High-resolution measurements of the simplest Criegee Intermediate (CH₂OO) with mid-infrared absorption spectroscopy

東京大学 新領域創成科学研究科

環境システム専攻 47-146664 邱 鈞霆

指導教員: 戸野倉 賢一 教授

修士論文

修了年度 2016 年

提出日 2016年9月15日

Contants

1.	Introduction	4
	1.1 Criegee intermediate	4
	1.2 Breakthrough in direct observation of CI	7
	1.3 Stabilized Criegee intermediate (sCI)	.11
	1.4 The reaction of sCI with SO ₂	.11
	1.5 The reaction of sCI with volatile organic compounds (VOCs)	12
	1.6 The reaction of sCI with NO ₂	14
	1.7 The reaction of sCI with water vapor	14
	1.8 The molecular structure of CH ₂ OO	15
2.	Research purpose	17
3.	Mid-infrared absorption spectroscopy	18
4.	Vibrational bands and rotational lines of CH2OO in IR	19
	4.1 Vibrational and rotational transition	19
	4.2 P, Q, R-branch transitions	20
	4.3 Vibrational modes of CH ₂ OO	22
5.	Spectral simulation	24
!	5.1 Rotational spectroscopy	24

	5.2 Rotational constants A, B, and C	. 29
	5.3 Gaussian(Doppler) profile and Lozentz profile	31
	5.4 Centrifugal distortion	. 33
	5.5 Conparision of the spectra simulated in different linewidth	. 36
6	. Experiment	. 39
	6.1 Apparatus	. 39
	6.2 Experimenal conditions	42
	6.3 Data processing	. 44
	6.4 Results and discussion	53
	6.5 The rate coefficient for the reaction of $\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{OO}$ with SO_2 measured w	rith
	this new high-resolution approach	55
7	. Conclusion and future plan	73
R	eferences	. 74

1. Introduction

1.1 Criegee intermediate

Criegee intermediates are carbonyl oxides with two free radical centres which act independently of each other (**Figure.1**). More than 60 years ago, Criegee intermediate was first postulated by Rudolf Criegee [1].



Figure.1 Criegee intermediate

Oxidation of trace gases plays a significant role in atmospheric chemistry and affects both air quality and climate. So far it has been generally recognized that the main gas-phase oxidants are the OH radical, O₃, the NO₃ radical, and Cl atoms.

Ozonolysis of alkenes removes the alkenes in the troposphere, which is also an important source of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) in the atmosphere. This process could be described as that cycloaddition of ozone to the C=C double bond of a alkene forms a cyclic trioxolane intermediate, and the large exothermicity of this reaction leads to a rapid cleavage of the C-C bond and one O-O bond to form a carbonyl compound and a carbonyl oxide, R₁R₂COO, which is known as a Criegee intermediate (CI). The transition states during the formation of CH₂OO form the reaction of O₃ with C₂H₄ were listed in **Figure.2**. About 56 kcal/mol energy was released, when two O atoms bonded to two C atoms, and this energy results in the cleavage of the C–C bond and one O–O bond, finally the the formation of CH₂OO.



Figure.2 Schematic reaction energy profile of the formation of CH₂OO from the $O_3 + C_2H_4$ reaction [43]

As the products of ozonolysis of alkenes, of course CIs have received a lot of attention, also CIs themselves are now postulated as another oxidant in the atmospheric chemical reaction due to their rich reactivity. The formation and reactivity of CIs could be described as in Figure.2.



Figure.3 The reactivity of Criegee intermediate in the atmosphere

1.2 Breakthrough in direct observation of CI

Because of CI's rich reactivity, it has been eluding from direct observation for decades, until a breakthrough was made by Welz et al. [5], the simplest CI, CH₂OO produced with a process:

1.
$$CH_{2l_2} + h\nu \rightarrow CH_{2l} + l$$
 (R1)

2.
$$CH_2I + O_2 \rightarrow CH_2OO + I$$
, (R2)

succesfully, which means the direct measurements of CI had become possible in laboratories.

A time-resolved mass spectrum from the $CH_{2}I_{2}/O_{2}$ system is shown in **Figure.7** from Welz et al's experiment. The formation rate of the $CH_{2}OO$ (m/z = 46 species) is correlated with the disappearance rate of $CH_{2}I$ (m/z = 141), establishing that $CH_{2}OO$ is a direct product from the reaction of $CH_{2}I$ with O_{2} . Isomers of $CH_{2}OO$, dioxirane (**Figure.4**) and formic acid (**Figure.5**) have much higher ionization energies, 10.82 eV and 11.33 eV, than formaldehyde oxide (Criegee intermediate, about 0.05 eV, molecular structure in **Figure.6**), and the photon energy of the measurements, 10.5 eV, is well below the ionization energy of formic acid and dioxirane, therefore the $CH_{2}OO$ observed must be formaldehyde oxide (Criegee intermediate).



Figure.4 Molecular structure of dioxirane [31]



Figure.5 Molecular structure of formic acid [31]



Figure.6 Molecular structure of formaldehyde oxide (Criegee intermediate) [31]



Figure.7 Time-resolved mass spectrum at 10.5 eV, acquired during photolysis of $CH_{2}I_{2}$ in the presence of O_{2} . [31]

Also, infrared absorption spectrum of the simplest criegee intermediate CH₂OO was measured by Yu-Te Su et al [31], with a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR), as shown in **Figure.8**. The observed absorption spectrum (**Figure.8 B**) matches the simulated absorption spectrum of CH₂OO (Criegee intermediate, **Figure.8 D**) best, which supported the result of Welz et al's experiment that the product CH₂OO of the CH₂I₂/O₂ system is indeed Criegee intermediate.



Figure.8 Comparison of observed spectra with simulated spectra of possible species [31]

1.3 Stabilized Criegee intermediate (sCI)

Cls formed during ozonolysis of alkenes, ~50% decompose to produce OH radical and other products on a timescale of 1 ns, while the other 50% are stabilized during the collision with other gaseous molecules and have a longer lifetime, which are known as stabilized Criegee intermediates (sCl) [2]. sCls can still decompose into OH radical and other products on a much longer timescale, about 1 s depending on temperature and sCl structure [3]. And relatively long lifetime of sCl make sure their reactions with several compounds like water vapor, SO₂, NO₂, carbonyls, organic acids, and so on.

1.4 The reaction of sCI with SO₂

The reaction of sCl with SO₂ leads to the formation of H₂SO₄ in the atmosphere. H₂SO₄ influences the atmosphere significantly by absorbing water and triggering secondary aerosol formation. The reaction rate coefficients of sCls with SO₂, once were thought to be very small [4], so it could hardly provide an oxidation path for SO₂ to become H₂SO₄. However, in 2012, after the simplest Cl, CH₂OO, produced in the laboratory by Welz et al., an absolute rate coefficient for the CH₂OO + SO₂ reaction of 3.9 × 10⁻¹¹ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s ⁻¹ at a low-pressure of 4 torr was reported [5]. And in a latter research, the reaction of CH₃CHOO with SO₂ was measured by C. A. Taatjes et al. [6], the structure of CH₃CHOO is more complicated than CH₂OO, which has two conformers, synand anti-(Figure 2). The rate coefficient for the reaction of syn-CH₃CHOO with

SO₂ was measured as $2.4 \times 10^{-11} \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-11}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, and the anti-CH₃CHOO with SO₂ was measured as $6.7 \times 10^{-11} \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-11}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹. It seems that the rate coefficient for the reaction of sCI with SO₂ should be at an order of 10^{-11} , nevertheless, based on another indirect measuring method [7], measuring the product of the reaction of sCI with SO₂, H₂SO₄, a distinct result showed that the rate coefficient order for this reaction was $10^{-13} - 10^{-12}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, depending on the structure of the sCI. And another field research carried out by R. L. Mauldin III et al., also suggest the reaction rate coefficient would be of the order of 10^{-13} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ [2]. Even though the reaction can still not be ignored in atmospheric oxidation chemistry. And it is necessary to probe the reaction of CH₂OO with SO₂ through other approaches, also we will discuss this reaction in latter chapters.

1.5 The reaction of sCI with volatile organic compounds (VOCs)

Organic aerosol makes up a substantial fraction (20–90%) of submicron particles in the atmosphere [18-20], of which up to 90% is secondary organic aerosol (SOA) [21]. SOA is produced by atmospheric oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Over the past decades, researches have been emphasized on ozonolysis of large biogenic VOCs, which have structures and large molecular masses that favor the formation of low volatility products upon atmospheric oxidation. However, recently, high molecular weight oligomers have been recognized as major constituents of SOA from ozonolysis of large alkenes.

