

## *Non-Representability of Real General Linear Groups in Higher Dimensional Lorentz Groups*

By Nagayoshi IWAHORI

Institute of Mathematics, College of General Education, University of Tokyo

(Received Dec. 1, 1951)

Let  $F$  be any field of characteristic 0 or  $p(\neq 2)$ . Then the general linear group  $GL(n, F)$  of degree  $n$  over  $F$  (i. e. the group of all non-singular linear transformations of  $n$  variables over  $F$ ) is isomorphic with a subgroup of the group  $O(n, n, F)$  of all linear transformations which leave invariant the quadratic form of  $2n$  variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}$ :

$$2(x_1 x_{n+1} + x_2 x_{n+2} + \dots + x_n x_{2n}).$$

In fact, for a linear transformation in  $GL(n, F)$

$$z'_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} z_j \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$$

let us correspond the linear transformation

$$\begin{cases} x'_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \\ x'_{n+i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_{n+j} \end{cases} \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where  $(\alpha_{ij})$  is the transposed matrix of the inverse matrix of  $(a_{ij})$ . Then we have a linear transformation in  $O(n, n, F)$  and this correspondence is an isomorphism from  $GL(n, F)$  into  $O(n, n, F)$ .<sup>1)</sup>

The above correspondence is also an isomorphism from  $GL(n, F)$  into the symplectic group  $Sp(2n, F)$  of degree  $2n$  over  $F$ , i. e. the group of all linear transformations which leave invariant the non-degenerated skew-symmetric bilinear form of  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}; y_1, \dots, y_{2n})$ :  $(x_1 y_{n+1} - x_{n+1} y_1) + \dots + (x_n y_{2n} - x_{2n} y_n)$ . Then the following problem may arise.

“Let  $O(m, n, F)$  be the group of all linear transformations which leave invariant the quadratic form of  $x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_{m+n}$ :

$$x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2 - (x_{m+1}^2 + \dots + x_{m+n}^2).$$

1) This remark is due to Mr. A. Hattori. The author wishes to express here his best thanks to him.

When two integres  $l, n$  are given, does there exist an integer  $m$  such that  $GL(l, F)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $O(m, n, F)$ ?" We cannot yet solve this problem. In this note we shall give the following weaker result.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $R$  be the field of all real numbers. If  $n \geq 3$ , then for any integer  $m > 0$  there exists no one-to-one continuous homomorphism from  $GL(n, R)$  into  $O(m, 1, R)$ .*

**REMARK.** It will be shown that under the assumption of the theorem, even locally isomorphic continuous homomorphism cannot exist from  $GL(n, R)$  into  $O(m, 1, R)$

Let  $T(n, R)$  be the subgroup of  $GL(n, R)$  consisting of all the linear transformations with the following type

$$z'_i = a_{ii} z_i + a_{i, i+1} z_{i+1} + \dots + a_{in} z_n \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Then it will be shown that if  $n \geq 3$ , there is no locally isomorphic continuous homomorphism from  $T(n, R)$  into  $O(m, 1, R)$  for any integer  $m > 0$ .

To prove our theorem, we shall study the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{o}(m, 1, R)$  of  $O(m, 1, R)$ . In the following we shall omit  $R$  for brevity, and denote the linear transformations by matrices. Hence in the following we use the following notations.

$GL(n, R)$  = the group of all non-singular matrices of degree  $n$  over  $R$ ,

$$O(m, n) = \{A; A \in GL(m+n, R), {}^t A I_{m, n} A = I_{m, n}\},$$

where  ${}^t A$  means the transposed matrix of  $A$ , and  $I_{m, n}$  means

$$I_{m, n} = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & 0 \\ 0 & -I_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_m = \text{the unit matrix of degree } m.$$

The Lie algebra of  $O(m, n)$  is realized by the matrix Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{o}(m, n)$ :  
 $\mathfrak{o}(m, n) = \{A; A \text{ is a real matrix of degree } m+n \text{ such that } {}^t A I_{m, n} + I_{m, n} A = 0\}$

$$= \left\{ A; A = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ {}^t Y & Z \end{pmatrix}, \quad {}^t X + X = 0, \quad {}^t Z + Z = 0, \right.$$

where  $X$  is real and of degree  $m$ ,  $Z$  is real and of degree  $n$ , and  $Y$  is an arbitrary real matrix with  $m$  rows and  $n$  columns.}

$O(m, n)$  may be disconnected, so we write by  $O^+(m, n)$  the connected component of the unity in  $O(m, n)$ .

