## 博士論文

論文題目
Total Synthesis of 4－Hydroxyzinowol and Synthetic Study of Talatisamine （4－ヒドロキシジノウォールの全合成とタラチサミ ンの合成研究）

## Total Synthesis of 4－Hydroxyzinowol and Synthetic Study of Talatisamine

（4－ヒドロキシジノウォールの全合成とタラチサミンの合成研究）

A Dissertation<br>Submitted to the Graduate School of Farmaceutical Sciences of The University of Tokyo in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Pharmaceutical Sciences

## BY Hidenori TODOROKI

## Accepted on the Recommendation of

Academic Adviser：Professor，Dr．Masayuki INOUE
Professor，Dr．Tomohiko OHWADA
Professor，Dr．Моtomu KANAI
Professor，Dr．Masanobu UCHIYAMA
Dr．Daisuke URABE

To my family and friends

## Acknowledgements

First of all, I would like to thank my academic adviser, Professor Masayuki Inoue, for his encouragement and guidance given to me over the years. Through a plenty of discussions with him, I recognized his great eagerness and uncompromising attitude toward science, which stimulated and urged me to a great deal in my research work. It is exceedingly fortunate to start my carrer as a researcher under the guidance of him.

I acknowledge the members of the thesis committee members, Professor Tomohiko Ohwada, Professor Motomu Kanai, and Professor Masanobu Uchiyama for insightful discussions. Interaction with professors each having the different attitudes and viewpoints toward chemistry was a highly inspiring experience.

Among other professors of the Inoue group, a special note thanks go to Dr. Daisuke Urabe for his close and continuous support of my work. If it were not for him, I would never achieve most of what I did over the six years. I also appreciate Dr. Masanori Nagatomo, Dr. Takefumi Kuranaga, Dr. Shigeru Matsuoka, and Dr. Shin Kamijo, for thier sound advices and discussions.

I greatly appreciate the financial support from the Japan Society of Promotion of Science (JSPS). It gave me an enough room in my heart to concentrate on my research.

I greatly appreciate collegue students in the Inoue group, especially my comtemporaries Dr. Keiichiro Fukushima, Shinya Yokosaka, Hiroki Yamaguchi, Naoto Aoki, Tomoya Yamashita, and Toshiyuki Tabuchi for sound competition as a researcher and warm friendships with them. I am also grateful for Dr. Masahumi Iwatsu, Dr. Tomochika Ishiyama, Dr. Koichi Murai, Dr. Toshiki Tabuchi, Dr. Yuuki Amaoka, Taro Asaba, Kengo Masuda, Shunichiro Kato, Keisuke Masuda, Koichi Hagiwara, and Daiki Kamakura for their advices, discussions, and also small talks. Those wonderful people, including other students, have shaped a large part of my six years of experience as a fledging researcher. It will be an important basis of my life. My thanks are given again to Kengo Masuda and Daiki Kamakura for working in the same project. Sharing ideas with and teaching them gave me new insights in many times, which would not be available without them. Sharing the scale-up experiments was also a great help.

Other collegues in the Inoue group from farmaceutical companies, Dr. Kenji Sasaki, Koji Masuda, Tomomi (Goto) Urabe, and Daigo Kamimura are also greatly acknowledged. Not only frequent discussions and advices, their viewpoints and styles of work, which are sometimes much different from those of students, greatly inspired me in taking a career of a researcher at farmaceutical companies.

I thank from the bottom of my heart for Junko Toyoshima for her understanding of my career and deep affection for me, which always supports my mental health.

Lasly, I heartfully appreciate my father Katuya and mother Hiroko for supporting me in every aspects of life and believing in me invariantly. Their undeviating love was, and will be, the most important motive force of my achievements.

Hidenori Todoroki

Tokyo, Japan
March 2015
Table of contents
Dedication ..... i
Acknowledgements ..... ii
Table of contents ..... iv
List of abbreviations. ..... ix
Chapter 1. Total Synthesis of 4-Hydroxyzinowol ..... 1
1.1 Introduction .....  2
1.1.1 Dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran sesquiterpenoids ..... 2
1.1.1.1 The Celastraceae family
1.1.1.2 Structure determination of agarofuran sesquiterpenoids
1.1.1.3 Biological activities and structural features of dihydro- $\beta$-agarofurans
1.1.1.4 P-gp inhibitory activity
1.1.2 Total synthesis of euonyminol by White and co-workers. ..... 5
1.1.3 Previous synthetic studies in our laboratory ..... 7
1.1.4 References and notes for section 1.1 ..... 9
1.2 Model study toward the construction of the C5-tetrasubstituted carbon ..... 11
1.2.1 Previous model synthetic study by the author. ..... 11
1.2.2 Initial model study ..... 12
1.2.2.1 Initial synthetic plan
1.2.2.2 Difficulties encountered in the initial synthetic plan
1.2.2.2.1 Attempted oxidation of C5-C6 olefin
1.2.2.2.2 Attempted oxidation of C4-C5 olefin
1.2.2.2.3 Reproducibility problem in dihydroxylation
1.2.3 Revise of the plan for the model study ..... 16
1.2.3.1 Revised synthetic plan
1.2.3.2 Construction of the C10-quaternary carbon
1.2.3.2.1 Synthesis of substrates for 1,4-addition
1.2.3.2.2 Construction of the C10-quaternary carbon by 1,4 -addition
1.2.3.3 Synthesis of substrates for the hydrolysis of the cyclic ketal
1.2.3.3.1 Protection of the enol
1.2.3.3.2 Reduction of the $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ olefin and introduction of the methyl group at C 4 -position
1.2.3.3.3 Reduction of ketones at C1- and C6-position
1.2.3.4 Hydrolysis of the cyclic ketal
1.2.3.5 Attempted construction of the C5-tetrasubstituted carbon
1.2.4 References and notes for section 1.2 ..... 24
1.3 Oxidative dearomatization and Diels-Alder reaction ..... 25
1.3.1 Revise of the synthetic plan ..... 25
1.3.1.1 Synthetic consideration
1.3.1.2 General features of oxidative dearomatization and Diels-Alder reaction
1.3.1.3 Use of an alkyne as a dienophile
1.3.1.4 Revised synthetic plan
1.3.2 Oxidative dearomatization. ..... 30
1.3.2.1 Preparation of substrates
1.3.2.2 Condition screening of the oxidative dearomatization
1.3.3 Diels-Alder reaction ..... 32
1.3.3.1 Substrate scope
1.3.3.2 Rationale for the stereoselectivity and regioselectivity
1.3.4 References and notes for section 1.3. ..... 35
1.4 Total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol ..... 36
1.4.1 Overview of the remained tasks ..... 36
1.4.2 Construction of the C -ring. ..... 37
1.4.2.1 Model study of C-ring construction
1.4.2.1.1 Preparation of model substrates
1.4.2.1.2 Attempts for C-ring formation using model substrates
1.4.2.1.3 Rationale for the different reactivity of the model substrates
1.4.2.1.4 Rationale for the selectivity between C5- and $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{OH}$
1.4.2.2 Construction of the C-ring from the Diels-Alder adduct bearing the tosyl group
1.4.3 Functionalization of the AB -ring ..... 44
1.4.3.1 Construction of the tetrasubstituted carbon at C4-position
1.4.3.2 Oxidative cleavage of the olefin
1.4.3.3 Removal of one-carbon unit at C3-position
1.4.3.3.1 Removal of the tosyl group
1.4.3.3.2 Barton decarboxylation
1.4.3.4 Completion of the synthesis of the tricyclic skeleton of 4-hydroxyzinowol
1.4.3.5 Introduction of the three remained stereocenters at $\mathrm{C} 1-$, $\mathrm{C} 2-$, and C 6 -positions
1.4.3.5.1 Oxidation of the C6-secondary alcohol
1.4.3.5.2 Dihydroxylation of the C1-C2 olefin
1.4.3.5.3 Reduction of the C6-hemiacetal
1.4.4 Regioselective acylation ..... 56
1.4.4.1 Attempted acetylation of the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{OH}$
1.4.4.2 Regioselective benzoylation
1.4.4.3 Total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol
1.4.5 References and notes for section 1.4 ..... 63
Chapter 2. Synthetic Study of Talatisamine. ..... 65
2.1 Introduction. ..... 66
2.1.1 Diterpene alkaloids ..... 66
2.1.2 Biosynthetic hypothesis. ..... 68
2.1.3 Biological activities. ..... 70
2.1.4 Synthetic studies ..... 71
2.1.4.1 Synthetic studies by other groups
2.1.4.1.1 Total synthesis of talatisamine by Wiesner and co-workers
2.1.4.1.2 Total synthesis of lepenine by Fukuyama and co-workers
2.1.4.2 Synthetic study in our laboratory
2.1.5 Synthetic plan. ..... 77
2.2. Synthesis of the AE-ring fragment bearing the proper oxidation state ..... 79
2.2.1 Construction of the piperidine ring ..... 79
2.2.1.1 Attempted introduction of the bromide atom at the bridgehead position
2.2.1.2 Introduction of the ester group at the bridgehead position
2.2.2 Introduction of the oxygen functionality at C 1 -position ..... 84
2.2.2.1 One-pot Fleming-Tamao oxidation
2.2.2.2 Two-pot Fleming-Tamao oxidation
2.2.2.2.1 Conversion to silyl fluoride
2.2.2.2.2 Oxidation of the silyl fluoride
2.2.2.2.3 Rationale for the epimerization
2.2.2.3 Protection of the introduced hydroxy group
2.2.3 Introduction of carbon chain at C5-position ..... 89
2.2.3.1 Synthetic consideration
2.2.3.2 Attempted olefination
2.2.3.3 Radical allylation
2.2.3.3.1 Preparation of the substrates for radical allylation
2.2.3.3.2 Condition screening of the radical allylation
2.2.3.3.3 Rationale for the stereoselectivity
2.3 Introduction of the aromatic ring ..... 97
2.3.1 Ozonolysis of the terminal olefin ..... 97
2.3.1.1 Unexpected formation of zwitterionic species
2.3.1.2 Amine-aldehyde interaction in literature
2.3.1.3 Interconversion of the zwitterion and the aldehyde
2.3.2 Introduction of the aromatic ring ..... 100
2.4 References and notes ..... 102
Chapter 3: Conclusion ..... 107
3.1 Total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol ..... 107
3.2 Synthesis of the substrate for the key reaction in the synthetic study of talatisamine ..... 107
3.3 Summary and outlook ..... 108
Chapter 4: Experimental section ..... 110
Chapter 5: Spectral data ..... 146

Publications:

1. Iwatsu, M.; Urabe, D.; Todoroki, H.; Masuda, K. Inoue, M, Heterocycles 2002, 86, 181.
2. Todoroki, H.; Iwatsu, M.; Urabe, D.; Inoue, M. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 8835.