Several pathways leading to oligomer formation have been suggested, one of which is reactions of sCI with carboxylic acids and carbonyls, forming a-acyloxy hydroperoxides and secondary ozonides [28-30]. The rate coefficient for the reactions of sCIs with organic acids and their effect has been discussed in some studies. For example, in light of the field observation made by Mauldin III et al. [2], the rate coefficient for the reaction sCI with HCOOH would be estimated to be 4 ×10⁻¹³ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ and with CH₃COOH was 8 ×10⁻¹³ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹. Rate coefficients measured by Welz et al. [17] for CH₂OO + HCOOH and CH₂OO + CH₃COOH exceeded 10⁻¹⁰ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, suggesting that sCI reacts with acids rapidly in comparison to the OH radical reaction. Also as shown by Mauldin III et al. [2], the summertime sCI concentrations are similar to OH peak concentrations in boreal forest. Therefore, it is possible that sCI oxidation plays a crucial role for the HCOOH and CH₃COOH budget. Given that the direct observation of CI had been made to be possible, the future work should be focused on combining field research with direct measurements of the reaction of sCI with VOCs.

13

1.6 The reaction of sCI with NO₂

Similar to other oxidants, sCI reacts with NO₂, with NO₃ generated. This reaction contributed to the formation of NO₃ and have the potential to impact the atmospheric budgets of NO_x (NO + NO₂). Welz et al. employed CH₂I₂-O₂ photolysis technique in their experiment and synthesized the simplest CI, CH₂OO, successfully, also, they measured the rate coefficient for the reaction of CH₂OO with NO₂ to be 7 ×10⁻¹² cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ [5]. This value was approximately 1000 times greater than results came from the indirect measurements, which indicated that NO₃ generated from NO₂ caused by oxidation of sCI had been underestimated.

1.7 The reaction of sCI with water vapor

It is very important to understand the reaction of sCI with water vapor, for the reason the concentration of water vapor is much higher than other traces in the atmosphere, which may control the fate of CIs. Welz et al. set an upper bound for the rate coefficient of 4×10^{-15} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ for the reaction of CH₂OO with H₂O, after they managed to observe CH₂OO directly in their laboratory. With a similar method, Taatjes et al. measured the rate coefficient of the reaction of anti-CH₃CHOO with water to be $1.0 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-14}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, but failed in observation of the reactions of water with CH₂OO and syn-CH₃CHOO. And other two research [8][9] reported the rate coefficient for the reaction of CH₂OO with water vapor was on the order of 10^{-17} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ with indirect

measurements. Instead of using a CH₂I₂-O₂ photolysis technique, some studies [10-15] focused on the CH₂OO formed from C₂H₄ ozonolysis, indicates that the CH₂OO reaction with water vapor is predominant under near-atmospheric conditions. However, this kind of conclusion contradicts the results measured with a CH₂I₂-O₂ photolysis technique. Wen Chao et al. [16] pointed out that experiments of C₂H₄ ozonolysis are inherently complex, and reliable data for individual CI reactions can be difficult to obtain. So they chose direct rate measurements at multiple humidity levels, and measured the rate coefficient for the reaction of CH₂OO with water dimer to be 6.5 (±0.8) × 10⁻¹² cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹. The data for the reaction of CIs with water vapor are insufficient by now, and further studies of this critical reaction for CIs need to be discussed.

1.8 The molecular structure of CH₂OO

There was some controversy on the structure of CH₂OO that CH₂OO may have two types of structures, biradical and zwitterion (**Figure.3**). Based on the experiment results of Nakajima and Endo [40], at the ground state, CO bond length is significantly shorter than that of OO, which denied the presence of biradical structure of CH₂OO that the CO and OO bond lengths must be almost same, and proved that CH2OO was better described as a H2C=O⁺–O⁻ zwitterionic character at its ground state. And this could be determinated result the molecular structure of CH₂OO at its ground state.

15



Figure.3 Biradical and zwitterionic structure of CH₂OO [40]

Another research[32] carried out recently proposed that CH₂OO at excited state was still better described as a H₂C[•]–O–O[•] biradical structure, considering the rich reactivity of Cls. They hold an opinion that the nearly closed shell structure of a H₂C=O⁺–O⁻ zwitterionic character contradicts the fact Cls are kinetic in the atmosphere. The multiconfiguration self-consistent field method (MCSCF) was employed in this research to calculate the electronic structure of CH₂OO, and as a result they found that first triplet excited state of CH₂OO, which is a pure biradical with the structure H₂C[•]–O–O[•], is responsible for its reactions, also the results of calculations could be generalized for larger Cls by replacing the terminal H atoms with larger organic chains.

2. Research purpose

The purpose of this study is to establish measuring the absorption spectra of CH_2OO in the range of 1273 cm⁻¹ to 1278 cm⁻¹ and to study the kinetics of the CH_2OO . Even though, the absorption spectra of CH_2OO have been observed with a FTIR [31], the linewidth is no less than 0.1 cm⁻¹ if an absorption spectrum was taken with a FTIR, which is not sufficient in observation of individual absorption lines of CH_2OO . Compared with FTIR, our device could take a spectrum at higher resolution linewidth 0.0005 cm⁻¹, and the absorption lines individually, which is more precise and ideal than the FTIR in kinetic study of CH_2OO .

3. Mid-infrared absorption spectroscopy

Absorption spectroscopy refers to techniques that measure the absorption of radiation, which is a useful tool to identify and study chemicals. Different kinds of chemicals choose to absorb light of different wavelengths. The intensity of their absorption varies as a function of frequency or wavelength, and this variation is called absorption spectrum.

Infrared (IR) absorption spectroscopy is the absorption spectroscopy that deals with the infrared region, which covers the range of the electromagnetic spectrum between 0.8 and 1000 μ m. Generally, units of frequency used in IR spectra are wavenumbers, the reciprocal of wavelength, with the symbol cm⁻¹.

Furthermore, the infrared region of the electromagnetic spectrum could be divided into three regions: the near-infrared. mid-infrared and far-infrared, according to their relation to visible spectrum. The near-IR, approximately 14000–4000 cm⁻¹ (0.8–2.5 μ m, wavelength), which has relatively higher energy, can excite overtone or harmonic vibrations. The mid-infrared, approximately 4000-400 cm⁻¹ (2.5-25 µm), may be used to study the fundamental vibrations and associated rotational-vibrational structure. The far-infrared, approximately 400–10 cm⁻¹ (25–1000 μ m), has low energy and may be used for rotational spectroscopy.

Mid-infrared absorption spectroscopy was used in this experiment, to determine the production of CH₂OO.

18

4. Vibrational bands and rotational lines of CH₂OO in IR

4.1 Vibrational and rotational transition

An IR absorption spectrum consists of a series of bands due to transitions where the vibrational quantum number changes in the ground electronic state. Under higher resolution one observes a series of closely spaced absorption lines within each vibrational bands due to simultaneous changes in the rotational quantum number. The energy levels of vibrational transitions are lower than electronic transitions and higher than rotational transitions, and their energy level relation could be described as in **Figure.4**.



Figure.4 The energy level relation among electronic transition, vibrational transition and rotational transition [39]

4.2 P, Q, R-branch transitions

In the case of diatomic molecules there is only one vibrational mode, which can take on various vibrational quantum numbers. Dipole moment-allowed selection rules indicate that the change in rotational quantum number ΔJ may take on values of +1, -1, 0. Rotational lines where $\Delta J = +1$, during the transition from ground to the excited vibrational state are designated R-branch lines, and where $\Delta J = -1$, as P-branch lines. Q-branch lines occur when $\Delta J = 0$, and result in a series of closely spaced lines near the band origin. And of course the low-energy P-branch lines appear at places where the wavenumbers are lower than Q-branch lines and R-branch lines.

For polyatomic molecules with *N* number of atoms in them, linear molecules have 3*N*-5 degrees of vibrational modes, whereas nonlinear molecules have 3N-6 degrees of vibrational modes. Therefore, IR absorption spectra in polyatomic molecules consist of a series of different vibrational-rotational features for each mode of vibration. Each distinct vibrational mode is called a band. Different vibrational bands often appear in different regions of the IR. Similar to diatomic molecules an IR absorption spectrum of a polyatomic molecule is also made of three parts, P-branch lines, Q-branch lines, R-branch lines.