**LEMMA. 1.** *If  $A \in \mathfrak{o}(m, n)$ , then*

$$A^q \in \mathfrak{o}(m, n) \quad \text{for an odd integer } q,$$

$$A^q = \begin{pmatrix} S & Q \\ -{}^tQ & T \end{pmatrix} \quad {}^tS=S, \quad {}^tT=T \text{ for an even integer } q.$$

PROOF. Define the mapping  $A \rightarrow A^*$  as follows:

$$A^* = \begin{pmatrix} {}^tX & -{}^tZ \\ -{}^tY & {}^tW \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } A = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for any two matrices  $A, B$  of degree  $m+n$ , we have  $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$ , because  $A^* = I_{m,n} {}^tA I_{m,n}$ . Now let  $A \in \mathfrak{o}(m, n)$ , then  $A^* = -A$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A^q)^* &= -A^q && \text{for an odd integer } q, \\ (A^q)^* &= A^q && \text{for an even integer } q. \end{aligned}$$

From these follows easily our conclusion, Q. E. D.

- LEMMA. 2. i) If  $A \in \mathfrak{o}(m, 1)$  and  $A^2=0$ , then  $A=0$ .  
 ii) If  $A$  is a nilpotent matrix in  $\mathfrak{o}(m, 1)$ , then  $A^3=0$ .

PROOF. i) Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ {}^tY & Z \end{pmatrix}$ , then  ${}^tZ+Z=0$  and  $Z$  is of degree 1. Hence  $Z=0$ . Since  $A^2=0$ , we have

$$X^2 + Y{}^tY = 0, \quad XY = 0, \quad {}^tYY = 0.$$

Hence we have  $Y=0$  and  $X^2=0$ . As  $X$  is skew-symmetric, we have at last  $X=0$ .

ii) Let  $A^k=0$ . Take an integer  $l$  such that  $k \leq 2(2l+1)$ . Then  $(A^{2l+1})^2=0$ , where  $A^{2l+1} \in \mathfrak{o}(m, 1)$  by Lemma 1. Hence  $A^{2l+1}=0$  by i). If  $l > 1$ , then  $2l+1 < 2(2l'+1)$ , ( $l'=l-1$ ). Hence  $A^{2l'+1}=0$ . Proceeding this method, we have  $A^3=0$ , Q. E. D.

REMARK. Lemma 2, ii) can be generalized as follows: ii)' If  $A$  is a nilpotent matrix in  $\mathfrak{o}(m, n)$ , then  $A^{2^v+1}=0$ , where  $v = \text{Min}(m, n)$ . (For the proof see the appendix.)

Now, as  $O^+(m, 1)$  is a linear Lie group, it is known<sup>2)</sup> that there are two closed Lie subgroups  $K$  and  $H$  such that

$$O^+(m, 1) = KH = HK, \quad H \cap K = 1.$$

$K$ : a maximal compact subgroup.

$H$ : a solvable subgroup which is homeomorphic to an Euclidean space.

---

2) K. Iwasawa, On some types of topological groups, Annals of Math., 50 (1949), 507-558, Lemma 3.11, cf. also M. Gotô, Faithful representations of Lie groups, II. Nagoya Math. J., 1 (1950), 91-107, Th. 7, Cor. 1.

An example of such a decomposition is given for  $m > 1$  as follows: Put

$$\mathfrak{K} = \{A; A \in \mathfrak{o}(m, 1), A = \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, tS + S = 0, S \text{ is of degree } m\}$$

