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## Introduction

Fraïssé theory was originally invented by Rolland Fraïssé in [5]. It is a topic in model theory where a bijective correspondence between certain classes consisting of finitely generated structures and countable structures with a certain homogeneity property is established. The classes and homogeneous structures in this context are called Fraïssé classes and Fraïssé limits respectively.

We shall illustrate this theory with an example. Let

$$
\mathcal{A}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{3} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

be an inductive system of finite totally ordered sets and order-preserving embeddings. Then its limit is a countable totally ordered set, and obviously all the countable totally ordered sets can be obtained in this way; and here, we wonder whether every countable totally ordered set appears equally often.

In order to make our question meaningful, we shall assume that the inductive system above is constructed in the following way. The first totally ordered set $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ is a singleton with the trivial ordering. At step $n$, we obtain the inclusion $\mathcal{A}_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n}$ by adding a point, say $a_{n}$, to $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ and extending the order on $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ to $\mathcal{A}_{n-1} \cup\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ at random. Then, since the ordering of the limit structure is determined by the successive random choices of extensions, the tendency of appearance of a countable totally ordered set can be measured in terms of probability. Now, one can easily verify that an inductive system which is obtained as above will almost surely satisfy the following property: For any two elements $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_{n}$ with $a<b$, there exist $m>n$ and $c, d, e \in \mathcal{A}_{m}$ with $c<a<d<b<e$. Therefore, if

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{3} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

is another inductive system, then by passing to subsystems if necessary, one can construct
a commuting diagram as follows.


The order-preserving embeddings $\varphi_{n}$ and $\psi_{n}$ induce embeddings between the inductive limits, which are clearly inverses to each other and so are isomorphisms. In other words, almost all inductive systems have the same limit (up to isomorphisms). Moreover, since the first embedding $\varphi_{1}$ above can be chosen fairly arbitrarily, one can see that the limit has the following homogeneity property: If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are finite subsets of the limit, and if $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an order-preserving bijection, then there exists an automorphism $\alpha$ of the limit which extends $\varphi$. On the other hand, since the limit is clearly a self-dense countable totally ordered set without the minimum or the maximum, it is isomorphic to the rationals $(\mathbb{Q},<)$, and we notice that it is the unique countable totally ordered set with this homogeneity property.

Similar arguments appear here and there in mathematics. For example, instead of totally ordered sets, one can use undirected finite simple graphs in the above argument, in which case the resulting inductive limit will be almost surely what is called the Rado graph. One may also use finite dimensional extensions of a fixed countable field $K$, and he will obtain the algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ as the limit. Fraïssé realized that these arguments can be formulated in terms of model theory.

By definition, a Fraïssé class is a class $\mathscr{K}$ of finitely generated first order structures which satisfies the following axioms.

- Up to isomorphisms, $\mathscr{K}$ contains only countably many structures.
- HP: A substructure of a member of $\mathscr{K}$ is itself a member of $\mathscr{K}$.
- JEP: Any two members of $\mathscr{K}$ can be embedded into a third one.
- AP: If $\iota_{1}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\iota_{2}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{2}$ are embeddings of members of $\mathscr{K}$, then there are embeddings $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$ of $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}$ into some member $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathscr{K}$ such that the diagram

commutes.

In the case of totally ordered sets, the class of all finite totally ordered sets satisfies these properties, so it is a Fraïssé class. One can show that every Fraïssé class admits a "Q-like" structure as above: If $\mathscr{K}$ is a Fraïssé class, then there exists a unique countable structure $\mathcal{M}$ such that a generic inductive system of members of $\mathscr{K}$ has $\mathcal{M}$ as its limit. Moreover, the structure $\mathcal{M}$ is ultra-homogeneous in the sense that every isomorphism between finitely generated substructures extends to an automorphism of $\mathcal{M}$. Conversely, if $\mathcal{M}$ is an ultra-homogeneous structure, then the class $\operatorname{Age} \mathcal{M}$ of all finitely generated structures embeddable into $\mathcal{M}$ is a Fraïssé class.

Fraïssé theory has been, among the rest, a target of generalization to the setting of metric structures. Roughly, a metric structure is a complete metric space together with continuous operations and relations. Typical examples are Banach spaces and Banach algebras. In [1], Itaï Ben Yaacov concisely gave a general theory for them. In his theory, the generic limit structures are the approximately ultra-homogeneous ones. Here, a separable metric structure $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be approximately ultra-homogeneous if every isomorphism between finitely generated substructures can be approximated by automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to the point-distance topology. Corresponding to this difference, the axioms for Fraïssé classes is also modified. First, the axiom AP is replaced with its approximate version, which is called NAP. Also, the countability condition is replaced with what is called PP, which claims that the class is separable and complete with respect to a variant of Gromov-Hausdorff distance. Finally, concerning with the continuity of the equipped operations and relations, an axiom called CP is added. He showed the similar results within this framework and pointed out that the Urysohn universal space, the separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space, the atomless standard probability space and the Gurariĭ space can be recognized as Fraïssé limits of suitable classes. In [3], a relaxed version of Itaï's theory has been considered and applied to several examples of operator algebras. In this version, HP is omitted and PP and CP are replaced with weaker versions called WPP and CPP respectively.

In this thesis, we consider a generalization of these theories, which can be also applied to categories of first order metric structures and embeddings between them. Then we apply it to two instances of operator algebras, i.e., UHF algebras and the JiangSu algebra. We note that these operator algebras are also dealt with in [3], but our approach is quite different.

A UHF algebra is defined as a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra which is obtained as an inductive limit of
a sequence of the form

$$
\mathbb{M}_{n_{1}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{n_{2}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{n_{3}} \longrightarrow \cdots,
$$

where $\mathbb{M}_{n}$ denotes the $C^{*}$-algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices and the maps are unital $*-$ homomorphisms. To each such inductive system, one can assign the formal least common divisor of $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$, which is a formal product of the form

$$
v=\prod_{p: \text { prime }} p^{m_{p}}
$$

where $m_{p}$ is either non-negative integer or $\infty$. James G. Glimm proved in [6] that this formal product is a complete invariance for the UHF algebras. We shall denote the UHF algebra corresponding to $v$ by $\mathbb{M}_{v}$.

The Jiang-Su algebra $\mathcal{Z}$ was introduced in [8] as the unique simple monotracial inductive limit of what we call prime dimension drop algebras. It is a nuclear infinite dimensional $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra which is KK-equivalent to the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ and tensorially self-absorbing (i.e., $\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \simeq \mathcal{Z}$ ), so that it plays a key role in the Elliott's classification program of separable nuclear $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras via K-theoretic invariants (for the detail, see [4] for example).

In our approach, we consider categories of $C^{*}$-algebras of continuous matrix-valued functions on cubes with distinguished faithful traces and unital trace-preserving *homomorphisms. Model theoretically, these C*-algebras are dealt with as unital tracial $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras. For a UHF algebra $\mathbb{M}_{\nu}$, we consider a category $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$, and for the Jiang-Su algebra, we consider a category $\mathscr{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$. It is shown that these are Fraïssé category the generic limit of which is the corresponding $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra with the unique trace.

This thesis is organized as follows. The first chapter is devoted to the Fraïssé theory for categories of metric structures. In Sections 1.1 and 1.2, we introduce approximate isometries and approximate isomorphisms. The existence and uniqueness of a Fraïssé limit is proved in Section 1.3. In the second chapter, we deal with the applications of the theory to UHF algebras and the Jiang-Su algebra. The contents of Section 1.1 are from Itaï's paper [1], while the others are from the author's papers [10, 11, 12].
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## Chapter 1

## Fraïssé theory for metric structures

### 1.1 Approximate isometries

Let $X$ and $Y$ be metric spaces. We denote by $\operatorname{JE}(X, Y)$ the set of all pairs $(\iota, \eta)$, where $\iota: X \rightarrow Z$ and $\eta: Y \rightarrow Z$ are isometries into some metric space $Z$. Each element of $\mathrm{JE}(X, Y)$ is called a joint embedding of $X$ and $Y$.

Definition 1.1.1. (1) Let $X$ be a metric space. A map $\varphi: X \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is said to be Katětov if it satisfies the inequalities

$$
\varphi(x) \leq d_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right), \quad d_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \leq \varphi(x)+\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$.
(2) Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are metric spaces. An approximate isometry from $X$ to $Y$ is a map $\varphi: X \times Y \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ which is separately Katětov:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(x, y) \leq d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, y\right), \quad d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \leq \varphi(x, y)+\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, y\right) \\
& \varphi(x, y) \leq d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)+\varphi\left(x, y^{\prime}\right), \quad d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \leq \varphi(x, y)+\varphi\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The class of all approximate isometries from $X$ to $Y$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Apx}(X, Y)$. Note that, being a closed subset of $[0, \infty]^{X \times Y}$, the space $\operatorname{Apx}(X, Y)$ is compact and Hausdorff with respect to the topology of pointwise convergence.

Intuitively, an approximate isometry is a condition to be imposed on joint embeddings. A joint embedding $(\iota, \eta) \in \operatorname{JE}(X, Y)$ is considered to satisfy an approximate isometry $\varphi$ from $X$ to $Y$ if the inequality

$$
d(\iota(x), \eta(y)) \leq \varphi(x, y)
$$

### 1.1. APPROXIMATE ISOMETRIES

holds for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. We shall denote by $\operatorname{JE}^{\leq \varphi}(X, Y)$ the class of all joint embeddings satisfying $\varphi$. Clearly, the condition $\varphi \equiv \infty$ is the weakest condition. Note that if an approximate isometry $\varphi$ from $X$ to $Y$ takes a finite value at some point, then it is real-valued, because if $\varphi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)<\infty$, then

$$
\varphi(x, y) \leq d_{X}\left(x, x_{0}\right)+\varphi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)+d\left(y_{0}, y\right)<\infty .
$$

Example 1.1.2. (1) If $(\iota, \eta)$ is a joint-embedding of $X$ and $Y$, then the map

$$
(x, y) \mapsto d(\iota(x), \eta(y))
$$

is an approximate isometry. We shall denote this approximate isometry by $\varphi_{L, \eta}$. In the case that $\iota$ is an isometry from $X$ into $Y$ and $\eta$ is equal to $\mathrm{id}_{Y}$, the approximate isometry $\varphi_{l, \eta}$ is simply written as $\varphi_{l}$.

We shall show that every approximate isometry is of this form unless it is equal to $\infty$. To see this, let $\varphi: X \times Y \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be an approximate isometry and define a symmetric function $\delta:(X \amalg Y)^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ by

$$
\delta\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}d_{X}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } z, z^{\prime} \in X \\ \varphi\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } z \in X \text { and } z^{\prime} \in Y, \\ d_{Y}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } z, z^{\prime} \in Y\end{cases}
$$

Then it is easy to see that $\delta$ is a pseudo-metric. If $\iota$ and $\eta$ are canonical embeddings of $X$ and $Y$ into the quotient metric space $X \amalg_{\varphi} Y$, then $d(\iota(x), \eta(y))=\varphi(x, y)$, as desired. It follows that, for any approximate isometries $\varphi$ and $\psi$ from $X$ to $Y$, the inequality $\varphi \leq \psi$ holds if and only if $\mathrm{JE}^{\leq \varphi}(X, Y)$ is included in $\mathrm{JE}^{\leq \psi}(X, Y)$, so the order $\leq$ completely reflects the strength of conditions.

Note that a net $\left\{\iota_{\alpha}\right\}$ of isometries from $X$ into $Y$ converges pointwise to an isometry $\iota$ if and only if $\left\{\varphi_{\iota_{\alpha}}\right\}$ converges to $\varphi_{\iota}$. Indeed, if $\left\{\iota_{\alpha}\right\}$ converges to $\iota$, then

$$
\varphi_{\iota_{\alpha}}(x, y)=d\left(\iota_{\alpha}(x), y\right) \rightarrow d(\iota(x), y)=\varphi_{l}(x, y)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Conversely, if $\left\{\varphi_{\iota_{\alpha}}\right\}$ converges to $\varphi_{\iota}$, then for any $x \in X$ we have

$$
d\left(\iota_{\alpha}(x), \iota(x)\right)=\varphi_{\iota_{\alpha}}(x, \iota(x)) \rightarrow \varphi_{\iota}(x, \iota(x))=d(\iota(x), \iota(x))=0 .
$$

(2) For an approximate isometry $\varphi$ from $X$ to $Y$, we set

$$
\varphi^{*}(y, x):=\varphi(x, y) .
$$

Then clearly $\varphi^{*}$ is an approximate isometry from $Y$ to $X$. We call $\varphi^{*}$ the pseudo-inverse of $\varphi$.
(3) Given $\varphi \in \operatorname{Apx}(X, Y)$ and $\psi \in \operatorname{Apx}(Y, Z)$, we define their composition by

$$
\psi \varphi(x, z):=\inf _{y \in Y}(\varphi(x, y)+\psi(y, z))
$$

Here, we shall check that $\psi \varphi$ is an approximate isometry from $X$ to $Z$. Indeed, if $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are points of $X$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi \varphi(x, z) & =\inf _{y \in Y}(\varphi(x, y)+\psi(y, z)) \\
& \leq \inf _{y \in Y}\left(d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)+\psi(y, z)\right) \\
& =d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\psi \varphi\left(x^{\prime} z\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & \leq \inf _{y, y^{\prime} \in Y}\left(\varphi(x, y)+d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)+\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \inf _{y, y^{\prime} \in Y}\left(\varphi(x, y)+\psi(y, z)+\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)+\psi\left(y^{\prime}, z\right)\right) \\
& =\psi \varphi(x, z)+\psi \varphi\left(x^{\prime} z\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\psi \varphi(\cdot, z)$ is Katětov for all $z \in Z$. By symmetry, $\psi \varphi(x, \cdot)$ is also Katětov for all $x \in X$, so $\psi \varphi$ is an approximate isometry.

It is worth noting that if $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{JE}^{\leq \varphi}(X, Y)$ and $\left(\iota_{2}, \iota_{3}\right) \in \mathrm{JE}^{\leq \psi}(Y, Z)$, then $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{3}\right) \in$ $\mathrm{JE}^{\leq \psi \varphi}(X, Z)$, and $\psi \varphi$ is the smallest approximate isometry satisfying this property. Also, it can be easily seen that the equality $\varphi_{\iota, \eta}=\varphi_{\eta}^{*} \varphi_{\iota}$ holds for any joint embedding $(\iota, \eta)$.
(4) Let $X^{\prime} \subseteq X$ and $Y^{\prime} \subseteq Y$ be subspaces. If $\varphi$ is an approximate isometry from $X$ to $Y$, then its restriction $\left.\varphi\right|_{X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}}$ is an approximate isometry from $X^{\prime}$ to $Y^{\prime}$. Note that, if $\iota: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ and $\eta: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ are the canonical embeddings, then $\left.\varphi\right|_{X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}}$ is equal to $\varphi_{\eta}^{*} \varphi \varphi_{\iota}$. Now suppose that $\psi$ is an approximate isometry from $X^{\prime}$ to $Y^{\prime}$. The trivial extension of $\psi$ to $X \times Y$ is defined by $\left.\psi\right|^{X \times Y}:=\varphi_{\eta} \psi \varphi_{l}^{*}$. It is easy to show that $\left.\psi\right|^{X \times Y}$ is the largest approximate isometry such that the restriction to $X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}$ is equal to $\psi$. More generally, an approximate isometry $\theta$ from $X$ to $Y$ satisfies $\theta \leq\left.\psi\right|^{X \times Y}$ if and only if $\left.\theta\right|_{X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}} \leq \psi$.
(5) If $\varphi$ is an approximate isometry from $X$ to $Y$ and $\varepsilon$ is a non-negative real number, then the relaxation of $\varphi$ by $\varepsilon$ is defined by $(x, y) \mapsto \varphi(x, y)+\varepsilon$. We simply denote this approximate isometry by $\varphi+\varepsilon$. Note that the operation of taking relaxations commutes with that of taking compositions.

### 1.1. APPROXIMATE ISOMETRIES

Definition 1.1.3. An approximate isometry $\varphi$ from $X$ to $Y$ is said to be

- $\varepsilon$-total if $\varphi^{*} \varphi \leq \varphi_{\mathrm{id}_{X}}+2 \varepsilon$.
- $\varepsilon$-surjective if $\varphi^{*}$ is $\varepsilon$-total.
- $\varepsilon$-bijective if $\varphi$ is $\varepsilon$-total and $\varepsilon$-surjective.

If $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are approximate isometries from $X$ to $Y$ with $\psi \leq \varphi$, then clearly $\psi^{*} \psi \leq \varphi^{*} \varphi$. Therefore, if $\varphi$ is $\varepsilon$-total, then so is $\psi$. Similarly, if $\varphi$ is $\varepsilon$-surjective, then so is $\psi$.

Proposition 1.1.4. An approximate isometry $\varphi$ from $X$ to $Y$ is $\varepsilon$-total if and only if any $(\iota, \eta) \in \mathrm{JE}^{\leq \varphi}(X, Y)$ satisfies $d(\iota(x), \eta[Y]) \leq \varepsilon$ for each $x \in X$. In particular, if $Y$ is complete and $\varphi$ is $\varepsilon$-total for any $\varepsilon$, then it is of the form $\varphi_{\iota}$ for a unique isometry $\iota: X \rightarrow Y$.

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi$ is $\varepsilon$-total and let $(\iota, \eta)$ be in $\operatorname{JE}^{\leq \varphi}(X, Y)$. Then, for any $x \in X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \inf _{y \in Y} d(\iota(x), \eta(y)) & \leq \inf _{y \in Y}\left(\varphi(x, y)+\varphi^{*}(y, x)\right)=\varphi^{*} \varphi(x, x) \\
& \leq \varphi_{\mathrm{id}}(x, x)+2 \varepsilon=2 \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

so $d(\iota(x), \eta[Y]) \leq \varepsilon$.
Conversely, suppose that $d(\iota(x), \eta[Y]) \leq \varepsilon$ holds for any $(\iota, \eta) \in \mathrm{JE}^{\leq \varphi}(X, Y)$ and any $x \in X$. Then $\varphi \not \equiv \infty$, so it is of the form $\varphi_{\iota, \eta}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{*} \varphi\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\inf _{y \in Y}\left(d(\iota(x), \eta(y))+d\left(\eta(y), \iota\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \leq d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+2 \inf _{y \in Y} d(\iota(x), \eta(y)) \\
& \leq \varphi_{\mathrm{id}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+2 \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\varphi$ be an approximate isometry from $X$ to $Y$. We set

$$
\operatorname{Apx}^{\leq \varphi}(X, Y):=\{\psi \in \operatorname{Apx}(X, Y) \mid \psi \leq \varphi\} .
$$

We also denote by $\mathrm{Apx}^{\triangle \varphi}(X, Y)$ the interior of the closed subset $\mathrm{Apx}^{\leq \varphi}(X, Y)$ of the compact Hausdorff space $\operatorname{Apx}(X, Y)$, and write $\psi \triangleleft \varphi$ or $\varphi \triangleright \psi$ if $\psi$ belongs to $\operatorname{Apx}^{\triangleleft \varphi}(X, Y)$. If $\operatorname{Apx}^{\triangle \varphi}(X, Y)$ is nonempty, then $\varphi$ is said to be strict. The class of all strict approximate isometries is denoted by $\operatorname{Stx}(X, Y)$.

### 1.1. APPROXIMATE ISOMETRIES

It can be easily verified that the relation $\triangleleft$ is preserved under restrictions and trivial extensions. In particular, restrictions and trivial extensions of a strict approximate isometries are strict.

Proposition 1.1.5. For $\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{Apx}(X, Y)$, the following are equivalent.
(i) The relation $\psi \triangleleft \varphi$ holds.
(ii) There exist finite subsets $X_{0} \subseteq X$ and $Y_{0} \subseteq Y$ and a positive real number $\varepsilon$ such that the inequality

$$
\varphi \geq\left.\left(\left.\psi\right|_{X_{0} \times Y_{0}}\right)\right|^{X \times Y}+\varepsilon
$$

holds.
(iii) Same as (ii), with $\geq$ replaced by $\triangleright$.

Moreover, if these conditions are satisfied, then there exist finite subsets $X_{0} \subseteq X$ and $Y_{0} \subseteq Y$ and a rational-valued approximate isometry $\rho \in \operatorname{Apx}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)$ such that the relation $\left.\psi \triangleleft \rho\right|^{X \times Y} \triangleleft \phi$ holds.