20



Figure.5 Schematic diagram of P, Q, R-branch transitions [42]

4.3 Vibrational modes of CH₂OO

CH₂OO has a planar C_s geometry, 5 atoms, so it will have $3 \times 5 - 6 = 9$ degrees of vibrational modes, as showed in **Table 1**. Each vibrational mode distinct from the others has an exclusive absorption band.

Mada	Cum	Evnoriment	NEVP	T2/aVDZ	CAS(14,12)	CCSD(T)	Description		
Mode	Synn.	experiment	Harmonic Anharmoni		/VDZ*	/aVTZ*	Description		
v ₁	A'		3370	3149 (5)‡	3215	3290	a-CH str.		
v ₂	A'		3197	3030 (1)	3065	3137	s-CH str.		
V ₃	A'	1435 (33)§	1500	1458 (52)	1465	1483	CH ₂ scissor/CO str.		
v_4	A'	1286 (42)	1338	1302 (100)	1269	1306	CO str./CH ₂ scissor		
ν ₅	A'	1241 (39)	1235	1220 (33)	1233	1231	CH ₂ rock		
V ₆	A'	908 (100)	916	892 (100)	849	935	OO str.		
ν ₇	A'		536	530 (1)	537	529	COO deform		
ν_8	Α″	848 (24)	856	853 (31)	793	862	CH ₂ wag		
V9	Α″		620	606 (2)	618	632	CH ₂ twist		
Reference		This work	This work	This work	(18)	(12)			

Table 1 vibrational modes of CH₂OO [31]

*Harmonic wave numbers. †Approximate mode description. *a*, asymmetric; *s*, symmetric; str., stretch. intensities are normalized to the most intense line (v_4) with intensity 124 km mol⁻¹. §Integrated IR intensities relative to v_6 are listed in parentheses.

A quantum cascade laser(QCL) was used as the probe laser to determine the production of CH₂OO. The wavenumber of the light emitted by the QCL could be adjusted from 1273 cm⁻¹ to 1278 cm⁻¹ according to setting temperature and current, therefore P-branch lines of the v_4 band (CO stretch/CH₂ scissor), in the region encircled by the red dotted line in **Figure 6**, are able to be observed with our apparatus.



Figure 6 Simulated absorption spectrum of CH_2OO in the region 1265-1300 cm $^{-1}$

5. Spectral simulation

5.1 Rotational spectroscopy

As we discussed before, absorption lines in an IR absorption spectum appear at positions where vibrational and rotational transitions of molecules take place. If the vibration modes of a molecule had been decided, the rest we need to do is to calculate locations of absorption lines at each band.

In quantum mechanics the free rotation of a molecule is quantized, so that the rotational energy and the angular momentum can take only certain fixed values, which are related simply to the moment of inertia, *I*, of the molecule. For any molecule, there are three moments of inertia: I_A , I_B and I_C about three mutually orthogonal axis A, B, and C with the origin at the center of mass of this molecule. Generally, we define $I_A \leq I_B \leq I_C$, and according to the symmetry of molecular structure, molecules can be divided into four different classes.

Spherical tops, all three moments of inertia are equal to each other, $I_A = I_B = I_C$, molecules for example, P₄, CH₄, CCl₄, SF₆.

Linear molecules, the moments of inertia are related by $I_A = 0$, $I_B = I_C$, molecules for example, O₂, N₂, CO, CO₂, OCS.

Symmetric tops, two moments of inertia are the same, $I_A = I_B$, or $I_B = I_C$. Furthermore, as a matter of convenience, this kind of molecules are divided into two classes conventionally, Oblate symmetric tops with $I_A = I_B < I_C$, such as C₆H₆, NH₃, and prolate symmetric tops with $I_A < I_B = I_C$, such as CH₃Cl.

Asymmetric tops, the three moments of inertia have different values, $I_A < I_B < I_C$, molecules for example, H₂O, NO₂.

Obviously, CH₂OO has a C_s geometry, with 5 atoms in a same plane, which belongs to Asymmetric tops.

For an asymmetric molecule, $I_A < I_B < I_C$, in the absence of electric and magnetic fields, and without the consideration of centrifugal distortion its rotational energy levels can be described with rotational constants *A*,*B*,*C*, and other quantum numbers. Rotational constants A, B, C are conventionally written as

$$A = \frac{h}{8\pi^2 c I_A} \qquad B = \frac{h}{8\pi^2 c I_B} \qquad C = \frac{h}{8\pi^2 c I_C}$$

in which, h is Planck constant, c is the velocity of light, the moments of inertia: I_A , I_B , and I_C are

$$I_{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i} d_{Ai}^{2}$$
 $I_{B} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i} d_{Bi}^{2}$ $I_{C} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i} d_{Ci}^{2}$

 M_i is the mass of atom i, whose distance from axes A, B, and C are d_{Ai}, d_{Bi}, d_{Ci} .

In order to comprehend the energy levels of an asymmetric top molecule, we will discuss a symmetric top molecule first, whose rotational energy levels are less complicated to be calculated than an asymmetric top molecule.

For a symmetric top, either prolate or oblate, there is another quantum number K determining the vector component of the angular momentum about the molecular symmetry axis, which is the A-axis for a prolate top or the C-axis for an oblate top.

The quantum number K must be an integer between +J and -J, so that K is

one of the numbers

$$K = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm J$$

The energy of a symmetric top molecule depends on K because rotation about the symmetry axis and tumbling end over end are very different motions, so the relative amounts of angular momentum about the different axes strongly affect the energy. The sign of K signifies the direction of the rotation about the molecular symmetry axis. Energies are degenerate for +K and -K, since a simple change of direction does not change the total energy.

The quantum number m determines the component of the total rotational angular momentum about a space-fixed (laboratory) Z-axis. In the absence of electric or magnetic fields, the rotational energy of a molecule does not depend on the quantum number m. This is a result of the fact that space is isotropic, so that the energy of a molecule cannot depend on the orientation of its rotational motion in space. The quantum number m also must be an integer between +J and -J, so:

$$m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm J$$
.

Since there are apparently 2J + 1 values of m for each *J*, *K* combination, the rotational energy levels of a symmetric top molecule have a degeneracy of 2(2J+1) for $K \neq 0$ (-K and +K are degenerate) and 2J + 1 for K = 0. The selection rules for absorption or emission of radiation by a symmetric top molecule are:

$$\Delta J = \pm 1, 0 \quad \Delta K = 0 \quad \Delta m = 0 .$$

The Hamiltonian for a prolate top $(I_A < I_B = I_C)$ is

$$H = \frac{\hat{J}_A^2}{2I_A} + \frac{\hat{J}_B^2}{2I_B} + \frac{\hat{J}_C^2}{2I_C}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{J}_A^2}{2(I_A - I_B)} + \frac{\hat{J}^2}{2I_B}$$

where, \hat{J}_A , \hat{J}_B , \hat{J}_C are the components of the angular momentum \hat{J} along the A, B, and C axes, $\hat{J}^2 = \hat{J}_A^2 + \hat{J}_B^2 + \hat{J}_C^2$, $\hat{J}^2 \psi = J(J+1) \psi$, and $\hat{J}_A^2 \psi = (\frac{h}{2\pi})^2 K^2 \psi$,

therefore, the energy for a prolate top is

$$\mathsf{E} = \frac{h^2}{8\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{I_A} - \frac{1}{I_B} \right) \mathcal{K}^2 + \frac{h^2}{8\pi^2 I_B} J(J+1)$$

The spectroscopic term for a prolate top can be written as $F(J,K) = BJ(J+1) + (A - B)K^2$.

Similar to a prolate top, the Hamiltonian for an oblate top $(I_A = I_B < I_C)$ is

$$H = \frac{\hat{J}_A^2}{2I_A} + \frac{\hat{J}_B^2}{2I_B} + \frac{\hat{J}_C^2}{2I_C}$$
$$= \frac{\hat{J}_C^2}{2(I_C - I_B)} + \frac{\hat{J}^2}{2I_B} ,$$

since $\hat{f}_{C}^{2}\psi = (\frac{h}{2\pi})^{2}K^{2}\psi$, the energy for a prolate top is

$$\mathsf{E} = \frac{h^2}{8\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{I_C} - \frac{1}{I_B}\right) K^2 + \frac{h^2}{8\pi^2 I_B} J(J+1) ,$$

whose spectroscopic term is

$$F(J,K) = BJ(J+1) + (C - B)K^2$$
.