$$\mathfrak{H} = \left\{ A; A \in \mathfrak{o}(m, 1), A = \begin{pmatrix} 0, -a_1, -a_2, \dots, -a_{m-1}, c \\ a_1 & & & -a_1 \\ a_2 & & 0 & -a_2 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ a_{m-1} & & & -a_{m-1} \\ c, -a_1, -a_2, \dots, -a_{m-1}, 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Then  $\mathfrak{K}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  are Lie subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{o}(m, 1)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{K}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  generate the Lie subgroups  $K$  and  $H$  respectively. Then  $K$  is a compact subgroup and is isomorphic to the connected component  $SO(m)$  of the real orthogonal group of degree  $m$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{N} = [\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}]$ , then a matrix  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{N}$  if and only if its  $(1, m+1)$ -component is 0. We can easily verify that  $[\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{N}] = 0$  and if  $A \in \mathfrak{N}$  then  $A^3 = 0$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a solvable Lie subalgebra. Now let us show that  $H$  is closed and homeomorphic to an Euclidean space. To prove this, it is sufficient to show that for every matrix  $A (\neq 0)$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$ ,  $\{\exp tA; -\infty < t < \infty\}$  is not bounded<sup>3)</sup>.

Let  $A \in \mathfrak{H}$  and  $\{\exp tA; -\infty < t < \infty\}$  be bounded. Then  $A$  is a semi-simple matrix (i. e., all elementary divisors are linear) and all proper values of  $A$  are purely imaginary. Hence

$$\text{tr}(A^2) \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0, -a_1, \dots, -a_{m-1}, c \\ a_1 & & & -a_1 \\ \vdots & & 0 & \vdots \\ a_{m-1} & & & -a_{m-1} \\ c, -a_1, \dots, -a_{m-1}, 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\text{tr}(A^2) = 2c^2 \geq 0$$

Hence we have  $c = 0$  and  $A \in \mathfrak{N}$ . Then  $A^3 = 0$ , hence we have  $A = 0$ . Now as is seen easily,

$$\mathfrak{o}(m, 1) = \mathfrak{K} + \mathfrak{H}, \quad \mathfrak{K} \cap \mathfrak{H} = 0.$$

3) M. Gotô, Faithful representations of Lie groups, I, *Mathematica Japonica*, 1 (1949), 1-13. Lem. 6.

As  $K$  is compact, it follows that<sup>4)</sup>

$$O^+(m, 1) = KH = HK, \quad H \cap K = 1$$

and  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $O^+(m, 1)$ .

Now let us call a linear Lie algebra (a Lie algebra of matrices) consisting of only nilpotent matrices an  $n$ -algebra.

Then we can determine all  $n$ -algebras in  $\mathfrak{o}(m, 1)$  by the following

LEMMA 3. *Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be an  $n$ -algebra in  $\mathfrak{o}(m, 1)$ , ( $m \geq 2$ ) then there exists a matrix  $P$  in  $K$  such that*

$$P^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{n} \cdot P \subset \mathfrak{N}.$$

PROOF. As is known,  $n$ -algebra is a nilpotent Lie algebra<sup>5)</sup>. Hence there exists a matrix  $C \neq 0$  in the center of  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Then we have  $C^2 \neq 0$ ,  $C^3 = 0$  by Lemma 2.

Put

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} S & X \\ {}^tX & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad C^2 = \begin{pmatrix} T & Y \\ -{}^tY & P \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $P = (p)$  is a matrix of degree 1 and

$$T = S^2 + X {}^tX, \quad Y = SX, \quad P = {}^tXX > 0.$$

$C^4 = 0$  implies that

$$(1) \quad T^2 = Y {}^tY, \quad TY + YP = 0, \quad {}^tYY = P^2.$$

Now  $K$ -conjugates of  $C$  are given by

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} {}^tU & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} S & X \\ {}^tX & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} {}^tUSU & {}^tUX \\ {}^tXU & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $U \in SO(m)$ . If  $C$  is replaced by  $C_1$ , then

$$C_1^2 = \begin{pmatrix} {}^tUTU & {}^tUY \\ -{}^tYU & P \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $m \geq 2$ , there exists a matrix  $U$  in  $SO(m)$  such that

$${}^tUY = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (x > 0)$$

4) cf. K. Iwasawa, loc. cit., see the latter part of the proof of Lemma 3.11.

5) cf. for example, C. Chevalley, Algebraic Lie algebras, Annals of Math., 48 (1947) 91-100, II, Th. 1.

