

学位論文 (要約)

Direct Measurement of the Hyperfine Structure
Interval of Positronium
Using High Power Millimeter Wave Technology

(大強度ミリ波技術を用いたポジト
ロニウム超微細構造の直接測定)

平成 25 年 12 月 博士 (理学) 申請

東京大学大学院理学系研究科
物理学専攻

宮崎 彬

DIRECT MEASUREMENT OF
THE HYPERFINE STRUCTURE
INTERVAL OF POSITRONIUM
USING HIGH POWER
MILLIMETER WAVE TECHNOLOGY

PH. D. THESIS

Akira Miyazaki

Department of Physics
Faculty of Science, The University of Tokyo

December 2013

Abstract

In this thesis, positronium hyperfine structure (Ps-HFS) is directly measured. This is the first direct measurement of Ps-HFS. Although Ps-HFS has been *indirectly* measured with a Zeeman splitting method since 1952, there is no precedents for the *direct* measurement because Ps-HFS is in the millimeter-wave range (frequency $\Delta_{\text{Ps}}^{\text{HFS}} = 203$ GHz, wavelength = 1.5 mm, energy difference = 0.83 meV). A gyrotron oscillator is developed as a high-power millimeter-wave radiation source. A Fabry-Pérot resonant cavity accumulates radiation to equivalent power of about 20 kW to cause the induced transition between *ortho*-positronium and *para*-positronium. The obtained Ps-HFS value is

$$\Delta_{\text{Ps}}^{\text{HFS}} = 203.39_{-0.14}^{+0.15} (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.11 (\text{syst.}) \text{ GHz} \quad (1)$$

At the same time, lifetime of *para*-positronium is directly measured as

$$\tau_{\text{p-Ps}} = 89_{-15}^{+18} (\text{stat.}) \pm 10 (\text{syst.}) \text{ ps} \quad (2)$$

This is also the first direct measurement of $\tau_{\text{p-Ps}}$. They are consistent with QED calculations.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Positronium	1
1.2	Positronium Hyperfine Structure	3
1.3	Theory of Direct Measurement of Ps-HFS	5
1.4	Millimeter-wave Technology and Our Requirements	8
1.5	Previous Measurement of Ps-HFS and Observed Discrepancy	10
1.5.1	Indirect Precision Measurement of Ps-HFS	10
1.5.2	Theoretical Prediction	11
1.5.3	Discrepancy between Experiments and Theory	13
2	Experiment	17
2.1	Experiment Overview	17
2.2	Millimeter-wave Optics System	18
2.2.1	Gyrotron Oscillator	18
2.2.2	Fabry-Pérot Resonant Cavity	29
2.2.3	Estimation of Accumulated Power	39
2.2.4	Power Stabilization	46
2.3	Positronium Assembly and γ -ray Detectors	49
2.3.1	Positronium Formation Assembly	49
2.3.2	Selection of Gas	51
2.3.3	γ -ray Detectors	56
2.4	Electronics and Data Acquisition	57
2.4.1	Overview	57
2.4.2	Electronics for the Plastic Scintillator	59
2.4.3	Electronics for the γ -ray Detectors	59
2.4.4	Electronics for the Trigger System	60
3	Analysis	65
3.1	Acquired Data	65
3.2	Calibration and Offline Trigger	67
3.2.1	Energy Calibration and Correction of the Plastic Scintillator	67
3.2.2	Energy Calibration of the LaBr ₃ (Ce) Scintillator	67
3.2.3	Time Calibration	67