The Hamiltonian for an asymmetric top $(I_A < I_B < I_C)$ as well can be written as

$$H = \frac{\hat{J}_A^2}{2I_A} + \frac{\hat{J}_B^2}{2I_B} + \frac{\hat{J}_C^2}{2I_C}$$

nevertheless, analysis of the rotational spectrum of an asymmetric top is very difficult, because three moments of inertia I_A , I_B , I_C of an asymmetric top molecule are distinct from each other, and more quantum numbers need to be taken in to describe its rotation. Usually an asymmetric top is approximated as a prolate or oblate top, which makes the analysis become tractable.

We employed a software called PGOPHER [33] to facilitate the computation of absorption lines of CH₂OO.

PGOPHER is a general purpose program for simulating and fitting rotational, vibrational and electronic spectra. It represents a distillation of several programs written and used over the past decade or so within the Bristol laser group and elsewhere, but is a re-write from scratch to produce a general purpose and flexible program. PGOPHER will handle linear molecules and symmetric and asymmetric tops, including effects due to unpaired electrons and nuclear spin, with a separate mode for vibrational structure. The program can handle many sorts of transitions, including Raman, multiphoton and forbidden transitions. It can simulate multiple species and state dependent predissociation. Fitting can be to line positions, intensities or band contours.

28

5.2 Rotational constants A, B, and C

With the built-in functions of PGOPHER, rotational transitions will be computed and absorption lines of CH_2OO be portrayed automatically, when values of rotational constants *A*, *B*, and *C* of both state.

The experimental values of rotational constants A'' = 2.59355 cm⁻¹, B'' = 0.41580 cm⁻¹, and C'' = 0.35762 cm⁻¹ of the ground ($\nu = 0$) state, have been determined by Nakajima and Endo with microwave spectroscopy [40]. And we chose to use values of rotational constants A' = 2.59365 cm⁻¹, B' = 0.41495 cm⁻¹, C' = 0.35806 cm⁻¹ of the excited ($\nu_4 = 1$) state measured by Yu-Hsuan Huang et al. [41].

Thereby, a simulated v_4 band of CH₂OO can be carried out as follow.

First step, input the values of rotational parameters *A*, *B*, *C* of both state, as well the central wavenumber $v_0 = 1285.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

🖧 v=0 - Constants							×	₽ • v=1 -	Constants				_		×		
▼ Fix Convert Units cm-1								▼ Fix Convert Units cm-1									
AsymmetricTop	: Species - Asym	metricT	op - Ground	d - v=0			AsymmetricTop: Species - AsymmetricTop - Excited - v=1										
						E-simulation CH200 aaa.pgo Simulation E-Species E-AsymmetricTop E-Ground ↓ v=0 E-Excited E-Excited E-Excited E-Excited E-StactedImulGround> ↓ v=1bb=D>											
Comment:			VA					Comment:									
	Value	Float	Increment	StdDev			^		Value	Float Inc	rement 9	StdDev			^		
Origin	0	no						Origin	1285.9	no							
Width	0	no						Width	0	no							
A	2.59355	no						A	2.59365	no							
В	.4158	no						В	.41495	no							
С	.35762	no						C	.35806	no							
BBar	0	no						BBar	0	no							
BDelta	0	no						BDelta	0	no							
DK	0	no						DK	0	no							
DJK	0	no						DJK	0	no							
DJ	0	no						DJ	0	no							
deltaK	0	no						deltaK	0	no							
deltaJ	0	no						deltaJ	0	no							
НК	0	no						HK	0	no							
HKJ	0	no						HKJ	0	no							
HJK	0	no						HJK	0	no							
HJ	0	no					~	HJ	0	no					~		

Figure.7 Molecular constants window of PGOPHER, Rotational constants A, B,

and C

5.3 Gaussian(Doppler) profile and Lozentz profile

After these parameters be inputted, which means a serious of pairs of energy levels were determind, absorption lines appeard at the places where transitions took place, as in **Figure.8**. Each line is infinately sharp widthless, and simplly represent a transition between a pair of energy levels. Accually, all transitions are spread over a finite range of wavenumber with a maximun intensity at line centre as in **Figure.9**, as well a graph like **Figure.8** obviously distinct from an absorption spectrum is not able to be taken in experiments. Therefore, for the propose of consistency of simulation and expeiment, absorption linewidth and its assocciated shape must be taken into consideration. Broadening casued by two mechanisms, the random thermal motion of absorption molecules relative to the analyzing and receiving device, and collisions between molecules, affect absorption lineshape mostly, which are described by Gaussian(Doppler) profile and Lozentz profile.



Figure.8 Infinately sharp widthless absorption lines



Figure.9 Absorption lines with lineshapes

With the functions built inside the PGOPHER, it is not necessary to calculate the Gaussian distribution or Lorentz distribution of absoption lines of CH₂OO, the lineshapes would be portrayed automatically, after the Gaussian distribution halfwidth γ_{D} and the Lorentz distribution halfwidth γ_{L} inputted.

The Gaussian distribution halfwidth is related to the molecular weight M and temperature T(K)

$$\gamma_{\rm D} = 3.581 \times 10^{-7} \nu_0 \sqrt{\frac{\rm T}{\rm M}}$$

Here, T = 298K, M = 46, so γ_{D} = 0.0017 cm⁻¹.

The Lorentz distribution halfwidth γ_{\perp} is difficult to be calculated, because there are not sufficient data for CH₂OO. So we just adjust the value of γ_{\perp} manually, and make sure the linewidth of the simulated absorption specrum is about same to the experiment results.

Hereby, input $\gamma_D = 0.0017 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\gamma_L = 0.01 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, as the second step.

5.4 Centrifugal distortion

Considering the weight of CH₂OO molecule is relatively small, centrifugal distortion may cause a change in the structure of a CH₂OO molecule, and furthermore in its absorption lineshapes. A modification can be made by inputting the centrifugal distortion constant. Since the centrifugal distortion constant of CH₂OO is unknown, we chose to reference the data for centrifugal distortion constant of O₃ molecule [35], molecular structure and of which is close to CH₂OO.(Figure 10.)



Figure.10 A comparision of the molecular structures of O₃ and CH₂OO [8]

As we mentioned before, the rotation Hamiltonian for an asymmetric top ($I_A < I_B < I_C$) without considering the reduction of the molecule, can be written as

$$H = \frac{\hat{J}_{A}^{2}}{2I_{A}} + \frac{\hat{J}_{B}^{2}}{2I_{B}} + \frac{\hat{J}_{C}^{2}}{2I_{C}}$$

If we take molecular reduction into consideration, the rotation Hamiltonian an asymmetric top ($I_A < I_B < I_C$) is given by Watson [34]

 $H = H_2 + H_4 + H_6 + H_8$

H₂ is the rigid part independent of molecular deduction, and H₄ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{H}_{4} &= -\Delta_{J}\hat{f}^{4} - \Delta_{JK}\hat{f}^{2}\hat{f}^{2}_{A} - \Delta_{K}\hat{f}^{4}_{A} + (\hat{f}^{2}_{B} - \hat{f}^{2}_{C})(-\delta_{J}\hat{f}^{2} - \delta_{K}\hat{f}^{2}_{A}) + \\ (-\delta_{J}\hat{f}^{2} - \delta_{K}\hat{f}^{2}_{A})(\hat{f}^{2}_{B} - \hat{f}^{2}_{C}) \end{aligned}$$

where, the quartic distortion constants Δ_J , Δ_{JK} , Δ_K , δ_J , δ_K are combinations of *A*, *B*, *C* and other factors. H₆ and H₈ are far more complex than H₄, and since their effects on the absorption lineshape of a spectrum are trivial, they will not be discussed here.

The values of distortion constants Δ_J , Δ_{JK} , Δ_K , δ_J , δ_K of CH₂OO are estimated to be $\Delta_J = 4.54271676 \times 10^{-7}$, $\Delta_{JK} = -1.84605222 \times 10^{-6}$, $\Delta_K = 2.11661213 \times 10^{-4}$, $\delta_J = 6.97966080 \times 10^{-8}$, $\delta_K = 3.23308015 \times 10^{-6}$ of the ground ($\nu = 0$) state, and $\Delta_J = 4.55845583 \times 10^{-7}$, $\Delta_{JK} = -1.79144386 \times 10^{-6}$, $\Delta_K = 2.32871940 \times 10^{-4}$, $\delta_J = 6.80608000 \times 10^{-8}$, $\delta_K = 3.2429863 \times 10^{-6}$ of the excited($\nu_4 = 1$) state. Input centrifugal distotion constants as the third step (**Figure.11**).