Thus, if necessary, replacing  $C$  by its  $K$ -conjugate, we can assume that

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (x > 0).$$

Then, by (1) we have

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} -p & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x = p, \quad C^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -p & 0 & \cdots & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -p & 0 & \cdots & 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $A$  be any matrix in  $\mathfrak{n}$ . As  $C$  is in the center of  $\mathfrak{n}$  we have  $C^2A = CAC$ . Then as in Lemma 1, we have  $(CAC)^* = -CAC$ , hence

$$CAC \in \mathfrak{o}(m, 1).$$

On the other hand  $(CAC)^2 = C^4A^2 = 0$ , hence by Lemma 2,

$$C^2A = 0$$

Put

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -tZ & \alpha \\ Z & S_0 & W \\ \alpha & tW & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (S_0 \text{ is of degree } m-1)$$

then  $C^2A = 0$  implies that

$$\alpha = 0, \quad W = -Z.$$

Since  $A$  is nilpotent, we have

$$0 = \text{tr}(A^2) = \text{tr}(S_0^2)$$

As  $S_0$  is real skew-symmetric, we have  $S_0 = 0$ . Thus  $A \in \mathfrak{R}$ , Q. E. D.

**COROLLARY.** *Every  $\mathfrak{n}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{n}$  in  $\mathfrak{o}(m, 1)$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) is abelian and for every  $A, B, C$  in  $\mathfrak{n}$  we have  $ABC = 0$ .*

*Any two maximal  $\mathfrak{n}$ -algebras in  $\mathfrak{o}(m, 1)$  are  $K$ -conjugate with each other. (They are all  $m-1$ -dimensional.)*

**PROOF.** We have only to show that for every  $A, B, C$  in  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $ABC = 0$ . This is verified easily if we remark that

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} -x & 0 & \dots & 0 & x \\ 0 & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & 0 & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & 0 \\ -x & 0 & \dots & 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Suppose that there exists a locally isomorphic continuous homomorphism  $f$  from  $GL(n, R)$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) into some  $O(m, 1)$ . Then  $m \geq 2$ . Now  $f$  induces a one-to-one homomorphism  $\tilde{f}$  from the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, R)$  of  $GL(n, R)$  into  $\mathfrak{o}(m, 1)$ . ( $\mathfrak{gl}(n, R)$  is the matrix Lie algebra consisting of all real matrices of degree  $n$ ).

Let  $\mathfrak{r}_0$  be the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, R)$  such that

$$\mathfrak{r}_0 = \{A; A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, R), \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & & * \\ & a_{22} & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}\}$$

Then  $\mathfrak{r}_0$  is solvable, and  $\mathfrak{n}_0 = [\mathfrak{r}_0, \mathfrak{r}_0]$  is not abelian since  $n \geq 3$ . On the other hand,  $\mathfrak{r} = \tilde{f}(\mathfrak{r}_0)$  is solvable, hence as is known,<sup>6)</sup>  $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}]$  is an  $\mathfrak{n}$ -algebra in  $\mathfrak{o}(m, 1)$ . Hence by the Corollary of Lemma 3,  $\mathfrak{n}$  is abelian. This contradicts to  $\mathfrak{n}_0 \cong \mathfrak{n}$ , Q. E. D.

REMARK. The conjugateness of maximal  $\mathfrak{n}$ -algebras in a linear Lie algebra will perhaps hold for  $l$ -algebraic Lie algebras. Yet we cannot show this.

### Appendix

PROOF OF LEMMA 2, ii). We can assume that  $n \leq m$ , because  $I_{m, n}$  and  $I_{n, m}$  are cogredient to each other in complex number field.

Let  $A$  be a nilpotent matrix ( $\neq 0$ ) in  $\mathfrak{o}(m, n)$  and let

$$A^{l-1} \neq 0, \quad A^l = 0. \quad (l \geq 2)$$

Case I.  $l$ : odd.

$O(m, n)$  contains a subgroup  $K$  consisting of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix}, \quad U, V \text{ are real orthogonal matrices of degree } m, n \text{ respectively.}$$

Put

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Y & Z \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{l-1} = \begin{pmatrix} S & Q \\ -Q & T \end{pmatrix}, \quad {}^tS = S, \quad {}^tT = T$$

6) Cf. for example C. Chevalley, loc. cit., Cor. of Th. 3.

