

## On Some Compact Transformation Groups on Spheres

By Tadashi NAGANO

Institute of Mathematics, College of General Education, University of Tokyo

(Received October 5, 1959)

### Introduction

Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie transformation group operating on a differentiable manifold  $S$  which is homeomorphic to the  $n$ -dimensional sphere. We assume that there exists an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional orbit  $G(p)$ ,  $p \in S$ , and we shall study the homology groups of the orbits (Theorem 3.5). As an example we shall determine the known homology groups of the Stiefel manifold  $V_{n,2}$ . The case where the dimension of  $S$  is 1 or 2 can be treated very easily, and will be excluded in the sequel. Results in [5] will be frequently used in this paper.

*Notations.*  $n = \dim S > 2$ .  $F_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ , is a singular orbit (See Section 1 for the definition).  $f_\alpha = \dim F_\alpha$ .  $e_\alpha = n - 1 - f_\alpha$ .  $F$  is a regular orbit. In a sentence or formula containing the letters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , they will always denote 1 or 2 and  $\alpha \neq \beta$  (i. e.  $\alpha + \beta = 3$ ).  $Z$  is the additive group of the integers.  $Z_2$  is the group of order 2.  $R$  is the real field.

### 1. Singular orbits

Throughout this paper we assume the following conditions (A) and (B):  
(A)  $G$  is a compact connected Lie transformation group of a differentiable manifold  $S$  which is a homological sphere, i. e. a compact simply connected manifold with the same homology group as an  $n$ -sphere,  $2 < n$ .

(B) There exists an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional  $G$ -orbit on  $S$ .

There exist two-sided and therefore orientable  $(n-1)$ -dimensional orbits [5], which we shall call *regular orbits*. The other orbits will be said singular. There exists a singular orbit, because otherwise  $S$  would admit a fibre bundle structure with a  $G$ -orbit as fibre [5], the base space being a one-dimensional manifold by Palais' theorem [7], which is necessarily a circle, and this would lead obviously to a contradiction by observing the fundamental groups. Let  $F_1$  be a singular orbit. Then the set  $F_2$  in  $S$  of the farthest points from  $F_1$  is of dimension  $< n-1$  or one-sided and so nonorientable.  $F_2$  is thus another

singular orbit. There are no other singular orbits [5]. Hence we get

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Under (A) and (B), there exist exactly two singular orbits. All orbits are connected, for  $G$  is connected.*

## 2. Homotopy groups of singular orbits

Let  $f_\alpha$  denote the dimension of  $F_\alpha$  ( $\alpha=1, 2$ ). We put  $e_\alpha = n-1-f_\alpha$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *If  $r < e_\alpha$ , then the  $r$ th homotopy group  $\pi_r(F_\beta)$  of  $F_\beta$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* We then have  $\pi_r(S-F_\alpha) = \pi_r(S) = 0$ . Since  $F_\beta$  is a deformation retract of  $S-F_\alpha$  ([5]), we see that  $\pi_r(F_\beta)$  is isomorphic to  $\pi_r(S-F_\alpha)$ . Lemma 2 is thus proved.

In case  $f_\alpha = 0$ ,  $f_\beta$  necessarily vanishes and the other orbits are homeomorphic to the  $(n-1)$ -sphere [6]. So we assume that

(C)  $f_1 \cdot f_2 \neq 0$ .

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Under (A), (B), and (C), we have the inequality*

$$n-1 \leq f_1 + f_2, \text{ i. e. } e_\alpha \leq f_\beta.$$

*Proof.* Assume the contrary. For any  $r \leq f_\alpha$ , we have  $f_\beta < n-r-1$ . By Lemma 2.1. we find that  $\pi_r(F_\alpha)$  is trivial. It follows that  $f_\alpha$  equals zero, contrary to (C).

## 3. The homology groups of the orbits

All regular orbits are homeomorphic to each other ([5]). Let  $F$  be one of them.  $H_q(X)$  shall denote the  $q$ -th homology group over  $Z$  of a compact space  $X$ , unless otherwise stated.