🔥 v=0 - Constants - 🗆 🗙								🐣 v=1 - Constants							×			
▼ Fix Convert Units cm-1								▼ Fix Convert Units cm-1										
AsymmetricTop: Species - AsymmetricTop - Ground - v=0									AsymmetricTop: Species - AsymmetricTop - Excited - v=1									
Lomment:	No. Los	[_		Comment:	hu	[m	h .	lo. in					
Origin	Value	Float	Increment	StaDev					Dutation (1997)	Value	Float	Increment	StdDev					
Ungin V Galla		no						L H	Jingin Téala	1205.5	no							
A	2 E02EE	no						I B	Midth	0 50005	no							
A	2.03300	no						L LÉ	*	2.03360	no							
<u>в</u>	.4158	no							5 ~	.41495	no							
L.	.30762	no						l H	-	.30806	no							
BBar	0	no							3Bar	-0	no							
BDelta	0	no							BDelta	0	no							
DK	.00021166121	no							DK	.00023287194	no							
DJK	-1.846052e-6	no						[DJK	-1.791444e-6	no							
DJ	4.5427168e-7	no							DJ	4.6584558e-7	no							
deltaK	3.2330801e-6	no						4	deltaK	3.2429863e-6	no							
deltaJ	6.9796608e-8	no						9	deltaJ	6,80608e-8	no							
НК	0	no						E	ΗK	0	no							
HKJ	0	no						l F	HKJ	0	no							
HJK	0	no						Ē	НJK	0	no							
HJ	0	no					~	Ē	ЧJ	0	no					~		

Figure.11 Molecular constants window of PGOPHER, quartic centrifugal

distotion constants

5.5 Comparision of the spectra simulated in different linewidth

Here, as a supplement, applying the results of simulation, a discrepancy could be expressed between two absorption spectra taken in different linewidth. In our experiment, the value of Lorentz distribution halfwidth was about 10 times the Gaussian distribution halfwidth, so we chose the change in the value of the Lorentz distribution halfwidth to discribe this difference. If another simulation of absorption lines of CH₂OO was carried out with all the parameters same to the result above except the value of the Lorentz distribution halfwidth (here, we adjusted the value of the Lorentz distribution halfwidth to be 1 cm⁻¹), as showed in **Figure.12-13**, not only the individual absorption lines was failed to be observed, but also the absoption intensity became smaller obviously, due to broadening. And as we mentioned before, the high-resolution device employed in our experiment was capable of separating absorption lines of CH₂OO.


Figure.12 Simulated high-resolution absorption spectrum of $CH_2OO(Lorentz width 0.01 \text{ cm}^{-1})$



Figure.13 Simulated low-resolution absorption spectrum of $CH_2OO(Lorentz width 1 cm^{-1})$

6. Experiment

6.1 Apparatus

The apparatus of this experiment was set up as in Figure.14. We used a $CH_2I_2-O_2$ photolysis technique in our experiment. A mixture of $CH_2I_2/N_2/O_2$ flowed into the cell with purge gas (N₂) injected in both sides in order to keep lens mounted on both sides clean. A super-power YAG laser (**Figure.15**), decomposed CH_2I_2 into CH_2I and I. CH_2I would react with O_2 immediately, with CH_2OO produced. Opposite to the direction of YAG laser beam, rays of light of a QCL (quantum cascade laser) went through the cell, then directed into a detector. The QCL (**Figure.16**) was used to detect the presence of CH_2OO and the wavenumber of the light emitted by the QCL could be adjusted by changing the current and temperature.



Figure.14 Experiment apparatus



Figure.15 Pictures of the YAG laser



Figure.16 Pictures of the quantum cascade laser(QCL)

6.2 Experimenal conditions

The conditions in this experiment are listed in Table.2

Concentration ratio of CH ₂ I ₂ /N ₂ /O ₂	1/20/250
Temperature	T = 298 K
Pressure inside the cell	P = 45 Torr
Wavelength of the YAG laser	λ = 266 nm
Power of the YAG laser	P _Y = 30 mJ/pulse
Diameter of the YAG laser beam went through the	d = 1 cm
cell	

The original concentration of CH₂I₂ before photolysis was able to be calculated approximately, by the equation

PV = nRT

 $[\mathsf{CH}_2\mathsf{I}_2] = \frac{\mathsf{n}}{\mathsf{V}} = \frac{\mathsf{P}}{\mathsf{RT}}$

Here, P = 45 Torr, so, $[CH_2I_2] = 5.37 \times 10^{15}$ molecule cm⁻³.

CH₂I was generated due to photolysis of CH₂I₂.

 $[CH_2I] = N_{\rho}[CH_2I_2] \sigma_{CH_2I_2} \varphi$

 $N_p = 5.1 \times 10^{16}$ photon cm⁻², number desity, which stands for the number of photon per square centimeter in a section perpendicular to the direction that the YAG laser propagated.

Absorption cross section of CH₂I₂ at 266 nm, $\sigma_{CH_{2}I_{2}}$ was reported to be 1.3× 10⁻¹⁸ cm² molecule ⁻¹ [36]. Absorption cross section represents the the ability of a molecule to absorb a photon of a particular wavelength and polarization.

Quantum yield φ = 0.4 [37]. In a decomposition reaction, quantum yield is the probablity that a photon makes the reaction happan.

The proportion of the gas inside the cell received YAG laser was about 1/5, and with all the factors listed above, $[CH_2I]$ was calculated to be 2.85 × 10^{13} molecule cm⁻³. And according to s research[], under the pressure and temperature conditions in our experiment, the yield for CH₂OO could be estimated to be about 70% of [CH₂I], that is [CH₂OO] = 2 × 10¹³ molecule cm⁻³.

6.3 Data processing

Every spectrum taken in this experiment was obtained with a procedure that setting the temperature of the QCL at certain fixed value, then the current of the QCL was controlled to change gradually for the propose of emitting lasers of different wavenumbers. Therefore, the raw data for a spectum is a graph of intensity varies with current not wavenumber.

The relation between the wavenumbers of the lasers emitted and the values of the current at a fixed temperature was able to be known to some extent(**Table.3**). Since the correspondence between the current values and the wavenumbers is not constant, compensates for this variation need to be made.

Setting	Current	Wavenumber of
temperature	Current	QCL(approximately)
50 °C	650-400 mA	1273-1275.5 cm ⁻¹
40 °C	650-400 mA	1274.5-1276.7 cm ⁻¹
30 °C	650-400 mA	1275.9-1277.7 cm ⁻¹

Table.3 Rough data for the relation of the current values and the wavenumbers

N₂O gas was chosen to work this out, for the reason that the data for absorption lines of N₂O in databases, such as HITRAN [38], is accessible, and the intensity of these absorption lines is relatively strong, which are not diffcult in observation. As in Figure.17, an absorption spectrum of N₂O taken with our device(setting temperature of QCL 50°C) was arranged under a simulated absorption spectrum of N₂O. Four locations(Table 4) were pointed out by red circles in each spectrum, where intense absorption lines appeared. The data points in **Table 4**(x for current, y for wavenumber) were fitted by a fitting fuction y = Intercept + B1x + B2x² selected by OriginPro automatically, the results are presented in **Figure.18-20**.



Figure.17 Corrections in the correspondence between the current values and the

wavenumbers with N₂O gas(setting temperature of QCL 50°C)

Experiment(x)	Simulation(y)
491 mA	1274.62 cm ⁻¹
548.4 mA	1274.10 cm ⁻¹
584.7 mA	1273.74 cm ⁻¹
635.8 mA	1273.18 cm ⁻¹

Table.4 Locatons where absorption lines of N₂O appeared

(setting temperature of QCL 50°C)



Figure.18 Results of polynomial fit of parameters in Table.4



Figure.19 Curve fitting for parameters in Table.4



Figure. 20 Regular residuals of plots in Table.4

With same procedures to the situation the QCL was setted at 50°, the conditions and results when the QCL was setted at 40°, 30° are listed in **Figure.21-28, Table5-6**.