## Abbreviations

Ac
AIBN
BINAP
Bn
Bu
Bz
cat.
cod
COSY
CSA
$\Delta$
DIBAL
DMAP
DMDO
DMF
DMSO
dr
ee
Et
eq.
ESI
g
gem
h
ho
HMPA
HOMO
HRMS
$i$

IR
KHMDS
LDA
LHMDS
LUMO
M
m
$m$-СРВА
acetyl
2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile
2,2'-bis(diphenylphophino)-1,1'-binaphtyl
benzyl
butyl
benzoyl
catalyst
cyclooctadiene
correlation spectroscopy
(1S)-(+)-10-camphorsulfonic acid
heat
diisobutylaluminum hydride
$N, N$ '-dimethylaminopyridine
dimethyldioxirane
$N, N$ '-dimethylformamide
dimethylsulfoxide
diastereomeric ratio
enantiomeric excess
ethyl
equivalent
electrospray ionization
gram
geminal
hour(s)
Irradiation with light
hexamethylphosphoric triamide
highest occupied molecular orbital
high resolution mass spectra
iso
infrared spectroscopy
potassium hexamethyldisilazide
lithium diisopropyl amide
lithium hexamethyldisilazide
lowest unoccupied molecular orbital
metal or molar
meta
$m$-chloroperbenzoic acid

MDR
Me
min
MOM
m.p.

MS
$n$
NMR
NOE
NOESY
$p$
PG
pH
ph
Piv
Pr
PPTS
rt
sec
SOMO
$t$
TBAF
TBS
Temp.
TES
Tf
TFA
TIPS
THF
TLC
TMEDA
TMS
TOF
Ts
UV
V-40
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
multidrug resistance
methyl
minute(s)
methoxymethyl
melting point
molecular sieves
normal
nuclear magnetic resonance
nuclear Overhauser effect
nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy
para
protecting group
power of hydrogen
phenyl
pivaloyl
propyl
pyridinium $p$-toluenesulfonate
room temperature
secondary
singly occupied molecular orbital
tertiary
tetra- $n$-butylammonium fluoride
$t$-butyldimethylsilyl
temperature
triethylsilyl
trifluoromethanesulfonyl
trifluoroacetic acid
triisopropylsilyl
tetrahydrofuran
thin layer chromatography
$N, N, N$ ', $N$ '-tetramethylethylenediamine
trimethylsilyl
time-of-flight
p-toluenesulfonyl
ultraviolet
1,1'-azobiscyclohexanecarbonitrile
degrees Celsius

## Chapter 1:

## Total Synthesis of 4-Hydroxyzinowol

### 1.1 Introduction

### 1.1.1 Dihydro- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-agarofuran sesquiterpenoids

### 1.1.1.1 The Celastraceae family

Folk medicine is a continuous source of natural products with interesting biological activity. ${ }^{1}$ Among a myriad of families of plants, the Celastraceae is one of the privileged family used in folk medicines around the world, especially in North Africa, South America, and East Asia. ${ }^{2}$ The family has roughly 90 genera and 1300 species, from which various biologically-active secondary metabolites have been isolated ${ }^{3}$ : maytansinoids, ${ }^{4}$ spermidine macrocyclic alkaloids, ${ }^{5}$ cardenolides, ${ }^{6}$ flavonoids, ${ }^{7}$ and so on. The most prevalent metabolite of the family is, however, a kind of sesquiterpenoid based on a unique tricyclic skeleton called dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran (1-1) (Figure 1.1). ${ }^{8}$

Figure 1.1 Dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran skeleton

dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran (1-1)

### 1.1.1.2 Structure determination of agarofuran sesquiterpenoids

A discovery and elucidation of the intriguing tricyclic skeleton of dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran dates back to 1960s. Bhattacharyya and co-workers isolated dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran (1-1), $\alpha$-agarofuran (1-2), and $\beta$-agarofuran (1-3) from the oil of the fungus infected agarwood (Aquillaria agallocha Roxb.) in 1963 (Figure 1.2). ${ }^{9}$

Figure 1.2 Structures of agarofurans isolated in the first time


dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran (1-1)

$\alpha$-agarofuran (1-2)

$\beta$-agarofuran (1-3)

Although the planar structure of these natural products were correctly elucidated, the initially proposed stereochemistries at C5- and C7-position were found to be wrong (only the correct structure is shown in Figure 1.2), which was later corrected by Büchi et al. through the groundbreaking total synthesis of $\alpha$-agarofuran (Scheme 1.1). ${ }^{10}$ Specifically, starting from (-)-epi-$\alpha$-cyperone (1-4), whose absolute configuration had already been established at the time, diene 1$\mathbf{5}$ was synthesized in two steps. Stereoselective oxidation of $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ by photo-irradiated molecular oxygen in the presence of the eosin as a photosensitizer afforded the endoperoxide 1-6. Conversion of 1-6 to the tertiary alcohol 1-7 followed by etherification under the acidic conditions led to the tricyclic skeleton 1-8. Two-step deoxygenation of 1-8 afforded $\alpha$-agarofuran (1-2), which unambiguously established the correct stereochemistry of the natural product.

Scheme 1.1 Total synthesis of $\alpha$-agarofuran by Büchi et al.


### 1.1.1.3 Biological activities and structural features of dihydro- $\beta$-agarofurans

Since then, nearly 500 dihydro- $\beta$-agarofurans have been identified to date. A great deal of attention has been paid to this class of natural products because of their complex architectures and wide range of biological activities. A couple of examples are shown in Figure 1.3: antiinflammatory orbiculin I (1-9), ${ }^{11}$ antiviral triptofordin C-2 (1-10), ${ }^{12}$ anti-tumor promoting triptofordin F-2 (1-11), ${ }^{13}$ and P-gp inhibitory 4-hydroxyzinowol (1-12). ${ }^{14,}{ }^{15}$ Other biological activities of this class of compounds include immunosuppressive, cytotoxic, insecticidal, and antifeedant activities.

Figure 1.3 Examples of highly oxygenated dihydro- $\beta$-agarofurans and their biological activities


As shown by these examples, the tricyclic skeleton of dihydro- $\beta$-agarofurans is generally highly oxygenated and thus bears many stereocenters, among which the contiguous tetrasubstituted carbons at the angular positions are common to all the congeners. For example, 4-hydroxyzinowol has the eight oxygen functionalities modified by the six acyl groups, and its nine contiguous stereocenters includes the three contiguous tetrasubstituted carbons.

From the viewpoint of the structure-activity relationship, these diverse biological activities are known to be affected not only by the disposition of the oxygen functionalities on the skeleton but also by the diverse pattern of acyl groups attached to the oxygen atoms. ${ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$

### 1.1.1.4 P-gp inhibitory activity

Among the diverse biological activities listed above, P-glycoprotein (P-gp) inhibitory activity seemed quite interesting. P-gp is a kind of molecular pumps that transport various xenobiotic small molecules out of cells. ${ }^{16}$ Diverse drug molecules are known to be substrates of P-gp. Hence, when P-gp is overexpressed on tumor cells, they acquire multi-drug resistance (MDR), which results in low sensitivity to current chemotherapies. ${ }^{17}$

In order to improve the efficiency of chemotherapies, selective inhibitors for P-gp is of high clinical relevance. Actually, some in vitro P-gp inhibitors, which had structurally no relationships with dihydro- $\beta$-agarofurans, were in the clinical trials in recent years. But they failed to show the expected effect. ${ }^{18}$ Thus the development of another class of selective P-gp inhibitors has been highly required. Therefore, motivated by its complex structure and promising biological activitiy, 4-hydroxyzinowol (1-12) was chosen as a synthetic target out of various highly oxygenated congeners.

### 1.1.2 Total synthesis of euonyminol by White and co-workers

The intriguing structures and fascinating biological activities have involved many researchers in the synthetic study of dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran sesquiterpenoids. Although the construction of the simple tricyclic skeleton was achieved by many research groups, ${ }^{19}$ introduction of multiple oxygen functionalities onto the skeleton poses a tremendous challenge. To date, only one total synthesis of highly oxygenated dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran has been reported. In 1995, White and coworkers reported the total synthesis of euonyminol, which is a core structure of various polyacylated agarofurans (Scheme 1.2). ${ }^{20}$

Starting from $p$-quinol 1-13, Diels-Alder reaction of in situ-generated p-quinone and diene 1-14 afforded diketone $\mathbf{1 - 1 5}$ bearing the quaternary carbon at the angular position. Six-step transformations from 1-15 led to bis-epoxide 1-16. Treatment of 1-16 with TFA resulted in the cascade epoxide opening reaction to yield the dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran skeleton 1-17. After the lactonization, benzylidene protection of $\mathbf{1 - 1 8}$ followed by removal of the TBS group furnished ketone $\mathbf{1 - 1 9}$ with inversion of the stereochemistry at C1 position. Secondary alcohol of $\mathbf{1 - 1 9}$ was re-protected as the TBS ether, and the C8- and C9-stereocenters were introduced in 3 steps from 1-20. Finally, heptaol 1-21 was converted to ( $\pm$ )-euonyminol (1-22) in 5 steps, accomplishing the total synthesis in 21 steps with a respectable $5.2 \%$ overall yield from $\mathbf{1 - 1 3}$.

Scheme 1.2 Total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-euonyminol by White group


Although the synthesis by White group is highly efficient, it seems difficult to regioselectively introduce different acyl groups on the oxygen atoms from nonaol 1-22. As mentioned earlier, the acyl groups are reported to have important roles for the biological activities. However, despite a pile of synthetic works, there are virtually no reports addressing the problem of regioselective introduction of acyl groups. Thus, the synthetic route that enables both the construction of highly oxygenated skeleton and the introduction of various acyl groups would be of great value.

### 1.1.3 Previous synthetic study in our laboratory

In our laboratory, the study towards the synthesis of highly oxygenated dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran skeleton had been conducted by Dr. Iwatsu (Scheme 1.3). ${ }^{21-23}$ The synthesis commenced from the known naphthalene derivative 1-23. ${ }^{24}$ After the oxidative dearomatization to quinone monoketal 1-24, acetyl group was removed to give phenol 1-25. Then, the rhodium-catalyzed asymmetric 1,4 -addition of the isopropenyl group ${ }^{25,26}$ established the C 7 -stereocenter, yielding the adduct $\mathbf{1 -}$ 26 in $90 \%$ ee. Five-step sequence from 1-26 to 1-27, followed by recrystallization improved the enantiomeric purity to $>99 \%$. After the removal of the ethylene glycol ketal and MOM group, C6ketone of 1-28 was stereoselectivly reduced from the convex face to give 1-29. $\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$-catalyzed hydrolysis of the cyclic ketal, protection of phenolic hydroxy group with TIPS group, and stereoselective reduction gave rise to tetraol 1-31. 1,2-diol of 1-31 was protected as cyclic siloxane, and the TIPS group was removed to yield phenol 1-32. Then, oxidative dearomatization of 1-32 in nitromethane afforded epoxide $\mathbf{1 - 3 3}$ in moderate yield. Following construction of the angular quaternary carbon by Diels-Alder reaction was low yielding, giving the adduct $\mathbf{1 - 3 4}$ in only $24 \%$ yield. Finally, epoxide was opened by thiophenolate ${ }^{27}$ to 1-35, benzoylated derivative of which was treated with acid to afford 5-membered ether 1-37.