Then, if necessary, replacing  $A$  by its  $K$ -conjugate, we may assume that  $S$  and  $T$  are diagonal. Since  $A^{2(l-1)}=0$ , we have

$$S^2=Q^tQ, \quad T^2=^tQQ, \quad SQ+QT=0.$$

Hence  $Q, S$  and  $T$  have the same rank. Let it be  $r$ . Then

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \sigma_r & \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & \tau_1 \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & \tau_r \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Where  $Q_0$  is a non-singular matrix of degree  $r$ , and

$$(\sigma_i)^2 = Q_0^t Q_0, \quad (\tau_i)^2 = {}^t Q_0 Q_0, \quad Q_0^{-1}(\sigma_i)Q_0 = -(\tau_i).$$

Hence  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$  and  $-(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_r)$  coincide up to the order. So if necessary, by replacing  $A$  by its  $K$ -conjugate and by preserving  $S$  and  $T$  in diagonal forms, we may assume further that

$$\sigma_i + \tau_i = 0 \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, r)$$

Then  $Q_0$  is commutative with  $(\sigma_i)$ . Now we may assume that

$$(\sigma_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 I_{n_1} & & \\ & \sigma_2 I_{n_2} & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \sigma_s I_{n_s} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\sigma_i \neq \sigma_j, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq s)$$

Then

$$Q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 Q_1 & & \\ & \sigma_2 Q_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \sigma_s Q_s \end{pmatrix}$$

$Q_i$  is orthogonal ( $i=1, 2, \dots, s$ ).

Put

$$\tilde{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & Q_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then is  $\tilde{Q}$  orthogonal and we have

$$A^{l-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (\sigma_i) & 0 & (\sigma_i)\tilde{Q} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (-\sigma_i){}^t\tilde{Q} & 0 & (-\sigma_i) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & {}^t\tilde{Q} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\sigma_i) & 0 & (\sigma_i) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (-\sigma_i) & 0 & (-\sigma_i) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{Q} \end{pmatrix}$$

Replacing  $A$  by  $K$ -conjugate we may assume

$$A^{l-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (\sigma_i) & 0 & (\sigma_i) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (-\sigma_i) & 0 & (-\sigma_i) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $A^{-1}A=0$  implies that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} L & -{}^tY & 0 & L \\ Y & & X & Y \\ 0 & & & 0 \\ -L & {}^tY & 0 & -L \end{pmatrix}$$

Where  $L$  is skew-symmetric and of degree  $r$ ,  $Y$  is a matrix with  $(m-r)$ -rows and  $r$ -columns,  $X$  is in  $\mathfrak{o}(m-r, n-r)$ .

By a simple calculation we have

$$A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} K & W & K \\ Z & X^2 & Z \\ -K & -W & -K \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence  $X$  is nilpotent.

Now to prove by the induction, let us suppose that ii)' holds for  $n' < n$ . Then since  $n-r < n$ , we have

$$X^{2(n-r)+1} = 0$$

Then

$$A^{2(n-r)+1} = \begin{pmatrix} K & W & K \\ Z & 0 & Z \\ -K & -W & -K \end{pmatrix}$$

Comparing the both sides of  $A^{2(n-r)+1} \cdot A = A \cdot A^{2(n-r)+1}$ , we have

$$A^{2(n-r)+1}A = \begin{pmatrix} F & 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -F & 0 & -F \end{pmatrix}$$

Then a simple calculation shows that

$$A^{2(n-r)+1+2} = 0$$

Hence (using  $r \geq 1$ )

$$A^{2n+1} = 0, \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

Case II.  $l$ : even

Put

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} S & Q \\ {}^tQ & T \end{pmatrix} \quad {}^tS+S=0, \quad {}^tT+T=0.$$

Replacing  $A$  by its  $K$ -conjugate, we may assume that



$$A^{t-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (\sigma) & 0 & Q_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ {}^t Q_0 & 0 & -(\sigma) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } (\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 J_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_s J_s \end{pmatrix}$$

Put  $Q_0 = (\sigma) \cdot \tilde{Q}$ , then  $\tilde{Q}$  is orthogonal and commutative with  $(\sigma)$ . Transform  $A$  by

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{2s} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{m+1-4s} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{Q} \end{pmatrix}$$

and apply the similar discussion to  $A$ , then we shall arrive at the analogous result to case I, Q. E. D.

---