Figure.21 Corrections in the correspondence between the current values and the wavenumbers with N₂O gas(setting temperature of QCL 40°C)

Table.5 Locatons where absorption lines of N₂O appeared

Experiment(x)	Simulation(y)
475.6 mA	1275.895 cm ⁻¹
522.2 mA	1275.495 cm ⁻¹
576.4 mA	1275 cm ⁻¹
613.5 mA	1274.62 cm ⁻¹

(setting temperature of QCL 40°C)

	Para	ameters	s •									
				Value	Stan	dard Error						
		Interce	ept	1277.8538	7	0.50378						
Ч	В		B1 -	1.69784E-	4	0.00186						
			B2 -	8.30972E-0	5	1.7119E-6						
	Some	innut data	minte a	na miesina			_					
₽	Sta	tistics	- -	io moonig.								
[В							
	Number of Points					4						
Ч	Degrees of Freedom				1							
	Residual Sum of Squares				4.720	4.72042E-5						
			Adj.	R-Square	0	0.99985						
Ę	Sun	nmary	•									
			Int	ercept			B1			E	32	Statistics
		Val	ue	Standarc	Error	Value		Standard Error	Value		Standard Error	Adj. R-Square
	В	1277	1.85387	C C	.50378	-1.69784	E-4	0.00186	-8.309728	E-6	1.7119E-6	0.99985
Ę	AN	OVA	•									
			DF	Sum of S	Sum of Squares		quare	F Value	Prob>F	b≻F		
Ц		Model	2		0.93538	0	.46769	9 9907.77152	0.0071			
	В	Error	1	4.7	2042E-5	4.720)42E-{	5		1		
		Total	3		0.93543					1		

Figure.22 Results of polynomial fit of parameters in Table.5



Figure.23 Curve fitting for parameters in Table.5



Figure.24 Regular residuals of plots in Table.5



Figure.25 Corrections in the correspondence between the current values and the wavenumbers with N₂O gas(setting temperature of QCL 30°C)

Table.6 Locatons where absorption lines of N2O appeared

Experiment(x)	Simulation(y)
446.8 mA	1277.235 cm ⁻¹
502.8 mA	1276.785 cm ⁻¹
551.6 mA	1276.365 cm ⁻¹
602.3 mA	1275.895 cm ⁻¹
641 mA	1275.495 cm ⁻¹

(setting temperature of QCL 30°C)

Ŧ.	Para	ameters	•											
				Value	Stan	dard Ern	or							
		Intercept		1279.127		0.21	496							
	В	B1	-	9.69867E-4		7.9962	E-4							
		B2	-	7.31743E-6		7.33796	E-7							
	Somo	innut data min	te a	m mincing										
Ę	Sta	tistics	-	e missing.										
	ota				В									
		Num	iber	of Points		5								
L		Degree	so	f Freedom		2								
		Residual Su	im d	of Squares	8.283	83E-5								
		/	٩dj.	R-Square	0.	99991								
Ę	Sun	nmarv •	·											
		It	ntei	rcept			E	31			E	32		Statistics
		Value	:	Standard Err	or	Value		Star	idard Error	١	Value	Star	ndard Error	Adj. R-Square
	В	1279.12	7	0.214	196 -	9.69867	7E-4		7.9962E-4	-7.	31743E-6		7.33796E-7	0.99991
Ę	AN	OVA -												
		DI	F	Sum of So	quares	Mear	n Squa	are	F Value	•	Prob>F	-		
		Model	2		1.91052		0.95	5526	23063.2	.20619 4.335		4.33572E-5		
	В	Error	2	8.28	383E-5	4.	14192	2E-5						
		Total	4		1.9106									

Figure.26 Results of polynomial fit of parameters in Table.6



Figure.27 Curve fitting for parameters in Table.6



Figure.28 Regular residuals of plots in Figure.27

Corrected correspondence between the current values and the wavenumbers are listed in **Table.7**. And based on the data collected, the variation was approximately 0.15 cm⁻¹.

Table.7 Corrected correspondence between

Wavenumber of QCL	Setting temperature	Current		
1273.01 cm ⁻¹ to	50.80	050 500 4		
1274.52 cm ^{−1}	50 50	650-500 MA		
1275.40 cm ⁻¹ to	40 °C	522 450 mA		
1276.09 cm ^{−1}	40 C	555-450 MA		
1276.38 cm ⁻¹ to	30 °C	FE0.450 mA		
1277.20 cm ⁻¹	50 0	550-450 MA		

the current values and the wavenumbers

6.4 Results and discussion

The absorption lines of CH₂OO in the region listed in **Table.7** were observed successfully.(**Figure.29**). And the detection limitation in our experiment for the concentration of [CH₂OO] was estimated to be 2×10^{12} molecule cm⁻³.



Figure.29 Experiment results compared with a simulated spectrum of CH₂OO

After the centrifugal distortion constants were inputted, the lineshapes of absorption lines of CH₂OO changed in **Figure.30**(b). It is not diffcult to notice that the second peak in (a) rised from right to left, while in both (b) and (c), they rised from left to right, opposite to the situation in (a). as well, in the regions circled by red lines in all three graphs, small absorption lines turned up in (b) and (c), nonetheless, didn't show up in (a). Albeit, the resemblances in (b) and (c) proved that the modification has been achieved to some extent, further work need to be done both on simulation and experiment, for the purpose of obtaining furthermore consistency.



Figure.30 Modification of the simulated absorption spectrum with the centrifugal distortion constants inputted

6.5 The rate coefficient for the reaction of CH₂OO with SO₂ measured with this new high-resolution approach

Previous researches on the reaction of CH₂OO with SO₂ have been discussed in Chapter 1. The rate coefficients for the reaction of CH₂OO with SO₂ were measured to be distinct according to direct and indirect measurements. We prefer the direct observation in the reaction of CH₂OO with SO₂, for the reason that the rate of CIs generated from the reaction of alkene with O₃ is very slow, and the reaction of alkene with O₃ itself is extremely complicated. The researches focused on the field observation of the H₂SO₄ production in alkene ozonelysis were carried out by R. L. Mauldin III et al[2], and they measured the value of the rate coefficient for the reaction of CH₂OO with SO₂ was on the order of 10⁻¹³ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, which is about 100 times slower than the direct observation result 3.9×10^{-13} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ reported by WeIz et al[5]. As we mentioned the reaction of alkene with O₃ is inherently complex, so the CIs produced from this process are diffcult to be traced. Also, beside CIs, the existance of other oxidants could not be extincted completely in field observations, which might lead this discrepancy.

We assume that the overall decay rate of CH₂OO is the sum of the self-decay rate, k_1 [CH₂OO], and the rate due to reaction with SO₂, k_2 [CH₂OO][SO₂], k_2 is the rate coefficient for the reaction of CH₂OO with SO₂,

$$-\frac{\partial [CH_2OO]}{\partial t} = k_1[CH_2OO] + k_2[CH_2OO][SO_2]$$
$$= k' [CH_2OO]$$

where, $k' = k_2[SO_2] + k_1$, and the concentration of CH₂OO during the decay could be described as,

$$[CH_2OO] = Ae^{k't}$$

Singals of CH₂OO were recorded with the concentration of SO₂ incressed gradually inside the cell, and the values of the concentration of SO₂ are listed in Table.8. As shown in **Figure.31**, the accelerated decay of CH₂OO was obvious, due to the reaction of CH₂OO with SO₂.



Figure.31 Time profile of CH₂OO

Experiment	Concentration of
Number	SO ₂ (molecole cm ⁻³)
1	0
2	9.60 × 10 ¹³
3	1.15 × 10 ¹⁴
4	1.34 × 10 ¹⁴
5	1.54 × 10 ¹⁴
6	1.73 × 10 ¹⁴
7	1.92 × 10 ¹⁴
8	2.11 × 10 ¹⁴
9	2.30 × 10 ¹⁴
10	2.50 × 10 ¹⁴
11	2.69 × 10 ¹⁴
12	2.88 × 10 ¹⁴

Table.8 Experiment number and Concentration of SO₂

Points of singals of CH₂OO were fitted with the exponential function $y = y_0 + y_0$ $Ae^{k't}$. The fitted results of the parameters y₀, A and k' are listed in **Table.9**. The plots of the CH₂OO signal recoreded and their fitted curves are portrayed in Figure.32-55.

