod_{\alpha} D_\alpha\}$  the diagonal approximation associated to  $\{f_{\alpha,\beta}; \alpha \in D, \beta \in D_\alpha\}$  (see Kelley [12], Chap.II).

If  $U$  is a symmetrical vicinity in  $\mathbb{R}^S(\Gamma_\mu)$  there exists  $\varphi_U \in \prod_{\alpha} D_\alpha$  such that for every  $\varphi \in \prod_{\alpha} D_\alpha$  with  $\varphi \geq \varphi_U$  we obtain  $(f_\alpha, f_{\alpha, \varphi(\alpha)}) \in U$  for every  $\alpha \in D$ . But  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in D}$  is a Cauchy net in  $\mathbb{R}^S(\Gamma_\mu)$  and then for  $U$  there exists  $\alpha_1 \in D$  such that  $(f_\alpha, f_{\alpha'}) \in U$  for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$  and  $\alpha' \geq \alpha_1$ . From here we obtain  $(f_{\alpha, \varphi(\alpha)}, f_{\alpha', \varphi(\alpha')}) \in U^3$  for  $(\alpha, \varphi) \geq (\alpha_1, \varphi_U)$  and  $(\alpha', \varphi') \geq (\alpha_1, \varphi_U)$ , that is  $\{f_{\alpha, \varphi(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in D}$  is a net in  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$  which is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{R}^S(\Gamma_\mu)$ .

Now let  $V_0$  be a neighborhood of the origin in  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  and let  $W$  be a symmetrical vicinity of  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  such that  $W^2(0) \in V_0$ .

For this  $W(0)$  there exist  $\alpha_0, \mathcal{V}_\mu$  and  $M$  such that the conditions I) and II) of theorem are simultaneously satisfied.

Then there exists  $\varphi_1 \in \prod_{\alpha \in D} D_\alpha$  such that for every  $\varphi \in \prod_{\alpha} D_\alpha$  with  $\varphi \geq \varphi_1$  we have  $(\int_T f_{\alpha, \varphi(\alpha)} d\mu, \int_T f_\alpha d\mu) \in W$  for every  $\alpha \in D$  and every  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Now if  $(\alpha, \varphi) \geq (\alpha_0, \varphi_1)$  we obtain  $\int_T f_{\alpha, \varphi(\alpha)} d\mu \in V_0$  for  $T \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  or  $T \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $T \subset S \setminus M$ , that is the net  $\{\int_T f_{\alpha, \varphi(\alpha)} d\mu\}$  is a Cauchy net uniform with respect to  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ . Consequently, there exists  $\alpha_1$  such that for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$  and  $\alpha' \geq \alpha_1$  we have  $(\int_T f_\alpha d\mu, \int_T f_{\alpha'} d\mu) \in W^2$  uniformly in  $T \in \mathcal{R}$  whence the theorem follows.  $\square$

REMARK. On  $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$  we can introduce a uniform structure which we shall call *the uniform structure of the  $\Gamma_\mu$ -mean*.

To see this let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the uniform structure on  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ . For every  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  we consider

$$E_W = \{(f, g) \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X) \times \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X); (\int_T f d\mu, \int_T g d\mu) \in W, (\forall) T \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

It is easy to see that the family  $\{E_W\}_{W \in \mathcal{W}}$  is a base of vicinities for a uniform structure  $\mathcal{T}_W$  on  $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X))$  be the uniform structure induced on  $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$  by  $\mathbb{R}^S(\Gamma_\mu)$ .

The uniform structure on  $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$  defined by  $\sup\{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)), \mathcal{T}_W\}$  is said to be *the uniform structure of the  $\Gamma_\mu$ -mean convergence*.

The convergence of a net  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in D}$  to  $f$  with respect to this uniformity considered on  $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$  is called then *convergence in  $\Gamma_\mu$ -mean* and we denote it by  $f_\alpha \rightarrow f$  ( $\Gamma_\mu$ -mean).

DEFINITION 4.5. A net  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in D}$  of  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable functions is said to be  $\Gamma_\mu$ -equiintegrable if  $\{f_\alpha\}$  satisfies the conditions I) and II) of theorem 4.4.

Now, we can prove a Vitali type theorem.

THEOREM 4.6. Let  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in D}$  be a net of  $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$ . Then a function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^S$  is  $\Gamma_\mu$ -integrable and  $f_\alpha \rightarrow f$  ( $\Gamma_\mu$ -mean) if and only if the following two conditions hold:

- I.  $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\Gamma_\mu} f$ ;
- II.  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in D}$  is a  $\Gamma_\mu$ -equiintegrable net.