LEMMA 3.1. *There exists an onto isomorphism*

$$\lambda_q: H_q(F) \rightarrow H_q(F_1) + H_q(F_2) \text{ for } 0 < q < n-1.$$

*Proof.* The subspace  $S-F$  has two connected components. Let  $X_\alpha$  be the closure of the component which contains  $F_\alpha$ . Then we have  $S = X_1 \cup X_2$  and  $F = X_1 \cap X_2$ . The Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{q+1}(S) \rightarrow H_q(F) \rightarrow H_q(H_1) + H_q(X_2) \rightarrow H_q(S) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is exact [1]. By the assumption we have  $H_{q+1}(S) = H_q(S) = 0$  for  $0 < q < n-1$ . Since  $F_\alpha$  is a deformation retract of  $X_\alpha$  ([5]), we conclude the lemma from the above sequence.

REMARK. We have  $f_\alpha \leq n-2$ . This inequality is due to Montgomery, Samelson and Yang [4]. But in our case the proof is simple. In fact the above Mayer-Vietoris sequence is exact for the homology groups over any coefficient group  $A$ . Putting  $A = Z_2$ , we get  $0 \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow H_{n-1}(F_1) + H_{n-1}(F_2) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $H_{n-1}(F_\alpha) = 0$ , and so  $f_\alpha$  cannot be  $n-1$ .

LEMMA 3.2. *When  $F_\alpha$  is simply connected, we have*

$$H_{q-e_\alpha}(F_\alpha) = H_q(F_\beta) \text{ for } 0 < q < n-2.$$

*Proof.*  $F$  is an  $e_\alpha$ -sphere bundle over  $F_\alpha$  ([5]). The Gysin sequence [8] reads

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_{q+1}(F_\alpha) \longrightarrow H_{q-e_\alpha}(F_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\alpha q}} H_q(F) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{q\alpha'}} H_q(F_\alpha) \longrightarrow \dots.$$

$\lambda_{q\alpha'}$  is induced from the projection of  $F$  onto  $F_\alpha$ . The composition  $\lambda_{q\alpha''} : H_q(F) \rightarrow H_q(F_\alpha)$  of  $\lambda_q$  and the canonical homomorphism of  $H_q(F_1) + H_q(F_2)$  onto  $H_q(F_\alpha)$  is induced from the injection of  $F$  into  $X_\alpha$  and the deformation of  $X_\alpha$  onto  $F_\alpha$ . Hence  $\lambda_{q\alpha'}$  coincides with  $\lambda_{q\alpha''}$  for  $0 < q < n-1$ , as is easily seen from the definition [5] of the deformation. Thus  $\lambda_{q\alpha'}$  is surjective. Since  $\lambda_{q+1,\alpha'}$  is surjective, for  $0 < q+1 < n-1$ , we find that  $\mu_{\alpha q}$  is injective. Furthermore there exists an isomorphism  $\nu_{\alpha q}$  of  $H_q(F_\alpha)$  into  $H_q(F)$  such that the composition  $\lambda_{q\alpha'}\nu_{\alpha q}$  is the identity. Therefore we get an isomorphism

$$H_q(F) = H_q(F_\alpha) + H_{q-e_\alpha}(F_\alpha) \text{ for } 0 < q < n-2.$$

Combined with Lemma 3.1, this gives the Lemma 3.2.

LEMMA 3.3. *If both  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are simply connected, then there exists the isomorphism*

$$H_q(F_\alpha) = H_{q-e_1-e_2}(F_\alpha) \text{ for } e_\beta < q < n-2.$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.2, follows

$$H_q(F_\alpha) = H_{q-e_\beta}(F_\beta) = H_{q-e_\beta-e_\alpha}(F_\alpha).$$

LEMMA 3.4. *When  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are orientable, we have the isomorphisms in lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 for the homology groups over  $R$ .*

This fact can be proved by means of Alexander's duality theorem [3]. The details are omitted.

THEOREM 3.5. *Under the hypotheses (A), (B) and (C), assume that  $f_1, f_2 < n-2$ . Then the Poincaré polynomials with respect to any field of  $F$  and  $F_\alpha$  are of the forms;*

$$P(F_\alpha; t) = (1+t^{e_\beta})Q(t),$$

$$P(F; t) = (1+t^{e_1})(1+t^{e_2})Q(t),$$

where  $Q(t) = 1+t^e+t^{2e}+\dots+t^{me}$ ,  $e = e_1+e_2$ ,  $(m+1)e = n-1$ , or else  $e_1 = e_2$  and

$$P(F_\alpha; t) = 1+t^{e'}+t^e+\dots+t^{ke} = (1-t^{(2k+1)e'})/(1-t^{e'}),$$

$$P(F; t) = P(F_\alpha; t)(1+t^{e'}),$$

where  $e' = e_\alpha$  and  $ke+e' = (2k+1)e' = n-1$ .