Scheme 1.3 Synthetic study by Dr. Iwatsu


Although the two consecutive tetrasubstituted carbons at the angular positions and the BC -ring system of the agarofuran skeleton were successfully constructed by the sequence, the yield of oxidative dearomatization and Diels-Alder reaction was not satisfactory. In addition, future functionalization of the right ring toward 4-hydroxyzinowol seemed to be problematic.
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### 1.2 Model study toward the construction of the C5-tetrasubstituted

## carbon

### 1.2.1 Previous model synthetic study by the author

The author previously established the efficient method for construction of the angular quaternary carbon using the model substrate (Scheme 1.4). ${ }^{1}$ Specifically, the synthesis started from the oxidative dearomatization of Dr. Iwatsu's intermediate 1-29. Obtained $p$-quinone monoketal 1-38 was functionalized over 3 steps to give diketone 1-39. 1,4-Addition of methyl group to the convex face of the highly activated olefin of $\mathbf{1 - 3 9}$ furnished the adduct $\mathbf{1 - 4 0}$ in high yield. Following deoxygenation at the C3-position through the intermediates 1-41 and 1-42 afforded ketone 1-43, which was used for construction of the C5-tetrasubstituted carbon. ${ }^{2}$

Scheme 1.4 The previous model study by the author







### 1.2.2 Initial synthetic study

### 1.2.2.1 Initial synthetic plan

Although the oxidation state at the C15-position of $\mathbf{1 - 4 3}$ was not the same as that of 4hydroxyzinowol, the author determined to continue the model study toward the construction of the highly oxygenated agarofuran skeleton (Scheme 1.5). Construction of the C5-tetrasubstituted carbon would be achieved by oxidation of enol 1-43. After manipulation of the C4- and C6ketones of $\mathbf{1 - 4 4}$, hydrolysis of the acetals in $\mathbf{1 - 4 5}$ would give diketone $\mathbf{1 - 4 6}$, which could be a substrate for C-ring formation toward 1-47.

Scheme 1.5 Plan for model study from 1-43


### 1.2.2.2 Difficulties encountered in the initial synthetic plan

### 1.2.2.2.1 Attempted oxidation of C5-C6 olefin

Initially, introduction of the oxygen functionality at C5-position was investigated from 1-43 (Scheme 1.6). Although various conditions were examined (m-CPBA, DMDO, OsO4, or magnesium monoperoxyphthalate (MMPP)), the enol ether moiety was not oxidized. ${ }^{3}$ The steric hinderance of the adjacent quaternary carbon and the concave nature of the $\alpha$-face of C5-C6 olefin due to the presence of the oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane skeleton could be the causes of the low reactivity

Scheme 1.6 Attempted oxidation of the enol ether


### 1.2.2.2.2 Attempted oxidation of C4-C5 olefin

Faced with the above difficulty, the author revised the synthetic plan (Scheme 1.7). Namely, after introduction of the methyl group to the ketone of $\mathbf{1 - 4 3}$, compound 1-49 would be converted to $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $\mathbf{1 - 5 0}$ via dehydration under the acidic conditions. Although the C5-C6 olefin of 1-43 was unreactive toward several oxidation conditions, the C4-C5 olefin of 1-50 was expected to be less shielded and more reactive, because the olefin is one-carbon away from the oxabicycle[3.2.1] octane skeleton compared to the C5-C6 olefin of 1-43.

Scheme 1.7 Revised synthetic plan for construction of the C4- and C5-tetrasubstituted carbons



Following this consideration, the reactions in Scheme 1.8 were conducted. Introduction of the methyl group and acidic dehydration proceeded without problem to give $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 1-52. The dimethyl acetal was also hydrolyzed at this point. Then, oxidation of the olefin was attempted. Although the olefin reacted smoothly with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, the product was the undesired diastereomer 1-53. The stereochemistry was determined by NOE correlation between C2-proton and one of the methyl group of the gem-dimethyl structure, which would be observed only if the decaline was cis-fused. The concave nature of the $\alpha$-face of the olefin must be the cause of the face selectivity.

Scheme 1.8 Attempted dihydroxylation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 1-52


The above results clearly indicates the cyclic ketal structure inhibited approach of the oxidizing reagents to the $\alpha$-face of the C5-position. Therefore, hydrolysis of the cyclic ketal should be conducted prior to the introduction of the C5-oxygen functionality.

### 1.2.2.2.3 Reproducibility problem in dihydroxylation

While investigating the above reactions, severe problem occurred in the large scale synthesis of $\mathbf{1 - 5 5}$ (Scheme 1.9). When diketone $\mathbf{1 - 5 4}$ was subjected to the dihydroxylation conditions in 1 gram scale, a highly polar byproduct was generated along with the desired product $\mathbf{1 - 5 5}$. The structure of the byproduct was tentatively assigned as the osmate ester $\mathbf{1 - 5 6}$ based on the ESI-MS peak pattern and large downfield shift (ca. 0.9 ppm compared to $\mathbf{1 - 5 5}$ ) of the peak of the proton at C2position in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.

Scheme 1.9 Unexpected isolation of the osmate ester in large scale synthesis


Aiming at confirming the structure of the osmate ester 1-56 and recovering the material, hydrolysis of the separated osmate ester was attempted (Table 1.1). Under the aqueous basic conditions, diol 1-55 was initially detected on TLC, but both the starting material and the product decomposed under the reaction conditions (entry 1). Methansulfonamide, which is known to accelerate the hydrolysis of the osmate ester in asymmetric dihydroxylation ${ }^{4}$, was ineffective in this case (entry 2). Finally, ethylene glycol was found to be able to liberate the diol in clean fashion. Although the result obtained here supported the structural assumption of 1-56, the hydrolysis was very slow and seemed to require excess amount of ethylene glycol, which would be difficult to apply to the large scale synthesis.

Table 1.1 Attempted hydrolysis of the osmate ester


### 1.2.3 Revise of the plan for the model study

### 1.2.3.1 Revised synthetic plan

The results in the preceding section 1.2.2 disclosed the two problems. The first one was the low reactivity of the $\alpha$-face of the C5-position toward oxidation (Scheme 1.6 and 1.8). This could be attributed to the concave nature of the $\alpha$-face, and thus the cyclic ketal should be hydrolyzed prior to the C5-oxidation. The second one was the irreproducible dihydroxylation of the C2-C3 olefin of 2-7 (Scheme 1.9). This problem would be avoided by reducing the olefin instead of oxidizing it. Although the reduction of the $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ olefin decreases the oxidation state of the skeleton, such simplification would be justified, since the primary aim of this model study was construction of the C10- and C5-tetrasubstituted carbons via 1,4-addtion and following oxidation.

Accordingly, the revised synthetic plan was formulated in Scheme 1.10. Diketone 1-54 was obtained by the oxidation of Dr. Iwatsu's intermediate 1-38, and was set as a starting material. 1,4-Addition of thiol was to be employed as a temporary protection of the less hindered $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ketone structure. Construction of the C10-quaternary carbon via 1,4 -addition to diketone 1-57 followed by elimination of the thiol would give $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $\mathbf{1 - 5 8}$. The C2-C3 olefin would then be reduced to $\mathbf{1 - 5 9}$, from which the introduction of the methyl group to C4-position, and acidic treatment would give $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $\mathbf{1 - 6 0}$. After reduction of the two ketones, the cyclic ketal of 1-61 would be hydrolyzed to afford ketone 1-62. Dihydroxylation of the C4-C5 olefin could be directed by the allylic C6-hydroxy group to yield the desired $\alpha$ isomer 1-63.

Scheme 1.10 Revised synthetic plan from 1-54



### 1.2.3.2 Construction of the C10-quaternary carbon

### 1.2.3.2.1 Synthesis of substrates for $\mathbf{1 , 4}$-addition

First of all, a couple of substrates for the key 1,4-addition were prepared (Scheme 1.11). 1,4Addition of thiophenol or ethanethiol to the less-hindered $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl group of 1-54 yielded $\beta$-thioketones 1-64 and 1-65, respectively. The secondary alcohol of the latter was protected as a TES ether to give 1-66.

Scheme 1.11 Preparation of precursors for 1,4-addition


### 1.2.3.2.2 Construction of the C10-quaternary carbon by $\mathbf{1 , 4}$-addition

Next, 1,4-addition of the methyl group to these substrates was investigated (Table 1.2). Phenylsulfide 1-64 decomposed under the previously optimized reaction conditions (entry 1). In contrast, ethyl sulfide 1-65 did give the desired product 1-68 in low yield (entry 2). TES protection of the secondary alcohol was found to increase the yield of 1,4-adduct 1-69 (entry 3), and further optimization revealed $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as the more effective Lewis acid, yielding 1-69 in higher yield (53\%, entry 4). ${ }^{5,6}$

Table 1.2 1,4-addition of the methyl cuprate

entry

Although the desired 1,4-adduct was obtained, the yield was still moderate. Careful investigation of the product revealed the instability of the dimethyl acetal moiety of 1-69 (Scheme 1.12).

Scheme 1.12 Spontaneous decomposition of the 1,4-adduct 1-69


Therefore, in the optimized procedure, 1,4-adduct 1-69 was immediately treated with base to unveil the stable $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 1-70 (Scheme 1.13). Through the sequence, compound 1-70 bearing the C10-quaternary carbon was successfully synthesized.

Scheme 1.13 Successful 1,4-addition combined with E1cB elimination


### 1.2.3.3 Synthesis of the substrates for the hydrolysis of the cyclic ketal

### 1.2.3.3.1 Protection of the enol

Transformations toward the hydrolysis of the cyclic ketal was then investigated. Protection of the enol proceeded in moderate regioselectivity to give 1-72, and the undesired regioisomer 1-73 was spontaneously converted to the aromatic compound 1-74 (Scheme 1.14).

Scheme 1.14 Protection of the enol moiety


The proposed mechanism of the aromatization is shown in Scheme 1.15. The dimethyl acetal of 1,3-diene 1-73 was activated on silica gel to give 1-75. Elimination of methanol yielded 1-76, from which $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage occurred to give another oxonium cation 1-77. The driving force of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage must be the aromatization of the right ring. Finally the methyl group was removed by some nucleophiles to afford the observed lactone 1-74.

Scheme 1.15 Proposed mechanism for the aromatization of 1-73 to 1-74



### 1.2.3.3.2 Reduction of the $\mathbf{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ olefin and introduction of the methyl group at $\mathbf{C 4}$ position

The 1,4-reduction of $\mathbf{1 - 7 2}$ smoothly proceeded with $\mathrm{LiHB}(\mathrm{sec}-\mathrm{Bu})_{3}$ (Scheme 1.16). At the same time, one molecule of methanol was eliminated to give methyl enol ether 1-78 as a stable product. But the introduction of the methyl group to 1-78 did not proceed under various reaction conditions. Thus, the methyl group was introduced first to $\mathbf{1 - 7 2}$, and then the olefin was reduced by hydrogenation over $\mathrm{Rh} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathbf{1 - 7 2}$ to $\mathbf{1 - 8 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 - 8 1}) .{ }^{7}$ Acidic treatment of the tertiary alcohol 181 cleanly afforded diketone $\mathbf{1 - 8 2} .{ }^{8}$

Scheme 1.16 Synthesis of C2-C3-reduced diketone 1-82


### 1.2.3.3.3 Reduction of ketones at C1- and C6-position

Toward the hydrolysis of the cyclic ketal, two substrates were prepared by reduction of ketones of 1-82 (Scheme 1.17). First, C6-ketone was regioselectively reduced under the Luche reduction conditions ${ }^{9}$ to ketone $\mathbf{1 - 8 3}$. On the other hand, reduction with DIBAL afforded diol 1-84 as a single isomer. The stereochemistries of C6-positions of $\mathbf{1 - 8 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 - 8 4}$ were confidently proposed as shown based on the previous results, whereas that of C1-position of $\mathbf{1 - 8 4}$ was not determined since the following steps were unsuccessful (vide infra).