Proof. First, suppose (i) holds. Then there exist finite subsets $X_{0} \subseteq X$ and $Y_{0} \subseteq Y$ and a positive real number $\varepsilon$ such that the open neighborhood

$$
U:=\left\{\psi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Apx}(X, Y)| | \psi^{\prime}(x, y)-\psi(x, y) \mid<2 \varepsilon \text { for any } x \in X_{0}, y \in Y_{0}\right\}
$$

is included in $\mathrm{Apx}^{\leq \varphi}(X, Y)$. Clearly $\left(\left.\psi\left|\left.\right|_{X_{0} \times Y_{0}}\right)\right|^{X \times Y}+\varepsilon\right.$ belongs to $U$, so (iii) follows.
It is trivial that (iii) implies (ii). Now assume (ii). Since $\triangleleft$ is preserved under trivial extensions, the relation $\left.\left.\psi\right|_{X_{0} \times Y_{0}} \triangleleft \psi\right|_{X_{0} \times Y_{0}}+\varepsilon$ implies

$$
\psi \leq\left.\left.\left(\left.\psi\right|_{X_{0} \times Y_{0}}\right)\right|^{X \times Y} \triangleleft\left(\left.\psi\right|_{X_{0} \times Y_{0}}\right)\right|^{X \times Y}+\varepsilon \leq \varphi,
$$

so (i) holds.
Finally, in order to find $\rho$ as in the statement, suppose $\psi \triangleleft \varphi$. Let $X_{0}, Y_{0}$ be as in the proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) above, and $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ be the partition of $X_{0} \times Y_{0}$ induced by $\psi$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\left.\psi\right|_{F_{1}}<\cdots<\left.\psi\right|_{F_{n}}$. Take a function $\delta: X_{0} \times Y_{0} \rightarrow(0, \varepsilon)$ so that

- $\delta$ is constant on each $F_{i}$;
- $\left.\delta\right|_{F_{n}}<\left.\psi\right|_{F_{n}}-\left.\psi\right|_{F_{n-1}}$;
- $\left.\delta\right|_{F_{i}}<\min \left\{\left.\delta\right|_{F_{i+1}},\left.\psi\right|_{F_{i}}-\left.\psi\right|_{F_{i-1}}\right\}$ for $i=2, \ldots, n-1$;
- $\left.\delta\right|_{F_{1}}<\min \left\{\left.\delta\right|_{F_{2}},\left.\psi\right|_{F_{1}}\right\}$; and
- $\rho:=\left.\psi\right|_{X_{0} \times Y_{0}}-\delta+\varepsilon$ is a rational valued function on $X_{0} \times Y_{0}$.


### 1.2. METRIC STRUCTURES AND APPROXIMATE ISOMORPHISMS

We shall check that $\rho$ is separately Katětov so that it is an approximate isometry. The inequality

$$
d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \leq \rho(x, y)+\rho\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)
$$

is obvious, because $\rho \geq\left.\psi\right|_{X_{0} \times Y_{0}}$. On the other hand, for $(x, y) \in F_{i}$ and $\left(x^{\prime}, y\right) \in F_{j}$ with $i<j$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(x, y) & =\left.\psi\right|_{F_{i}}+\varepsilon-\left.\delta\right|_{F_{i}} \\
& =\left.\psi\right|_{F_{j}}+\varepsilon-\left.\delta\right|_{F_{j}}-\left[\left(\left(\left.\psi\right|_{F_{j}}-\left.\psi\right|_{F_{i}}\right)-\delta \delta_{F_{j}}\right)+\left.\delta\right|_{F_{i}}\right] \\
& \leq\left.\psi\right|_{F_{j}}+\varepsilon-\left.\delta\right|_{F_{j}}=\rho\left(x^{\prime}, y\right) \\
& \leq d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\rho\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(x^{\prime}, y\right) & =\psi\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)+\varepsilon-\left.\delta\right|_{F_{j}} \\
& \leq d\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)+\psi(x, y)+\varepsilon-\left.\delta\right|_{F_{i}} \\
& =d\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)+\rho(x, y),
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\rho(\cdot, y)$ is Katětov for each $y \in Y_{0}$. By symmetry, $\rho(x, \cdot)$ is also Katětov, whence $\rho$ is an approximate isometry. Since clearly

$$
\left.\left.\psi\right|_{X_{0} \times Y_{0}} \triangleleft \rho \triangleleft \varphi\right|_{X_{0} \times Y_{0}},
$$

the conclusion follows.

### 1.2 Metric structures and approximate isomorphisms

By definition, a language is a set $L$ such that each element of $L$ is either a function symbol or a relation symbol. To each symbol $S$ is associated a natural number $n_{S}$ which is called the arity of $S$, and a symbol with arity $n$ is called an $n$-ary symbol. A 0 -ary function symbol is often called a constant symbol.

An $L$-structure $\mathcal{M}$ is a complete metric space $M$, which is called the domain of $\mathcal{M}$, together with an interpretation of symbols of $L$ :

- to each $n$-ary relation symbol $R$ is assigned a continuous map $R^{\mathcal{M}}$ from $M^{n}$ to $\mathbb{R}$; and - to each $n$-ary function symbol $f$ is assigned a continuous map $f^{\mathcal{M}}$ from $M^{n}$ to $M$. For an $L$-structure $\mathcal{M}$, we shall denote its domain by $|\mathcal{M}|$.

An $L$-embedding of an $L$-structure $\mathcal{N}$ into another $L$-structure $\mathcal{M}$ is an isometry $\iota$ from $|\mathcal{N}|$ into $|\mathcal{M}|$ such that
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- for any $n$-ary relation symbol $R$ and any elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in|\mathcal{N}|$, the equation

$$
R^{\mathcal{N}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=R^{\mathcal{M}}\left(\iota\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \iota\left(a_{n}\right)\right)
$$

holds, and

- for any $n$-ary function symbol $f$ and any elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in|\mathcal{N}|$, the equation

$$
\iota\left(f^{\mathcal{N}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right)=f^{\mathcal{M}}\left(\iota\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \iota\left(a_{n}\right)\right)
$$

holds.
For an $L$-embedding $\iota: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and a tuple $\bar{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in|\mathcal{N}|^{n}$, we shall write the tuple $\left(\iota\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \iota\left(a_{n}\right)\right) \in|\mathcal{M}|^{n}$ as $\iota(\bar{a})$.

For a subset $E$ of an $L$-structure $\mathcal{M}$, the $L$-substructure generated by $E$ is denoted by $\langle E\rangle$. Note that the domain of an $L$-structure is assumed to be complete, so if $D_{E}$ is the set of all elements of the form $g\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ where $g$ is a composition of the functions equipped with $\mathcal{M}$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are elements of $E$, then $\langle E\rangle$ is equal to the closure of $D_{E}$. The subset $E$ is said to be a generator of $\mathcal{M}$ if $\langle E\rangle$ coincides with $\mathcal{M}$, and $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be finitely generated if there exists finite $E$ with $\langle E\rangle=\mathcal{M}$. A tuple $\bar{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in|\mathcal{M}|^{n}$ is called an ordered generator if $\left\{a_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ is a generator of $\mathcal{M}$.

In the sequel, we fix a language $L$ and a category $\mathscr{K}$ of finitely generated $L$-structures and $L$-embeddings. Embeddings and isomorphisms in $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{K})$ are often referred to as $\mathscr{K}$-embeddings and $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphisms respectively. A joint $\mathscr{K}$-embedding is a joint embedding $(\iota, \eta)$ such that both $\iota$ and $\eta$ are $\mathcal{K}$-embeddings. We denote by $\mathrm{JE}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{B})$ the class of all joint $\mathcal{K}$-embeddings of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$.

In the preceding section, approximate isometries were explained as conditions to be imposed on joint embeddings. In the setting of metric structures, we are interested in approximate isometries which can be (approximately) satisfied by joint $\mathcal{K}$-embeddings, which lead us to the following definition.

Definition 1.2.1. (1) Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be objects of $\mathscr{K}$ and $\iota: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a finite partial isometry, that is, an isometry between finite subsets of $|\mathcal{A}|$ and $|\mathcal{B}|$. Then $\iota$ is called a finite partial $\mathcal{K}$-isomorphism if

- the $L$-substructures $\langle\operatorname{dom} \iota\rangle$ and $\langle\operatorname{ran} \iota\rangle$ are objects of $\mathscr{K}$;
- the canonical embeddings $\langle\operatorname{dom} \iota\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\langle\operatorname{ran} \iota\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are $\mathscr{K}$-embeddings; and
- $\iota$ extends to a $\mathcal{K}$-isomorphism from $\langle\operatorname{dom} \iota\rangle$ onto $\langle\operatorname{ran} \iota\rangle$.
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(2) Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be objects of $\mathscr{K}$. We denote by $\operatorname{Apx}_{2, \mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ the set of all approximate isometries from $|\mathcal{A}|$ to $|\mathcal{B}|$ which are of the form $\left.\varphi_{\iota, \eta}\right|^{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}}$, where $\iota: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C$ and $\eta: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are finite partial $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphisms into some object $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathscr{K}$.
(3) A $\mathscr{K}$-structure is an $L$-structure $\mathcal{M}$ together with an inductive system of $\mathscr{K}$ embeddings

$$
\mathcal{A}_{1} \xrightarrow{t_{1}} \mathcal{A}_{2} \xrightarrow{\iota_{2}} \mathcal{A}_{3} \xrightarrow{\iota_{3}} \cdots
$$

such that the inductive limit of the system is $\mathcal{M}$. We often write $\mathcal{M}=\overline{\bigcup_{n} \mathcal{A}_{n}}$, identifying each $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ as the corresponding $L$-substructure of $\mathcal{M}$. Note that $\mathcal{M}$ is not necessarily an object of $\mathscr{K}$.
(4) For $\mathscr{K}$-structures $\mathcal{M}=\overline{\bigcup_{n} \mathcal{A}_{n}}$ and $\mathcal{N}=\overline{\bigcup_{m} \mathcal{B}_{m}}$, we define

$$
\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}):=\operatorname{cl}\left(\bigcup_{n, m}\left\{\psi\left|\exists \varphi \in \operatorname{Apx}_{2, \mathcal{K}}\left(\mathcal{A}_{n}, \mathcal{B}_{m}\right), \psi \geq \varphi\right|^{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}}\right\}\right)
$$

and call its elements approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphisms. Also, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Apx}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\leq \varphi}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}):=\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \cap \operatorname{Apx}^{\leq \varphi}(|\mathcal{M}|,|\mathcal{N}|), \\
& \operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}^{\triangleleft \varphi}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}):=\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{H}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \cap \operatorname{Apx}^{\triangleleft \varphi}(|\mathcal{M}|,|\mathcal{N}|) .
\end{aligned}
$$

An approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphism $\varphi$ from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{N}$ is said to be strict if $\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}{ }_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is nonempty. We denote the set of all strict approximate $\mathcal{K}$-isomorphisms from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{N}$ by $\operatorname{Stx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$.
(5) An $L$-embedding $\iota$ of a $\mathscr{K}$-structure $\mathcal{M}=\overline{\bigcup_{n} \mathcal{A}_{n}}$ into another $\mathscr{K}$-structure $\mathcal{N}=$ $\overline{\bigcup_{m} \mathcal{B}_{m}}$ is said to be $\mathcal{K}$-admissible if the corresponding approximate isometry $\varphi_{l}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. Two $\mathscr{K}$-structures are understood to be isomorphic if there exists a $\mathscr{K}$-admissible isomorphism between them.

An object $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ can be canonically identified with the $\mathcal{K}$-structure obtained from the inductive system $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text { id }} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text { id }} \cdots$, so that we can consider $\mathrm{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ for objects $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{K}$. If $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, C$ are objects of $\mathcal{K}$ and $\iota: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C$ and $\eta: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C$ are $\mathscr{K}$-embeddings, then $\varphi_{\iota, \eta}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, because it is the limit of

$$
\left\{\left(\left.\left.\varphi_{\iota, \eta}\right|_{\left.A_{0} \times B_{0}\right)}\right|^{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}}\left|A_{0} \subseteq\right| \mathcal{A}\left|, B_{0} \subseteq\right| \mathcal{B} \mid \text { are finite generators }\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{Apx}_{2, \mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) .\right.
$$

In particular, every $\mathscr{K}$-embedding is $\mathscr{K}$-admissible. On the other hand, note that there might be a $\mathscr{K}$-admissible isomorphism between objects of $\mathscr{K}$ which is not a morphism
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of $\mathcal{K}$. There can be even a $\mathscr{K}$-admissible $\iota: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that no net of $\mathscr{K}$-embeddings of $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{B}$ converges to $\iota$.

For any approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphism $\varphi$ from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{N}$, the set $\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}{ }^{\triangleleft \varphi}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is obviously included in the relative interior of $\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}^{\leq \varphi}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ in $\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. The opposite inclusion also holds, because any relative interior point $\psi$ in $\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}^{\leq \varphi}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ satisfies (ii) in Proposition 1.1.5.

Given a subset $A$ of $\operatorname{Apx}(X, Y)$, we shall define

$$
A^{\uparrow}:=\{\psi \in \operatorname{Apx}(X, Y) \mid \exists \varphi \in A, \psi \geq \varphi\} .
$$

Then it can be shown that $\operatorname{cl}\left(A^{\uparrow}\right)$ is still upward closed, that is, $\operatorname{cl}\left(A^{\uparrow}\right)^{\uparrow}=\operatorname{cl}\left(A^{\uparrow}\right)$. Indeed, if $\varphi \geq \varphi^{\prime}$ for $\varphi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{cl}\left(A^{\uparrow}\right)$, then for any $\varepsilon>0$ and any finite subsets $X_{0} \subseteq X$ and $Y_{0} \subseteq Y$, we can find an approximate isometry $\psi \in A^{\uparrow}$ such that the inequality $\left|\psi-\varphi^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon$ on $X_{0} \times Y_{0}$ holds. It follows that $\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{X_{0} \times Y_{0}}\right)^{X \times Y}+\varepsilon$ is in $A^{\uparrow}$, so $\varphi$ is in $\operatorname{cl}\left(A^{\uparrow}\right)$. In particular, $\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is upward closed for any $\mathcal{K}$-structures $\mathcal{M}=\overline{\bigcup_{n} \mathcal{A}_{n}}$ and $\mathcal{N}=\overline{\bigcup_{m} \mathcal{B}_{m}}$. This argument also implies that $\operatorname{Stx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is topologically dense in $\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, since for any approximate $\mathcal{K}$-isomorphism $\varphi$, it automatically follows that the approximate isometries of the form $\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{M_{0} \times N_{0}}\right)^{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}}+\varepsilon$ are indeed strict approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphisms, where $M_{0} \subseteq|\mathcal{M}|$ and $N_{0} \subseteq|\mathcal{N}|$ are arbitrary finite subsets and $\varepsilon$ is any positive real number.

Definition 1.2.2. The category $\mathcal{K}$ is said to satisfy

- the joint embedding property (JEP) if $\mathrm{JE}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is nonempty for any objects $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{K}$.
- the near amalgamation property (NAP) if for any objects $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}$ in $\mathscr{K}$, any $\mathcal{K}$ embeddings $\iota_{i}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{i}$, any finite subset $F \subseteq|\mathcal{A}|$ and any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a joint $\mathscr{K}$-embedding $\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ such that the inequality

$$
d\left(\eta_{1} \circ \iota_{1}(a), \eta_{2} \circ \iota_{2}(a)\right)<\varepsilon
$$

holds for all $a \in F$.
The following two propositions are essential in proving the existence and uniqueness of Fraïssé limits in the next section. The first one claims that every strict approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphism can be satisfied by joint $\mathcal{K}$-embeddings, while the second one claims that one can freely consider compositions of approximate $\mathcal{K}$-isomorphisms.
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Proposition 1.2.3. Suppose that $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies NAP. Then for any objects $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ and any strict approximate $\mathcal{K}$-isomorphism $\varphi$ from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$, there exists a joint $\mathcal{K}$-embedding $(\iota, \eta)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ which satisfies $\varphi_{\iota, \eta} \triangleleft \varphi$.

Proof. Since $\varphi$ is strict, $\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\triangleleft}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is an open nonempty subset of $\mathrm{Apx}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. Therefore, it intersects with $\operatorname{Apx}_{2, \mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, as $\operatorname{Apx}_{2, \mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})^{\uparrow}$ is a dense subset. In other words, there exist an object $C_{0}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ and finite partial $\mathcal{K}$-isomorphisms $\iota_{0}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C_{0}$ and $\eta_{0}: \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} C_{0}$ such that the relation

$$
\left.\varphi_{\iota_{0}, \eta_{0}}\right|^{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}}+\varepsilon \triangleleft \varphi
$$

holds for some $\varepsilon>0$. Put $\mathcal{A}_{0}:=\left\langle\operatorname{dom} \iota_{0}\right\rangle$ and $\mathcal{B}_{0}:=\left\langle\operatorname{dom} \eta_{0}\right\rangle$. By the definition of finite partial $\mathcal{K}$-isomorphisms, the canonical embeddings $\mathcal{A}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are $\mathscr{K}$-embeddings.

Now, by NAP there exist $\mathscr{K}$-embeddings $\iota_{1}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\iota_{1}^{\prime}: C_{0} \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that the inequality

$$
d\left(\iota_{1}(a), \iota_{1}^{\prime} \circ \iota_{0}(a)\right)<\varepsilon / 3
$$

holds for all $a \in \operatorname{dom} \iota_{0}$. Similarly, there are $\mathscr{K}$-embeddings $\eta_{1}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\eta_{1}^{\prime}: C_{0} \rightarrow$ $C_{\mathcal{B}}$ with

$$
\left.d\left(\eta_{1}(b), \eta_{1}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{( } b\right)\right)<\varepsilon / 3
$$

for all $b \in \operatorname{dom} \eta_{0}$. Then, again by NAP, there exist $\mathscr{K}$-embeddings $\iota_{2}: \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow C$ and $\eta_{2}: C_{B} \rightarrow C$ with

$$
d\left(\iota_{2} \circ \iota_{1}^{\prime}(c), \eta_{2} \circ \eta_{1}^{\prime}(c)\right)<\varepsilon / 3
$$

for any $c \in \operatorname{ran} \iota_{0} \cup \operatorname{ran} \eta_{0}$.


Set $\iota:=\iota_{2} \circ \iota_{1}$ and $\eta:=\eta_{2} \circ \eta_{1}$. Then for $a \in \operatorname{dom} \iota_{0}$ and $b \in \operatorname{dom} \eta_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(\iota(a), \eta(b)) & =d\left(\iota_{2} \circ \iota_{1}(a), \eta_{2} \circ \eta_{1}(b)\right) \\
& \leq d\left(\iota_{2} \circ \iota_{1}^{\prime} \circ \iota_{0}(a), \eta_{2} \circ \eta_{1}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{0}(b)\right)+2 \varepsilon / 3 \\
& \leq d\left(\iota_{2} \circ \iota_{1}^{\prime} \circ \iota_{0}(a), \iota_{2} \circ \iota_{1}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{0}(b)\right)+\varepsilon=d\left(\iota_{0}(a), \eta_{0}(b)\right)+\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$
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so

$$
\varphi_{\iota, \eta} \leq\left.\varphi_{\iota_{0}, \eta_{0}}\right|^{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}}+\varepsilon \triangleleft \varphi,
$$

as desired.
Proposition 1.2.4. Suppose that $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies NAP, and let $\mathcal{M}_{1}=\overline{\bigcup_{l} \mathcal{A}_{l}}, \mathcal{M}_{2}=\overline{\bigcup_{m} \mathcal{B}_{m}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{3}=\overline{\bigcup_{n} C_{n}}$ be $\mathscr{K}$-structures. If $\varphi$ and $\psi$ belongs to $\mathrm{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Apx}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{2}, \mathcal{M}_{3}\right)$ respectively, then the composition $\psi \varphi$ is in $\mathrm{Apx}_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{3}\right)$.

Proof. First, assume that both $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are strict and $\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{2}, \mathcal{M}_{3}$ are objects of $\mathscr{K}$. Then, by Proposition 1.2.3, there exist objects $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathscr{K}$ and $\mathscr{K}$-embeddings $\iota_{i}: \mathcal{M}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(i=1,2)$ and $\eta_{j}: \mathcal{M}_{j} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(j=2,3)$ such that $\varphi_{\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}} \triangleleft \varphi$ and $\varphi_{\eta_{2}, \eta_{3}} \triangleleft \psi$. It follows from Proposition 1.1.5 that there exist a finite subset $F_{0} \subseteq\left|\mathcal{M}_{2}\right|$ and a positive real number $\varepsilon>0$ with

$$
\left.\left(\left.\varphi_{\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}}\right|_{\mathcal{M}_{1} \times F_{0}}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{M}_{1} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}}+\varepsilon \triangleleft \varphi,\left.\quad\left(\left.\varphi_{\eta_{2}, \eta_{3}}\right|_{F_{0} \times \mathcal{M}_{3}}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{M}_{2} \times \mathcal{M}_{3}}+\varepsilon \triangleleft \psi
$$

By NAP, we can find $\mathcal{K}$-embeddings $\theta_{1}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ and $\theta_{2}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that the inequality

$$
d\left(\theta_{1} \circ \iota_{2}(b), \theta_{2} \circ \eta_{2}(b)\right)<2 \varepsilon
$$

holds for all $b \in F_{0}$.