3.2.4	Time-Walk Correction of the LaBr ₃ (Ce) Scintillator	69
3.2.5	Time-Walk Correction of the Plastic Scintillator	69
3.2.6	Offline Trigger Cuts	71
3.3	Event Selection	73
3.3.1	Time Window	73
3.3.2	Accidental Rejection	74
3.3.3	γ -ray Energy Cut	75
3.3.4	Direct Transition Signal and Background Estimation	76
3.4	Analysis for Ps-HFS	77
3.4.1	Preparation of MC Samples	77
3.4.2	Correction of Space Fluctuation	78
3.4.3	Correction of Time Fluctuation	78
3.4.4	Calculation of the Reaction Cross-section	79
3.5	Systematic Errors	83
3.5.1	Power Estimation Uncertainty	83
3.5.2	Gas Effect	83
3.5.3	Monte Carlo Simulation	85
3.5.4	Another Systematic Uncertainty	86
3.5.5	Summary of the Systematic Errors	87
3.6	Result	89
4	Discussion	91
4.1	Consistency Check of Power Estimation	91
4.2	Cut Validation	92
4.2.1	Time Window	92
4.2.2	Accidental Rejection	93
4.2.3	Energy Cut	93
4.3	Future Prospects	94
4.3.1	Power Estimation with 100 kW-Class Gyrotron	94
4.3.2	Ps Formation in Vacuum	95
4.3.3	Frequency Tunable Gyrotron	95
5	Conclusion	97
	Acknowledgements	99
A	Gyrotron Theory	1
A.1	Calculation Procedure	1
A.2	Theory of the RF Cavity	1
A.3	Theory of the Electron Beam	5
A.4	Excitation Theory	7
A.5	Soft and Hard Excitation	9
A.6	Alignment Effect	9
A.7	Theory of Gaussian Mode Converter	10

B Theory of Positron Acceleration	15
B.1 Toy Model of the Interaction	15
B.2 Random Walk Model	15
C Data Summary	17
D Small Systematic Uncertainties	19

List of Figures

1.1	Schematic diagram of the lowest energy levels of positronium.	2
1.2	Feynman diagram of the lowest order Ps-HFS.	4
1.3	Level diagram of the hyperfine structure of positronium	5
1.4	Theoretical calculation of transition probability.	7
1.5	Schematic diagram of THz gap.	8
1.6	Zeeman splitting of Ps.	11
1.7	Schematic view of the previous experiment.	12
1.8	Historical plot of the Ps-HFS value.	13
2.1	Schematic view of our experimental setup.	18
2.2	Schematic view of the gyrotron oscillator FU CW G1.	19
2.3	Schematic of an annular electron beam in the RF cavity.	20
2.4	Simulated interaction between electrons and the RF field.	22
2.5	Photograph of FU CW G1.	23
2.6	Measured frequency spectra of FU CW G1.	26
2.7	Schematic view of toroidal mirror.	27
2.8	Calculated output pattern of FU CW G1.	28
2.9	Measured output pattern of FU CW G1.	28
2.10	Measured power distribution of Gaussian beam.	28
2.11	Schematic view of a Fabry-Pérot resonant cavity.	29
2.12	Calculated resonances of the Fabry-Pérot resonant cavity.	32
2.13	Segmental view of mesh-mirror structure on a substrate.	33
2.14	Simulated property of the mesh mirror using CST MW Studio.	34
2.15	Expected Gain of the Fabry-Pérot cavity in case of plane wave input.	35
2.16	Photograph of gold mesh on silicon substrate.	35
2.17	Principle of operation of an SIDM TM (<u>S</u> mooth <u>I</u> mpact <u>D</u> rive <u>M</u> echanism) actuator.	36
2.18	Pyroelectric detectors (Spectrum Detector Inc. SPH-49).	37
2.19	Schematic view and photograph of Fabry-Pérot cavity arrangement.	38
2.20	Measured resonance of the Fabry-Pérot cavity arrangement.	39
2.21	Mode pattern at the end mirror.	40
2.22	Schematic view and photograph of simultaneous measurement of V_{tr} and P_{in}	42
2.23	Data fitting for the power calibration.	43