Scheme 1.17 Preparation of two substrates for the hydrolysis of the cyclic ketal


### 1.2.3.4 Hydrolysis of the cyclic ketal

Next, thus obtained two substrates were subjected to various acidic hydrolysis conditions. When ketone $\mathbf{1 - 8 3}$ was subjected to the previously optimized conditions using catalytic $\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage occurred again to give $\mathbf{1 - 8 5}$, even in the absence of the driving force of aromatization (Scheme 1.18). Highly congested nature of the quaternary carbon and anion stabilizing effect of C 1 -ketone could be causes of this undesired reaction.

Scheme 1.18 Unexpected C-C bond cleavage


1-83




1-85

On the other hand, the cyclic ketal of diol $\mathbf{1 - 8 4}$ was smoothly hydrolyzed under the same conditions (Scheme 1.19). However, C6-hydroxy group at the allylic position was eliminated at the same time to give diene $\mathbf{1 - 8 6}$. Various attempts to suppress the undesired dehydration met with no success.

Scheme 1.19 Hydrolysis of C9-ketal using diol 1-84


Other attempted conditions:
$\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ in THF, $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ with buffering base TfOH, HCI, Nafion TMSCl, $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}+\mathrm{Lil}^{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{NaI}, \mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})$

### 1.2.3.5 Attempted construction of the C5-tetrasubstituted carbon on the bicyclic system

In any case, hydrolysis of the cyclic ketal was achieved, and the stage was set for introduction of the C5-oxygen functionality. When diene $\mathbf{1 - 8 6}$ was treated with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ with TMEDA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{10}$, only the C3-C4 olefin reacted to give diol $\mathbf{1 - 8 7}$ (Scheme 1.20). The stereochemistry was determined by combining NOE correlations $\mathrm{H} 14-\mathrm{H} 2 \beta$ and $\mathrm{H} 15-\mathrm{H} 2 \beta$ as shown in the scheme. Although the yield was unsatisfactory, C4-tetrasubstituted carbon was successfully constructed. Finally, pentaol $\mathbf{1 - 8 7}$ was subjected to the same conditions at higher temperature, with the expectation that the allylic hydroxy group would direct the approach of the oxidant. But none of the desired product was obtained. Although protection of some hydroxy groups might improve the result of the second dihydroxylation, in the light of inefficiency of functionalization sequence developed herein, this route was abandoned.

Scheme 1.20 Unsuccessful oxidation of the C5-C6 olefin
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Although the mechanism of the reaction seemed to be acid-mediated 1,2 -alkyl shift, control experiment showed hydrogen gas was required for the transformation, thus indicating involvement of some palladium hydride species.

${ }^{8}$ Acidic treatment before the hydrogenation of 1-80 induced the aromatization similar to that shown in Scheme 1-14 and 1-15.


[^0]
### 1.3 Oxidative dearomatization and Diels-Alder reaction

### 1.3.1 Revise of the synthetic plan

### 1.3.1.1 Synthetic consideration

The author identified two difficulties in the above model study: hydrolysis of the cyclic ketal at the C9-position with highly functionalized intermediates, and construction of the C5tetrasubstituted carbon by using external oxidant. Thus, the synthetic plan was revised to start from Dr. Iwatsu's intermediate $\mathbf{1 - 3 1}$ whose cyclic ketal had already been hydrolyzed (Scheme 1.21).

Scheme 1.21 Revise of the starting point


Construction of the C10-quaternary carbon by 1,4 -addition to substrates synthesized from 1-31 had already been investigated by the author and had resulted in failure (not shown), ${ }^{1}$ oxidative dearomatization and Diels-Alder reaction was chosen as a key reaction for the construction of C5- and C10-tetrasubstituted carbons. Although the results reported by Dr. Iwatsu were discouraging in terms of the low yields and the difficulty of further functionalizations (Scheme 1.22), there seemed to be a room for improvement by modifying the reaction conditions and substrates.

Scheme 1.22 Results obtained by Dr. Iwatsu


### 1.3.1.2 General features of oxidative dearomatization and Diels-Alder reaction

Oxidative dearomatization of ortho-substituted phenols 1-91 and the following Diels-Alder reaction of the resulting diene $\mathbf{1 - 9 2}$ with alkenes is a powerful strategy for construction of highlyfunctionalized bicyclo[2.2.2]octane skeleton (Scheme 1.23). ${ }^{2}$

Scheme 1.23 Oxidative dearomatization and Diels-Alder reaction with alkenes


Not only the high level of oxidation state of the obtained skeleton, but also the possibility of construction of multiple tetrasubstitued carbons (highlighted in yellow in Scheme 1.23) appeals many synthetic chemists, resulting in the wide application of this strategy in the total synthesis of complex natural products. Selected recent examples are shown in Scheme 1.24.

Liao and co-workers reported the total synthesis of magellanine (1-97) in 2002 (Scheme 1.24a). ${ }^{3}$ Intermolecular Diels-Alder reaction of in-situ generated diene 1-95 and cyclopentadiene was employed as a first step of the synthesis to give the adduct 1-96. Linear triquinane 1-97 was synthesized by the following 13 steps.

On the other hand, in 2013 Zakarian and co-workers reported the total synthesis of maoecrystal V (1-102) utilizing the intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction (Scheme 1.24b). ${ }^{4}$ Phenol 1-99, which was obtained from alcohol 1-98 in 7 steps, was oxidized to construct the diene moiety of $\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0}$. After the incorporation of vinyl silane moiety as a dienophile, intramolecular DielsAlder reaction under the heating conditions yielded 1-101 bearing the tricyclic core structure of maeocrystal V. The synthesis was accomplished in 14 steps from this intermediate.

Scheme 1.24 Recent applications of oxidative dearomatization and Diels-Alder reaction strategy
a. Total synthesis of magellanine by Liao and co-workers using intermolecular Diels-Alder reaction
b. Total synthesis of maoecrystal V by Zakarian and co-workers using intramolecular Diels-

Alder reaction
a.

b.


maoecrystal V (1-102)
$\stackrel{14 \text { steps }}{\rightleftarrows}$


1-101

### 1.3.1.3 Use of alkyne as a dienophile

It can be simply deduced that the use of an alkyne as a dienophile would give more oxidized bicyclo[2.2.2]octadiene 1-103 and increase the value of the product as a highly oxidized building block (Scheme 1.25).

Scheme 1.25 Oxidative dearomatization and Diels-Alder reaction with alkynes


To fully utilize the product, it is inevitable to distinguish the resulting two olefins in further transformations. As demonstrated by Fukuyama and co-workers in their total synthesis of anisatin (Scheme 1.26), ${ }^{5}$ it is possible to distinguish the olefins by subtle difference of electron density or strain of olefins. Specifically, alkynyl phenol 1-106, which was prepared form two fragments $\mathbf{1 - 1 0 4}$ and 1-105, were subjected to the oxidation and then heated to give the DielsAlder adduct 1-107 after the acid-mediated epimerization. After the conversion to 1-108, the putatively more electron-rich and more strained trisubstituted olefin was chemoselectivly oxidized by ozone to give the aldehyde, the remained olefin of which was isomerized under the basic conditions to give $\mathbf{1 - 1 0 9}$. Reduction of the aldehyde and protection of the resulting primary hydroxy group, followed by 26 -step sequence completed the total synthesis of anisatin (1-111).

Scheme 1.26 Total synthesis of anisatin by Fukuyama et al.

5 steps


1-108

Application of alkynes bearing electron withdrawing groups enables chemoselective transformations of two olefins of the product, thus would be more general and reliable. Surprisingly, despite apparent simplicity of the strategy, the examples using such alkynes in the synthesis of highly oxygenated molecule is scarce. ${ }^{6}$

### 1.3.1.4 Revised synthetic plan

The detailed revised synthetic plan is depicted in Scheme 1.27. After the initial key reactions, epoxide of diene 1-112 was intended to be opened by oxygen nucleophile, instead of the sulfur nucleophile, to let the future inversion of the stereochemistry be more feasible. After the C-ring formation, chemoselective oxidative cleavage of the electron-rich olefin of 1-113 would give dialdehyde 1-114, from which functionalization of the A-ring would afford polyol 1-115. Finally, the regioselective introduction of multiple acyl groups would afford 4-hydroxyzinowol (1-12).

Scheme 1.27 Revised synthetic plan from 1-31


### 1.3.2 Oxidative dearomatization

### 1.3.2.1 Preparation of the substrate

Starting from tetraol 1-31, 1,2-diol was selectively protected as acetonide in the presence of the 1,3-diol moiety (Scheme 1.28). Then the TIPS group of $\mathbf{1 - 1 1 6}$ was removed without problem to give phenol 1-117.

Scheme 1.28 Preparation of the substrate for the oxidative dearomatization


### 1.3.2.2 Condition screening of the oxidative dearomatization

Next, screening of the reaction conditions was performed towards construction of the C5tetrasubstituted carbon by the oxidative dearomatization of phenol $\mathbf{1 - 1 1 7}$ (Table 1.3). Initially, previous best condition was applied (entry 1). As a result, the desired epoxide 1-118 was obtained in only $3 \%$ yield along with $p$-quinone 1-119 as a major product. Use of hexafluoro-2-propanol, one of the perfluoroalcohol known as a good solvent for oxidative dearomatization, ${ }^{7}$ complicated the reaction in entry 2 . Finally, $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}{ }^{8}$ was found to be the effective oxidant yielding $\mathbf{1 - 1 1 8}$ in almost quantitative yield. Some 2,4-cyclohexadienones derived from phenols are reported to be highly unstable and prone to dimerization by the self Diels-Alder reaction. ${ }^{9}$ However, 1-118 was stable enough to be purified by silica gel chromatography or recrystallization, suggesting the congested surrounding functional groups increased the stability of diene 1-118.

Table 1.3 Oxidative dearomatization of the phenol 1-117


### 1.3.3 Diels-Alder reaction

### 1.3.3.1 Substrate scope

Now the stable diene 1-118 in hand, construction of the C10-quaternary carbon was attempted by Diels-Alder reaction with various dienophiles (Table 1.4). First, methyl acrylate was used under neat condition for comparison with the previous synthetic study (entry 1). Surprisingly, two components reacted in the regio- and stereoselective manner to give the adduct 1-121 in high yield. On the other hand, 1-cyanovinyl acetate, which is a ketene equivalent, did not afford any DielsAlder adduct presumably due to the steric hinderance of 1,1 -disubstitution of the dienophile (entry 2). To alleviate the steric hinderance without lowering the oxidation state, attention was next turned to alkynes. As can be seen in entry 3, the reaction with methly propiolate under neat conditions cleanly yielded $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 2}$. The stereo- and regioselectivity was the same as that of entry 1 . Vinyl ester part of $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 2}$ could be used as a functional handle for further functionalization, but the robust methoxycarbonyl group had to be removed in later stage. Thus the easily removable tosyl group was chosen as an electron withdrawing group in entry 4 . The reactivity as a dienophile was also improved by substitution with the tosyl group: only 5 equivalents of dienophile in toluene was sufficient to achieve high yielding formation of the adduct 1-123.