For $a \in\left|\mathcal{M}_{1}\right|$ and $c \in\left|\mathcal{M}_{3}\right|$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(\theta_{1} \circ \iota_{1}(a), \theta_{2} \circ \eta_{3}(c)\right) \\
\leq & \inf _{b \in F_{0}}\left[d\left(\theta_{1} \circ \iota_{1}(a), \theta_{1} \circ \iota_{2}(b)\right)+d\left(\theta_{1} \circ \iota_{2}(b), \theta_{2} \circ \eta_{3}(c)\right)\right] \\
< & \inf _{b \in F_{0}}\left[d\left(\theta_{1} \circ \iota_{1}(a), \theta_{1} \circ \iota_{2}(b)\right)+d\left(\theta_{2} \circ \eta_{2}(b), \theta_{2} \circ \eta_{3}(c)\right)+2 \varepsilon\right] \\
= & \left(\left.\varphi_{\eta_{2}, \eta_{3}}\right|_{F_{0} \times \mathcal{M}_{3}}+\varepsilon\right)\left(\left.\varphi_{\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}}\right|_{\mathcal{M}_{1} \times F_{0}}+\varepsilon\right)(a, c),
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\varphi_{\theta_{1} \circ \iota_{1}, \theta_{2} \circ \eta_{3}} \leq\left[\left.\left(\left.\varphi_{\eta_{2}, \eta_{3}}\right|_{F_{0} \times \mathcal{M}_{3}}+\varepsilon\right)\right|^{\mathcal{M}_{2} \times \mathcal{M}_{3}}\right]\left[\left.\left(\left.\varphi_{\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}}\right|_{\mathcal{M}_{1} \times F_{0}}+\varepsilon\right)\right|^{\mathcal{M}_{1} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}}\right] \leq \psi \varphi .
$$
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Since $\varphi_{\theta_{1} \circ \iota_{1}, \theta_{2} \circ \eta_{3}}$ is in $\operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{3}\right)$, so is $\psi \varphi$.
Next, assume that both $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are still strict, but $\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{3}$ are general $\mathscr{K}$-structures. Then there exist sufficiently large $l, m, m^{\prime}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and approximate $\mathcal{K}$ isomorphisms $\varphi^{\prime}$ from $\mathcal{A}_{l}$ to $\mathcal{B}_{m}$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ from $\mathcal{B}_{m^{\prime}}$ to $C_{n}$ with $\left.\varphi^{\prime}\right|^{\mathcal{M}_{1} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}} \triangleleft \varphi$ and $\left.\psi^{\prime}\right|^{\mathcal{M}_{2} \times \mathcal{M}_{3}} \triangleleft \psi$. We may assume without loss of generality that $m$ is equal to $m^{\prime}$, since in general, if $\iota: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and $\eta: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ are $\mathscr{K}$-embeddings, then one can directly check from the definition that the trivial extension of an approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphism in $\operatorname{Apx}_{2, \mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ via these $\mathscr{K}$-embeddings belong to $\operatorname{Apx}_{2, \mathcal{H}}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}, \mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$. Also, we may assume that both $\varphi^{\prime}$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ are strict by Proposition 1.1.5. By what we proved in the preceding paragraph, $\psi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime}$ is in $\mathrm{Apx}_{\mathscr{H}}\left(\mathcal{A}_{l}, C_{n}\right)$. By direct computation, one can check that $\left(\left.\psi^{\prime}\right|^{\mathcal{M}_{2} \times \mathcal{M}_{3}}\right)\left(\left.\varphi^{\prime}\right|^{\mathcal{M}_{1} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}}\right)$ is equal to $\left.\left(\psi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{M}_{1} \times \mathcal{M}_{3}}$, so $\psi \varphi$ is in $\mathrm{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{3}\right)$.

Finally, let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be general approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphisms between general $\mathcal{K}$ structures. Then there exist nets $\left\{\varphi_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{\psi_{\beta}\right\}$ of strict approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphisms which converge to $\varphi$ and $\psi$ respectively, and

$$
\psi \varphi=\left(\varlimsup_{\beta} \psi_{\beta}\right)\left(\overline{\lim _{\alpha}} \varphi_{\alpha}\right) \geq \varlimsup_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lim _{\beta}}\left(\psi_{\beta} \varphi_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{Apx}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{3}\right),
$$

so $\psi \varphi$ belongs to $\mathrm{Apx}_{\mathscr{H}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{3}\right)$.
Corollary 1.2.5. Trivial extensions and restrictions of approximate $\mathcal{K}$-isomorphisms via $\mathscr{K}$-admissible embeddings are approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphisms.

### 1.3 Fraïssé categories and their limits

Let $L$ be a language and $\mathscr{K}$ be a category of finitely generated $L$-structures and $L$ embeddings which satisfies JEP and NAP. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\mathscr{K}_{n}$ the class of all pairs $\langle\mathcal{A}, \bar{a}\rangle$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is an object of $\mathcal{K}$ and $\bar{a}$ is an ordered generator of $\mathcal{A}$. We simply write $\langle\bar{a}\rangle$ instead of $\langle\mathcal{A}, \bar{a}\rangle$ when there is no danger of confusion.

We shall consider a variant of the Gromov-Hausdorff distance on $\mathscr{K}_{n}$. Define a pseudo-metric $d^{\mathscr{K}}$ on $\mathscr{K}_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{\mathscr{H}}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle,\langle\bar{b}\rangle): & =\inf \left\{\max _{i} \varphi\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid \varphi \in \operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{H}}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle,\langle\bar{b}\rangle)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{\max _{i} \varphi\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid \varphi \in \operatorname{Stx} \mathscr{K}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle,\langle\bar{b}\rangle)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{\max _{i} d\left(\iota\left(a_{i}\right), \eta\left(b_{i}\right)\right) \mid(\iota, \eta) \in \mathrm{JE}_{\mathscr{K}}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle,\langle\bar{b}\rangle)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$
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where $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ denotes the $i$-th component of $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{b}$ respectively. The fact that $d^{\mathscr{K}}$ is indeed a pseudo-metric easily follows from JEP and NAP.

Definition 1.3.1. The category $\mathcal{K}$ is said to satisfy

- the weak Polish property (WPP) if $\mathscr{K}_{n}$ is separable with respect to the pseudo-metric $d^{\mathscr{K}}$ for each $n$.
- the Cauchy continuity property (CCP) if
(i) for any $n$-ary predicate symbol $P$ in $L$, the map

$$
\langle\mathcal{A},(\bar{a}, \bar{b})\rangle \mapsto P^{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{a})
$$

from $\mathscr{K}_{n+m}$ into $\mathbb{R}$ sends Cauchy sequences into Cauchy sequences; and
(ii) for any $n$-ary function symbol $f$ in $L$, the map

$$
\langle\mathcal{A},(\bar{a}, \bar{b})\rangle \mapsto\left\langle\mathcal{A},\left(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, f^{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{a})\right)\right\rangle
$$

from $\mathscr{K}_{n+m}$ into $\mathscr{K}_{n+m+1}$ sends Cauchy sequences into Cauchy sequences.
Remark 1.3.2. If $\mathscr{K}$ satisfies CCP, then $d^{\mathscr{K}}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle,\langle\bar{b}\rangle)$ is equal to zero if and only if there exists a $\mathscr{K}$-admissible isomorphism from $\langle\bar{a}\rangle$ onto $\langle\bar{b}\rangle$ which sends $a_{i}$ to $b_{i}$. To see this, first suppose that the map $a_{i} \mapsto b_{i}$ extends to a $\mathscr{K}$-admissible isomorphism $\iota$. Then $\left(\left.\left.\varphi_{\iota}\right|_{\bar{a} \times \bar{b})}\right|^{\langle\bar{a}\rangle \times\langle\bar{b}\rangle}+\varepsilon\right.$ belongs to $\operatorname{Stx}_{\mathcal{K}}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle,\langle\bar{b}\rangle)$, and

$$
d^{\mathscr{K}}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle,\langle\bar{b}\rangle) \leq\left.\left(\left.\varphi_{\iota}\right|_{\bar{a} \times \bar{b}}\right)\right|^{\langle\bar{a}\rangle \times\langle\bar{b}\rangle}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)+\varepsilon=\varepsilon
$$

for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$. Conversely, suppose $d^{\mathscr{K}}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle,\langle\bar{b}\rangle)=0$. Let $D_{\bar{a}}$ be the set of all elements of $\langle\bar{a}\rangle$ of the form $g(\bar{a})$, where $g$ is a composition of functions equipped with $\langle\bar{a}\rangle$, and $D_{\bar{b}}$ be the set obtained from $\langle\bar{b}\rangle$ by the same way. Then it follows from CCP that the map $a_{i} \mapsto b_{i}$ extends to an isometry from $D_{\bar{a}}$ onto $D_{\bar{b}}$ and the interpretations of the symbols can be identified via this isometry, so that it extends to an $L$-isomorphism $\iota$ from $\langle\bar{a}\rangle$ onto $\langle\bar{b}\rangle$. If $\bar{c}=\left(g_{1}(\bar{a}), \ldots, g_{n}(\bar{a})\right)$ and $\bar{d}=\left(g_{1}(\bar{b}), \ldots, g_{n}(\bar{b})\right)$, then $d^{\mathscr{K}}(\langle\bar{a}, \bar{c}\rangle,\langle\bar{b}, \bar{d}\rangle)=0$ by CCP, so there exists a joint $\mathcal{K}$-embedding $\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$ of $\langle\bar{a}, \bar{c}\rangle$ and $\langle\bar{b}, \bar{d}\rangle$ such that the $\eta_{1}(\bar{a}, \bar{c})$ and $\eta_{2}(\bar{b}, \bar{d})$ are arbitrarily close to each other, whence $\iota$ is $\mathscr{K}$-admissible.

Definition 1.3.3. (1) A category $\mathscr{K}$ of finitely generated separable $L$-structures is called a Fraïssé category if it satisfies JEP, NAP, WPP and CCP.

### 1.3. FRAÏSSÉ CATEGORIES AND THEIR LIMITS

(2) Let $\mathscr{K}$ be a Fraïssé category. A $\mathscr{K}$-structure $\mathcal{M}$ is called a Fraïssé limit of $\mathscr{K}$ if for any $\mathscr{K}$-structure $\mathcal{N}$ and any strict approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Stx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$, there exists a $\mathcal{K}$-admissible embedding $\iota: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ with $\varphi_{\iota} \triangleleft \varphi$.

We shall begin with characterizing Fraïssé limits. Fix a Fraïssé category $\mathscr{K}$.
Definition 1.3.4. A $\mathcal{K}$-structure $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be

- $\mathcal{K}$-universal if for any object $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathscr{K}$, there exists a $\mathscr{K}$-admissible embedding of $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{M}$.
- approximately $\mathscr{K}$-ultra-homogeneous if for any $\langle\bar{a}\rangle \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$, any $\varepsilon>0$ and any $\mathcal{K}$ admissible embeddings $\iota, \eta:\langle\bar{a}\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, there exists a $\mathscr{K}$-admissible automorphism $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{M}$ with

$$
\max _{i} d\left(\alpha \circ \iota\left(a_{i}\right), \eta\left(a_{i}\right)\right) \leq \varepsilon .
$$

Theorem 1.3.5. For a $\mathcal{K}$-structure $\mathcal{M}$, the following are equivalent.
(i) The structure $\mathcal{M}$ is a Fraïssé limit of $\mathcal{K}$.
(ii) For any object $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathscr{K}$ and any $\varphi \in \operatorname{Stx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$, there exists a $\mathscr{K}$-admissible embedding $\iota: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ with $\varphi_{\iota} \triangleleft \varphi$.
 for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is an approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphism $\psi \in \operatorname{Stx}_{\mathscr{K}}{ }^{\triangleleft}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle, \mathcal{M})$ such that $\psi$ is $\varepsilon$-total on $\bar{a}$, that is, $\left.\psi\right|_{\bar{a} \times \mathcal{M}}$ is $\varepsilon$-total.
(iv) The structure $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathcal{K}$-universal and approximately $\mathscr{K}$-ultra-homogeneous.

Moreover, if a Fraïssé limit exists, then it is unique up to $\mathscr{K}$-admissible isomorphisms.
Proof. First, assume that $\mathcal{M}=\overline{\bigcup_{n} \mathcal{A}_{n}}$ and $\mathcal{N}=\overline{\bigcup_{m} \mathcal{B}_{m}}$ are $\mathscr{K}$-structures satisfying (iii). We shall show that if $\varphi$ is a strict approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphism from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{N}$, then there exists a $\mathscr{K}$-admissible isomorphism $\alpha$ from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{N}$ with $\varphi_{\alpha} \triangleleft \varphi$. Since $\varphi$ is strict, there exist an approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphism $\psi$ from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{N}$, finite subsets $E \subseteq \bigcup_{n}\left|\mathcal{A}_{n}\right|$ and $F \subseteq \bigcup_{m}\left|\mathcal{B}_{m}\right|$, and a positive real number $\varepsilon \leq 1$ with

$$
\left.\left(\left.\psi\right|_{E \times F}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}}+\varepsilon \triangleleft \varphi .
$$

Take increasing sequences $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{Y_{j}\right\}$ of finite sets such that

- $X_{0}=E$ and $Y_{0}=F$;
- $X_{i} \subseteq \bigcup_{n}\left|\mathcal{A}_{n}\right|$ and $Y_{j} \subseteq \bigcup_{m}\left|\mathcal{B}_{m}\right|$ for all $i, j ;$ and
- $\bigcup_{i} X_{i}$ and $\bigcup_{j} Y_{j}$ are dense in $|\mathcal{M}|$ and $|\mathcal{N}|$ respectively.
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We claim the existence of a sequence $\left\{\psi_{l}\right\}$ of strict approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphisms from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{N}$ with the following properties.

- each $\psi_{l}$ is of the form $\left(\left.\left.\theta\right|_{\left.X_{i(l)} \times Y_{j(l)}\right)}\right|^{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}}+\delta_{l}\right.$ for some $\theta \in \operatorname{Apx}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, where $\delta_{l} \leq 2^{-l}$ and $i(l), j(l) \uparrow \infty$;
- $\psi_{l+1} \triangleleft \psi_{l}$; and
- $\left.\psi_{l+1}\right|_{X_{i(l)} \times \mathcal{N}}$ is $\delta_{l}$-total if $l$ is even, while $\left.\psi_{l+1}\right|_{\mathcal{M} \times Y_{i(l)}}$ is $\delta_{l}$-surjective if $l$ is odd.

The construction of such a sequence proceeds as following. Set

$$
\psi_{0}:=\left.\left(\left.\psi\right|_{X_{0} \times Y_{0}}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}}+\varepsilon .
$$

Assume $l$ is even and $\psi_{l}$ is given. Then, by assumption on $\mathcal{N}$, one can find $\theta \triangleleft \psi_{l}$ such that $\left.\theta\right|_{X_{i(l)} \times \mathcal{N}}$ is $\delta_{l} / 2$-total. Since $\left.\left(\left.\theta\right|_{X_{i} \times Y_{j}}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}}+\delta$ converges to $\theta$ as $i, j \rightarrow \infty$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$, for sufficiently large $i(l+1)>i(l)$ and $j(l+1)>j(l)$ and sufficiently small $\delta_{l+1}<\delta_{l} / 2$, we have

$$
\left.\psi_{l} \triangleright\left(\left.\theta\right|_{\left.X_{i(l+1)} \times Y_{j(l+1)}\right)}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}}+\delta_{l+1} .
$$

We let $\psi_{l+1}$ be the right-hand side. Then it is clear that $\psi_{l+1} \mid X_{i(l)} \times \mathcal{N}$ is $\delta_{l}$-total. The case $l$ is odd is similar, and the description of the construction of $\left\{\psi_{l}\right\}$ is completed. Now the sequence being decreasing, there exists the limit $\psi_{\infty} \in \operatorname{Apx}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\triangleleft \varphi}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, which is clearly of the form $\varphi_{\alpha}$ for some isomorphism $\alpha: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ by Proposition 1.1.4, as desired.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) can be verified by similar arguments. Also, (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is trivial.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). It follows from (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) that $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathcal{K}$-universal. Let $\iota, \eta:\langle\bar{a}\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be $\mathcal{K}$-admissible embeddings and $\varepsilon$ be a positive real number. Then

$$
\varphi:=\left.\left(\left.\left(\varphi_{\eta} \varphi_{l}^{*}\right)\right|_{l(\bar{a}) \times \eta(\bar{a})}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}}+\varepsilon
$$

is in $\operatorname{Stx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$, so by what we proved in the first paragraph, one can find a $\mathscr{K}-$ admissible automorphism $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{M}$ with $\varphi_{\alpha} \triangleleft \varphi$. Since $\varphi\left(\iota\left(a_{i}\right), \eta\left(a_{i}\right)\right)=\varepsilon$, the inequality

$$
d\left(\alpha \circ \iota\left(a_{i}\right), \eta\left(a_{i}\right)\right) \leq \varepsilon
$$

follows.
(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is an object of $\mathscr{K}$ and $\varphi$ is a strict approximate $\mathscr{K}$ isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{M}$. By assumption, there exists a $\mathscr{K}$-admissible embedding $\iota: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}=\overline{\bigcup_{n} \mathcal{A}_{n}}$, so it suffices to show that there is a $\mathcal{K}$-admissible automorphism $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{M}$ with $\varphi_{\alpha \circ \iota} \triangleleft \varphi$, or equivalently, $\varphi_{\alpha} \triangleleft \varphi \varphi_{\iota}^{*}$. To see this, find sufficiently large $n$ and finite partial $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphisms $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}$ from $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ into some object $C$ of $\mathscr{K}$ with

$$
\left.\left(\varphi_{\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}}+\varepsilon \triangleleft \varphi \varphi_{l}^{*} .
$$

### 1.3. FRAÏSSÉ CATEGORIES AND THEIR LIMITS

Since there exists a $\mathcal{K}$-admissible embedding of $\mathcal{C}$ into $\mathcal{M}$, and since $\mathcal{M}$ is approximately $\mathscr{K}$-ultra-homogeneous, there exists a $\mathcal{K}$-admissible embedding $\eta: C \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ with

$$
d\left(b, \eta \circ \iota_{2}(b)\right)<\varepsilon / 2
$$

for $b \in \operatorname{dom} \iota_{2}$. Again by the $\mathscr{K}$-ultra-homogeneity of $\mathcal{M}$, one can find a $\mathscr{K}$-admissible automorphism $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{M}$ such that the inequality

$$
d\left(\alpha(a), \eta \circ \iota_{1}(a)\right)<\varepsilon / 2
$$

holds for all $a \in \operatorname{dom} \iota_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(\alpha(a), b) & \leq d\left(\alpha(a), \eta \circ \iota_{1}(a)\right)+d\left(\eta \circ \iota_{1}(a), \eta \circ \iota_{2}(b)\right)+d\left(\eta \circ \iota_{2}(b), b\right) \\
& \leq d\left(\iota_{1}(a), \iota_{2}(b)\right)+\varepsilon=\varphi_{\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}}(a, b)+\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
Next, we shall prove the existence of the Fraïssé limit. For this, we need the following lemma which claims that, in order to see (iii) in Theorem 1.3.5, we only have to check a countable dense part.