2.24	Data fitting for the reflection correction.	44
2.25	Result of C measurement.	46
2.26	Electron beam current stabilized by heater-voltage feedback.	47
2.27	Accumulated power stabilized by control of the mirror position.	48
2.28	Photograph of the chamber.	49
2.29	Schematic picture of a gas chamber (top view).	50
2.30	Schematic picture of a gas chamber (side view).	50
2.31	Time spectra of slow positron and o -Ps in 1 atm nitrogen.	52
2.32	Calculated frequency dependence of power absorption by isobutane.	53
2.33	Increase of positronium formation with random walk model of positron acceleration with millimeter-wave radiation.	54
2.34	Time spectra of increase of positronium formation in 1 atm nitrogen gas by millimeter-wave radiation.	55
2.35	Photograph of $\text{LaBr}_3(\text{Ce})$ crystals.	56
2.36	The schematic view of the whole DAQ system.	58
2.37	Circuit diagram for plastic scintillator system.	61
2.38	Schematic diagram of electronics for γ -ray detector system.	62
2.39	Schematic diagram of electronics for trigger system.	63
3.1	2D energy spectra of plastic scintillator measured with the short-gate and the long-gate.	67
3.2	Energy spectrum of a $\text{LaBr}_3(\text{Ce})$ scintillator.	68
3.3	2D plots of time vs energy deposited in one $\text{LaBr}_3(\text{Ce})$ scintillator.	69
3.4	2D plots of time vs energy deposited in the plastic scintillator.	70
3.5	2D energy spectrum of plastic scintillator.	71
3.6	Time coincidence.	72
3.7	Time spectrum obtained by time difference of the $\text{LaBr}_3(\text{Ce})$ signal and plastic scintillator one.	73
3.8	Cut condition of accidental rejection of the plastic scintillator.	74
3.9	Expected energy spectrum of $\text{LaBr}_3(\text{Ce})$ scintillators with Monte Carlo simulation.	75
3.10	Histograms after event selections.	76
3.11	Positronium distribution of Ps formation with MC.	78
3.12	Pulse shape of detected power.	79
3.13	Histogram of accumulated power fluctuation in pulse.	79
3.14	Fitting result of measured cross-section of Ps-HFS transition.	81
3.15	Correlation among three fitting variables.	82
3.16	Measured Stark shift of $\Delta_{\text{HFS}}^{\text{Ps}}$ vs nitrogen density.	85
4.1	Relative power estimation with the slow positron decrease.	92
4.2	Schematic view of a possible future setup.	94
4.3	Schematic diagram of dispersion relation of electrons and RF cavity.	96
A.1	Cross-sectional geometry of the RF cavity used in FU CW G1.	2

A.2	Transverse structure of the electric field in the RF cavity (TE ₅₂ mode).	3
A.3	Axial structure of the electric field in the RF cavity.	4
A.4	Simulated magnetic field B_z on the z -axis.	5
A.5	Simulated electron trajectories from the MIG.	6
A.6	Coupling factor of the TE ₅₂ mode.	7
A.7	Calculated output power of gyrotron.	9
A.8	Oscillation efficiency vs beam current.	10
A.9	Dependence of I_{st} on displacement.	11
A.10	Rays of time-averaged propagating TE _{mn} mode in a waveguide. . . .	11
A.11	Schematic view of the helical launcher.	11
A.12	Schematic view of Gaussian converter.	13
B.1	Simulated Ps formation dependence on power.	16