Table 1.4 Diels-Alder reaction of 1-118



### 1.3.3.2 Rationale for the diastereoselectivity and regioselectivity of the Diels-Alder reaction

 The proposed rationale for the face selectivity of the Diels-Alder reaction is shown in the Scheme 1.29. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of diene $\mathbf{1 - 1 1 8}$, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ coupling between H 6 and H 7 was not observed $\left(J_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{H} 7}=0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, whereas the long-range coupling constant between H 6 and H 8 was relatively large $\left(J_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{H} 8}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. These data indicates that the left 6 -membered ring of $\mathbf{1 - 1 1 8}$ adopts twist-boat conformation as shown. Product 1-123 showed similar coupling pattern ( $J_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{H} 7}$ $=0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $J_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{H} 8}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), possibly adopting the same twist-boat conformation. Furthermore, NOE correlation was observed between C15-proton and C7-proton of the product. By combining these spectral data, it was speculated that H 7 was positioned close to the reaction center (C10) in the parent diene $\mathbf{1 - 1 1 8}$. The steric shielding by this proton should have directed the $\alpha$-face approach of the dienophile, producing the observed product 1-123 via transition state 1-124.Scheme 1.29 Rationale for the stereoselective Diels-Alder reaction


As for the regioselectivity, it can be simply explained by regarding the reaction as a normal-electron-demand Diels-Alder reaction (i.e. HOMO of diene and LUMO of dienophile). Although similar tendencies were reported in many experiments, ${ }^{9,10}$ there are also some contracting examples in literature. For example, calculation on similar diene system indicated that they reacts by using their LUMOs even with electron deficient alkenes. ${ }^{11}$ There is also the case in which no regioselectivity was observed. ${ }^{12}$ Thus the generalization of the reactivity pattern of 2,4cycloheadienone is difficult as of today, and contributing factors have to be carefully investigated case by case. In this case, the complete regioselectivity could be attributed to the steric repulsion between the generating quaternary carbon and the tosyl group. Furthermore, the regioselectivity could also be rationalized from the frontier orbital interaction of the diene and the dienophile. In order to clarify the origin of this selectivity in more detail, the DFT calculation of the orbital energies (B3LYP/6-31G*) of 1-118 and ethynyl-p-tolyl sulfone was performed. ${ }^{13}$ As a result, $\mathrm{HOMO}_{\text {diene }}=-6.47 \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{LUMO}_{\text {diene }}=-2.22 \mathrm{eV}$, whereas $\mathrm{HOMO}_{\text {dienophile }}=-7.53 \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{LUMO}_{\text {dienophile }}=-1.49 \mathrm{eV}$ were obtained. The results clearly shows this reaction proceeds normal-electron-demand manner. Thus, the atomic orbital coefficients of HOMO of the diene and LUMO of the dienophile were also calculated with the same method (Figure 1.4). The regioselectivity could be explained by considering the reaction occurred between the atoms bearing the larger coefficient in each reaction component.

Figure 1.4 Atomic orbital coefficients of HOMO of the diene and LUMO of the dienophile
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### 1.4 Total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol

### 1.4.1 Overview of the remaining tasks

In section 1.3, two consecutive tetrasubstituted carbons at C5- and C10-positions were successfully constructed. Toward the total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol, there remains the three major tasks (Scheme 1.30): construction of the C-ring, introduction of the oxygen functionalities onto the AB-ring with proper stereochemistry, and introduction of the acyl groups. In this section, study towards construction of the C-ring is described in section 1.4.2, introduction of the oxygen functionalities onto AB -ring is described in section 1.4.3, and regioselective acylation to 4hydroxyzinowol is described in section 1.4.4.

Scheme 1.30 The remaining tasks toward the total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol


### 1.4.2 Construction of the $\mathbf{C}$-ring

### 1.4.2.1 Model study of C-ring construction

From the Diels-Alder adduct, the C-ring formation was investigated first. In doing so, the model study was conducted.

### 1.4.2.1.1 Preparation of model substrates

Diels-Alder adduct 1-122 was selected as a starting material of the model study. As shown in Scheme 1.31, two tetraols $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 7}$ were prepared by removal of the acetonide ${ }^{1}$ followed by nucleophilic opening of the epoxide with cesium carboxylates. ${ }^{2}$

Scheme 1.31 Preparation of two model substrates


### 1.4.2.1.2 Attempts for C-ring formation using model substrates

Two model substrates were treated with Brønsted acid under the same conditions (Scheme 1.32). With acetate $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 6}$, elimination of the tertiary hydroxy group was the major reaction path to give exo-olefin 1-128. ${ }^{3}$ In contrast, benzoate 1-127 afforded five-membered ring ether 1-129 in clean fashion.

Scheme 1.32 Contrasting reactivity of acetate 1-126 and benzoate 1-127


### 1.4.2.1.3 Rationale for the different reactivity of the model substrates

To rationalize the above contrasting reactivity, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis was conducted, which revealed the difference of conformational preference between two substrates (Scheme 1.33). The H6-H7 coupling constant of acetate $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 6}$ was 11.4 Hz , indicating the diaxial relationship of these protons. Taking into account of the bulkiness of the branched substituent at C7-position, the 6-membered ring should have the twist boat conformation. In this conformation, two reaction centers (C11 and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{OH})$ are positioned far away from each other. Therefore the elimination was the major reaction path instead of the desired etherification. In contrast, the H6-H7 coupling constant of benzoate 1127 was 5.5 Hz . The relatively small $J$ value suggested that contribution of the chair-like conformation greatly increased in case of $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 7}$. Aforementioned two reaction centers are positioned in the 1,3-diaxial relationship in this conformation, which were smoothly connected under the acidic conditions to give 5 -membered ether ring.

Scheme 1.33 Conformational preference of two substrates
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These conformational preferences were also supported from the search of the most stable conformation (MacroModel ver. 10.4, Force Field: MM2*, Solvent: none, Minimization Method: PRCG, Maximum Iteration: 2500, CSearch Method: Mixed Torsional/Low-mode Sampling. (Figure 1.5).

Figure 1.5 Caluculated conformation of two model substrates (in vacuum)


The different preferences of conformations could be explained by invoking possible 1,2gauche interaction ${ }^{4}$ between the benzoyl group and the C7-substituent in the twist boat conformation (1-127-e) (Scheme 1.34). ${ }^{5}$

Scheme 1.34 Possible explanation for conformational preference of benzoate 1-127


### 1.4.2.1.4 Rationale for the selectivity between C 5 - and $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{OH}$

In the preferred conformation of benzoate $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 7}, \mathrm{C} 5-$ and $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{OH}$ seemed to exist in the same position relative to the reaction center at the C 11 -carbon. Therefore it was possible that $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{OH}$ reacted instead of $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{OH}$ to give another 5 -membered ring ether $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 0}$ (Scheme 1.35). The selective formation of $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 9}$ could be rationalized by considering the rigidity of the conformation. Namely, the orientation of $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{OH}$ is completely fixed to axial due to the presence of the bicyclo[2.2.2] octadiene skeleton, whereas that of $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{OH}$ had some flexibility. Thus the $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{OH}$ always exists near the reaction center at C 11 -position and the reaction with $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{OH}$ occurred much faster than with $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{OH}$, resulting in the selective formation of $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 9}$.

Scheme 1.35 Possible two reaction paths from 1-127


### 1.4.2.2 Construction of the C-ring from the Diels-Alder adduct bearing tosyl group

Based on the model study of the previous section, the established sequence was applied to DielsAlder adduct 1-123 (Scheme 1.36). Acetonide was smoothly removed by TFA. When epoxide 1131 was treated with CsOBz , complex mixture of products was obtained, from which the desired benzoate 1-132 was isolated only in $25 \%$ yield. Careful examination of byproducts revealed formation of the unexpected C5-diastereomer 1-133 as a minor product, the stereochemistry of which was determined by the NOE correlation shown in Scheme 1.36.

Scheme 1.36 Unexpected epimerization of C5-stereocenter



Proposed mechanism for generation of $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 3}$ is delineated in scheme 1.37. After the epoxide opening, C5-C10 bond could be cleaved from the highly basic cesium alkoxide 1-134 in DMF. The generated pentadienyl carbanion 1-135 is further stabilized by the tosyl group. C5epimer could be formed by the re-addition of this anion to the C5-ketone. Because such reaction was not observed in the model study, the stronger electron withdrawing ability of the tosyl group must have been the cause of this side reaction.

Scheme 1.37 Proposed mechanism of the epimerization at C5-position



The above analysis indicates that, in order to suppress the side reactions, the generated cesium alkoxide should be protonated as soon as possible, ideally in situ. Thus, benzoic acid was chosen as a proton source which would not interfere the expected reaction.

As a result, the epoxide opening proceeded smoothly to give tetraol 1-132, which could be isolated at this point in about $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 1.38). The coupling constant between C6proton and C7-proton of $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 2}$ was 5.0 Hz . In the same manner as the model study, acidic treatment of $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 2}$ cleanly generated the desired 5-membered ring ether $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 6}$ in high yield.

Scheme 1.38 Successful construction of the C-ring


### 1.4.3 Functionalization of the AB-ring

### 1.4.3.1 Construction of the tetrasubstituted carbon at C4-position

With the construction of the BC-ring structure completed, functionalization of the A-ring was next surveyed. Before transformation of the bicyclo[2.2.2]octadiene structure of 1-136, the tetrasubstituted carbon at C 4 was installed (Scheme 1.39). 1,2-Diol of $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 6}$ was protected as their TBS ethers, and the benzoyl group of $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 7}$ was cleaved with NaOMe . Care have to be paid to keep the temperature at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in this reaction, otherwise the 1,4 -addition of sodium methoxide to the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated sulfone occurred. Then, obtained $\beta$-hydroxyketone $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 8}$ was treated with excess amount of methyl magnesium bromide to give rise to the addition product 1-139 in a highly diastereoselective manner.

Scheme 1.39 Construction of the tetrasubstituted carbon at C4-position


As for the high diastereoselectivity, both face of ketone 1-138 can be regarded as concave due to the presence of the bicyclo[2.2.2] octadiene skeleton and oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane (BC-ring). Thus it could be hypothesized that the $\beta$-hydroxy group acted as a directing group, which resulted in the high diastereoselectivity. ${ }^{6}$ Although the exact role of the hydroxy group is still elusive, the negligible reactivity of benzoate $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 7}$ to Grignard reagent may support the hypothesis (Scheme 1.40).