PROOF. The necessity may be easily obtained from the theorem 4.4.

Conversely, let  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in D}$  be a net of  $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$  which satisfies the conditions I) and II) of the theorem. For every  $\alpha \in D$  there exists a net  $\{f_{\alpha, \beta}\}_{\beta \in D_\alpha}$  of  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$  such that  $f_{\alpha, \beta} \rightarrow f$  ( $\Gamma_\mu$ -mean).

Let  $\{f_{\alpha, \varphi(\alpha)}; \alpha \in D, \varphi \in \prod_{\alpha} D_\alpha\}$  be the corresponding diagonale approximation. As in the proof of the theorem 4.4 we obtain that  $f_{\alpha, \varphi(\alpha)} \rightarrow f$  ( $\Gamma_\mu$ -mean) and then  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\mu, X)$ .

Moreover  $\lim_{\alpha, \varphi} \int_T f_{\alpha, \varphi(\alpha)} d\mu = \int_T f d\mu$  uniformly with respect to  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Now let  $W$  be a symmetrical vicinity of  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ .

There exists  $(\alpha_1, \varphi_1) \in D \times \prod_{\alpha} D_\alpha$  such that for every  $(\alpha, \varphi) \geq (\alpha_1, \varphi_1)$ ,  $(\int_T f d\mu, \int_T f_{\alpha, \varphi(\alpha)} d\mu) \in W$  and  $(\int_T f_{\alpha, \varphi(\alpha)} d\mu, \int_T f_\alpha d\mu) \in W$ , uniformly in  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ .

If  $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$ , then  $(\int_T f_\alpha d\mu, \int_T f d\mu) \in W^3$  uniformly in  $T$  whence the theorem follows.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Alo, R., de Korvin, A. and C. Roberts, Jr., On some properties of continuous multimeasures, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **75** (1980), 402–410.
- [2] Artstein, Z., Set valued measures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **165** (1972), 103–125.
- [3] Brooks, J. K., An integration theory for set valued measures, *Bull. Soc. Roy. de Sci. Liège* **37** N°. 5–8 (1968), 312–319, 375–380.
- [4] Castaing, C. and M. Valadier, *Convex Analysis and Multifunctions*, Lect. Notes in Math. N°. 560, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [5] Costé, A., Sur l'intégration par rapport à une multimesure de Radon, *C. R. Acad. Sci.* **278** (1974), 545–548.
- [6] Drewnowski, L., Topological rings of sets, continuous set functions, integration, I, II, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Math. Astron. Phys.* **20** (1972), 269–276, 277–286.
- [7] Drewnowski, L., Additive and countably additive correspondences, *Comment. Math.* **19** (1976), 25–53.
- [8] Dinculeanu, N., *Vector Measures*, Pergamon, New–York, 1967.
- [9] Godet–Thobie, C., Sélections de multimesures. Application à un théorème de Radon–Nikodym multivoque, *C. R. Acad. Sci.* **279** (1974), 603–606.
- [10] Hiai, F., Radon–Nikodym theorems for set–valued measures, *J. Multivar. Anal.* **8** (1978), 96–118.
- [11] Hildenbrand, W., *Core and Equilibria of a Large Economy*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.Y., 1974.
- [12] Kelley, J., *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1955.
- [13] Papageorgiou, N. S., On the theory of Banach space valued multifunctions, I., *J. Multiv. Anal.* **17** (1985), 185–206.
- [14] Papageorgiou, N. S., On the theory of Banach space valued multifunctions, II., *J. Multiv. Anal.* **17** (1985), 207–227.
- [15] Precupanu, A. M., On the set valued additive and subadditive functions, *An. Șt. Univ. Iași* **29** (1984), 41–48.
- [16] Rockafellar, R. T., Integral functional, normal integrands and measurable selectors, *Lecture Notes in Math.* N°. 543, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [17] Strassen, V., The existence of probability measures with given marginals, *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** (1965), 423–439.
- [18] Thiam, D. S., Intégrales multivoques monotones, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **282** (1976), 263–265.
- [19] Weber, H., Fortsetzung von Massen mit Werten in uniformen Halbgruppen, *Arch. Math.* **27** (1976), 412–423.

(Received April 12, 1995)

Faculty of Mathematics  
"Al.I.Cuza" University  
6600 Iași  
Romania