Lemma 1.3.6. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{K}$-structure and $M_{0}$ be a countable dense subset of $|\mathcal{M}|$. Suppose that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a countable dense subset $\mathscr{K}_{n, 0}$ of $\mathscr{K}_{n}$ is given. Then, in order for $\mathcal{M}$ to be the Fraïssé limit of $\mathscr{K}$, it is sufficient that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any $\langle\bar{a}\rangle \in \mathscr{K}_{n, 0}$, any finite subset $F \subseteq M_{0}$ and any $\varphi \in \operatorname{Stx}_{\mathcal{K}}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle, \mathcal{M})$ which is rational-valued on $\bar{a} \times F$, there exists $\psi \in \operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}^{\leq \varphi}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle, \mathcal{M})$ such that $\psi$ is $\varepsilon$-total on $\bar{a}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an object of $\mathscr{K}$ and $\varphi$ be a strict approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphism from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{M}$, and take $\varphi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Stx}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\triangle \varphi}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M})$. Then there exists an arbitrarily large finite subsets $F \in|\mathcal{B}|^{n}$ and $G \subseteq M_{0}$ and arbitrarily small $\varepsilon>0$ with

$$
\varphi^{\prime \prime}:=\left.\left(\left.\varphi^{\prime}\right|_{F \times G}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{M}}+\varepsilon \triangleleft \varphi .
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $F$ is a generator of $\mathcal{B}$. Let $\bar{b}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ be an enumeration of $F$. Take $\langle\bar{a}\rangle \in \mathcal{K}_{n, 0}$ with $d^{\mathscr{K}}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle,\langle\bar{b}\rangle)<\varepsilon / 4$ and find a joint $\mathscr{K}$-embedding $(\iota, \eta)$ of $\langle\bar{a}\rangle$ and $\langle\bar{b}\rangle$ satisfying $\max _{i} \varphi_{\iota, \eta}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)<\varepsilon / 4$. Then, being a restriction of an extension of a strict approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphism, $\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{\iota, \eta}$ is strict, so there exists $\psi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Stx}_{\mathscr{H}}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle, \mathcal{M})$ which is rational-valued on $\bar{a} \times F$ and satisfies
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$\psi^{\prime} \triangleleft \varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{\iota, \eta}$, by Proposition 1.1.5. By assumption, we can take $\psi^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Apx}_{\mathscr{K}}^{\leq \psi^{\prime}}(\langle\bar{a}\rangle, \mathcal{M})$ such that $\left.\psi^{\prime \prime}\right|_{\bar{a} \times \mathcal{M}}$ is $\varepsilon / 4$-total. Then, $\left.\left(\psi^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{\eta, \ell}\right)\right|_{\bar{b} \times \mathcal{M}}$ is $\varepsilon / 2$-total, and

$$
\left.\left(\psi^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{\eta, l}\right)\right|_{\bar{b} \times \mathcal{M}} \leq\left.\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{l, \eta} \varphi_{\eta, l}\right)\right|_{\bar{b} \times \mathcal{M}} \leq\left.\varphi^{\prime \prime}\right|_{\bar{b} \times \mathcal{M}}+\varepsilon / 2,
$$

since $\varphi_{\eta,, 1 \bar{b} \times\langle\bar{a}\rangle}$ is $\varepsilon / 4$-total. Now take a finite subset $H \subseteq|\mathcal{M}|$ such that $G$ is included in $H$ and $\left(\psi^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{\eta, L}\right)_{\bar{b} \times H}$ is $3 \varepsilon / 4$-total, and put

$$
\psi:=\left.\left(\left.\left(\psi^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{\eta, L}\right)\right|_{\bar{b} \times H}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{M}}+\varepsilon / 4 .
$$

Then $\left.\psi\right|_{\bar{b} \times|\mathcal{M}|}$ is $\varepsilon$-total, and

$$
\psi \leq\left.\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} \mid \bar{b} \times H\right)\right|^{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{M}}+3 \varepsilon / 4 \leq\left.\left(\left.\varphi^{\prime}\right|_{F \times G}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{M}}+\varepsilon \triangleleft \varphi .
$$

Since this shows that (iii) in Theorem 1.3.5 holds, it follows that $\mathcal{M}$ is the Fraïssé limit of $\mathscr{K}$.

Theorem 1.3.7. Every Fraïssé category has its limit.
Proof. Take a countable dense subset $\mathcal{K}_{n, 0} \subseteq \mathscr{K}_{n}$ for each $n$. In view of Proposition 1.2.3, we can inductively find a $\mathscr{K}$-structure $\mathcal{A}_{k}$, a $\mathscr{K}$-embedding $\iota_{k-1}: \mathcal{A}_{k-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k}$ and a countable dense subset $A_{k, 0} \subseteq\left|\mathcal{A}_{k}\right|$ so that, if $\langle\bar{a}\rangle$ is in $\mathscr{K}_{n, 0}$, if $F$ is a finite subset of $A_{k, 0}$, and if $\varphi$ is a strict approximate $\mathscr{K}$-isomorphism from $\langle\bar{a}\rangle$ to $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ which is rational-valued on $\bar{a} \times F$, then there exists a $\mathcal{K}$-embedding $\iota:\langle\bar{a}\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{l}$ for some $l>k$ with $\varphi_{\iota} \triangleleft \varphi_{l, k} \varphi$, where $\iota_{l, k}$ denotes the composition of $\iota_{k}, \ldots, \iota_{l-1}$. Then the $\mathcal{K}$-structure obtained from the inductive system satisfies the assumption in the previous lemma, so we are done.

## Chapter 2

## Applications to operator algebras

In this chapter, we give two applications of the theory presented in the preceding chapter. The first section is devoted to the application to UHF algebras: it is shown that a category of C*-algebras of matrix-valued continuous functions with distinguished traces and diagonalizable *-homomorphisms is a Fraïssé category the limit of which is a UHF algebra. In the second section, we prove a similar result for a category of dimension drop algebras with distinguished traces and *-homomorphisms, in which case the limit is the Jiang- Su algebra. In both cases, the structures under consideration are unital tracial C*-algebras, so the appropriate language for them is $L_{\mathrm{TC}^{*}}$ which consists of the following symbols:

- two constant symbols 0 and 1 ;
- an unary function symbol $\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, which are to be interpreted as multiplication by $\lambda$;
- an unary function symbol $*$ for involution;
- a binary function symbol + and •;
- an unary predicate symbol tr.

Every unital C*-algebra with a distinguished trace can be canonically considered as a metric $L_{\mathrm{TC}^{*}}$-structure. Note that the distance we adopt is the norm distance, and that a map between unital C*-algebras with fixed traces are $L$-embeddings if and only if it is a trace-preserving injective $*$-homomorphism.

### 2.1 UHF algebras

In the sequel, we denote by $\mathbb{M}_{n}$ the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices. Also, for non-negative integer $p$ and positive integer $n$, we shall denote by $\mathcal{A}_{p, n}$ the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $C\left([0,1]^{p}, \mathbb{M}_{n}\right)$ of all $n \times n$ matrix-valued continuous functions on $[0,1]^{p}$. We note that there are canonical isomorphisms $C\left([0,1]^{p}, \mathbb{M}_{n}\right) \simeq C\left([0,1]^{p}\right) \otimes \mathbb{M}_{n}, C\left([0,1]^{p}\right) \otimes C\left([0,1]^{q}\right) \simeq C\left([0,1]^{p+q}\right)$ and $\mathbb{M}_{n} \otimes \mathbb{M}_{m} \simeq \mathbb{M}_{m n}$, so that $\mathcal{A}_{p, n} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{q, m}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{p+q, m n}$. Now, for a probability Radon measure $\mu$ on $[0,1]^{p}$, we can define a trace (which is still denoted by $\mu$ ) on $\mathcal{A}_{p, n}$ by

$$
\mu(f):=\int \operatorname{tr}(f(t)) d \mu(t)
$$

where $\operatorname{tr}$ is the normalized trace on $\mathbb{M}_{n}$. It can be easily verified that every trace on $\mathcal{A}_{p, n}$ is of this form, so that we can identify the traces on $\mathcal{A}_{p, n}$ with the probability Radon measures on $[0,1]^{p}$. Since the group $\operatorname{Homeo}\left([0,1]^{p}\right)$ of all homeomorphisms of $[0,1]^{p}$ acts on the set of probability Radon measures on $[0,1]^{p}$, it also acts on the traces of $\mathcal{A}_{p, n}$. In this section, we only consider the traces which are in the Homeo $\left([0,1]^{p}\right)$-orbit of $\lambda$, where $\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure. Note that such traces are faithful.

By definition, a supernatural number is a formal product

$$
v=\prod_{p: \text { prime }} p^{n_{p}},
$$

where $n_{p}$ is either a non-negative integer or $\infty$ for each $p$ such that $\sum_{p} n_{p}=\infty$. Given a supernatural number $v$, we shall define a category $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$ as following. Let $\mathbb{N}_{v}$ be the set of all natural numbers which formally divides $v$.

- $\operatorname{Obj}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\nu}\right)$ is the class of all the pairs $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p, n}, \tau\right\rangle$, where $n$ is in $\mathbb{N}_{\nu}$ and $\tau$ is in the Homeo $\left([0,1]^{p}\right)$-orbit of $\lambda$.
- $\operatorname{Mor}_{\mathscr{K}_{\nu}}\left(\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p, n}, \tau\right\rangle,\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p^{\prime}, n^{\prime}} \tau^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)$ is the set of all (unital trace-preserving injective) diagonalizable $*$-homomorphisms from $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p, n}, \tau\right\rangle$ to $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}, \tau^{\prime}\right\rangle$.
Here, a $*$-homomorphism $\iota$ from $\mathcal{A}_{p, n}$ to $\mathcal{A}_{p^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}$ is said to be diagonalizable if there exist a unitary $v \in \mathcal{A}_{p^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}$ and continuous functions $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}:[0,1]^{p^{\prime}} \rightarrow[0,1]^{p}$ such that

$$
\iota(f)(s)=\operatorname{Ad}\left(v_{s}\right)\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[f\left(t_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{k}(s)\right)\right]\right)
$$

for $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p, n}$ and $s \in[0,1]^{p^{\prime}}$, where $\operatorname{Ad}(v)$ denotes the inner automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{p^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}$ associated to $v$, and $\operatorname{diag}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ is the block diagonal matrix with $a_{i}$ as its $i$-th block. Note that for each diagonalizable $\iota$, the choice of the unitary $v$ and the continuous
functions $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ in the above expression is not unique in general. Nevertheless, we often have to consider the diameters of the ranges of the functions $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$. For the sake of convenience, we shall set

$$
V\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right):=\max _{l} \operatorname{diam} \operatorname{Im} t_{l} .
$$

Remark 2.1.1. Here, we shall give an example of $L_{\mathrm{TC}}{ }^{*}$-morphisms between objects of $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$ which cannot be approximated by diagonalizable ones with respect to point-norm topology. We assume that 2 is in $\mathbb{N}_{v}$ and use $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z \mid \leq 1\}$ instead of $[0,1]^{2}$. Define $t_{1}, t_{2}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ by

$$
t_{1}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right):=r e^{i \theta / 2}, \quad t_{2}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right):=-r e^{i \theta / 2} \quad(r \in[0,1], \theta \in[0,2 \pi)) .
$$

Also, let $u: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{2}$ be a unitary-valued function defined by

$$
u\left(r e^{i \theta}\right):= \begin{cases}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
e^{i \theta / 4} \cos \frac{\theta}{4} & \sin \frac{\theta}{4} \\
-e^{i \theta / 4} \sin \frac{\theta}{4} & \cos \frac{\theta}{4}
\end{array}\right) & (r \neq 0, \theta \in[0,2 \pi)) \\
1_{\mathbb{M}_{2}} & (r=0),\end{cases}
$$

and note that $u(r)=1_{\mathbb{M}_{2}}$ while

$$
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 2 \pi-0} u\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

if $r \neq 0$. Now, given $f \in C(\mathbb{D})$, consider a matrix-valued function

$$
\varphi(f): z \mapsto \operatorname{Ad}(u(z))\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[f\left(t_{1}(z)\right), f\left(t_{2}(z)\right)\right]\right) .
$$

Clearly this is continuous on the complement of the non-negative part of the real axis. It is also continuous on the positive part of the real axis, as the switch of the eigenvalues is offset by the unitary. Finally, it is continuous at the origin, because it converges to the scalar matrix $f(0) 1_{2}$. Therefore, this matrix-valued function belongs to $C\left(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{M}_{2}\right)$, so that $\varphi$ defines a $*$-homomorphism from $C(\mathbb{D})$ into $C\left(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{M}_{2}\right)$. We can also easily verify that this is unital, injective, and trace-preserving with respect to $\mu$, where $\mu$ corresponds to the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{D}$.

We shall show that the map $\varphi$ is not approximately diagonalizable. Indeed, if $\varphi$ is approximately diagonalizable, then there is a $*$-homomorphism $\psi: C(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow C\left(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{M}_{2}\right)$ of the form

$$
\psi(f)=\operatorname{Ad}(v)\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[f \circ t_{1}^{\prime}, f \circ t_{2}^{\prime}\right]\right)
$$

for some continuous maps $t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and a continuous unitary-valued map $v$ which satisfies $\left\|\varphi\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{D}}\right)-\psi\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{D}}\right)\right\|<1 / 2$. It follows that the Hausdorff distance between $\left\{t_{1}(z), t_{2}(z)\right\}$ and $\left\{t_{1}^{\prime}(z), t_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right\}$ is less than $1 / 2$ for all $z$ with $|z|=1$, but this is impossible. We note that this is also a counterexample of [9, Theorem 6.3] claiming that any unital *homomorphism from $C(X)$ to $C\left(Y, \mathbb{M}_{n}\right)$ is approximately diagonalizable if $X$ is a compact metrizable locally absolute retract and $Y$ is a compact metric space with $\operatorname{dim} Y \leq 2$.

Lemma 2.1.2. (i) For any object $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p, n}, \tau\right\rangle$ of $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$, there exists a $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-isomorphism from $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p, n}, \tau\right\rangle$ onto $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p, n}, \lambda\right\rangle$.
(ii) For any $p$, there exists a $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-embedding from $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p, n}, \tau\right\rangle$ into $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{1, n}, \lambda\right\rangle$.

Proof. (i) Let $\alpha$ be the homeomorphism of $[0,1]^{p}$ with $\alpha_{*}(\lambda)=\tau$. Then the induced *-homomorphism $\alpha^{*}: f \mapsto f \circ \alpha$ is the desired one.
(ii) We may assume $\tau=\lambda$ by (i). It suffices to give a proof for the case $p=2$. Let $\beta:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]^{2}$ be the Hilbert curve [7], which is a surjective continuous map such that any interval of the form $\left[k / 4^{l},(k+1) / 4^{l}\right]$ is sent to a square of the form $\left[k_{1} / 2^{l},\left(k_{1}+1\right) / 2^{l}\right] \times\left[k_{2} / 2^{l},\left(k_{2}+1\right) / 2^{l}\right]$, so that $\beta_{*}(\lambda)=\lambda$. Then the $*$-homomorphism $\beta^{*}: f \mapsto f \circ \beta$ is the desired one.

Lemma 2.1.3. Suppose that $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}:\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p, n}, \tau\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p^{\prime}, n^{\prime}} \tau^{\prime}\right\rangle$ are $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-embeddings of the form

$$
\iota_{i}(f)=\operatorname{diag}\left[f \circ t_{1, i}, \ldots, f \circ t_{k, i}\right]
$$

If $V\left(t_{1, i}, \ldots, t_{k, i}\right)$ is less than $\delta$ for $i=1,2$, then there exists a permutation $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{k}$ such that the inequality

$$
\left\|t_{l, 1}-t_{\sigma(l), 2}\right\|<2 \delta
$$

holds for all l.
Proof. For each $l$, let $S_{l}$ be the set of all $l^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{Im} t_{l, 1} \cap \operatorname{Im} t_{l^{\prime}, 2} \neq \varnothing$. Then, for any $F \subseteq\{1, \ldots, k\}$, we have

$$
\left|\bigcup_{l \in F} S_{l}\right|=k \sum_{l^{\prime} \in \bigcup_{l \in F} S_{l}} \tau\left(\operatorname{Im} t_{l^{\prime}, 2}\right) \geq k \tau\left(\bigcup_{l \in F} \operatorname{Im} t_{l, 1}\right) \geq|F|,
$$

since both $\iota_{1}$ and $\iota_{2}$ are trace-preserving. By Hall's marriage theorem there exists a permutation $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{k}$ with $t_{\sigma(l), 2} \in S_{l}$ for all $l$. Now the inequality $\left\|t_{l, 1}-t_{\sigma(l), 2}\right\|<2 \delta$ is clear.

Theorem 2.1.4. The category $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$ is a Fraïsé category.
Proof. JEP is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1.2 and the fact that if $n$ divides $n^{\prime}$, then there exists a $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-embedding from $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{1, n}, \lambda\right\rangle$ to $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{1, n^{\prime}}, \lambda\right\rangle$ defined by

$$
f \mapsto \operatorname{diag}[f, \ldots, f] .
$$

For NAP, let $\iota_{i}$ be a $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-embedding from $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p_{0}, n_{0}}, \tau_{0}\right\rangle$ into $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p_{i}, n_{i}}, \tau_{i}\right\rangle$ for $i=1,2$, and suppose that a finite subset $F$ of $\mathcal{A}_{p_{0}, n_{0}}$ and a positive real number $\varepsilon>0$ are given. Our goal is to find $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-embeddings $\eta_{i}$ from $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p_{i}, n_{i}}, \tau_{i}\right\rangle$ into some object $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p_{3}, n_{3}}, \tau_{3}\right\rangle$ such that the inequality

$$
\left\|\eta_{1} \circ \iota_{1}(f)-\eta_{2} \circ \iota_{2}(f)\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

holds for all $f \in F$. To see this, take $\delta>0$ so that $\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|<\delta$ implies $\left\|f(t)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$ for all $f \in F$. Apply JEP to find $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-embeddings $\eta_{i}^{\prime}$ from $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p_{i}, n_{i}}, \tau_{i}\right\rangle$ into some object $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}, \tau^{\prime}\right\rangle$. By Proposition 2.1.2, we may assume without loss of generality that $\tau^{\prime}=\lambda$ and $p^{\prime}=1$. Now, Since $\eta_{i}^{\prime} \circ \iota_{i}$ is a $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-isomorphism, it is of the form

$$
\eta_{i}^{\prime} \circ \iota_{i}(f)=\operatorname{Ad}\left(v_{i}^{\prime}\right)\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[f \circ t_{1, i}^{\prime}, \ldots, f \circ t_{k^{\prime}, i}^{\prime}\right]\right) .
$$

Take sufficiently large natural number $m$ such that $n^{\prime} m$ is in $\mathbb{N}_{\nu}$ and $\left|s-s^{\prime}\right|<1 / m$ implies $\left|t_{l, i}^{\prime}(s)-t_{l, i}^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right|<\delta / 2$ for all $l$ and $i$. Define $r_{c}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ by

$$
r_{c}(x):=\frac{x+c-1}{m} \quad(c=1, \ldots, m)
$$

and let $\rho$ be a $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-embedding from $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{1, n^{\prime}}, \lambda\right\rangle$ into $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{1, n^{\prime} m}, \lambda\right\rangle$ of the form

$$
\rho(f)=\operatorname{diag}\left[f \circ r_{1}, \ldots, f \circ r_{m}\right] .
$$

Then $\rho \circ \eta_{i}^{\prime} \circ \iota_{i}$ is of the form

$$
\rho \circ \eta_{i}^{\prime} \circ t_{i}(f)=\operatorname{Ad}\left(v_{i}\right)\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[f \circ t_{1, i}, \ldots, f \circ t_{k, i}\right]\right),
$$

where $V\left(t_{1, i}, \ldots, t_{k, i}\right)$ is less than $\delta / 2$ for $i=1,2$. By Lemma 2.1.3, we may assume without loss of generality that the inequality $\left\|t_{l, 1}-t_{l, 2}\right\|<\delta$ holds for all $l$. It can be easily verified that $\eta_{1}:=\rho \circ \eta_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\eta_{2}:=\operatorname{Ad}\left(v_{1} v_{2}^{*}\right) \circ \rho \circ \eta_{2}^{\prime}$ are the desired $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-embeddings.

WPP is clear, because up to $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-isomorphisms, there are only countably many objects in $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$, by Lemma 2.1.2. Also, CCP automatically follows from the fact that all the relevant functions are 1-Lipschitz on the unit ball.

### 2.1. UHF ALGEBRAS

We shall find a concrete description of the limit of $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$. For this, the following proposition is useful.