Scheme 1.40 Attempted Grignard reaction to benzoate 1-137


### 1.4.3.2 Oxidative cleavage of the olefin

The stage was set for the key oxidative cleavage of the olefin (Scheme 1.41). Although the ozone chemoselectively cleaved the more electron-rich olefin of diene $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 9}$, the product was not the expected dialdehyde 1-140 but hemiacetal 1-141 (not isolated). Furthremore, second acetalization occurred spontaneously to give pentacyclic compound 1-142 as a stable product. The structure of 1-142 was tentatively assigned at this point, but later verified by chemical conversions (vide infra)

Scheme 1.41 Spontaneous acetalization of ozonolysis products



Since the hemiacetal formation can be regarded as a temporary protection of the aldehyde, mono-hemiacetal 1-141 seemed to be the useful product for further transformations. Thus the experimental procedure was optimized, and it was found that direct oxidation of crude 1-141 with $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}{ }^{7}$ afforded carboxylic acid 1-143 along with hemiacetal 1-142 (Scheme 1.42). Although the yield of carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 3}$ was not perfect, hemiacetal $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 2}$ can also be converged to the synthetic route via simple transformations (vide infra); hence the overall efficiency of the chemoselective oxidative cleavage of the olefin was excellent.

Scheme 1.42 Two-step procedure toward the carboxylic acid 1-143



### 1.4.3.3 Removal of one carbon unit at C3-position

### 1.4.3.3.1 Removal of the tosyl group

Before one-carbon truncation, tosyl group was removed first. The preliminary investigation was done using the model substrate 1-144 which was obtained by the reductive work-up of the ozonolysis step from 1-139 (Scheme 1.43). Although the tosyl group was chosen as a removable electron withdrawing group, well-established buffered reduction using sodium amalgam ${ }^{8}$ unexpectedly resulted in the desired reduction accompanied by the loss of the C15-carbon atom.

Scheme 1.43 Preliminary result of the removal of the tosyl group






The proposed mechanism is shown in the Scheme 1.44. The hydroxy group of the hemiacetal of $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 4}$ was deprotonated under the basic conditions to give $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 8}$. Due to the strong electron withdrawing ability of the tosyl group, C - C bond cleavage occurred from $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 8}$ to give the highly stabilized carbanion $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 9}$. Formyl ester derived from the C15-carbon atom was then cleaved under the basic conditions to give $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 0}$ or $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 1}$. Final reductive cleavage of the tosyl group afforded the observed product.

Scheme 1.44 Proposed mechanism for the removal of C15-carbon atom


In order to make the system as neutral as possible and avoid the side reaction, the conditions were employed using $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Hg}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and pH 7 buffer biphasic system. ${ }^{9}$ In this procedure, the pH of aqueous phase was kept below $9 \sim 10$ by constantly adding the buffer solution. Application of those conditions to the above model substrate resulted in the successful clean removal of the tosyl group (not shown). Thus the conditions were applied to carboxylic acid 1143 to give the desired product $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 2}$ (Scheme 1.45). The yield was determined by the weight of the roughly purified material and its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Determination of the precise yield was difficult due to the presence of the hemiacetal diastereomers.

Scheme 1.45 Successful removal of the tosyl group


### 1.4.3.3.2 Barton decarboxylation

$\beta, \gamma$-Unsaturated carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 2}$ was next decarboxylated under the radical conditions (Scheme 1.46). Barton ester $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 3}$ was prepared from carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 2}$ by using EDCI as a condensation reagent, and it was heated with triphenyltinhydride and AIBN. ${ }^{10}$ The generated allyl radical 1-154 can be drawn as the resonance form $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 5}$, hence the hydrogenation could occur at either C1- or C3-position. But in this case, C3-position was hydrogenated regioselectively, presumably owing to the steric hinderance of the C 1 -position by the adjacent quaternary center. The yield of $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 6}$ was determined by the weight of the roughly purified material and its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for the same reason as that for $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 2}$.

Scheme 1.46 Barton decarboxylation



### 1.4.3.4. Completion of the synthesis of the tricyclic skeleton of 4-hydroxyzinowol

Finally, hemiacetal, or protected aldehyde, of 1-156 was reduced to afford triol 1-157 (Scheme 1.47).

Scheme 4.17 Reduction of the hemiacetal


Practically, these 4 steps from carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 3}$ were conducted without intensive purification of each products, affording the triol $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 7}$ in $32 \%$ yield over 4 steps (average $75 \% /$ step) (Scheme 1.48). At this point, tricyclic scaffold of the dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran skeleton was successfully constructed.

Scheme 1.48 4-Step sequence to triol 1-157


Hemiacetal 1-142, the byproduct obtained in the ozonolysis step (Scheme 1.42), was also converged to the same triol $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 7}$ via six-step sequence (Scheme 1.49). First, the hemiacetal was oxidized to lactone $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 8}$. After the reductive removal of the tosyl group, lactone $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 9}$ was hydrolyzed to carboxylic acid 1-152, which was converted to triol $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 7}$ in the same manner. Furthermore, the tentatively assigned structure of $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 2}$ was confirmed by the sequence.

Scheme 1.49 Conversion of the byproduct of ozonolysis to the triol 1-157


### 1.4.3.5 Introduction of the three remained stereocenters at C1-, $\mathbf{C} 2$-, and $\mathbf{C 6}$-positions

### 1.4.3.5.1 Oxidation of the C6-secondary alcohol

After introduced by the epoxide opening in Scheme 1.38, the C6- $\beta$-oxygen functionality had played the pivotal roles in controlling the conformation of the precursor for the C-ring formation, directing the cource of the addition in construction of the C4-tetrasubstituted carbon, and protecting the angular aldehyde as the hemiacetal during the A-ring functionalization. As it has completed its roles, the stereochemistry has to be inverted at this stage. Although there are some examples realizing direct inversion of secondary alcohols on cyclic systems, ${ }^{11}$ it seemed impossible to apply them to the C6-secondary alcohol within the oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane (BCring structure). Therefore the oxidation-reduction strategy was employed in this case.

To avoid protecting group manipulation, selective oxidation of the secondary alcohol was attempted in the presence of the primary hydroxy group (Scheme 1-50). By applying the conditions developed by Trost and co-workers ${ }^{12}$, the desired oxidation of $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 7}$ was realized to give the stable hemiacetal $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 0}$. The byproduct was lactone $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 1}$, which was generated via oxidation of the primary alcohol to aldehyde $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 2}$, hemiacetalization to $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 3}$ and further oxidation of the hemiacetal.

Scheme 1-50 Selective oxidation of the secondary alcohol


$1-160: 1-161=3: 1$


### 1.4.3.5.2 Dihydroxylation of the C1-C2 olefin

The steric hinderance around the $\beta$-face of the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ olefin would be minimized by the cyclic hemiacetal structure of $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 0}$. Thus it was determined to oxidize the olefin before the reduction of the hemiacetal. As shown in Scheme 1.51, although the stoichiometric amount of osmium tetraoxide was necessary, desired oxidation proceeded with complete face selectivity to yield osmate ester 1-164 (not isolated), which was reductively cleaved with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$ to give 1,2-diol 1-165.

Scheme 1.51 Stereoselective dihydroxylation


In determining the stereochemistry of the product, interesting NOESY correlation was observed (Figure 1.6), indicating one of the TBS groups resides near H2. From this spectral data, it was suggested that two TBS groups repulse each other, and that one of the TBS group (shown in blue) resides below the C1-C2 olefin, inhibiting the approach of the osmium tetroxide.

Figure 1.6 NOESY correlation of 1-165


### 1.4.3.5.3 Reduction of the C6-hemiacetal

Five consecutive stereocenters having been constructed, construction of the final stereocenter at C6 was next investigated, which was found to be the difficult task. Since its formation through Trost oxidation, the C6-hemiacetal had always existed intact, and the equilibrium with the hydroxy ketone had never been observed in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. This fact indicated some kind of acid or harsh condition would be required to unveil the C6-ketone. Various conditions were investigated, and part of them were shown in Table 1.5. ${ }^{13}$

Standard hydride sources in entry 1 and 2 did not react at all, with the starting hemiacetal recovered intact. In the presence of the $\mathrm{Br} \varnothing$ nsted acid with $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{Oi}-\mathrm{Pr}) 3,{ }_{3}{ }^{14}$ removal of the TBS group was observed without any clue of the desired reduction (entry 3). Interestingly, among the various reducing reagents, only $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ could reduce C 6 -position (entry 4). To improve the yield and the diastereoselectivity, conditions were further varied in entries 5-8. Aiming at the generation of reportedly more reactive alkoxyborohydride, ${ }^{15}$ the limited amount of methanol was added in entry 5 , but the undesired isomer was obtained as a major product. In contrast, the reaction in refluxing 1,2-dichloroethane realized good diastereoselectivity and acceptable isolated yield (entry 6). To improve the solubility of the reagent, THF was used as a cosolvent in entry 7, which resulted in the disappearance of the diastereoselectivity. $n$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NBH}_{4}$ is known to be more soluble than metal borohydrides in chlorinated organic solvents, ${ }^{16}$ thus higher reactivity was expected. However, no reaction occurred in entry 8 . Overall, the conditions in entry 6 was selected as the optimal one.

The stereochemistry at C6-position was determined by comparing the coupling constant between the protons at C6- and C7-positions of 1-166 and 1-167: $J_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{H} 7}=0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ in $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 6}$ whereas $J_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{H} 7}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ in $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 7}$. The former is the characteristic value of the dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran skeleton, and also the same value as that of 4-hydroxyzinowol.

Table 1.5 Reduction of the hemiacetal at C6-position

|  |  | conditions | CBSO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | conditions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | results | entry | conditions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | results |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{MeOH} \end{gathered}$ | no reaction | 5 | $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ <br> THF, reflux |  | 66 $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 7}=1: 3$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ <br> THF, reflux | no reaction | 6 | $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ <br> 1,2-dichloroethane reflux |  | 1-166: 36\% <br> 6 : 1-167 = >5:1 <br> ery of 1-165: $15 \%$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{Oi}-\mathrm{Pr})_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ <br> 1,2-dichloroethane rt to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | no reduction removal of the TBS group was observed | $7^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ <br> 1,2-dichloroethane/THF reflux | IF 1- | $66: \mathbf{1 - 1 6 7}=1: 1$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ <br> THF, reflux | $\begin{gathered} 1-166: 23 \% \\ 1-166: 1-167=1: 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | $n-\mathrm{BuN}_{4} \mathrm{BH}_{4}$ 1,2-dichloroethane reflux |  | no reaction |
| ${ }^{a}$ Reagents were used in large excess. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{LiBH}_{4}: \mathrm{MeOH}=1: 1^{c} 2 \mathrm{M}$ THF solution of $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ was used. Solid $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ showed almost the same selectivity. ${ }^{d} 1,2$-dichloroethane : $\mathrm{THF}=3: 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The optimal conditions in hand, the rationale for the high reactivity and diastereoselectivity of entry 6 is discussed below (Scheme 1.52). First of all, comparison of entry 1 and 4 , or entry 6 and 8 , clearly illustrates the importance of the lithium cation, which was probably required to open the hemiacetal (1-168 to $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 9}$ ) and allow the reduction to proceed. Next, as for the diastereoselectivity, there is a possibility that external borohydrides were not the true reductant. Borohydrides are known to produce the borane by reacting with alkyl halides like 1,2-dichloroethane. ${ }^{17}$ Although borane itself could act as an external reducing agent of the ketone, the more plausible scenario is that the generated borane reacted with lithium alkoxide $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 9}{ }^{18}$ generated from the hemiacetal opening. The intramolecular hydride transfer from the resultant alkoxyborohydride 1-170 would yield 1-171, from which the major diastereomer 1-166 would be generated after the work-up. ${ }^{19}$

The reverse or loss of the diastereoselectivity in entry 5 or 7 could be explained by considering the solubility of the $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ in these reaction conditions. In the absence of the polar solvent (MeOH or THF), $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ is hardly soluble in the less polar 1,2-dichloroethane, and thus its reactivity would be greatly decreased. In that case, the intramolecular hydride reduction (1-170 to 1-171) would become the major reaction path. On the other hand, in the presence of the polar solvent, $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ would become more soluble in the solvent. In that case, reduction of ketone $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 0}$ by external hydride sources could become faster. Since the $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-faces of the ketone seems sterically not differentiated, such intermolecular reaction would not be stereoselective, which resulted in the low selectivity observed in entry 5 or 7 .