List of Tables

1.1	Comparison of positronium and hydrogen atom.	1
1.2	The classification of Ps according to its quantum numbers.	3
1.3	History of the Ps-HFS measurements using the Zeeman effect.	10
2.1	Operation parameters of FU CW G1.	24
2.2	Operating points used for this measurement.	24
2.3	Example of the measured shape correction for some frequencies.	41
2.4	Example of C measurement and V_{in} correction.	45
2.5	Measured absolute calibration constant C	46
2.6	Property of gas (1 atm).	53
2.7	Properties of $\text{LaBr}_3(\text{Ce})$ scintillator	56
3.1	Data sets for analysis	66
3.2	Energy resolution of LaBr_3 (Ce) crystal scintillators	68
3.3	Summary of the event rates by the offline trigger cuts (RUN ID A-1).	72
3.4	Summary of the peak width of $\sigma_{\text{long-short}}$	75
3.5	The amount of the transition signal.	77
3.6	Summary of the reaction cross-section.	80
3.7	Comparison of pick-off rate between MC and time spectra.	86
3.8	Summary of systematic uncertainties.	88
3.9	Uncertainties in this measurement.	89
4.1	Relative power constant.	92
4.2	Check of time window.	93
4.3	Check of the accidental rejection cut.	93
4.4	Check of the energy cut.	93
A.1	Resonant frequency and Q_D of three modes shown in Fig. A.3.	4
C.1	Quantities recorded at main trigger timing.	17
C.2	Quantities recorded in synchronization with gyrotron output pulse.	18
C.3	Quantities monitored with the interlock.	18
D.1	Accidental rejection efficiency.	20
D.2	Normalization uncertainty.	21

Chapter 1

Introduction

インターネット公表に関する共著者全員の同意が得られていないため、本章については非公開.

Chapter 2

Experiment

インターネット公表に関する共著者全員の同意が得られていないため、本章については非公開.

Chapter 3

Analysis

インターネット公表に関する共著者全員の同意が得られていないため、本章については非公開.

Chapter 4

Discussion

インターネット公表に関する共著者全員の同意が得られていないため、本章については非公開.

Chapter 5

Conclusion

Ps-HFS was firstly measured with a direct transition method. Measurement of the Ps-HFS is difficult because the Ps-HFS transition is suppressed with finite lifetime of Ps, and Ps-HFS is in the millimeter-wave region. It requires development of a high-power millimeter-wave system, which is a big challenge for current technology of plasma physics and millimeter-wave optics.

A gyrotron oscillator was developed as a high-power (> 100 W) millimeter-wave radiation source. It was a technical challenge to fabricate and control the gyrotron with such high frequency (203 GHz). We changed output frequency of the gyrotron by replacing an internal RF cavity. A long time (more than a week) operations were the first trial with the high-power gyrotron.

A Fabry-Pérot resonant cavity accumulates radiation to equivalent power of about 20 kW to cause the induced transition from *o*-Ps to *p*-Ps. In the millimeter-wave range, a great effort was required to design a half mirror with high reflectivity, low loss, and withstanding against high-power dumping. A gold mesh mirror on a silicon substrate was developed.

There are no established methods to measure high-power millimeter-wave radiation today. Accumulated power is, especially, difficult to estimate because cavity finesse (Q-factor) and coupling cannot be measured with rather wide line-width of gyrotron output. This thesis reported a new way to directly measure the accumulated power. We calibrated output of the pyroelectric detector with temperature increase of a water load. We corrected reflected-beam effects which reduced the oscillation efficiency of the gyrotron.

Output power from the gyrotron was stabilized with a feed-back control of the electron-beam current. Accumulated power in the Fabry-Pérot cavity is also stabilized by controlling length of the cavity. There are inevitable fluctuations in this accumulated power in one pulse because of line-width and drift of the gyrotron frequency. We corrected this effect with a Monte Carlo simulation in analysis.

A Ps formation assembly and γ -ray detectors were carefully arranged to enhance S/N of the direct transition. Use of neopentane gas was a key to reduce an increase of Ps formation probability due to positron acceleration by millimeter-

wave radiation. $\text{LaBr}_3(\text{Ce})$ scintillators are used to detect γ rays with high energy resolution.