Proposition 2.1.5. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a Fraïssé category and $\mathcal{M}=\overline{\bigcup_{n} \mathcal{A}_{n}}$ be a $\mathcal{K}$-structure. Denote by $\iota_{k, j}$ the canonical $\mathcal{K}$-embedding from $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ into $\mathcal{A}_{k}$. Suppose that the following two conditions hold:
(a) Any object $C$ of $\mathscr{K}$ is $\mathscr{K}$-embeddable into $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ for some $n$.
(b) Given a finite subset $F \subseteq\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right|$, a positive real number $\varepsilon$ and a $\mathcal{K}$-embedding $\eta: \mathcal{A}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{j}$ for some $j>i$, one can find $k>j$ and $a \mathscr{K}$-automorphism $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}\right)$ such that the inequality

$$
d\left(\alpha \circ \iota_{k, j} \circ \eta(a), \iota_{k, i}(a)\right)<\varepsilon
$$

holds for all $a \in F$.
Then $\mathcal{M}=\overline{\bigcup_{n} \mathcal{A}_{n}}$ is the Fraïssé limit of $\mathscr{K}$.
Proof. We shall check (iii) in Theorem 1.3.5. Let $\varepsilon$ be a positive real number, $\mathcal{B}$ be an object of $\mathscr{K}$ and $\varphi$ be in $\operatorname{Stx}_{\mathscr{K}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M})$. Then one can find finite subsets $F_{1} \subseteq|\mathcal{B}|$ and $F_{2} \subseteq\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right|$, an object $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{K}$, and $\mathcal{K}$-embeddings $\iota: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C$ and $\eta: \mathcal{A}_{i} \rightarrow C$ such that the relation

$$
\left.\left(\left.\varphi_{\iota, \eta}\right|_{F_{1} \times F_{2}}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{M}} \triangleleft \varphi
$$

holds. By assumption (a), there exists a $\mathcal{K}$-embedding $\theta$ of $C$ into some $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ with $j>i$. Then one can find a $\mathscr{K}$-automorphism $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}\right)$ for some $k>j$ such that the inequality

$$
d\left(\alpha \circ \iota_{k, j} \circ \theta \circ \eta(a), \iota_{k, i}(a)\right)<\varepsilon
$$

holds for all $a \in F_{2}$, by assumption (b). Now, for $b \in F_{1}$ and $a \in F_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(\alpha \circ \iota_{k, j} \circ \theta \circ \iota(b), \iota_{k, i}(a)\right) \\
< & d\left(\alpha \circ \iota_{k, j} \circ \theta \circ \iota(b), \alpha \circ \iota_{k, j} \circ \theta \circ \eta(a)\right)+\varepsilon \\
= & d(\iota(b), \eta(a))+\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\varphi_{\alpha \circ \iota_{k, j} \circ \theta \circ \iota} \leq\left.\left(\left.\varphi_{\iota, \eta}\right|_{F_{1} \times F_{2}}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{M}} \triangleleft \varphi,
$$

which completes the proof.
Corollary 2.1.6. Let $\mathcal{M}=\overline{\bigcup_{j}\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p_{j}, n_{j}}, \tau_{j}\right\rangle}$ be a $\mathcal{K}_{\nu}$-structure and $\iota_{k, j}$ denote the canonical $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-embedding from $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p_{j}, n_{j}}, \tau_{j}\right\rangle$ into $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p_{k}, n_{k}}, \tau_{k}\right\rangle$. Suppose the following conditions hold:
(a) $p_{j} \geq 1$.
(b) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{v}$, there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n$ divides $n_{j}$.
(c) For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $k>j$ such that $\iota_{k, j}$ is of the form

$$
\iota_{k, j}(f)=\operatorname{Ad}(v)\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[f \circ t_{1}, \ldots, f \circ t_{m}\right]\right),
$$

where $V\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$ is less than $\varepsilon$.
Then $\mathcal{M}=\overline{\bigcup_{j}\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p_{j}, n_{j}}, \tau_{j}\right\rangle}$ is the Fraïssé limit of $\mathcal{K}_{\nu}$.
Proof. This is immediate from Lemmas 2.1.2 and 2.1.3 and Proposition 2.1.5.
Take an increasing sequence $\left\{n_{j}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{v}$ so that (b) in Corollary 2.1.6 is satisfied. Define $\iota_{i}: \mathcal{A}_{1, n_{j}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{1, n_{j+1}}$ by

$$
\iota_{i}(f)=\operatorname{diag}\left[f \circ r_{1}, \ldots, f \circ r_{m}\right],
$$

where $m$ is equal to $n_{j+1} / n_{j}$ and $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}$ are as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.4. Then the diagram

commutes, where $\mathbb{M}_{n_{j}}$ is canonically identified with the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-subalgebra of constant functions on the interval $[0,1]$. Since the upper inductive system satisfies the assumption of Corollary 2.1.6 and the limit of the lower inductive system is clearly dense in that of the upper one, it follows that the Fraïssé limit of $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$ is isomorphic to what is called the UHF algebra of type $v$ as $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras.

We conclude this section by showing that all $L_{\mathrm{TC}}{ }^{*}$-embeddings into the Fraïssé limit of $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$ is indeed $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-admissible. To see this, we use the following lemmas [2, Exercise II. 8 and Lemma III.3.2].

Lemma 2.1.7. Let $f$ be a continuous function on a compact subset $X$ of $\mathbb{C}$. Then, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that if a and $b$ are normal elements of a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with $\|a-b\|<\delta$, then $\|f(a)-f(b)\|<\varepsilon$.

Lemma 2.1.8. For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are $C^{*}$-subalgebras of a unital $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{D}$, if $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}$ is less than $n$, and if $\left\{e_{i j}^{(k)}\right\}$ is a system of matrix units which spans $\mathcal{A}$ and satisfies $d\left(e_{i j}^{(k)}, \mathcal{B}\right)<\delta$, then there exists a unitary $u$ in $\mathcal{D}$ with $\|u-1\|<\varepsilon$ and $\operatorname{Ad}(u)[\mathcal{A}] \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 2.1.9. Let $\left\{e_{i j}\right\}$ be the system of standard matrix units of $\mathbb{M}_{n}$ and a be an element of $\mathbb{M}_{m} \otimes \mathbb{M}_{n}$ satisfying

$$
\left\|a\left(1 \otimes e_{i j}\right)-\left(1 \otimes e_{i j}\right) a\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

Then the inequality

$$
\|a-(1 \otimes \operatorname{tr})(a)\|<n^{2} \varepsilon
$$

holds.
Proof. If $a$ is represented as $\sum a_{i j} \otimes e_{i j}$, then one can easily verify the inequality

$$
\left\|a_{i j} \otimes e_{i j}-\frac{\delta_{i j}}{n} \sum_{k} a_{k k} \otimes e_{k k}\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

from which the conclusion follows.
Theorem 2.1.10. Every L-embedding from an object of $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$ into the Fraïssé limit of $\mathscr{K}_{v}$ is $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$-admissible.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the Fraïssé limit of $\mathscr{K}_{\nu}$ and $\iota:\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{p, n}, \tau\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be an $L$-embedding. Our goal is to show that $\iota$ can be approximated by $\mathscr{K}$-admissible embeddings with respect to the topology of pointwise convergence. For simplicity, we only show the case $p=1$ and $\tau=\lambda$. Set

$$
G:=\left\{1 \otimes e_{i j} \mid i, j=1, \ldots n\right\} \cup\left\{\operatorname{id}_{[0,1]} \otimes 1\right\} \subseteq C[0,1] \otimes \mathbb{M}_{n} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{1, n},
$$

where $\left\{e_{i j}\right\}$ is the system of standard matrix units of $\mathbb{M}_{n}$, and note that $G$ is a generator of $\mathcal{A}_{1, n}$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, it suffices to find a $\mathscr{K}$-admissible embedding $\eta$ of $\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{1, n}, \lambda\right\rangle$ into $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying $\|\iota(g)-\eta(g)\|<\varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$. For this, take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 / N<\varepsilon / 6$ and $n N \in \mathbb{N}_{v}$. For $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \leq c<d \leq N$, define a continuous function $f_{c, d}$ on $[0,1]$ by

$$
f_{c, d}(t):= \begin{cases}0 & (t \notin[(c-1) / N,(d+1) / N]) \\ 1 & (t \in[c / N, d / N]) \\ N t-c+1 & (t \in[(c-1) / N, c / N]) \\ -N t+d-1 & (t \in[d / N,(d+1) / N]) .\end{cases}
$$

Then by Lemma 2.1.7, there exists positive $\delta<\varepsilon / 2$ such that if $a$ is a normal element of $\mathcal{M}$ with $\left\|a-\iota\left(\mathrm{id}_{[0,1]} \otimes 1\right)\right\|<\delta$, then the inequality

$$
\left\|f_{c, d}(a)-\iota\left(f_{c, d} \otimes 1\right)\right\|<\frac{1}{N}
$$

holds for all $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \leq c<d \leq N$. Take such $\delta$ and set $\delta^{\prime}:=\delta /\left(6 n^{2}+1\right)$.
Let

be the inductive system we saw before Lemma 2.1.7. Then, by Lemma 2.1.8, there exists a unitary $u$ in $\mathcal{M}$ with $\|u-1\|<\delta^{\prime}$ and $e_{i j}^{\prime}:=u\left[\iota\left(1 \otimes e_{i j}\right)\right] u^{*} \in \bigcup_{k} \mathbb{M}_{n_{k}}$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the finite dimensional simple $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-subalgebra generated by $\left\{e_{i j}^{\prime}\right\}$. Note that the inequality

$$
\left\|\iota\left(1 \otimes e_{i j}\right)-e_{i j}^{\prime}\right\|<2 \delta^{\prime} \leq \varepsilon
$$

holds for all $i, j$. Also, if $\mathcal{B}$ is included in $\mathbb{M}_{n_{k}}$, then $\mathbb{M}_{n_{k}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathbb{M}_{n_{k} / n}$. Now, take $a \in \bigcup_{k} \mathbb{M}_{n_{k}}$ with $\left\|a-\iota\left(\operatorname{id}_{[0,1]} \otimes 1\right)\right\|<\delta^{\prime}$. By Lemma 2.1.7, we may assume without loss of generality that $a$ is a positive element with $\|a\| \leq 1$. Then

$$
\left\|a e_{i j}^{\prime}-e_{i j}^{\prime} a\right\|<6 \delta,
$$

so by Lemma 2.1.9, there exists a positive element $a^{\prime} \in \bigcup_{k} \mathbb{M}_{n_{k}}$ which commutes with every element of $\mathcal{B}$ and satisfies the inequalities

$$
\left\|a^{\prime}-\iota\left(\operatorname{id}_{[0,1]} \otimes 1\right)\right\|<\left(6 n^{2}+1\right) \delta^{\prime} \leq \delta, \quad\left\|a^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1
$$

By definition of $\delta$, we have

$$
\left\|f_{c, d}\left(a^{\prime}\right)-\iota\left(f_{c, d} \otimes 1\right)\right\|<\frac{1}{N}
$$

for $0 \leq c<d \leq N$.
Let $k_{0}$ be sufficiently large so that both $\mathcal{B}$ and $a^{\prime}$ is included in $\mathbb{M}_{n_{k_{0}}}$ and $m:=n_{k_{0}} / n$ is a multiple of $N$. Since the commutant $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathbb{M}_{n_{k_{0}}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_{m}$, the positive element $a^{\prime}$ can be identified with a diagonal matrix of $\mathbb{M}_{m}$, say $\operatorname{diag}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $t_{1} \leq \cdots \leq t_{m}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[f_{c, d}\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, f_{c, d}\left(t_{m}\right)\right]\right) & =\operatorname{tr}^{\mathcal{M}}\left(f_{c, d}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \lambda\left(f_{c, d} \otimes 1\right)-\frac{1}{N}=\frac{d-c}{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality together with Hall's marriage theorem implies that the real numbers $t_{m c / N+1}, \ldots, t_{m(c+1) / N}$ are included in $[(c-1) / N,(c+2) / N]$. Consequently, the element

$$
a^{\prime \prime}:=\operatorname{diag}\left[r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right] \otimes 1 \in C\left([0,1], \mathbb{M}_{m}\right) \otimes \mathcal{B} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{1, n_{k_{0}}}
$$

satisfies

$$
\left\|a^{\prime \prime}-a^{\prime}\right\| \leq \frac{3}{N}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

where $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}$ are as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.4. One can easily check that the $\mathcal{K}$-embedding $\eta: \mathcal{A}_{1, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{1, n_{k_{0}}}$ defined by

$$
\eta\left(1 \otimes e_{i j}\right):=e_{i j}^{\prime}, \quad \eta\left(\mathrm{id}_{[0,1]} \otimes 1\right)=a^{\prime \prime}
$$

has the desired property.

### 2.2 The Jiang-Su algebra

For natural numbers $p$ and $q$, the dimension drop algebra $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}_{1, p q} \mid f(0) \in \mathbb{M}_{p} \otimes 1_{q} \& f(1) \in 1_{p} \otimes \mathbb{M}_{q}\right\}
$$

where we took over the notation $\mathcal{A}_{p, n}:=C\left([0,1]^{p}, \mathbb{M}_{n}\right)$ from the preceding section. It is said to be prime if $p$ and $q$ are coprime. In the sequel, we frequently use the fact that every ideal of $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ is of the form

$$
\mathcal{I}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{Z}_{p, q}|f|_{\Sigma} \equiv 0\right\}
$$

for a unique closed subset $\Sigma$ of $[0,1]$.
We denote by $c_{p}^{p, q}$ the map from $\mathbb{M}_{p} \otimes 1_{q}$ to $\mathbb{M}_{p}$ defined by $a \otimes 1 \mapsto a$. The map $c_{q}^{p, q}: 1_{p} \otimes \mathbb{M}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{q}$ is defined similarly. When no confusion arises, these maps are simply denoted by $c$. Also, for $t \in[0,1]$, we denote by $\mathrm{ev}_{t}$ the evaluation map at $t$.

We shall define the category $\mathscr{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ as following.

- $\operatorname{Obj}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}\right)$ is the class of all the pairs $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}, \tau\right\rangle$, where $p, q$ are coprime and $\tau$ is a faithful tracial state on $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$.
- Every $L_{\mathrm{TC}^{*}}$-embedding between objects of $\mathscr{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a morphism of $\mathscr{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$.

We note that every trace on a dimension drop algebra bijectively corresponds to a probability Radon measure on $[0,1]$, as in the case of $\mathcal{A}_{p, n}$.

In [8], Xinhui Jiang and Hongbing Su constructed the so-called Jiang-Su algebra as an inductive limit of prime dimension drop algebras, and proved that it is the unique monotracial simple $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra among such inductive limits. Our goals here are to show that $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a Fraïssé category the limit of which is a simple $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra with a unique tracial state (so it is the Jiang-Su algebra), and to give a Fraïssé theoretic proof of the uniqueness result above by Jiang and Su .

The first step is to show that every unital *-homomorphism between dimension drop algebras are approximately diagonalizable in the following sense.

Proposition 2.2.1. Let $\iota: \mathcal{Z}_{p, q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$ be a unital $*$-homomorphism. Then the following statements hold.
(1) There exist integers $a, b$ with $0 \leq a<q$ and $0 \leq b<p$, continuous maps $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ from $[0,1]$ into $[0,1]$ and a family $\left\{v_{s}\right\}_{s \in[0,1]}$ of unitary matrices of size $p^{\prime} q^{\prime}$ such that $\iota$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota(f)(s)=\operatorname{Ad}\left(v_{s}\right)(\operatorname{diag}[\overbrace{c(f(0)), \ldots, c(f(0))}^{a} & , \\
& f\left(t_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{k}(s)\right), \underbrace{c(f(1)), \ldots, c(f(1))}_{b}])
\end{aligned}
$$

for $f \in \mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ and $s \in[0,1]$.
(2) Suppose that $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ are as in (1). Then for any finite $G \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ and any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a continuous path $u:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$ of unitaries such that the $*$-homomorphism $\iota^{\prime}: \mathcal{Z}_{p, q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota^{\prime}(f)(s)=\operatorname{Ad}(u(s))(\operatorname{diag} & {[\overbrace{c(f(0)), \ldots, c(f(0))}^{a},} \\
& f\left(t_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{k}(s)\right), \underbrace{c(f(1)), \ldots, c(f(1))}_{b}])
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfies $\left\|\iota(g)-\iota^{\prime}(g)\right\|<\varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$.
Proof. (1) For each $s \in[0,1]$, the map $\mathrm{ev}_{s} \circ \iota$ is a unital $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ into the finite dimensional $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $\mathbb{M}_{p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$, so there exist non-negative integers $a^{s}, b^{s}$,
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a unitary $v_{s}$ and real numbers $t_{1}^{s}, \ldots, t_{k^{s}}^{s} \in[0,1]$ such that the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ev}_{s} \circ \iota(f)=\operatorname{Ad}\left(v_{s}\right)(\operatorname{diag}[\overbrace{c(f(0)), \ldots, c(f(0))}^{a^{s}}, \\
& \quad f\left(t_{1}^{s}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{k^{s}}^{s}\right), \underbrace{c(f(1)), \ldots, c(f(1))}_{b^{s}}])
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for all $f \in \mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $t_{1}^{s} \leq \cdots \leq t_{k^{s}}^{s}$.
Let $T^{s}$ be the multiset

$$
\{\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{p a^{s}}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{s}, \ldots, t_{1}^{s}}_{p q}, \ldots, \underbrace{t_{k^{s}}^{s}, \ldots, t_{k^{s}}^{s}}_{p q}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{q b^{s}}\}
$$

and note that $T^{s}$ is equal to the spectrum of $\mathrm{ev}_{s} \circ \iota\left(\mathrm{id}_{[0,1]} \otimes 1\right)$. By continuity, if $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are close to each other, then so are $T_{s_{1}}$ and $T_{s_{2}}$ with respect to the Hausdorff distance, whence in particular $p a^{s}$ and $q b^{s}$ must be constant modulo $p q$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{diag}[\overbrace{c(f(0)), \ldots, c(f(0))}^{c}] \sim f(0), \\
& \operatorname{diag}[\underbrace{c(f(1)), \ldots, c(f(1))}_{p}] \sim f(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

we may assume from the outset that $a^{s}, b^{s}, k^{s}$ are independent of $s$, so we shall simply write $a, b, k$ for them. Also, by the same reason, we may assume $a<q$ and $b<p$.

Now set

$$
t_{i}(s):=t_{i}^{s} \quad(i=1, \ldots, k) .
$$

Then, since the inequality $t_{1}^{s} \leq \cdots \leq t_{k}$ holds and the map $s \mapsto T^{s}$ is continuous, the functions $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ are continuous. Clearly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota(f)(s)=\operatorname{Ad}\left(v_{s}\right)(\operatorname{diag} \overbrace{c(f(0)), \ldots, c(f(0))}^{a}, \\
& \\
&f\left(t_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{k}(s)\right), \underbrace{c(f(1)), \ldots, c(f(1))}_{b}]),
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
(2) Without loss of generality, we may assume that $G$ is included in the unit ball. Fix $s_{0} \in[0,1]$. We first claim that there exists $\delta\left(s_{0}\right)>0$ with the following property: if
$\left|s-s_{0}\right|<\delta\left(s_{0}\right)$, then there exists a unitary $w_{s_{0}} \in \mathbb{M}_{p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$ with $\left\|v_{s}-w_{s_{0}}\right\|<\varepsilon$ such that the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota(f)\left(s_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{s_{0}}\right)(\operatorname{diag}[\overbrace{c(f(0)), \ldots, c(f(0))}^{a}, \\
&f\left(t_{1}\left(s_{0}\right)\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{k}\left(s_{0}\right)\right), \underbrace{c(f(1)), \ldots, c(f(1))}_{b}])
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for all $f \in \mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$. To see this, let $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right\}$ be the set of distinct eigenvalues of $\mathrm{ev}_{s_{0}} \circ \iota\left(\mathrm{id}_{[0,1]} \otimes 1\right)$, and take mutually orthogonal non-negative continuous functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l}$ such that $f_{i}$ is constantly equal to 1 on some neighborhood of $x_{i}$ for each $i$. Note that if $\left\{e_{l m}^{i}\right\}$ is the system of standard matrix units of $\operatorname{ev}_{t_{i}}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}\right]$, then $\left\{\operatorname{ev}_{s_{0}} \circ \iota\left(f_{i} \otimes e_{l m}^{i}\right)\right\}_{i, l, m}$ forms a system of matrix units which spans $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{ev}_{s_{0}} \circ \iota\right)$, and if $s$ is sufficiently close to $s_{0}$, then $\left\{\mathrm{ev}_{s} \circ \iota\left(f_{i} \otimes e_{l m}^{i}\right)\right\}_{i, l, m}$ is a system of matrix units in $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{ev}_{s} \circ \iota\right)$ which is close to $\left\{\operatorname{ev}_{s_{0}} \circ \iota\left(f_{i} \otimes e_{l m}^{i}\right)\right\}_{i, l, m}$. Hence, as in the proof of [2, Lemma III.3.2], we can find a unitary $w$ with $\|w-1\|<\varepsilon$ such that

$$
w\left(\operatorname{ev}_{s_{0}} \circ \iota\left(f_{i} \otimes e_{l m}^{i}\right)\right) w^{*}=\operatorname{ev}_{s} \circ \iota\left(f_{i} \otimes e_{l m}^{i}\right),
$$

and $w_{s_{0}}:=v_{s} w$ has the desired property.
Now take $\delta_{0}>0$ sufficiently small so that the inequalities

$$
\left\|g\left(t_{i}(s)\right)-g\left(t_{i}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|<\varepsilon / 4, \quad\left\|\iota(g)(s)-\iota(g)\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon / 4
$$

hold for all $g \in G$ whenever $\left|s-s^{\prime}\right|<\delta_{0}$, and consider an open covering

$$
\mathscr{U}:=\left\{U_{\delta}(s) \mid s \in[0,1] \& \delta<\min \left\{\delta(s), \delta_{0}\right\}\right\}
$$

of $[0,1]$, where $U_{\delta}(s)$ denotes the open ball of radius $\delta$ and center $s$. Since $[0,1]$ is compact, there exists a finite subcovering, say $\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{r}\right\}$. We denote the center of $I_{j}$ by $c_{j}$, and without loss of generality, we may assume $c_{1}<\cdots<c_{r}$ and $I_{j} \cap I_{j+1} \neq \varnothing$ for all $j$. Take small $\gamma>0$ and $b_{j} \in I_{j} \cap I_{j+1} \cap\left(c_{j}+\gamma, c_{j+1}-\gamma\right)$ for each $j$, and find a unitary $u \in \mathcal{A}_{p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$ such that