*Proof.* On account of Lemma 2.1, the spaces  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are simply connected. By Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$H_q(F_\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < q < e_\beta,$$

and

$$H_{e_\beta}(F_\alpha) = Z.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $H_q(F_\alpha) = 0$  for  $e_\beta < q < e$ . On the other hand we have  $H_{f_\alpha - q}(F_\alpha) = H_q(F_\alpha)$ . Therefore Lemma 3.3 gives the above forms of  $P(F_\alpha; t)$ . Finally one finds that of  $P(F; t)$  by virtue of Lemma 3.1.

REMARK. Under the hypotheses (A), (B) and (C),  $H(F_2)$  can be determined if  $F_2$  is simply connected and  $H(F_1)$  is known. (See Example 4 in Section 4).

$H(F)$  and  $H(F_\alpha)$  are determined by the dimensions of  $F$  and  $F_\alpha$ .

COROLLARY 3.6. *Preserve the assumptions of Theorem 3.5. If  $e_\alpha$  is odd, then the Euler characteristics  $\chi(F)$  and  $\chi(F_\alpha)$  of  $F$  and  $F_\alpha$  vanish, and  $\chi(F_\beta) = 0$  or  $1 + (-1)^m$  according as  $e_\beta$  is odd or not; or else  $e_1 = e_2$ ,  $\chi(F) = 0$  and  $\chi(F_1) = \chi(F_2) = 1$ . If both  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are even, then we have  $\chi(F_1) = \chi(F_2) = 2(m+1) = 2(n-1)/e$  and  $\chi(F) = 2\chi(F_\alpha)$ ; or else  $e_1 = e_2$ ,  $\chi(F_\alpha) = (n-1)/e$  and  $\chi(F) = 2\chi(F_\alpha)$ .*

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Under the assumption of (A) and (B), we have*

$$\chi(F_1) + \chi(F_2) = \chi(F) + 1 + (-1)^n.$$

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 3.1, orientability of  $F$  and the remark below Lemma 3.1.

THEOREM 3.8. *Under the assumptions (A) and (B), assume that  $f_1 = 1$ . Then  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $F$  are a 1-sphere, an  $(n-2)$ -sphere and the direct product of these two spheres respectively.*

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is an orientable  $(n-2)$ -sphere bundle over a 1-sphere  $F_1$ , the well known classification theorem yields that  $F$  is a product bundle. It remains to show that  $F_2$  is an  $(n-2)$ -sphere in case  $3 < n$ . Then  $f_2$  is  $n-2$  and  $F_2$  is simply connected by Lemma 2.1. Lemma 3.1 gives that  $F_2$  is a homological sphere. On the other hand it is a homogeneous space. Hence it is a sphere [6].

REMARK. It can be proved that more generally, under the assumptions (A), (B) and  $f_1 + f_2 = n-1$ ,  $F_\alpha$  is a sphere and  $F$  is the direct product  $F_1 \times F_2$ . (See Example 1 in Section 4.)

THEOREM 3.9. *Under the hypotheses (A), (B) and (C), if  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are orientable, the analogous conclusion as Theorem 3.6 is valid if the Poincaré polynomials are considered to be over the real field  $R$ .*

This follows from Lemma 3.4.

**4. Examples**

*Example 1.* Let us assume that a connected subgroup  $H_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha=1, 2$ , of the orthogonal group  $O(f_\alpha+1)$  is transitive on the  $f_\alpha$ -sphere. Denote by  $G$  the direct product of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . Then  $G$  operates on an  $n$ -sphere with  $n-1 = f_1+f_2$  through the direct sum of the natural representations of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , and has an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional orbit  $F$ . Then the singular orbit  $F_\alpha$  is an  $f_\alpha$ -sphere, and  $F$  is the direct product of these two singular orbits.

Professor H. C. Wang suggested the author to investigate the following three examples.

*Example 2.* Let  $G$  be the adjoint group of the compact exceptional simple Lie group  $G_2$ ,  $G$  operating naturally on the Lie algebra of itself as an orthogonal group. Hence  $G$  operates on a 13-sphere, admitting 12-dimensional orbits. The regular orbit is a simply connected homogeneous space  $G_2/T_2$ ,  $T_2$  being a 2-torus. The singular orbits are both simply connected and homeomorphic to the homogeneous space  $G_2/(T_1 \times A_1)$ . Thus we have

$$P(F_\alpha; t) = (1+t^2)(1+t^4+t^8), \text{ and } P(F; t) = (1+t^2)P(F_\alpha; t), \text{ over any field.}$$

In particular  $F$  (A. Borel: Ann. of Math. 57, 115-207, 1953) and  $F_\alpha$  are without torsion.