Scheme 1.52 Plausible explanation for the stereoselectivity of the hemiacetal reduction


### 1.4.4 Regioselective acylation

### 1.4.4.1 Attempted acetylation of the $\mathbf{C 1}-\mathbf{O H}$

Fully functionalized dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran skeleton in hand, acylation of hydroxy groups was finally investigated. Optimally, tetra-acetylation of pentaol 1-166 followed by TBS removal and bis-benzoylation would complete the total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol. Thus the pentaol was first subjected to acetylation conditions (Scheme 1.53). In the presence of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ and DMAP, $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ introduced three acetyl groups on C2-, C6-, and C15-OH groups to afford 1-172. All of the methine peaks in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 2}$ were well-separated, and the position of acetyl groups were unambiguously determined by observing the downfield shift of the corresponding peaks. $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{OH}$ did not react at all under the reaction conditions. On the other hand, under the $\operatorname{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$-mediated acidic conditions, tetra-acetylation did occur, in which the C 4 tertiary OH was acetylated instead of the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{OH}$ to afford $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 4}$. The assignment was based on the ESI-MS value and unchanged chemical shift of C1-proton. Exposure of pentaol 1-166 to the solvent mixture of $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and pyridine resulted in the complex mixture of products (not shown). The low reactivity of the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{OH}$ could be attributed to the bulkiness of the TBS group, thus two TBS groups were removed from 1-172, and regioselective benzoylation of 1-173 was investigated in the next section.

Scheme 1-53 Attempted tetra-acetylation of the pentaol 1-166.


### 1.4.4.2 Regioselective benzoylation

Disappointingly, attempted benzoylation of tetraol $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 3}$ under the similar conditions as the previous acetylation afforded the undesired bis-benzoate 1-175 as a major product with the desired bis-benzoate 1-176 (Scheme 1.54, upper scheme). In order to control the reactivity, DMAP was removed from the reaction conditions (lower scheme). Surprisingly, C9-OH was acylated regioselectively under the reaction conditions. The generated $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 7}$ can be isolated, but more conveniently, addition of DMAP to the reaction mixture resulted in the second regioselective benzoylation at $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{OH}$ with complete regioselectivity. Although the isolated yield of $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 6}$ was moderate, it can be justified because remained mono-benzoate $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 7}$ was recovered approximately in $20 \%$ yield. The position of acylated hydroxy groups was determined by the chemical shifts of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR peaks as before.

Scheme 1.54 Regioselective benzoylation


The persuasive explanation for the regioselectivity is still elusive due to the lack of sufficient control experiments, but it is worth estimating of this curious selectivity. Clean generation of C9-benzoate 1-177 in the absence of DMAP suggested C9-OH was the most reactive even though it is at the neopentyl position (Scheme 1.55). Approach of the reagent to the seemingly less-crowded $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{OH}$ could be inhibited by the presence of one of the methyl groups attached to the C11-carbon atom (highlighted in yellow). After the addition of DMAP, it was possible that the benzoyl group of $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 7}$ migrated from $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{OH}$ to $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{OH}$, and then the generated C9-alkoxide 1-178 was benzoylated again. The two benzoyl groups of 1-176 might repulse each other as in the case of the bis-TBS ether (see Figure 1.6 in p.52), and thus C9-benzoyl group could reside near the C 1 -position, inhibiting the third benzoylation at $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{OH}$.

Scheme 1.55 Proposed reaction path of the optimized benzoylation


Although the possibility of the direct benzoylation of $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{OH}$ could not be excluded, the preferred formation of $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 5}$ (C9-OH was not acylated) corroborated the hypothesis (Scheme 1.56). Namely, $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{OH}$ should be acylated first also under this more forcing reaction conditions (DMAP was added from the beginning). No acylation on $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{OH}$ of the final product $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 5}$ indicated the initially introduced acyl group went possibly to the adjacent C8-OH. Re-acylation of C9-alkoxide 1-181 could be suppressed by the benzoyl group at $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{OH}$, which could be introduced much faster in this reaction conditions.

Scheme 1.56 Proposed reaction path toward the undesired bis-benzoate 1-175


On the other hand, $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{OAc}$ seemed to exist in the similar relationship. Thus it seemed possible that the migration of acetyl group occur, although such reaction was not observed. This could be explained by comparing the coupling constant between C 1 -and C 2 protons ( $J_{\mathrm{H1H} 2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and the coupling constant between C8- and C9-protons $\left(J_{\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{H} 9}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, indicating the latter combination was more eclipsed, which could result in the higher propensity of acyl migration.

To rationalize the direction of migration ( $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{OH}$ to $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{OH}$ ), ground state energies of the two benzoates in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were calculated by DFT (B3LYP/6-31G*). ${ }^{21}$ The result clearly shows the C8-benzoate $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 8}$ is much more stable than C9-benzoate $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 7}$ (Figure 1.7). This large energy difference could be attributed to the possible hydrogen bonding between $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{C} 1-$ OH in 1-178, which would be disturbed in C9-benzoate 1-177.

Figure 1.7 Comparison of relative stability of C9- and C8-benzoates (DFT, in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ )


C9-benzoate (1-177)
$0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$


C8-benzoate (1-178)
$-3.69 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

### 1.4.4.3 Total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol

Finally, the remained secondary hydroxy group was acetylated under the same conditions as the previous acetylation to give the natural product, 4-hydroxyzinowol (Scheme 1.55). All of the analytical data ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR, and $\left.[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ of the synthetic sample matched well with those of the sample isolated from the natural source (Table 1.6). ${ }^{20}$

Scheme 1.57 Total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol


Table 1.6 Comparison of the spectral data of synthetic and natural 4-hydroxyzinowol

| No. | natural |  | synthetic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}[\delta$, multi. $J(\mathrm{~Hz})]$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{\delta})$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}[\delta$, multi. $J(\mathrm{~Hz})]$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{\delta})$ |
| 1 | 5.64 (d, 3.6) | 70.1 | 5.65 (d, 3.4) | 70.1 |
| $2^{\text {a }}$ | 5.52 (ddd, 3.3, 3.3, 3.3) | 68.0 | 5.52 (ddd, 3.4, 3.4, 3.4) | 68.0 |
| 3a | 2.03 (dd, 15.1, 2.9) | 42.0 | 2.03 (dd, 15.5, 3.4) | 42.0 |
| 3b | 2.15 (m) |  | 2.20 (dd, 15.5, 3.4) |  |
| 4 |  | 69.8 |  | 69.8 |
| 5 |  | 91.0 |  | 91.0 |
| 6 | 6.19 (s) | 76.0 | 6.19 (s) | 76.0 |
| 7 | 2.59 (d, 3.3) | 53.9 | 2.59 (d, 4.0) | 53.8 |
| 8 | 6.06 (dd, 6.5, 3.5) | 70.6 | 6.06 (dd, 6.9, 4.0) | 70.6 |
| 9 | 5.77 (d, 6.5) | 68.2 | 5.77 (d, 6.9) | 68.1 |
| 10 |  | 54.0 |  | 54.0 |
| 11 |  | 84.9 |  | 84.9 |
| 12 | 1.60 (s) | 29.9 | 1.60 (s) | 30.0 |
| 13 | 1.80 (s) | 26.5 | 1.80 (s) | 26.6 |
| 14 | 1.52 (s) | 25.0 | 1.52 (s) | 25.0 |
| 15a | 4.48 (d, 13.0) | 64.6 | 4.48 (d, 13.2) | 64.6 |
| 15b | 4.90 (d, 13.0) |  | 4.90 (d, 13.2) |  |
| $4-\mathrm{OH}$ | 2.89 (s) |  | 2.88 (s) |  |
| 1-OAc | 1.61 (s) | 20.2, 169.2 | 1.62 (s) | 20.3, 169.2 |
| 2-OAc | 2.12 (s) | 21.1, 169.5 | 2.12 (s) | 21.1, 169.6 |
| 6-OAc | 2.14 (s) | 21.2, 169.8 | 2.14 (s) | 21.3, 169.9 |
| 15-OAc | 2.40 (s) | 21.3, 170.5 | 2.41 (s) | 21.4, 170.6 |
| OBz x 2 | 7.22 (2H, m) | 128.2, 128.3 | 7.22 (2H, dd, 7.5, 7.5) | 128.26, 128.31 |
|  | 7.44 (3H, m) | 128.8, 129.2 | 7.42 (2H, dd, 7.5, 7.5) | 128.8, 129.2 |
|  |  | 129.5, 130.3 | 7.47 (2H, dd, 7.5, 7.5) | 129.5, 130.3 |
|  | 7.58 (1H, m) | 133.1, 133.5 | 7.59 (2H, dd, 7.5, 7.5) | 133.2133 .6 |
|  | 7.70 (1H, d, 7.5) | 164.8, 165.4 | 7.70 (1H, d, 7.5) | 164.8, 165.4 |
|  | 7.89 (1H, d, 7.5) |  | 7.89 (1H, d, 7.5) |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Jiménez, Gamarro, and co-workers reported the coupling pattern of H 2 as $\mathrm{dd}(\mathrm{J}=6.5,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ in the original paper.
Judging from their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, the coupling pattern should be described as ddd ( $J=3.3,3.3,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

### 1.4.5 References and notes for section 1.4

${ }^{1 .}$ For Ce(III)-mediated removal of acetonide, see: Xiao, X.; Bai, D. Synlett 2001, 535.
2. (a) Kruizinga, W. H.; Strijfveen, B.; Kellogg, R. M. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 4321. (b) Marschner, C.; Penn, G.; Griengl, H. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 5067. (c) Miyakoshi, N.; Aburano, D.; Mukai, C. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 6045.
${ }^{3}$ Further heating of $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 8}$ resulted in the removal of the acetyl group, and no etherification was observed.
4. (a) Tius, M. A.; Busch-Petersen, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 5181. (b) Eliel, E. L.; Satici, H. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 688. (c) Hosoya, T.; Ohashi, Y.; Matsumoto, T.; Suzuki, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 663. (d) Yamada, H.; Nakatani, M.; Ikeda, T.; Marumoto, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 5573.
5. Two more substrates $\mathbf{1 - 1 8 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 - 1 8 3}$ were prepared, both of which have the "small" $J$ value, and as expected smoothly afforded the cyclized product 1-184 and 1-185.