- $u\left(b_{j}\right)$ is equal to $v_{b_{j}}$ for all $j$;
- $u(0)$ and $u(1)$ are equal to $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$ respectively;
- the image of $u$ on $\left[c_{j}+\gamma, c_{j+1}-\gamma\right]$ is included in the $\varepsilon / 4$-ball of center $u\left(b_{j}\right)=v_{b_{j}}$;
- the images of $u$ on $\left[0, c_{1}-\gamma\right]$ and $\left[c_{r}+\gamma, 1\right]$ are included in the $\varepsilon / 4$-balls of center $u(0)=v_{0}$ and $u(1)=v_{1}$ respectively; and
- the image of $u$ on $\left[c_{j}-\gamma, c_{j}+\gamma\right]$ is included in the path-connected subset

$$
\left\{w \mid \iota(f)\left(c_{j}\right)=\operatorname{Ad}\left(w v_{c_{j}}^{*}\right)\left(\iota(f)\left(c_{j}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

of unitaries,
which is possible by the claim we proved in the previous paragraph.
We shall set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota^{\prime}(f)(s)=\operatorname{Ad}(u(s))(\operatorname{diag}[\overbrace{c(f(0)), \ldots, c(f(0))}^{a}, \\
&f\left(t_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{k}(s)\right), \underbrace{c(f(1)), \ldots, c(f(1))}_{b}])
\end{aligned}
$$

and show that this $\iota^{\prime}$ has the desired property. For $g \in G$ and $t \in\left[c_{j}+\gamma, c_{j+1}-\gamma\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\iota^{\prime}(g)(s)-\iota(g)(s)\right\| & \leq\left\|\iota^{\prime}(g)(s)-\iota(g)\left(b_{j}\right)\right\|+\left\|\iota(g)\left(b_{j}\right)-\iota(g)(s)\right\| \\
& <\frac{3 \varepsilon}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}=\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

The same inequality holds if $t$ is in $\left[0, c_{1}-\gamma\right]$ or $\left[c_{r}+\gamma, 1\right]$. On the other hand, if $t \in\left[c_{j}-\gamma, c_{j}+\gamma\right]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\iota^{\prime}(g)(s)-\iota(g)(s)\right\| & \leq\left\|\iota^{\prime}(g)(s)-\iota(g)\left(c_{j}\right)\right\|+\left\|\iota(g)\left(c_{j}\right)-\iota(g)(s)\right\| \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}<\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, it follows that

$$
\left\|\iota^{\prime}(g)-\iota(g)\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

for all $g \in G$, which completes the proof.
The family $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ of continuous maps and the integers $a$ and $b$ that appeared in Proposition 2.2.1 are called an eigenvalue pattern and the remainder indices of the *homomorphism $\iota$. An eigenvalue pattern $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ is said to be normalized if it satisfies the inequality $t_{1} \leq \cdots \leq t_{k}$. Note that the normalized eigenvalue pattern is unique for each $*$-homomorphism. Also, if $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ is prime, then the remainder indices depend only
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on the integers $p, q, p^{\prime}$ and $q^{\prime}$. Indeed, if $\eta$ is another $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ into $\mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$, and if $a_{\eta}$ and $b_{\eta}$ are the remainder indices of $\eta$, then the congruence equation

$$
p a+q b \equiv p^{\prime} q^{\prime} \equiv p a_{\eta}+q b_{\eta} \quad(\bmod p q)
$$

holds, so that

$$
a \equiv a_{\eta} \quad(\bmod q), \quad b \equiv b_{\eta} \quad(\bmod p),
$$

as $p$ and $q$ are coprime.
Let $\iota$ be a *-homomorphism between dimension drop algebras with an eigenvalue pattern $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$. As in the preceding section, we shall denote by $V\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ the maximum of the diameters of the images of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$, and call it the variation of the eigenvalue pattern. The infimum of the variations of all the eigenvalue patterns of $\iota$ is clearly equal to the variation of the normalized eigenvalue pattern, which is called the variation of $\iota$ and denoted by $V(\iota)$.

Proposition 2.2.2. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ be coprime and $\varepsilon$ be a positive real number. Then there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}$ are larger than $M$, then there exists a unital embedding of $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ into $\mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$ with its variation less than $\varepsilon$.

Proof. Since $p$ and $q$ are coprime, there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ with $M \geq p q(1 / \varepsilon+2)$ such that if $p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}>M$, then

$$
p a+p q k+q b=p^{\prime} q^{\prime}
$$

for some $a, b, k \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall show that this $M$ has the desired property. For this, suppose $p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}>M$ and $a, b, k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the equality above. Without loss of generality, we may assume $0 \leq a<q$ and $0 \leq b<p$. Also, one can find $l^{0}, m^{0} \in[0, q)$ and $l^{1}, m^{1} \in[0, p)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p l^{0} \equiv p^{\prime} \quad(\bmod q), \quad p m^{0} \equiv q^{\prime} \quad(\bmod q), \\
& q l^{1} \equiv p^{\prime} \quad(\bmod p), q m^{1} \equiv q^{\prime} \quad(\bmod p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p q^{\prime} l^{0} \equiv p p^{\prime} m^{0} \equiv p^{\prime} q^{\prime} \equiv p a \quad(\bmod q), \\
q q^{\prime} l^{1} \equiv q p^{\prime} m^{1} \equiv p^{\prime} q^{\prime} \equiv q b \quad(\bmod p),
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
q^{\prime} l^{0} \equiv p^{\prime} m^{0} \equiv a \quad(\bmod q), \quad q^{\prime} l^{1} \equiv p^{\prime} m^{1} \equiv b \quad(\bmod p)
$$
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We set

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n_{0}^{0}:=\frac{q^{\prime} l^{0}-a}{q}, & n_{0}^{1}:=\frac{q^{\prime} l^{1}-b}{p}, \\
n_{0}^{0}:=\frac{p^{\prime} m^{0}-a}{q}, & n_{0}^{1}:=\frac{p^{\prime} m^{1}-b}{p} .
\end{array}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a+q n_{0}^{0} \equiv b+p n_{0}^{1} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod q^{\prime}\right), \\
& a+q n_{1}^{0} \equiv b+p n_{1}^{1} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that

- $n_{0}^{0}+n_{0}^{1}$ and $n_{1}^{0}+n_{1}^{1}$ are smaller than $k$;
- $k-n_{0}^{0}-n_{0}^{1}$ and $k-n_{1}^{0}-n_{1}^{1}$ are multiples of $q^{\prime}$ and $p^{\prime}$ respectively; and
- $\left(k-n_{0}^{0}-n_{0}^{1}\right) / q^{\prime}$ and $\left(k-n_{1}^{0}-n_{1}^{1}\right) / p^{\prime}$ are larger than $1 / \varepsilon$.

Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{0}^{0}+n_{0}^{1} & =\frac{q^{\prime} l^{0}-a}{q}+\frac{q^{\prime} l^{1}-b}{p} \\
& =\frac{q^{\prime}\left(p l^{0}+q l^{1}\right)-p a-q b}{p q} \\
& <\frac{2 q^{\prime} p q-p^{\prime} q^{\prime}+p q k}{p q}<k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, note that

$$
p q\left(k-n_{0}^{0}-n_{0}^{1}\right)=p^{\prime} q^{\prime}-p q^{\prime} l^{0}-q q^{\prime} l^{1}=q^{\prime}\left(p^{\prime}-p l^{0}-q l^{1}\right) .
$$

Since $p$ and $q$ divide $p^{\prime}-q l^{1}$ and $p^{\prime}-p l^{0}$ respectively, and since $p$ and $q$ are coprime, it follows that $p q$ divides $p^{\prime}-p l^{0}-q l^{1}$, so $q^{\prime}$ divides $k-n_{0}^{0}-n_{0}^{1}$; and

$$
\frac{k-n_{0}^{0}-n_{0}^{1}}{q^{\prime}}=\frac{p^{\prime}-p l^{0}-q l^{1}}{p q}>\frac{p^{\prime}-2 p q}{p q}>\frac{1}{\varepsilon} .
$$

Similarly, it follows that $n_{1}^{0}+n_{1}^{1}$ is smaller than $k$, that $p^{\prime}$ divides $k-n_{1}^{0}+n_{1}^{1}$, and that $\left(k-n_{1}^{0}-n_{1}^{1}\right) / p^{\prime}$ is larger than $1 / \varepsilon$.

From the claim in the previous paragraph, one can easily construct a family $t_{1}, \ldots t_{k}$ of continuous maps from $[0,1]$ into $[0,1]$ such that

- the union of the images of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ is equal to $[0,1]$;
- the diameter of the image of $t_{i}$ is smaller than $\varepsilon$ for all $i$;
- $\#\left\{i \mid t_{i}(x)=y\right\}=n_{x}^{y}$ for $x, y=0,1$; and
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- for each $y$ with $0<y<1$, the integers $q^{\prime}$ and $p^{\prime}$ divide $\#\left\{i \mid t_{i}(0)=y\right\}$ and $\#\left\{i \mid t_{i}(1)=y\right\}$ respectively.
If we define a $*$-homomorphism $\eta$ from $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ into $\mathcal{A}_{1, p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta(f)(s)=(\operatorname{diag}[\overbrace{c(f(0)), \ldots, c(f(0))}^{a}, \\
&f\left(t_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{k}(s)\right), \underbrace{c(f(1)), \ldots, c(f(1))}_{b}])
\end{aligned}
$$

then one can easily verify from the construction of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ that the images of $\mathrm{ev}_{0} \circ \eta$ and $\mathrm{ev}_{1} \circ \eta$ are included in isomorphic copies of $\mathbb{M}_{p^{\prime}} \otimes 1_{q^{\prime}}$ and $1_{p^{\prime}} \otimes \mathbb{M}_{q^{\prime}}$ respectively, so there is a unitary $u \in \mathcal{A}_{1, p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$ with $\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Ad}(u) \circ \eta) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$.

Note that the integers $a$ and $b$ in the proof of the previous proposition is the reminder indices of the embedding that is constructed. In particular, both of the indices are equal to 0 if $p q$ divides $p^{\prime} q^{\prime}$.

For the next proposition, we shall introduce a terminology. By definition, a modulus of uniform continuity of a function $f$ on $[0,1]$ is a map $\Delta_{f}:(0,1] \rightarrow(0,1]$ such that $\left|s-s^{\prime}\right|<\Delta_{f}(\varepsilon)$ implies $\left\|f(s)-f\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq \varepsilon$.

Proposition 2.2.3. Let $p, q$ be coprime positive integers, $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}: \mathcal{Z}_{p, q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$ be unital *-homomorphisms with eigenvalue patterns $t_{1}^{1}, \ldots t_{k}^{1}$ and $t_{1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{k}^{2}$ respectively, $G$ be a finite subset of $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$, and $\varepsilon$ be a positive real number. If the inequality

$$
\max _{i}\left\|t_{i}^{1}-t_{i}^{2}\right\|_{\infty}<\min _{g \in G} \Delta_{g}(\varepsilon)
$$

holds, where $\Delta_{g}$ is a modulus of uniform continuity of $g$, then there exists a unitary $w \in \mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$ with

$$
\left\|\left(\operatorname{Ad}(w) \circ \iota_{1}\right)(g)-\iota_{2}(g)\right\|<5 \varepsilon
$$

for all $g \in G$.
Proof. By Proposition 2.2.1, we may assume without loss of generality that $\iota_{j}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota_{j}(f)(s)=\operatorname{Ad}\left(u^{j}(s)\right)(\operatorname{diag} \overbrace{c(f(0)), \ldots, c(f(0))}^{a}, \\
& f\left(t_{1}^{j}(s)\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{k}^{j}(s)\right), \\
&c(\underbrace{f(1)), \ldots, c(f(1))}_{b}])
\end{aligned}
$$

for $f \in \mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$, where $u^{j} \in \mathcal{A}_{1, p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$ is a unitary and $t_{1}^{j} \leq \cdots \leq t_{k}^{j}$. Also, we may assume that $\|g\| \leq 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $n_{0}^{0}$ and $n_{0}^{1}$ be the least non-negative integers such that

$$
a+q n_{0}^{0} \equiv b+p n_{0}^{1} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod q^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then, from the condition $\iota_{j}(f) \in \mathbb{M}_{p^{\prime}} \otimes 1_{q^{\prime}}$, it easily follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=t_{n_{0}^{0}}^{j}(0) & \leq t_{n_{0}^{0}+1}^{j}(0)=\cdots=t_{n_{0}^{0}+q^{\prime}}^{j}(0) \\
& \leq t_{n_{0}^{0}+q^{\prime}+1}^{j}(0)=\cdots=t_{n_{0}^{0}+2 q^{\prime}}^{j}(0) \\
& \leq \cdots \leq t_{k-n_{0}^{1}+1}^{j}(0)=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

so there exists a unitary $v_{0}^{j} \in \mathbb{M}_{p^{\prime}}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(\iota_{j}(f)(0)\right)=\operatorname{Ad}\left(v_{0}^{j}\right)(\operatorname{diag}[\overbrace{c(f(0)), \ldots, c(f(0))}^{a^{\prime}}, & \\
& f\left(t_{n_{0}^{0}+q^{\prime}}^{j}(0)\right), f\left(t_{n_{0}^{0}+2 q^{\prime}}^{j}(0)\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{k-n_{0}^{1}}^{j}(0)\right), \\
& \underbrace{c(f(1)), \ldots, c(f(1))}_{b^{\prime}}])
\end{aligned}
$$

for some non-negative integers $a^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime}$. Similarly, for suitable non-negative integers $n_{1}^{0}, n_{1}^{1}, a^{\prime \prime}$ and $b^{\prime \prime}$ and a unitary $v_{1}^{j} \in \mathbb{M}_{q^{\prime}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(\iota_{j}(f)(1)\right)=\operatorname{Ad}\left(v_{1}^{j}\right)(\operatorname{diag}[\overbrace{c(f(0)), \ldots, c(f(0))}, & \\
& \left.a_{\left(t_{n_{1}^{0}+p^{\prime}}^{j}(1)\right), f\left(t_{n_{1}^{0}+2 p^{\prime}}^{j}\right.}^{a^{\prime \prime}}(1)\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{k-n_{1}^{1}}^{j}(1)\right), \\
& \underbrace{c(f(1)), \ldots, c(f(1))}_{b^{\prime \prime}}]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $v$ is a path of unitaries connecting $\left[v_{0}^{2}\left(v_{0}^{1}\right)^{*}\right] \otimes 1_{q^{\prime}}$ to $1_{p^{\prime}} \otimes\left[v_{1}^{2}\left(v_{1}^{1}\right)^{*}\right]$, then $v$ is in $\mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$ and $\left(\operatorname{Ad}(v) \circ \iota_{1}\right)(f)(s)=\left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(u^{2}\left(u^{1}\right)^{*}\right) \circ \iota_{1}\right)(f)(s)$ for $s=0,1$. Therefore, considering $\operatorname{Ad}(v) \circ \iota_{1}$ instead of $\iota_{1}$ if necessary, we may assume from the outset that $u^{2}(0) u^{1}(0)^{*}$ and $u^{2}(1) u^{1}(1)^{*}$ commutes with every matrix in the image of $\mathrm{ev}_{0} \circ \iota_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ev}_{1} \circ \iota_{1}$.
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Now, take $\delta>0$ so that $\left|s-s^{\prime}\right|<\delta$ implies

$$
\left|t_{i}^{j}(s)-t_{i}^{j}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right|<\min _{g \in G} \Delta_{g}(\varepsilon), \quad\left\|u^{j}(s)-u^{j}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon .
$$

Let $w:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$ be a path of unitaries such that

- $\left.w\right|_{[0, \delta / 2]}$ connects $1_{p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$ to $u^{2}(0) u^{1}(0)^{*}$ within the commutant of the image of $\mathrm{ev}_{0} \circ \iota_{1}$;
- $w(s)=u^{2}\left(2 s-\delta^{\prime}\right) u^{1}(2 s-\delta)^{*}$ for $s \in[\delta / 2, \delta]$;
- $w(s)=u^{2}(s) u^{1}(s)^{*}$ for $s \in[\delta, 1-\delta]$;
- $w(s)=u^{2}(2 s-1+\delta) u^{1}(2 s-1+\delta)^{*}$ for $s \in[1-\delta, 1-\delta / 2]$; and
- $\left.w\right|_{[1-\delta / 2,1]}$ connects $u^{2}(1) u^{1}(1)^{*}$ to $1_{p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$ within the commutant of the image of $\mathrm{ev}_{0} \circ \iota_{1}$. Then it is not difficult to see that this $w$ has the desired property.

Lemma 2.2.4. If $\mu$ is an atomless faithful probability measure on $[0,1]$, then for any faithful probability measure $v$ on $[0,1]$, there exists a non-decreasing continuous surjection $\beta$ from $[0,1]$ onto $[0,1]$ with $\beta_{*}(\mu)=v$, so that $\beta^{*}$ is a trace-preserving embedding of $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}, v\right\rangle$ into $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}, \mu\right\rangle$.

Proof. We first assume that the measure $\mu$ is equal to the Lebesgue measure $\lambda$ and set $\alpha(t):=v([0, t))$. Note that $\alpha$ is a strictly increasing lower semi-continuous function from $[0,1]$ into $[0,1]$. Let $\beta$ be the unique non-decreasing function extending $\alpha^{-1}$. Then,

$$
\beta_{*}(\lambda)([0, t))=\lambda\left(\beta^{-1}[[0, t)]\right)=\lambda([0, \alpha(t)))=\alpha(t)=v([0, t)),
$$

so $\beta_{*}(\lambda)$ is equal to $v$. Also, if $v$ is atomless, then $\alpha$ is continuous, whence $\beta=\alpha^{-1}$ is a homeomorphism.

For the general case, let $\beta_{\mu}, \beta_{\nu}$ be the non-decreasing continuous functions such that $\left(\beta_{\mu}\right)_{*}(\lambda)=\mu$ and $\left(\beta_{v}\right)_{*}(\lambda)=v$. Then $\beta_{\mu}$ is a homeomorphism and $\beta:=\beta_{v} \circ \beta_{\mu}^{-1}$ satisfies $\beta_{*}(\mu)=\nu$, which completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2.5. The category $\mathscr{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a Fraïsé category.
Proof. In view of Lemma 2.2.4, one can easily modify the proof of Proposition 2.2.2 to show the following claim: For any coprime integers $p$ and $q$ and any faithful tracial state $\tau$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$, there exists a natural number $M$ such that if $p^{\prime}$ and $q^{\prime}$ are larger than $M$ and $p q$ divides $p^{\prime} q^{\prime}$, then we can construct a trace-preserving *-homomorphism from $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}, \tau\right\rangle$ into $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}, \lambda\right\rangle$, where $\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure. So $\mathscr{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ satisfies JEP. Also, a combination of Propositions 2.2.1, 2.2.2 and 2.2.3 immediately yields a proof of NAP:

The proof is essentially the same as that of Theorem 2.1.4. Since any object $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}, \tau\right\rangle$ of $\mathscr{K}_{Z}$ can be embedded into $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}, \lambda\right\rangle$ by Lemma 2.2.4, WPP holds. Finally, CCP is automatic, since all the relevant functions and relations are 1-Lipschitz on the unit ball.

Henceforth, we shall denote by $\langle\mathcal{Z}$, tr $\rangle$ the Fraïssé limit of $\mathscr{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$. From Theorem 2.2.13 below, it follows that the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{Z}$ is the same as the one constructed in [8, Section 2], the so-called Jiang-Su algebra.

We shall say an inductive system of prime dimension drop algebras with distinguished traces is regular if its inductive limit is isomorphic to $\langle\mathcal{Z}, \operatorname{tr}\rangle$. In the sequel, we shall establish a method of recognizing regular systems.