*Example 3.* Let  $G$  be a compact simply connected exceptional simple Lie group  $F_4$  which operates irreducibly on a 26-dimensional vector space over  $R$ . (See [2], especially paragraphs 4.11, 4.12 and 5.1). The regular orbits are the homogeneous space  $F_4/D_4$ , and each singular orbit is  $F_4/B_4$ . We have

$$P(F_\alpha; t) = 1+t^8+t^{16}, \text{ } P(F; t) = (1+t^8)(1+t^8+t^{16}), \text{ over any field.}$$

*Example 4.* Let  $G$  be the direct product of  $SO(2)$  and  $SO(N)$ ,  $3 < N$ .  $G$  operates on the  $2N$ -dimensional real vector space through the Kronecker product of the natural representations of  $SO(2)$  and  $SO(N)$ . The unit  $(2N-1)$ -sphere  $S$  is left invariant by  $G$ .  $G$  admits  $(2N-2)$ -dimensional orbits on  $S$ . Let  $H$  and  $H_\alpha$  be the isotropy subgroups of  $G$  at points on  $F$  and  $F_\alpha$  respectively. Assume  $f_1 \leq f_2$ . Then we have  $H_1 = SO(N-1)$  or  $SO(N-1)Z_2$  according as  $N$  is even or odd. Hence  $F_1$  is clearly an  $(N-1)$ -sphere bundle over a 1-sphere, which is trivial or not according as the parity of  $N$ . If  $N$  is odd, it follows that  $H_0(F_1) = Z$ ,  $H_{N-1}(F_1) = Z_2$  and  $H_q(F_1) = 0$  for other  $q$ 's. Since  $H_2 = SO(2) \times SO(N-2)$ ,  $F_2$  is the Stiefel manifold  $V_{N,2} = SO(N)/SO(N-2)$  whose dimension is  $2N-3$ .  $F_2$  is simply connected by Lemma 2.1 or as is well known. Now Lemma 3.2 applies to  $F_\alpha = F_2$ , and one can easily determine the homology groups of  $F_2 = V_{N,2}$  ([9]) and  $F$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{When } N \text{ is even, } P(F_2; t) &= P(V_{N,2}; t) = (1+t^{N-2})(1+t^{N-1}); \\ P(F; t) &= (1+t)P(F_2; t) = (1+t^{N-2})P(F_1; t), \end{aligned}$$

each over any field.

When  $N$  is odd,  $H_0(F_2) = H_{2N-3}(F_2) = Z, H_{N-2}(F_2) = Z_2,$   
 $H_q(F_2) = 0$  ( $q \neq 0, 2N-3, N-2$ );  
 $H_0(F) = H_1(F) = H_{2N-3}(F) = H_{2N-2}(F) = Z,$   
 $H_{N-2}(F) = Z_2 + Z_2, H_{N-1}(F) = H_N(F) = Z_2,$   
 and  $H_i(F) = 0$  for other  $i$ 's.

Since we have  $H^2(F_2) = 0$ , the 1-sphere bundle  $F$  over  $F_2$  is trivial.

### References

- [1] Eilenberg, S. and Steenrod, N. E., *Foundations of algebraic topology*, (Princeton, 1952).
- [2] Freudenthal, H., *Oktaven, Ausnahmegruppen und Oktavengeometrie*, (Utrecht, 1957).
- [3] Lefschetz, S., *Topology*, (New York, 1930).
- [4] Montgomery, D., Samelson, H., and Yang, C. T., Exceptional orbits of highest dimension, *Ann. of Math.* 64, 131-141 (1956).
- [5] Nagano, T., Transformation groups with  $(n-1)$ -dimensional orbits, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 14, 25-38 (1959).
- [6] ———, Homogeneous sphere bundles and the isotropic Riemann Manifolds, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 1, 29-55 (1959).
- [7] Palais, R. S., A global formulation of the Lie theory of transformation groups, *Mem. of Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22, (1957).
- [8] Serre, J. P., Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés. Applications. *Ann. of Math.*, 54, 425-505 (1951).
- [9] Stiefel, E., Richtungsfelder und Fernparallelismus in  $n$ -dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 8, 3-51 (1935-6).