

On the Extinction Probability of Temporally Inhomogeneous Galton-Watson Process with Continuous Time Parameter

Ken-Ichi TANAKA

Department of Pure and Applied Sciences, College of General Education,
University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153

(Received October 15, 1981)

The generating function $F(t, \tau; s)$ of temporally inhomogeneous Galton-Watson process with continuous time parameter satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F(t, \tau; s)}{\partial \tau} = f(\tau; s) \frac{\partial F(t, \tau; s)}{\partial s}, \\ F(t, t; s) = s; \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F(t, \tau; s)}{\partial t} = -f(t; F(t, \tau; s)), \\ F(\tau, \tau; s) = s; \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $f(t; s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(t) s^n$ is the infinitesimal generating function of the process.

(Cf. [1])

In this note we study the extinction probability

$$P_0(t) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} F(t, \tau; 0)$$

of the process. (N.B. $P_0(t) \equiv 1$ or $P_0(t) < 1$ for all t . Cf. [1])

From the equation (2) and Ascoli-Arzelà's theorem, it follows that $P_0(t)$ is the smallest non-negative solution of

$$\frac{dP_0(t)}{dt} = -f(t; P_0(t)). \quad (3)$$

(Remark: If $P_0(t) < 1$, then there exist infinitely many solutions of (3) between $P_0(t)$ and 1 (constant function).)

In [1], it is shown that

- i) If $\int_t^{\infty} p_0(v) \exp\{-\int_t^v a(u) du\} dv = \infty$, then $P_0(t) \equiv 1$.

ii) If $\int_t^\infty b(v) \exp\{-\int_t^v a(u)du\}dv < \infty$, then $P_0(t) < 1$.

Here, $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ are the 1st and 2nd derivatives in s of $f(t; s)$ at $s=1$, respectively. We note that the condition in i) is equivalent to

$$\int_t^\infty a(v) \exp\{-\int_t^v a(u)du\}dv = \infty.$$

Now we define

$$a_\varepsilon(t) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1+\varepsilon} p_n(t), \quad 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1, \quad (4)$$

and consider the integral

$$\int_t^\infty a_\varepsilon(v) \exp\{-\int_t^v a(u)du\}dv. \quad (5)$$

Comparing i) and ii), we may expect that there exists such an ε between 0 and 1 that the convergence (resp. divergence) of the integral (5) implies $P_0(t) < 1$ (resp. $P_0(t) \equiv 1$). But, in fact, we have the following

PROPOSITION. For any $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$ (resp. $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$), the convergence (resp. divergence) of (5) does not imply $P_0(t) < 1$ (resp. $P_0(t) \equiv 1$).

Proof) i) If we put

$$f(t; s) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \{s^{n+4} + (n+1-t)s^{n+3} + (t-n)s^{n+5}\} - \alpha \left(t + \frac{7}{2} - \frac{5}{2(t+1)} \right) s \\ + \alpha \left(t + \frac{5}{2} - \frac{5}{2(t+1)} \right), \quad \text{when } n \leq t \leq n+1,$$

then

$$P_0(t) \equiv 1 \quad \text{for each } \frac{4}{5} < \alpha < \frac{6}{5}.$$

But the integral (5) converges when $\alpha > \frac{4+2\varepsilon}{5}$. (If $\alpha < \frac{4+2\varepsilon}{5}$, then (5) diverges.)

ii) If we put

$$f(t; s) = \beta(s-1)(s-q)g(t; s), \quad 0 < q \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta > 0;$$

where

$$g(t; s) = \frac{1}{(t+1) \log(t+2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(t) s^n, \quad g_0(t) \equiv 1, \quad g_1(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2}, \quad g_2(t) \equiv \frac{1}{3},$$

$$g_{n-1}(t) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{t}{n^2}, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq n, \\ \frac{1}{n}, & \text{if } n \leq t, \end{cases} \quad n > 3,$$

then, obviously, $P_0(t) \leq q < 1$. But the integral (5) diverges when $\beta < \frac{\varepsilon}{1-q}$. (If $\beta > \frac{\varepsilon}{1-q}$, then the integral (5) converges.)

Remark: In these examples, the mean values grow in the polynomial order. This implies that these examples are somewhat pathological ones.

References

- [1] Čistyakov, V. P., Markova, N. P.: On some theorems for inhomogeneous branching processes. *Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR* **147** (1962), 317-320 (in Russian).
- [2] Sevast'yanov, B. A.: *Branching processes*. "Nauka" 1971, in Russian.