Lemma 2.2.6. Suppose that $p$ and $q$ are coprime and $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ is embeddable into $\mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$. Then there exists a tracial state $\lambda_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ with the following properties.
(1) There exists a trace-preserving embedding from $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}, \lambda_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ into $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}, \lambda\right\rangle$.
(2) If $\tau$ is a tracial state on $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ of the form $\iota^{*}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$ for some embedding $\iota$ of $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ into $\mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$ and some faithful tracial state $\tau^{\prime}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$, then there exists a non-decreasing continuous map $\beta$ from $[0,1]$ onto $[0,1]$ with $\beta_{*}\left(\lambda_{p, q}\right)=\tau$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ is embeddable into $\mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$, there is an embedding $\rho$ of $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ into $\mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$ of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(f)(s)=\operatorname{Ad}(u(s))(\operatorname{diag}[\overbrace{c(f(0)), \ldots, c(f(0))}^{a}, \\
& \\
&f\left(t_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{k}(s)\right), \underbrace{c(f(1)), \ldots, c(f(1))}_{b}]),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ are piecewise strictly monotone functions such that the union of the images is equal to $[0,1]$. We shall set $\lambda_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}:=\rho^{*}(\lambda)$. Note that if $\lambda_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}=\lambda_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{d}+\lambda_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{c}$, is the Lebesgue decomposition, then the discrete measure $\lambda_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{d}$ is equal to $\left(a p \delta_{0}+b q \delta_{1}\right) / p^{\prime} q^{\prime}$, where $\delta_{0}$ and $\delta_{1}$ are the Dirac measures supported on $\{0\}$ and $\{1\}$ respectively, and the support of the atomless measure $\lambda_{p, q}^{c}$ is $[0,1]$.

If $\tau$ is of the form $\iota^{*}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$ for some embedding $\iota$ of $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ into $\mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$ and some faithful tracial state $\tau^{\prime}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$, then necessarily $\tau=\lambda_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{d}+\mu$ for a suitable measure $\mu$ on $[0,1]$, and $\left\|\lambda_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{c}\right\|=\|\mu\|$. Since $\lambda_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{c}$ is continuous, there exists a non-decreasing continuous map $\beta$ from $[0,1]$ onto $[0,1]$ with $\beta_{*}\left(\lambda_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{c}\right)=\mu$, so $\beta_{*}\left(\lambda_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}\right)=\tau$, as desired.

Lemma 2.2.7. Let $\iota: \mathcal{Z}_{p, q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$ be a unital $*$-homomorphism, $\beta:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a non-decreasing continuous surjection, $G$ be a finite subset of $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$, and $\varepsilon$ be a positive real number. Suppose that the inequality

$$
V(\iota)<\min _{g \in G} \Delta_{g}(\varepsilon)
$$

holds, where $\Delta_{g}$ denotes a modulus of uniform continuity of $g$. Then there exists a unitary $w \in \mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ with

$$
\left\|\left(\operatorname{Ad}(w) \circ \beta^{*} \circ \iota\right)(g)-\iota(g)\right\|<5 \varepsilon
$$

for all $g \in G$.
Proof. Note that if $t_{1} \leq \cdots \leq t_{k}$ is the normalized eigenvalue pattern of $\iota$, then

$$
\left\|t_{i}-t_{i} \circ \beta\right\|_{\infty}<\min _{g \in G} \Delta_{g}(\varepsilon) .
$$

Thus, the claim is immediate from Proposition 2.2.3.
At first sight, the proof of the following proposition might seem to be complicated. However, the underlying idea is very simple; see Remark 2.2.9.

Proposition 2.2.8. An inductive system $\left\{\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}, \tau_{n}\right\rangle, \iota_{n, m}\right\}$ of prime dimension drop algebras with distinguished traces is regular if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} V\left(\iota_{n, m}\right)=0
$$

for all $m$.
Proof. We shall apply Theorem 1.3.5(iii), or more precisely, a weaker version of Lemma 1.3.6. Let $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}, \tau\right\rangle$ be a prime dimension drop algebra with a fixed faithful trace, $F$ be a finite subset of $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$, and $\varphi$ be a strict approximate $\mathscr{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$-isomorphism from $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}, \tau\right\rangle$ to $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}, \tau_{n}\right\rangle$. Our goal is to find an approximate $\mathscr{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$-isomorphism $\psi$ from $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}, \tau\right\rangle$ to $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{N}, q_{N}}, \tau_{N}\right\rangle$ for some $N>n$ such that

- $\psi(f, g) \leq \varphi(f, g)$ for $f \in \mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ and $g \in \mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}$, and
- $\psi$ is $\varepsilon$-total on $F$ for a given $\varepsilon>0$.

By the definition of strict approximate isomorphisms, there exist finite subsets $G_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ and $G_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}$, morphisms $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ from $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}, \tau\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}, \tau_{n}\right\rangle$ into some $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{r, s}, \sigma\right\rangle$, and a positive real number $\delta$ such that

$$
\varphi \geq\left.\left(\left.\varphi_{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}}\right|_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\right)\right|^{Z_{p, q} \times \mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}}+\delta .
$$

Here, we may assume the following. Fix an arbitrary positive real number $\gamma$.
(1) The subset $G_{1}$ includes $F$. This is because we may replace $G_{1}$ with a larger subset.
(2) There exist $m<n$ and a finite subset $G_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_{p_{m}, q_{m}}$ such that $\iota_{n, m}\left[G_{2}^{\prime}\right]=G_{2}$ and $V\left(\iota_{n, m}\right)<\Delta_{g}(\gamma)$ for all $g \in G_{2}^{\prime}$, where $\Delta_{g}$ is a modulus of uniform continuity for $g$. This is because, taking our goal into account, we may replace $\varphi$ with $\left.\varphi\right|^{Z_{p, q} \times \mathcal{Z}_{p l}, q_{l}}$ for $l>n$, and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\varphi\right|^{\mathcal{Z}_{p, q} \times \mathcal{Z}_{p_{l}, q_{l}}} & \geq\left[\left.\left.\left(\left.\varphi_{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}}\right|_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\right)\right|^{\left.\mathcal{Z}_{p, q} \times \mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}+\delta\right]}\right|^{\mathcal{Z}_{p, q} \times \mathcal{Z}_{p_{l}, q_{l}}}\right. \\
& =\left.\left(\left.\varphi_{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}}\right|_{G_{1} \times l_{l, n}}\left[G_{2}\right]\right)\right|^{\mathcal{Z}_{p, q} \times \mathcal{Z}_{p n, q_{n}}+\delta .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) The embedding $\theta_{1}$ satisfies $V\left(\theta_{1}\right)<\Delta_{f}(\gamma)$ for all $f \in G_{1}$, by Proposition 2.2.2.
(4) The tracial state $\sigma$ is atomless, by Lemma 2.2.4.

Now, take sufficiently large $N$ so that there exists an embedding $\zeta$ of $\mathcal{Z}_{r, s}$ into $\mathcal{Z}_{p_{N}, q_{N}}$ with $V(\zeta)<\Delta_{g}(\gamma)$ for all $g \in \theta_{i}\left[G_{i}\right]$. Let $\lambda$ be the tracial state on $\mathcal{Z}_{p_{N}, q_{N}}$ corresponding to the Lebesgue measure, $\alpha$ be the nondecreasing surjective continuous map from $[0,1]$ to $[0,1]$ with $\alpha_{*}(\lambda)=\tau_{N}$, and $\Sigma_{\alpha}$ be the closed subset of $[0,1]$ such that $f \in \mathcal{Z}_{p_{N}, q_{N}}$ is in the image of $\alpha^{*}$ if and only if $f$ is constant on $\Sigma_{\alpha}$. Also, let $\lambda_{p_{N}, q_{N}}$ be the tracial state on $\mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}$ as in Lemma 2.2.6, and set

$$
\sigma^{\prime}:=\zeta^{*}(\lambda), \quad \tau^{\prime}:=\theta_{1}^{*}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right), \quad \tau_{n}^{\prime}:=\theta_{2}^{*}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)
$$

By Lemmas 2.2.6 and 2.2.7 and assumption (2) in the first paragraph, there exists a morphism $\eta$ from $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}, \tau_{n}\right\rangle$ to $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}, \lambda_{p_{N}, q_{N}}\right\rangle$ with

$$
\|\eta(g)-g\|<5 \gamma \quad\left(g \in G_{2}\right)
$$

Similarly, there exists a morphism $\eta^{\prime}$ from $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}, \tau_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ to $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}, \lambda_{p_{N}, q_{N}}\right\rangle$ with

$$
\left\|\eta^{\prime}(g)-g\right\|<5 \gamma \quad\left(g \in G_{2}\right) .
$$

Also, by Lemmas 2.2.4 and 2.2.7 and assumption (3) in the first paragraph, there exists a morphism $\rho$ from $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{r, s}, \sigma^{\prime}\right\rangle$ to $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{r, s}, \sigma\right\rangle$ with

$$
\|\rho(f)-f\|<5 \gamma \quad\left(f \in \theta_{1}\left[G_{1}\right]\right)
$$

Finally, by Lemma 2.2.6, one can find a morphism $\iota$ from $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}, \lambda_{p_{N}, q_{N}}\right\rangle$ to $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{N}, q_{N}}, \lambda\right\rangle$. Here, by Proposition 2.2.3 and assumption (2) in the first paragraph, we can modify $\iota$ and $\zeta$ by inner automorphisms so that

$$
\left\|\alpha^{*} \circ \iota_{N, n}(g)-\iota \circ \eta(g)\right\|<5 \gamma, \quad\left\|\zeta \circ \theta_{2}(g)-\iota \circ \eta^{\prime}(g)\right\|<5 \gamma \quad\left(g \in G_{2}\right)
$$

### 2.2. THE JIANG-SU ALGEBRA

Furthermore, by Proposition 2.2.1, we may assume that $\zeta$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta(f)(s)=\operatorname{Ad}(u(s))(\operatorname{diag} & {[c(f(0)), \ldots, c(f(0))} \\
& \left.\left.f\left(t_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{k}(s)\right), c(f(1)), \ldots, c(f(1))\right]\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ is the normalized eigenvalue pattern of $\zeta$. Since $V(\zeta)<\Delta_{g}(\gamma)$ for all $g \in \theta_{i}\left[G_{i}\right]$, and since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\zeta \circ \theta_{2}(g)-\alpha^{*} \circ \iota_{N, n}(g)\right\| \\
< & \left\|\zeta \circ \theta_{2}(g)-\iota \circ \eta^{\prime}(g)\right\|+\left\|\iota \circ \eta^{\prime}(g)-\iota \circ \eta(g)\right\|+\left\|\iota \circ \eta(g)-\alpha^{*} \circ \iota_{N, n}(g)\right\| \\
< & 20 \gamma,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $g \in G_{2}$, one can easily modify the unitary $u$ as in the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 2.2.3 so that $u$ is constant on $\Sigma_{\alpha}$ while $\zeta$ still satisfies the inequality

$$
\left\|\zeta \circ \theta_{2}(g)-\alpha^{*} \circ \iota_{N, n}(g)\right\|<100 \gamma \quad\left(g \in G_{2}\right) .
$$

Then, since $u$ is constant on $\Sigma_{\alpha}$ and $V(\zeta)<\Delta_{f}(\gamma)$ for all $f \in \theta_{1}\left[G_{1}\right]$, the inequality

$$
\inf _{g \in \mathcal{Z}_{p_{N}, q_{N}}}\left\|\zeta \circ \theta_{1}(f)-\alpha^{*}(g)\right\|<\gamma
$$

holds for all $f \in G_{1}$.


Set $\psi:=\varphi_{\zeta, \alpha^{*}} \varphi_{\theta_{1}, \rho}$. Then, for $f \in G_{1}$ and $g \in G_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(f, \iota_{N, n}(g)\right) & \leq \varphi_{\theta_{1}, \rho}\left(f, \theta_{1}(f)\right)+\varphi_{\zeta, \alpha^{*}}\left(\theta_{1}(f), \iota_{N, n}(g)\right) \\
& =\left\|\theta_{1}(f)-\rho \circ \theta_{1}(f)\right\|+\left\|\zeta \circ \theta_{1}(f)-\alpha^{*} \circ \iota_{N, n}(g)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\zeta \circ \theta_{1}(f)-\zeta \circ \theta_{2}(g)\right\|+105 \gamma \\
& =\varphi_{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}}(f, g)+105 \gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, since $\left\|\theta_{1}(f)-\rho \circ \theta_{1}(f)\right\|<5 \gamma$ and $\inf _{g}\left\|\zeta \circ \theta_{1}(f)-\alpha^{*}(g)\right\|<\gamma$, one can easily see that $\psi$ is $6 \gamma$-total on $G_{1}$. Since $\gamma$ was arbitrary, we may assume $\gamma<\min \{\varepsilon / 6, \delta / 105\}$ so that $\psi$ has the desired property.

Remark 2.2.9. Here, for the reader's better understanding, we shall present a simpler version of the proof above in a certain special case. Let $\varphi$ be a strict approximate $\mathscr{K}_{\mathcal{Z}^{-}}$ isomorphism from an object $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}, \tau\right\rangle$ of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ to $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}, \tau_{n}\right\rangle$ and $F$ be a finite subset of $\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$. Then there exist finite subsets $G_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_{p, q}$ and $G_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}$, a joint $\mathcal{K}$-embedding $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ of $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p, q}, \tau\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}, \tau_{n}\right\rangle$ into some $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{r, s}, \sigma\right\rangle$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\varphi \geq\left.\left(\left.\varphi_{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}}\right|_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\right)\right|^{\mathcal{Z}_{p, q} \times \mathcal{Z}_{p n, q_{n}}}+\delta .
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $G_{1}$ includes $F$.
Now, assume that there happens to be a trace-preserving *-homomorphism $\zeta^{\prime}$ from $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{r, s}, \sigma\right\rangle$ to $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{N}, q_{N}}, \tau_{N}\right\rangle$ for sufficiently large $N$. Since $V\left(\iota_{N, m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for each $m$, we may assume that both $V\left(\iota_{N, n}\right)$ and $V\left(\zeta^{\prime} \circ \theta_{2}\right)$ are smaller than $\delta / 5$, whence there is a unitary $u$ in $\mathcal{Z}_{p_{N}, q_{N}}$ with

$$
\left\|\left(\operatorname{Ad}(u) \circ \zeta^{\prime} \circ \theta_{2}\right)(g)-\iota_{N, n}(g)\right\|<\delta
$$

for all $g \in G_{2}$. Now, set $\psi:=\varphi_{\zeta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \theta_{1}}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(f, \iota_{N, n}(g)\right) & =\left\|\zeta^{\prime} \circ \theta_{1}(f)-\iota_{N, n}(g)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\zeta^{\prime} \circ \theta_{1}(f)-\zeta^{\prime} \circ \theta_{2}(g)\right\|+\left\|\zeta^{\prime} \circ \theta_{2}(g)-\iota_{N, n}(g)\right\| \\
& <\varphi_{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}}(f, g)+\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\psi \leq\left.\varphi\right|^{Z_{p, q} \times \mathcal{Z}_{p_{N}, q_{N}}}$. Clearly, $\psi$ is $\varepsilon$-total for any $\varepsilon>0$, since

$$
\inf _{g \in \mathcal{Z}_{P_{N}, q_{N}}} \psi(f, g)=0
$$

for all $f$. This was what we would like to show, in view of Theorem 1.3.5.
In general, $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{r, s}, \sigma\right\rangle$ is not necessarily embeddable into some $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{N}, q_{N}}, \tau_{N}\right\rangle$, however. This is why we need to approximate the measures $\tau_{N}$ and $\sigma$ by $\lambda$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ in the original proof above, which causes all the other additional steps.

In the sequel, we fix an inductive system $\left\{\iota_{n, m}: \mathcal{Z}_{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}\right\}$ of prime dimension drop algebras and write its limit by $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$. Note that every $*$-homomorphism between prime dimension drop algebras is automatically unital and injective, and $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$ admits a tracial state. We also let $t_{1}^{m, n} \leq \cdots \leq t_{k(m, n)}^{m, n}$ be the normalized eigenvalue pattern of $t_{n, m}$.

Lemma 2.2.10. The following two conditions are equivalent.
(1) The limit $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$ is simple.
(2) For any $\varepsilon>0$, any $y \in[0,1]$ and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n>m$ such that if $x \in[0,1]$ satisfies $t_{i}^{m, n}(x)=y$ for some $i$, then the Hausdorff distance between $\left\{t_{1}^{m, n}(x), \ldots, t_{k(m, n)}^{m, n}(x)\right\}$ and $[0,1]$ is less than $\varepsilon$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Suppose that (2) does not hold. Then there exist $\varepsilon>0, y_{0} \in[0,1]$ and $m_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n>m_{0}$ there is $x_{n} \in[0,1]$ with $t_{i}^{m_{0}, n}\left(x_{n}\right)=y_{0}$ for some $i$ and

$$
d\left(\left\{t_{1}^{m_{0}, n}\left(x_{n}\right), \ldots, t_{k\left(m_{0}, n\right)}^{m_{0}, n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\},[0,1]\right) \geq \varepsilon .
$$

Take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $1 / N<\varepsilon / 2$. For each $n>m_{0}$ there is $a(n) \in\{0, \ldots, N\}$ with

$$
U_{1 / N}(a(n) / N) \cap\left\{t_{1}^{m_{0}, n}\left(x_{n}\right), \ldots, t_{k\left(m_{0}, n\right)}^{m_{0}, n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}=\varnothing,
$$

where $U_{\delta}(z)$ denotes the open ball of center $z$ and radius $\delta$. Passing to a subsystem if necessary, we may assume that $a(n)$ is constant, say $a$. Put $U:=U_{1 / N}(a / N)$.

For each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m_{0} \leq m \leq n$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{m_{0}, n} & :=\left\{x \in[0,1] \mid t_{i}^{m_{0}, n}(x) \notin U \text { for any } i\right\}, \\
C_{m, n} & :=\left\{t_{i}^{m, n}(x) \mid x \in C_{m_{0}, n}\right\}, \\
C_{m} & :=\bigcap_{n \geq m} C_{m, n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $C_{m_{0}, n}$ is nonempty, since $x_{n}$ is in $C_{m_{0}, n}$. Also, if $y$ is in $C_{m, n+1}$, then there exists $x$ in $C_{m_{0}, n+1}$ with $t_{i}^{m, n+1}(x)=y$ for some $i$. Now, since $\iota_{n+1, m}=\iota_{n+1, n} \circ \iota_{n, m}$, there are some $j, j^{\prime}$ with $t_{i}^{m, n+1}(x)=t_{j}^{m, n}\left(t_{j^{\prime}}^{n, n+1}(x)\right)$. On the other hand, $t_{l}^{m_{0}, n}\left(t_{j^{\prime}}^{n, n+1}(x)\right)$ is not in $U$ for any $l$, because $x$ is in $C_{m_{0}, n+1}$. Therefore, $t_{j^{\prime}}^{n, n+1}(x)$ is in $C_{m_{0}, n}$, whence $y=t_{j}^{m, n}\left(t_{j^{\prime}}^{n, n+1}(x)\right)$ is in $C_{m, n}$. Consequently, $\left\{C_{m, n}\right\}_{n}$ is a decreasing sequence of nonempty closed subsets, so $C_{m}$ is a nonempty closed subset of $[0,1]$.

We shall show

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{m}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k(m, n)} t_{i}^{m, n}\left[C_{n}\right] . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the right-hand side is included in the left-hand side. To see the opposite inclusion, let $y$ be in $C_{m}$. Then, for each $l \geq n$, there is $z_{l}$ in $C_{n, l}$ with $t_{i}^{m, n}\left(z_{l}\right)=y$ for some $i$. By the pigeonhole principle, there is $i_{0}$ with $t_{i_{0}}^{m, n}\left(z_{l}\right)=y$ for infinitely many $l$. Let $z$ be a limit point of such $z_{l}$ 's. Then clearly $z$ is in $C_{n}$ and $t_{i_{0}}^{m, n}(z)=y$.

### 2.2. THE JIANG-SU ALGEBRA

For each $m \geq m_{0}$, set

$$
\mathcal{I}_{m}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{Z}_{p_{m}, q_{m}}|f|_{C_{m}} \equiv 0\right\} \subsetneq \mathcal{Z}_{p_{m}, q_{m}} .
$$

Then, by (*), we have $\iota_{m+1, m}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{p_{m}, q_{m}}\right] \cap I_{m+1}=\iota_{m+1, m}\left[I_{m}\right]$, so the sequence $\left\{I_{m}\right\}$ defines a closed ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$. Since $\mathcal{I}_{m_{0}}$ includes $\{f \mid \operatorname{supp} f \subseteq U\}$, the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is nontrivial, so $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$ is not simple.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a proper ideal of $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$, and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{m} & :=\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{p_{m}, q_{m}}, \\
C_{m} & :=\left\{x \mid f(x)=0 \text { for all } f \in \mathcal{I}_{m}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It suffices to show that $C_{m}$ coincides with $[0,1]$. For this, let $y$ be in $C_{m}$. By assumption, for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is $n_{0}>m$ such that if $t_{i}^{m, n_{0}}(x)=y$, then

$$
d\left(\left\{t_{1}^{m, n_{0}}(x), \ldots, t_{k\left(m, n_{0}\right)}^{m, n_{0}}(x)\right\},[0,1]\right)<\varepsilon .
$$

However, since $C_{m}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k\left(m, n_{0}\right)} t_{i}^{m, n_{0}}\left[C_{n_{0}}\right]$ by construction, we can find $x \in C_{n_{0}}$ with $t_{i}^{m, n_{0}}(x)=y$ for some $i$, and

$$
\left\{t_{1}^{m, n_{0}}(x), \ldots, t_{k\left(m, n_{0}\right)}^{m, n_{0}}(x)\right\} \subseteq C_{m} .
$$

Consequently, it follows that the Hausdorff distance between $C_{m}$ and $[0,1]$ is less than arbitrary $\varepsilon$, so $C_{m}=[0,1]$.