The reaction cross-section of the Ps-HFS transition is studied using MC, and obtained Ps-HFS value $\Delta_{\text{Ps}}^{\text{HFS}}$, lifetime of $p\text{-Ps}$ $\tau_{p\text{-Ps}}$, and the Einstein A coefficient are

$$\Delta_{\text{Ps}}^{\text{HFS}} = 203.39_{-0.14}^{+0.15} (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.11 (\text{syst.}) \text{ GHz} \quad (5.1)$$

$$\tau_{p\text{-Ps}} = 89_{-15}^{+18} (\text{stat.}) \pm 10 (\text{syst.}) \text{ ps} \quad (5.2)$$

$$A = 3.69 \pm 0.48 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.29 (\text{syst.}) \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1}. \quad (5.3)$$

This is the first direct measurement of Ps-HFS and lifetime of $p\text{-Ps}$. These are consistent with the QED calculations.

Precise but indirect measurements of Ps-HFS were performed in 1970's and 1980's. Recent QED calculations of Ps-HFS differ from the measured value by 3.04(79) MHz (15 ppm), which is unlikely to be due to a statistical fluctuation (3.9 standard deviations). A candidate in the future to test this discrepancy is the direct measurement of Ps-HFS firstly performed in this thesis. Some technical improvements are needed to reach a sufficient level of precision to address the observed discrepancy. This thesis pointed out development of a frequency tunable gyrotron of 100 kW and a method of efficient Ps production in vacuum with a positron beam.

Acknowledgements

This experiment is a collaboration of the University of Tokyo and Fukui University. I would like to thank my collaborators and Ph.D. advisers.

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Shoji Asai who supported me during past six years. My researcher life suddenly started just two days after I firstly met him. This earlier start resulted in publication of the first paper when I was in the master course. The themes he introduced to me were always very unique. Especially, the experiment reported in this thesis was quite challenging and worthwhile.

I wish to express my gratitude to collaborators in Research Center for Development of Far-Infrared Region, University of Fukui (FIR-FU). Prof. Takatoshi Idehara always encouraged me, and sometimes literally helped me with a screw wrench to adjust the gyrotron. He also gave me many chances to present my study in the international arena. I would like to thank Prof. Yoshinori Tatematsu for developing the new gyrotron oscillator with the internal Gaussian mode converter. It was a great turning point to bring this experiment to a successful conclusion. Prof. Isamu Ogawa was so kind to give me practical advices to operate the gyrotron and measure output frequency. I would like to give my special thanks to Mr. Tomohiro Kanemaki and members in the factory for fabrication of the gyrotron.

I also wish to express my great appreciation to collaborators in the University of Tokyo. Prof. Tomio Kobayashi never complained about this experiment and always encouraged me. Useful discussions with Prof. Haruo Saito pushed on this experiment at the earlier stage when I did not know anything about positronium and its transition. Dr. Toshio Namba looked at me with hard eyes to carry out this experiment in realistic time and cost required. Dr. Taikan Suehara is a pioneer of this experiment. He is always very positive even when we reached a deadlock (it happened every one month in this challenging experiment). He taught never-say-die mind to me. Dr. Takayuki Yamazaki was a great and smart collaborator. He always finished his work before I asked him something. I usually come up with many miscellaneous ideas and sink into a bog. He is mature experimentalist and gives me many useful suggestions. I wish to express my appreciation to Mr. Shigemi Otsuka and members in the factory. Finally, I would like to thank Mr. Akira Ishida and all staffs in department of Physics, and International Center for Elementary Particle Physics for their frequent help.

Appendix A

Gyrotron Theory

インターネット公表に関する共著者全員の同意が得られていないため、本章については非公開.

Appendix B

Theory of Positron Acceleration

インターネット公表に関する共著者全員の同意が得られていないため, 本章については非公開.

Appendix C

Data Summary

インターネット公表に関する共著者全員の同意が得られていないため、本章については非公開.

Appendix D

Small Systematic Uncertainties

インターネット公表に関する共著者全員の同意が得られていないため、本章については非公開.