Experiment	Уо		A		k'	statistic	
Number.	value	standard	value	standard	value	Reduced	Adj.
		error		error		Chi-Sqr	R-Square
1	4.54×10 ⁻⁵	3.74×10 ⁻⁵	8.62×10 ⁻⁴	3.27×10 ⁻⁵	4684.08852	1.49×10 ⁻⁹	0.91057
2	-4.91×10 ⁻⁵	2.09×10 ⁻⁵	8.45×10 ⁻⁴	1.64×10 ⁻⁵	6126.38925	1.34×10 ⁻⁹	0.93313
3	-1.83×10⁻⁵	1.33×10⁻⁵	8.77×10 ⁻⁴	8.97×10 ⁻⁶	7685.79829	1.28×10 ⁻⁹	0.94765
4	8.76×10 ⁻⁶	9.70×10 ⁻⁶	8.42×10 ⁻⁴	5.91×10 ⁻⁶	9141.63627	1.32×10 ⁻⁹	0.94533
5	-8.24×10 ⁻⁶	8.7×10⁻ ⁶	9.52×10 ⁻⁴	5.35×10 ⁻⁶	9830.88767	1.41×10 ⁻⁹	0.95476
6	-1.14×10⁻⁵	7.30×10 ⁻⁶	9.87×10 ⁻⁴	4.68×10 ⁻⁶	10439.30575	1.23×10 ⁻⁹	0.96331
7	3.82×10 ⁻⁵	5.84×10 ⁻⁶	9.63×10 ⁻⁴	5.55×10 ⁻⁶	12505.84969	1.50×10 ⁻⁹	0.95284
8	1.36×10 ⁻⁵	5.06×10 ⁻⁶	1.03×10 ⁻³	6.24×10 ⁻⁶	13631.84934	1.52×10 ⁻⁹	0.95713
9	-2.46×10 ⁻⁵	5.51×10 ⁻⁶	1.24×10 ⁻³	6.21×10 ⁻⁶	13242.84646	1.63×10 ⁻⁹	0.96805
10	-2.74×10⁻⁵	5.47×10 ⁻⁶	1.01×10 ⁻³	6.90×10 ⁻⁶	13730.72327	1.82×10 ⁻⁹	0.94694
11	-5.87×10⁻⁵	4.33×10 ⁻⁶	8.81×10 ⁻⁴	6.46×10 ⁻⁶	14487.3555	1.36×10 ⁻⁹	0.94652
12	-1.64×10⁻⁵	4.42×10 ⁻⁶	9.33×10 ⁻⁴	8.40×10 ⁻⁶	15655.90105	1.83×10 ⁻⁹	0.93409

Table.9 Results of the exponential fitting $y = y_0 + Ae^{k't}$



Figure.32 Curve fitting for the plots of CH_2OO signal in Experiment 1





Figure.34 Curve fitting for the plots of CH₂OO signal in Experiment 2



Figure.35 Residuals of plots in Figure.34



Figure.36 Curve fitting for the plots of CH₂OO signal in Experiment 3



Figure.37 Residuals of plots in Figure.36



Figure.38 Curve fitting for the plots of CH₂OO signal in Experiment 4



Figure.39 Residuals of plots in Figure.38



Figure.40 Curve fitting for the plots of CH₂OO signal in Experiment 5



Figure.41 Residuals of plots in Figure.40



Figure.42 Curve fitting for the plots of CH₂OO signal in Experiment 6



Figure.43 Residuals of plots in Figure.42



Figure.44 Curve fitting for the plots of CH₂OO signal in Experiment 7



Figure.45 Residuals of plots in Figure.44



Figure.46 Curve fitting for the plots of CH₂OO signal in Experiment 8



Figure.47 Residuals of plots in Figure.46



Figure.48 Curve fitting for the plots of CH₂OO signal in Experiment 9



Figure.49 Residuals of plots in Figure.48



Figure.50 Curve fitting for the plots of CH₂OO signal in Experiment 10



Figure.51 Residuals of plots in Figure.50



Figure.52 Curve fitting for the plots of CH₂OO signal in Experiment 11



Figure.53 Residuals of plots in Figure.52



Figure.54 Curve fitting for the plots of CH₂OO signal in Experiment 12



Figure.55 Residuals of plots in Figure.54

Based on the linear relation k' = $k_2[SO_2] + k_1$, as well as the values of the concentration of SO₂ and k' in **Table.8-9**, the rate cofficient k₂ was fitted to be 4.2 × 10⁻¹¹ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (**Figure.44**), which is in agrrement with the reported value of 3.9×10^{-11} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ by Welz et al. [5]. The concentration of SO₂ in the atmosphere is 1.2×10^{12} molecule cm⁻³, so the lifetime for CH₂OO would be 0.02 s, if it only reacts with SO₂.



Figure.56 Linear fit to the concentration of SO_2 and k', where the slope is the rate coefficient for CH_2OO+SO_2



Figure.57 Residuals of plots in Figure.56
7. Conclusion and future plan

The absorption lines of CH₂OO have been observed successfully, and the rate coefficient for the reaction of CH₂OO with SO₂ was measured with this new high-resolution approach.

Research on kinetics of CH₂OO with this high-resolution new method will be made further, for example, the reaction of CH₂OO with water vapor, which determines the fate of Criegee intermediate in the atmosphere.

As we can see in **Figure.29-30**, the regions where the absorption lines of CH₂OO were observed did not cover up the range that the QCL could be adjusted completely. The crystals embedded inside the QCL are sensitive to the ambient temperature, which might result in this mysterious abnormality in the QCL's operation, therefore, we will design an incubator for the QCL as a next step.

References

[1] R. Criegee, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 583, 1 (1953)

[2] R. L. Mauldin III, T. Berndt, M. Sipilä, P. Paasonen, T. Petäjä, S. Kim, T. Kurtén, F. Stratmann, V.-M. Kermine and M. Kulmala. A new atmospherically relevant oxidant of sulphur dioxide. Nature 488, 193–196 (2012)

[3] Jesse H. Kroll, Shailesh R. Sahay , James G. Anderson, Kenneth L., Demerjian, Neil

M. Donahue. Mechanism of HOx Formation in the Gas-Phase Ozone-Alkene Reaction.

2. Prompt versus Thermal Dissociation of Carbonyl Oxides to Form OH. J. Phys. Chem.

A, 105, 4446–4457 (2001)

[4] David Johnson, Alison G. Lewin, and George Marston. The Effect of

Criegee-Intermediate Scavengers on the OH Yield from the Reaction of Ozone with

2-methylbut-2-ene. J. Phys. Chem. A, 105, 2933–2935 (2001)

[5] Oliver Welz, John D. Savee, David L. Osborn, Subith S. Vasu, Carl J.

Percival, Dudley E. Shallcross, Craig A. Taatjes. Direct Kinetic Measurements

of Criegee Intermediate (CH₂OO) Formed by Reaction of CH₂I with O₂. Science 335, 204-207 (2012)

[6] Craig A. Taatjes, Oliver Welz, Arkke J. Eskola, John D. Savee, Adam M. Scheer, Dudley E. Shallcross, Brandon Rotavera, Edmond P. F. Lee, John M. Dyke, Daniel K. W. Mok,4 David L. Osborn,1 Carl J. Percival. Direct Measurements of

Conformer-Dependent Reactivity of the Criegee Intermediate CH₃CHOO. Science 340, 177-180 (2013).

[7] Torsten Berndt, Tuija Jokinen, Roy L. MauldinIII, Tuukka Petäjä, Hartmut Herrmann, Heikki Junninen, Pauli Paasonen, Douglas R. Worsnop, and Mikko Sipilä. Gas-Phase Ozonolysis of Selected Olefins: The Yield of Stabilized Criegee Intermediate and the Reactivity toward SO₂. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 3, 2892-2896 (2012)

[8] Daniel Stone, Mark Blitz, Laura Daubney, Neil UM Howes, Paul Seakins. Kinetics of CH_2OO reactions with SO_2 , NO_2 , NO, H_2O and CH_3CHO as a function of pressure. Chem. Phys. 16, 1139–1149 (2014) [9] Bin Ouyang, Matthew W McLeod, Roderic L Jones, William J Bloss. NO₃ radical production from the reaction between the Criegee intermediate CH₂OO and NO₂. Phys. Chem. Phys. 15, 17070–17075 (2013).

[10] Alam S Hasson, Grazyna Orzechowska, Suzanne E Paulson. Production of stabilized Criegee intermediates and peroxides in the gas phase ozonolysis of alkenes:

1. Ethene, trans-2-butene, and 2, 3-dimethyl-2-butene. J. Geophys.

Res. 106 (D24), 34131-34142 (2001)

[11] Masako. Suto, E. R. Manzanares, L. C. Lee. Detection of sulfuric acid aerosols by ultraviolet scattering. Environ. Sci. Technol.

19, 815–820 (1985).

[12] Becker K. H., J. Bechara and K. J. Brockmann. Studies on the formation of H_2O_2 in the ozonolysis of alkenes. Atmos. Environ. 27A, 57–61 (1993)

[13] K. E. Leather, M. R. McGillen, M. C. Cooke, S. R. Utembe, A. T. Archibald, M. E. Jenkin, R. G. Derwent, D. E. Shallcross, and C. J. Percival. Acid-yield measurements of the gas-phase ozonolysis of ethene as a function of humidity using Chemical Ionisation Mass Spectrometry(CIMS). Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12, 469–479 (2012).