For $y \in[0,1]$ and $\varepsilon>0$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{m, n}(y, \varepsilon) & :=\max \left\{i \mid \max t_{i}^{m, n} \leq y+\varepsilon\right\}, \\
b_{m, n}(y, \varepsilon) & :=\max \left\{i \mid \min t_{i}^{m, n}<y-\varepsilon\right\} \\
c_{m, n}(y, \varepsilon) & :=\max \left\{b_{m, n}(y, \varepsilon)-a_{m, n}(y, \varepsilon), 0\right\} \\
& =\#\left\{i \mid \min t_{i}^{m, n}<y-\varepsilon \& \max t_{i}^{m, n}>y+\varepsilon\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.2.11. The following are equivalent.
(1) The limit $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$ is monotracial.
(2) For any y, any $\varepsilon$ and any m,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{m, n}(y, \varepsilon)}{k(m, n)}=0 .
$$

### 2.2. THE JIANG-SU ALGEBRA

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Suppose (2) does not hold. Then, passing to a subsystem if necessary, we may assume that there exist $y \in[0,1], \varepsilon>0$ and $\delta>0$ with $c_{m, n}(y, \varepsilon) / k(m, n) \geq \delta$ for all $n>m$. Let $x_{m, n}^{1}, x_{m, n}^{2} \in[0,1]$ be such that

$$
t_{a_{m, n}(y, \varepsilon)+1}^{m, n}\left(x_{m, n}^{1}\right)>y+\varepsilon, \quad t_{b_{m, n}(y, \varepsilon)+1}^{m, n}\left(x_{m, n}^{2}\right)<y-\varepsilon
$$

and $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ be limit points of the tracial states $\iota_{n, m}^{*}\left(\delta_{x_{m, n}^{1}}\right), \iota_{n, m}^{*}\left(\delta_{x_{m, n}^{2}}\right)$ respectively. We note that these are restrictions of some tracial states on $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$. Now, if $f \in C[0,1]$ is taken so that

$$
\left.f\right|_{[0, y-\varepsilon]} \equiv 0,\left.\quad f\right|_{[y+\varepsilon, 1]} \equiv 1, \quad 0 \leq f \leq 1
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{1}(f) \geq \varlimsup\left[\begin{array}{l}
\lim \left[1-\frac{a_{m, n}(y, \varepsilon)}{k(m, n)}\right] \\
\tau_{2}(f) \leq \underline{\lim }\left[1-\frac{b_{m, n}(y, \varepsilon)}{k(m, n)}\right]
\end{array},=\right.\text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\tau_{1}(f)-\tau_{2}(f) \geq \varlimsup \frac{c_{m, n}(y, \varepsilon)}{k_{m, n}(y, \varepsilon)} \geq \delta
$$

Consequently, $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$ is multitracial.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Suppose (2) holds. We shall first show that, given $m \in \mathbb{N}, \delta>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$, one can find $n>m$ with

$$
\frac{\#\left\{i \mid \operatorname{diam} \operatorname{Im} t_{i}^{m, n}>\delta\right\}}{k(m, n)}<\varepsilon .
$$

Indeed, take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 / N<\delta / 3$, and let $n(j)$ be sufficiently large so that

$$
\frac{c_{m, n(j)}(j / N, 1 / N)}{k(m, n(j))}<\frac{\varepsilon}{N} \quad(j=1, \ldots, N-1)
$$

Set $n:=\max _{j} n(j)$. If diam $\operatorname{Im} t_{i}^{m, n}>\delta$, then

$$
\min t_{i}^{m, n}<\frac{j-1}{N}, \quad \max t_{i}^{m, n}>\frac{j+1}{N}
$$

for some $j$, so the desired inequality follows.
We shall next show that, for $f \in C[0,1], m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $n>m$ with

$$
\sup _{x, x^{\prime} \in[0,1]}\left|\left[\iota_{n, m}^{*}\left(\delta_{x}\right)-\iota_{n, m}^{*}\left(\delta_{x^{\prime}}\right)\right](f)\right| \leq \varepsilon .
$$

### 2.2. THE JIANG-SU ALGEBRA

For this, we may assume $\|f\| \leq 1$. Take $\delta>0$ so that $\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|<\delta$ implies $\left\|f(y)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq$ $\varepsilon / 3$, and put $J:=\left\{i \mid \operatorname{diam} \operatorname{Im} t_{i}^{m, n}>\delta\right\}$. By what we proved in the preceding paragraph, there exists $n>m$ with $\# J / k(m, n)<\varepsilon / 3$. Then, for $x, x^{\prime} \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left[\iota_{n, m}^{*}\left(\delta_{x}\right)-\iota_{n, m}^{*}\left(\delta_{x^{\prime}}\right)\right](f)\right| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{k(m, n)}\left|\sum_{i} f\left(t_{i}^{m, n}(x)\right)-f\left(t_{i}^{m, n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{k(m, n)}\left(\sum_{i \in J}+\sum_{i \notin J}\right)\left|f\left(t_{i}^{m, n}(x)\right)-f\left(t_{i}^{m, n}(x)\right)\right| \\
\leq & \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
Finally, we shall show that $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$ is monotracial. Let $\tau, \tau^{\prime}$ be tracial states on $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$. Fix an element $f$ in the center of $\mathcal{Z}_{p_{m}, q_{m}}$, which is canonically identified with an element of $C[0,1]$, and take sufficiently large $m>n$ so that

$$
\sup _{x, x^{\prime} \in[0,1]}\left|\left[\iota_{n, m}^{*}\left(\delta_{x}\right)-\iota_{n, m}^{*}\left(\delta_{x^{\prime}}\right)\right](f)\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} .
$$

Since the convex combinations of the Dirac measures are weakly* dense in the set of probability measures, we can find $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}, x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{l}^{\prime}$ in $[0,1]$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\tau \left\lvert\, \mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}-\frac{1}{l} \sum_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}\right.\right)\left(f \circ t_{i}^{m, n}\right)\right| & <\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \\
\left|\left(\tau^{\prime} \left\lvert\, \mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}-\frac{1}{l} \sum_{j} \delta_{x_{j}^{\prime}}\right.\right)\left(f \circ t_{i}^{m, n}\right)\right| & <\frac{\varepsilon}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $i$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\tau(f)-\tau^{\prime}(f)\right| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{k(m, n)}\left|\sum_{i} \tau\right| \mathcal{Z}_{p n, q_{n}}\left(f \circ t_{i}^{m, n}\right)-\tau^{\prime}\left|\mathcal{Z}_{p n, q_{n}}\left(f \circ t_{i}^{m, n}\right)\right| \\
\leq & \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon+\frac{1}{k(m, n) \cdot l}\left|\sum_{i, j} \delta_{x_{j}}\left(f \circ t_{i}^{m, n}\right)-\delta_{x_{j}^{\prime}}\left(f \circ t_{i}^{m, n}\right)\right| \\
= & \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon+\frac{1}{l}\left|\sum_{j}\left[\iota_{n, m}^{*}\left(\delta_{x_{j}}\right)-\iota_{n, m}^{*}\left(\delta_{x_{j}^{\prime}}\right)\right](f)\right| \\
\leq & \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary, $\tau(f)=\tau^{\prime}(f)$, and so $\tau=\tau^{\prime}$.

Proposition 2.2.12. The inductive limit $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$ is simple and monotracial if and only if $\lim _{n} V\left(\iota_{n, m}\right)=0$ for each $m$.

Proof. It is clear from Lemmas 2.2.10 and 2.2.11 that if $\lim _{n} V\left(\iota_{n, m}\right)=0$ for all $m$, then $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$ is simple and monotracial. For the opposite implication, first note that if $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$ is simple, then

$$
\lim _{n} \operatorname{diam} \operatorname{Im} t_{1}^{m, n}=0
$$

for each $m$. Indeed, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists sufficiently large $n$ such that if $t_{i}^{m, n}(x)=\varepsilon$ for some $i$ and $x \in[0,1]$, then

$$
d\left(\left\{t_{1}^{m, n}(x), \ldots, t_{k(m, n)}^{m, n}(x)\right\},[0,1]\right)<\varepsilon
$$

by Lemma 2.2.10. This implies that $\varepsilon \notin \operatorname{Im} t_{1}^{m, n}$, and since $0 \in \operatorname{Im} t_{1}^{m, n}$, it follows that $\mathrm{t}_{1}^{m, n} \subseteq[0, \varepsilon)$.

Next, for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Delta_{m, n}$ be a map from $(0,1]$ to $(0,1]$ such that $\left|x-x^{\prime}\right| \leq$ $\Delta_{m, n}(\varepsilon)$ implies $\left|t_{i}^{m, n}(x)-t_{i}^{m, n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $i$. Passing to a subsystem if necessary, we may assume that $\operatorname{Im} t_{1}^{n, n+1}$ is included in $\left[0, \Delta_{n-1, n}(\varepsilon / 2)\right]$. For a fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$
F_{n}:=\operatorname{Im} t_{1}^{m, m+1} \circ \cdots \circ t_{1}^{n, n+1}
$$

and take $y_{0} \in \bigcap_{n} F_{n}$. By Lemma 2.2.10, there is $n>m$ such that if $x \in[0,1]$ satisfies $t_{i}^{m, n}(x)=y_{0}$ for some $i$, then the distance between $\left\{t_{1}^{m, n}(x), \ldots, t_{k(m, n)}^{m, n}(x)\right\}$ and $[0,1]$ is less than $\varepsilon / 2$. On the other hand, by definition of $F_{n}$, we can find $x \in \operatorname{Im} t_{1}^{n, n+1} \subseteq$ $\left[0, \Delta_{n, n+1}(\varepsilon / 2)\right]$ with $t_{i}^{m, n}(x)=y_{0}$ for some $i$. Consequently, it follows that for any $y \in[0,1]$ there exists $i$ with $\operatorname{Im} t_{i}^{m, n} \circ t_{1}^{n, n+1} \subseteq[y-\varepsilon, y+\varepsilon]$.

Now, let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a continuous map such that the image of $f$ includes $[y-\varepsilon, y+\varepsilon]$ for some $y \in[0,1]$. We shall show the existence of $\delta>0$ such that if a continuous map $g:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ satisfies $\operatorname{Im} f \circ g \supseteq[y-\varepsilon, y+\varepsilon]$, then $\operatorname{diam} \operatorname{Im} g \geq \delta$. Indeed, let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n}$ be enumerations of the boundaries of $f^{-1}(y-\varepsilon)$ and $f^{-1}(y+\varepsilon)$ respectively. If the image of $f \circ g$ includes $[y-\varepsilon, y+\varepsilon$ ], then the image of $g$ must contain $a_{n^{\prime}}$ and $b_{n^{\prime \prime}}$ such that there is no $a_{n}$ or $b_{n}$ between $a_{n^{\prime}}$ and $b_{n^{\prime \prime}}$. However, there can be only finitely many such pairs ( $n^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime}$ ), because otherwise $f$ cannot be uniformly continuous. Thus,

$$
\delta:=\min \left\{\left|a_{n^{\prime}}-b_{n^{\prime \prime}}\right| \mid \nexists n, a_{n^{\prime}} \lessgtr a_{n} \lessgtr b_{n^{\prime \prime}} \text { or } a_{n^{\prime}} \lessgtr b_{n} \lessgtr b_{n^{\prime \prime}}\right\}
$$

has the desired property.

Finally, suppose that there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\lim _{n} V\left(\iota_{n, m}\right)>0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $m=1$. Also, by passing to a subsystem if necessary, we may assume that there is $y \in[0,1]$ and $\varepsilon>0$ with the following property: For any $n$, there exists $i$ such that the image of $t_{i}^{1, n}$ includes $[y-\varepsilon, y+\varepsilon]$. By what we proved in the second paragraph, it is easy to find $n_{0}, i_{1}, i_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$
\operatorname{Im} t_{i_{1}}^{1,2} \circ t_{i_{2}}^{2, n_{0}} \circ t_{1}^{n_{0}, n_{0}+1} \subseteq[y-\varepsilon / 2, y+\varepsilon / 2] .
$$

We may assume $n_{0}=3$. Set

$$
F:=\left\{t_{h}^{1,2} \circ t_{i}^{2,3} \circ t_{j}^{3,4} \mid \operatorname{Im} t_{h}^{1,2} \circ t_{i}^{2,3} \circ t_{j}^{3,4} \supseteq[y-\varepsilon, y+\varepsilon]\right\}
$$

and take $\delta>0$ so that if $f$ is in $F$ and if the image of $f \circ g$ includes $[y-\varepsilon, y+\varepsilon]$, then diam $\operatorname{Im} g \geq \delta$. Since $\mathcal{Z}_{0}$ is monotracial, we may assume

$$
\frac{\#\left\{t_{k}^{4,5} \mid \operatorname{diam} \operatorname{Im} t_{k}^{4,5} \geq \delta\right\}}{k(4,5)}<\frac{1}{\# F}
$$

by Lemma 2.2.11. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{t_{h}^{1,2} \circ t_{i}^{2,3} \circ t_{j}^{3,4} \circ t_{k}^{4,5} \mid \operatorname{Im} t_{h}^{1,2} \circ t_{i}^{2,3} \circ t_{j}^{3,4} \circ t_{k}^{4,5} \supseteq[y-\varepsilon, y+\varepsilon]\right\} \\
\leq & \# F \times \#\left\{t_{k}^{4,5} \mid \operatorname{diam} t_{k}^{4,5}\right\} \leq k(4,5) \\
\leq & \#\left\{t_{h}^{1,2} \circ t_{i}^{2,3} \circ t_{j}^{3,4} \circ t_{k}^{4,5} \mid \operatorname{Im} t_{h}^{1,2} \circ t_{i}^{2,3} \circ t_{j}^{3,4} \circ t_{k}^{4,5} \subseteq[y-\varepsilon / 2, y+\varepsilon / 2]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, this implies that there is no $i$ with $\operatorname{Im} t_{i}^{1,5} \supseteq[y-\varepsilon, y+\varepsilon]$, which is a contradiction.

Combining Propositions 2.2.8 and 2.2.12, we obtain the following result.
Theorem 2.2.13. For an inductive system $\left\{\iota_{n, m}: \mathcal{Z}_{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}\right\}$ of prime dimension drop algebras, the following are all equivalent.
(1) The inductive limit of $\left\{\iota_{n, m}: \mathcal{Z}_{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}\right\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}$.
(2) The equality $\lim _{n} V\left(\iota_{n, m}\right)=0$ holds for all $m$.
(3) The inductive limit of $\left\{\iota_{n, m}: \mathcal{Z}_{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}\right\}$ is simple and monotracial.

It was shown by Jiang and Su that every unital *-endomorphism of $\mathcal{Z}$ is approximately inner. We shall conclude this section by partially recovering this result.

Proposition 2.2.14. Every $\mathscr{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$-admissible endomorphism of $\langle\mathcal{Z}$, $\operatorname{tr}\rangle$ is approximately inner.

### 2.2. THE JIANG-SU ALGEBRA

Proof. Let

$$
\left\langle Z_{p_{1}, q_{1}}, \tau_{1}\right\rangle \xrightarrow{\iota_{2,1}}\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{2}, q_{2}}, \tau_{2}\right\rangle \xrightarrow{\iota_{3,2}}\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{3}, q_{3}}, \tau_{3}\right\rangle \xrightarrow{\iota_{4,3}} \cdots
$$

be a regular sequence with the following property, the existence of which follows from Theorem 2.2.13:
(1) $p_{n} q_{n}$ divides $p_{n+1} q_{n+1}$ and $\tau_{n}$ is atomless for all $n$.
(2) For any natural number $a$, there exists sufficiently large $n$ such that $a$ divides $p_{n} q_{n}$. We shall first show that if $\rho$ is a $\mathscr{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$-admissible endomorphism of $\langle\mathcal{Z}$, tr $\rangle$, then for any finite subset $F \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}$ and any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a morphism $\iota$ from $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}, \tau_{n}\right\rangle$ to $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{N}, q_{N}}, \tau_{N}\right\rangle$ with

$$
\|\rho(f)-\iota(f)\|<\varepsilon \quad(f \in F)
$$

Take sufficiently large $m$ and so that for any $f \in F$, there exists $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Z}_{p_{m}, q_{m}}$ with $\left\|\rho(f)-f^{\prime}\right\|<\varepsilon / 4$. We shall fix such $f^{\prime}$ for each $f \in F$ and set $F^{\prime}:=\left\{f^{\prime} \mid f \in F\right\}$. Put

$$
\psi:=\left.\left(\left.\varphi_{\rho}\right|_{F \times F^{\prime}}\right)\right|^{Z_{p_{n}, q_{n}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{p_{m}, q_{m}}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}
$$

and note that this is a strict approximate $\mathscr{K}_{\mathcal{Z}}$-isomorphism, as $\rho$ is $\mathscr{K}$-admissible. Since $\psi$ is strict, there exists a joint $\mathscr{K}$-embedding $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ of $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{n}, q_{n}}, \tau_{n}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{m}, q_{m}}, \tau_{m}\right\rangle$ into some object $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{r, s}, \sigma\right\rangle$ with $\varphi_{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}} \leq \psi$, whence

$$
\left\|\theta_{1}(f)-\theta_{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

Now by Proposition 2.2.2, one can embed $\mathcal{Z}_{r, s}$ into $\mathcal{Z}_{p_{m^{\prime}}, q_{m^{\prime}}}$ for some $m^{\prime}>m$. By assumption (2), we may assume that $r s$ divides $p_{m^{\prime}} q_{m^{\prime}}$, so the remainder indices vanish. Consequently, since $\tau_{m^{\prime}}$ is atomless by assumption (1), one can easily find a morphism $\eta$ from $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{r, s}, \sigma\right\rangle$ to $\left\langle\mathcal{Z}_{p_{m^{\prime}}, q_{m^{\prime}}}, \tau_{m^{\prime}}\right\rangle$. Since $V\left(\iota_{N, m^{\prime \prime}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ by Theorem 2.2.13, one can find $N>m^{\prime \prime}$ and a unitary $u$ in $\mathcal{Z}_{p_{N}, q_{N}}$ with

$$
\left\|\left(\operatorname{Ad}(u) \circ \iota_{N, m^{\prime}} \circ \zeta \circ \theta_{2}\right)\left(f^{\prime}\right)-\iota_{N, m}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}
$$

for all $f^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$, by Proposition 2.2.3. We set

$$
\iota:=\operatorname{Ad}(u) \circ \iota_{N, m^{\prime}} \circ \zeta \circ \theta_{1} .
$$

Then, for $f \in F$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\rho(f)-\iota(f)\| & \leq\left\|\rho(f)-f^{\prime}\right\| \\
& +\left\|\iota_{N, m}\left(f^{\prime}\right)-\left(\operatorname{Ad}(u) \circ \iota_{N, m^{\prime}} \circ \zeta \circ \theta_{2}\right)\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right\| \\
& +\left\|\left(\operatorname{Ad}(u) \circ \iota_{N, m^{\prime}} \circ \zeta \circ \theta_{2}\right)\left(f^{\prime}\right)-\left(\operatorname{Ad}(u) \circ \iota_{N, m^{\prime}} \circ \zeta \circ \theta_{1}\right)(f)\right\| \\
& <\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2. THE JIANG-SU ALGEBRA

as desired.
Now, since $V\left(\iota_{M, N}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$, there exists sufficiently large $M$ and a unitary $v$ in $\mathcal{Z}_{p_{M}, q_{M}}$ with

$$
\|(\operatorname{Ad}(v) \circ \iota)(f)-f\|<\varepsilon
$$

for all $f \in F$, by Proposition 2.2.3 This implies

$$
\left\|\rho(f)-\operatorname{Ad}\left(v^{*}\right)(f)\right\|<2 \varepsilon,
$$

so $\rho$ is approximately inner, which completes the proof.
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