[14] Torsten Berndt, Jens Voigtla nder, Frank Stratmann, Heikki Junninen,

Roy L. Mauldin III, Mikko Sipila[°], Markku Kulmalab and Hartmut Herrmanna. Competing atmospheric reactions of CH₂OOwith SO₂ and water vapour. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 16, 19130–19136 (2014).

[15] Neeb, P., Sauer, F., Horie, O., and Moortgat, G. K..Formation of hydroxymethyl hydroperoxide and formic acid in alkene ozonolysis in the presence of watervapour, Atmos.Environ. 31, 1417–1423 (1997)

[16] Wen Chao, Jun-Ting Hsieh, Chun-Hung Chang, Jim Jr-Min Lin. Direct kinetic measurement of the reaction of the simplest Criegee intermediate with water vapor.Science 347, 751-754 (2015)

[17] Oliver Welz, Arkke J Eskola, Leonid Sheps, Brandon Rotavera, John D Savee, et al.
Rate Coefficients of C1 and C2 Criegee Intermediate Reactions with Formic and Acetic
Acid Near the Collision Limit: Direct Kinetics Measurements and Atmospheric
Implications. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 53(18) 4547–4550 (2014)

[18] M. Hallquist, J. C. Wenger, U. Baltensperger, Y. Rudich, D. Simpson, M. Claeys, et al. The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5155-5236 (2009)

[19] J. L. Jimenez, M. R. Canagaratna, N. M. Donahue, A. S. H. Prevot, Q. Zhang et al.
Evolution of Organic Aerosols in the Atmosphere. Science, 326, 1525–1529 (2009)
[20] M. Kanakidou, J. H. Seinfeld, S. N. Pandis, I. Barnes, F. J. Dentener, M. C. Facchini, R. Van Dingenen, B. Ervens, A. Nenes, C. J. Nielsen, E. Swietlicki, J. P. Putaud, Y.
Balkanski, S. Fuzzi, J. Horth, G. K. Moortgat, R. Winterhalter12, C. E. L. Myhre, K.
Tsigaridis, E. Vignati, E. G. Stephanou, and J. Wilson. Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review. Atmos. Chem. Phys, 5, 1053–1123 (2005)
[21] N. L. Ng, M. R. Canagaratna, Q. Zhang, J. L. Jimenez, J. Tian, I. M. Ulbrich, J. H.
Kroll, K. S. Docherty, P. S. Chhabra, R. Bahreini, S. M. Murphy, J. H. Seinfeld, L.
Hildebrandt, N. M. Donahue, P. F. DeCarlo, V. A. Lanz, A. S. H. Prévôt, E. Dinar, Y.
Rudich, and D. R. Worsnop. Organic aerosol components observed in Northern

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4625-4641 (2010)

[22] Michael P. Tolocka , Katherine J. Heaton , Matthew A. Dreyfus , Shenyi Wang , Christopher A. Zordan , Thomas D. Saul , and Murray V. Johnston. Chemistry of Particle Inception and Growth during α-Pinene Ozonolysis. Environ .Sci. Technol., 40, 1843–1848 (2006)

[23] MP Tolocka, M Jang, JM Ginter, FJ Cox, RM Kamens, MV Johnston. Formation of oligomers in secondary organic aerosol. Environ .Sci. Technol., 38, 1428–1434 (2004)
[24] Andriy Roshchenko, Warren H. Finlay & Peter D. Mineva. The Aerodynamic Behavior of Fibers in a Linear Shear Flow. Aerosol Sci. Technol., 45, 37–45 (2011)
[25] Katherine J. Heaton , Matthew A. Dreyfus , Shenyi Wang , and Murray V. Johnston. Oligomers in the Early Stage of Biogenic Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation and Growth. Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 6129–6136 (2007)

[26] Wiley A. Hall IV and Murray V. Johnston. The Thermal-Stability of Oligomers in Alpha-Pinene Secondary Organic Aerosol. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom., 23, 1097–1108 (2012) [27] K. Kristensen, T. Cui, H. Zhang, A. Gold, M. Glasius, and J. D. Surratt. Dimers in α -pinene secondary organic aerosol: effect of hydroxyl radical, ozone, relative humidity and aerosol acidity. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 4201–4218 (2014)

[28] Witkowski, B., and Gierczak, T.Early stage composition of SOA produced by alphapinene/ozone reaction: alpha-Acyloxyhydroperoxy aldehydes and acidic dimers, Atmos. Environ., 95, 59-70 (2014)

[29] Lee, S., Kamens, R.M. Particle Nucleation from the Reaction of \pm -Pinene and O₃. Atmospheric Environment, 39, 6822-6832 (2005)

[30] Boris Bonn , Gerhard Schuster , and Geert K. Moortgat. Influence of Water Vapor on the Process of New Particle Formation during Monoterpene Ozonolysis. J. Phys. Chem. A, 106, 2869 (2002)

[31] Yu-Te Su, Yu-Hsuan Huang, Henryk A. Witek, Yuan-Pern Lee. Infrared Absorption Spectrum of the Simplest Criegee Intermediate CH₂OO. Science 340, 174-176 (2013)
[32] Dr. Evangelos Miliordos, Dr. Sotiris S. Xantheas. The Origin of the Reactivity of the Criegee Intermediate: Implications for Atmospheric Particle Growth. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 55, 1015 (2016)

[33] C. M.Western, PGOPHER, a Program for Simulating Rotational Structure, Version9.1.100, University of Bristol, 2016

[34] J. K. G. Watson. Aspects of quartic and sextic centrifugal effects on rotational energy levels, in Vibrational spectra and structure. Ed. J. R. Durig, 6, 1-89, 1977. [35] A. Goldman, J.R. Gillis, D.G. Murcray. Analysis of the v_2 and $2v_2$ - v_2 ozone bands from high-resolution infrared atmospheric spectra. J. Mol. Spectrosc., 96, 219-287 (1982)

[36] Peter M. Kroger, Peter C. Demou and Stephen J. Riley. Polyhalide photofragment spectra. I. Two - photon two - step photodissociation of methylene iodide. J. Chem. Phys. 65, 1823 (1976)

[37] J.Brooke Koffend, Stephen R. Leone. Tunable laser photodissociation: quantum yield of $I \bigstar (2P_{12})$ from Ch₂I₂. Chem. Phys. Letters. 81, 136 (1981)

[38] HITRAN is an acronym for high-resolution transmission molecular absorption database. HITRAN is a compilation of spectroscopic parameters that a variety of

computer codes use to predict and simulate the transmission and emission of light in the atmosphere.

[39] L. Viktor Tóth, Sarolta Zahorecz, Csaba Kiss. Infrared Astronomy, 3.2 The origin of infrared radiation.

[40] Nakajima M, Endo. Communication: Determination of the molecular structure of the simplest Criegee intermediate CH₂OO. J. Chem. Phys. 139, 101103 (2013).

[41] Yu-Hsuan Huang, Jun Li, Hua Guo, and Yuan-Pern Lee. Infrared spectrum of the simplest Criegee intermediate CH_2OO at resolution 0.25 cm⁻¹ and new assignments of bands $2v_9$ and v_5 . J. Chem. Phys. 142, 214301 (2015)

[42] Selection rules and transition moment integral, ChemWiki: The Dynamic Chemistry Hypertext.

[43] Wheeler, S. E.; Ess, D. H.; Houk, K. N. Thinking Out of the Black Box: Accurate Barrier Heights of 1,3-Dipolar Cycloadditions of Ozone with Acetylene and Ethylene. J. Phys. Chem. A , 112, 1798 (2008) 謝辞を書いているのは、修論をほぼ書き終わっていることです。この間辛いこともあ ったけれど、しかし積み上げた満足感は辛さの倍以上にあります。ここまできて、言い たいことが山ほどありますが、言葉だけで表しきれず、最も純粋な言葉で修士二年生活 の幕を締めらせていただきます。

いつも戸野倉先生にご迷惑をお掛けしていて、申し訳ないと思っているとともに、感 謝しています。先生が研究に対する熱情、仕事に対する責任感は、私が心から尊敬して います。実験の方は、先生だけではなく、南田さんに丁寧なご指導をいただき、礼を申 し上げます。また、いつもチューターの金田一さんと河杉さんにも助けてもらって、あ りがとうを言わせていただきます。あと世話になった研究室の皆さん、布浦研の皆さん、 楽しい二年の修士生活を送らせていただき、ありがとうございました。これからも、初 心を忘れず、研究の道を進みたいと思います。