[^1]12. (a) Trost, B. M.; Masuyama, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 173. For the recent synthetic application, see: (b) Miyashita, M.; Sasaki, M.; Hattori, I.; Sakai, M.; Tanino, K. Science 2004, 305, 495.
${ }^{13 .}$ Other attempted reagents include: Red-Al, $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{LiBH}_{4}+\mathrm{LiCl}^{2}, \mathrm{LiEt}_{3} \mathrm{BH}$, all of which resulted in no reaction.
${ }^{14 .}$ Ishihara, K.; Hanaki, N.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 10695.
${ }^{15 .}$ (a) Rickborn, B.; Wuesthoff, M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 6894. (b) Wuesthoff, M. T. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 791.
${ }^{16 .}$ Raber, D. J.; Guida, W. C. Synthesis 1974, 808.
${ }^{17 .}$ (a) Brändström, A.; Junggren, U.; Lamm, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 31, 3173. (b) Raber, D. J.; Guida, W. C. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 690. (c) Periasamy, M.; Muthukumaragopal, G. P.; Sanjeevakumar, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 6966.
${ }^{18 .}$ Generation of alkoxy borohydride via the reaction of borane with metal alkoxide is a known process. See: Salomon, R. G.; Basu, B.; Roy, S.; Sachinvala, N. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3085.
${ }^{\text {19. }}$ Similar directed reduction is well-documented when $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ is used: (a) Saksena, A. K.; Mangiaracina, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 273. (b) Evans, D. A.; Chapman, K. T.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3560.
${ }^{20 .}$ Muñoz-Martínez, F.; Mendoza, C. R.; Bazzocchi, I. L.; Castanys, S.; Jiménez, I. A.; Gamarro, F. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 4226.
${ }^{21 .}$ Initial structure was obtained by MacroModel ver. 10.4. Conditions are as follows. Force Field: MM2*, Solvent: none, Minimization Method: PRCG, Maximum Iteration: 2500, CSearch Method: Mixed Torsional/Low-mode Sampling. DFT calculation was then performed at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory.

## Chapter 2

Synthetic study of talatisamine

### 2.1 Introduction

### 2.1.1 Diterpene alkaloids

"Alkaloids" refers to the natural products derived from amino acid precursors. In contrast, the skeletons of "terpenoid-alkaloids" are constructed from the prenyl units. ${ }^{1}$ Nitrogen atoms of these pseudo-alkaloids are incorporated at some stage of the biosynthesis of terpenoids as simple alkyl amine units. Reflecting the biosynthetic origin, these alkaloids are classified by its parent terpenoid skeletons such as triterpenoid-alkaloids, diterpenoid-alkaloids, and so on. Among those, diterpenoid-alkaloids, or generally called as diterpene alkaloids, share the highly complex fused polycyclic skeleton consisting of 18,19 , or 20 carbon atoms with one characteristic bridging nitrogen atom. ${ }^{2}$ Diterpene alkaloids are widely distributed in various families of plants, among which Ranunculaceae is one of the main source. The selected examples of $\mathrm{C}_{18^{-}}, \mathrm{C}_{19}{ }^{-}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{20}-$ diterpene alkaloids are shown in the Figure 2.1.

Atisine (2-1) and veatchine (2-2) ${ }^{3}$ belong to the $\mathrm{C}_{20}$-diterpene alkaloids and their skeletons constitute the starting point of biosynthesis of related diterpene alkaloids (vide infra).
$\mathrm{C}_{18^{-}}$and $\mathrm{C}_{19}$-diterpene alkaloids have much more complicated hexacyclic skeleton compared to that of $\mathrm{C}_{20}$-counterparts. Lappaconitine (2-3) ${ }^{4}$ and neofinaconitine (2-4) ${ }^{5}$ are examples of $\mathrm{C}_{18}$-diterpene alkaloids. Lappaconitine is commercialized as an antiarrhythmic drug. ${ }^{6}$

Among nearly one thousand natural products of this class isolated to date, more than half belong to $\mathrm{C}_{19}$-diterpene alkaloids. The representative example is aconitine $(\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{5})^{7}$ which is well known for its toxicity (toxic component of genus aconitum). Talatisamine (2-6) ${ }^{8}$ and chasmanine $(2-7)^{9}$ are related natural products.

## Chapter 2 Synthetic Study of Talatisamine

Figure 2.1 Examples of diterpene alkaloids.

$\mathrm{C}_{19}$-diterpene alkaloids

aconitine (2-5)

talatisamine (2-6)

chasmanine (2-7)

### 2.1.2 Biosynthetic hypothesis

All diterpene alkaloids are proposed to be synthesized from geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate (2-8) (Scheme 2.1). ${ }^{10}$ Initially, cyclization from 2-8 affords ent-copalyldiphosphate (2-9). Formation of the third ring accompanies the loss of the pyrophosphate anion to give tertiary cation $\mathbf{2 - 1 0}$, from which Prins cyclization occurs to yield secondary cation 2-11. ${ }^{11}$ 1,3-Hydride shift from 2-11 (path a) gives another secondary cation $\mathbf{2 - 1 2}$, and the following 1,2 -alkyl shift gives rise to ent-atisane skeleton 2-13. Finally, the introduction of the nitrogen atom ${ }^{12}$ furnishes the skeleton of the atisinetype diterpene alkaloids. On the other hand, 1,2-alkyl shift from the secondary cation 2-11 (path b) gives another tetracyclic scaffold called ent-kaurane skeleton (2-14), which leads to veatchinetype $\mathrm{C}_{20}$-diterpene alkaloids by incorporation of the nitrogen atom.

Scheme 2.1 Biosynthetic hypothesis of $\mathrm{C}_{20}$-diterpene alkaloids


Hexacyclic skeleton of $\mathrm{C}_{19}$ - and $\mathrm{C}_{18}$-diterpene alkaloids are proposed to be generated from atisine (2-1) (Scheme 2.2). ${ }^{1}$ Oxidative cleavage of the one-carbon atom of the exo-olefin and the Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement affords pentacyclic skeleton $\mathbf{2 - 1 5}$ consisting of 19 carbon atoms. Opening of the $N, O$-acetal yields iminium cation 2-16, and the following cyclization to the carbon atom of the iminium cation leads to the characteristic hexacyclic skeleton of $\mathrm{C}_{19}$-diterpene alkaloids. Further loss of the C 18 -carbon atom affords the skeleton of $\mathrm{C}_{18}$-diterpene alkaloids.

Scheme 2.2 Biosynthetic hypothesis of $\mathrm{C}_{19}$ - and $\mathrm{C}_{18}$-diterpene alkaloids



Although the order of these reactions have not been established yet, the plausibility of these reactions were verified in model systems. Overton and co-workers performed pyrolysis of simple pentacyclic tosylate 2-17 to obtain the rearranged product 2-18 (Scheme 2.3a). ${ }^{13}$ Wiesner and co-workers also obtained the rearrangement product 2-20 and 2-21 using the more oxygenated model system 2-19. (Scheme 2.3b). ${ }^{14}$ Additionally, Edwards achieved the last ring formation of 2-24 by oxidation of the amine 2-22 to the iminium cation 2-23 with $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, followed by Mannich reaction (Scheme 2.3c). ${ }^{15}$ Wiesner and co-workers collectively utilized these insights in their total synthesis of diterpene alkaloids (vide infra).

Scheme 2.3 Biomimetic transformations in model compounds
a. Overton



2-17
b. Wiesner


2-19
c. Edwards


### 2.1.3 Biological activities of diterpene alkaloids

The plants of Aconitum and Delphinium family (Ranunculaceae), the major sources of diterpene alkaloids, has been widely used as folk medicine in China and Japan. ${ }^{16}$ They are mainly used as analgesics and antiarrhythmic agents. Attempts toward identification of bioactive components of these medicinal plants lead to isolation of various diterpene alkaloids such as those shown above. The expected antiarrhythmic and analgesic activities were found, for example, in lappaconitine (2-3) which blocks the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channels. ${ }^{2,3 b}$ It was also found that some of the congeners like aconitine (2-5) instead induce arrhythmia by activation of the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channels. ${ }^{2}$ Although many diterpene alkaloids act on the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channels, ${ }^{17}$ there are also $\mathrm{K}^{+}$channel modulators. For example, talatisamine (2-6) is reported to selectively inhibit $\mathrm{K}^{+}$channel and show antiarrhythmic effect. ${ }^{18}$

### 2.1.4 Synthetic studies

### 2.1.4.1 Synthetic studies by other groups

Attracted by its intriguing structure and biological activities, synthetic studies of diterpene alkaloids have been conducted since 1960s. Successful total synthesis of $\mathrm{C}_{20}$ series have been reported by many groups, ${ }^{19}$ whereas that of relatively more complicated $\mathrm{C}_{19}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ series are not so many. ${ }^{20,21}$ Selected examples of the total syntheses of $\mathrm{C}_{19}$ - and $\mathrm{C}_{20}$-diterpene alkaloids are described in the following sections with focusing on the construction of bicyclo[2.2.2]octane skeleton.

### 2.1.4.1.1 Total synthesis of talatisamine by Wiesner and co-workers

Wiesner and co-workers have completed the total synthesis of talatisamine (2-6) in 1974. ${ }^{14}$ Their synthetic strategy was based on the biosynthetic hypothesis. Namely, Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement of the bicyclo[2.2.2]octane skeleton was expoited to construct the carbon skeleton of talatisamine. The synthesis started from the Diels-Alder reaction of nitrile 2-25 and diene 2-26 to afford a 1 to 1 mixture of diastereomers of the adduct 2-27 and 2-28 (Scheme 2.4). Both compounds were converged to the same ketone 2-29 over five steps. Isomerization of cis-decalin to trans-decalin and one-carbon homologation through the nine-step sequence afforded aldehyde 2-30. Aminodiol 2-31 was then obtained by the aldol reaction of 2-30 with formaldehyde and the following reduction. Piperidine ring of 2-32 was constructed from 2-31 by tri-mesylation and the following $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction. Next, toward construction of the bicyclo[2.2.2]octane skeleton, the aromatic ring of $\mathbf{2 - 3 2}$ was converted to the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone of 2-33. [2+2]Photocycloaddition with the allene gave rise to cyclobutane 2-34. After three steps, acid-mediated retro-aldol reaction cleaved the cyclobutane ring. The ensuing aldol reaction under the same conditions furnished bicyclo[2.2.2] octane 2-36, which was converted to 2-37, the substrate for the key rearrangement. Pentacyclic compound 2-38 was successfully generated from 2-37 under the previously established conditions (see Scheme 2.3 b) through the biomimetic rearrangement. ${ }^{22}$ Finally the last ring was constructed over four steps via 2-39 to accomplish the total synthesis of talatisamine (2-6).

Scheme 2.4 Total synthesis of talatisamine by Wiesner and co-workers






### 2.1.4.1.2 Total synthesis of lepenine by Fukuyama and co-workers

Recently, Fukuyama and co-workers completed the total synthesis of lepenine, $\mathrm{C}_{20}$-diterpene alkaloid possessing the bicyclo[2.2.2]octane skeleton and characteristic C7-C17 bridge (Scheme 2.5). ${ }^{19 \mathrm{q}}$ Starting from enantiomerically pure L-lactic acid methyl ester (2-40), three step transformations led to the diastereomixture of allylic alcohols 2-41. The Johnson-Claisen and ensuing Claisen rearrangements of 2-41 afforded ester 2-42 with complete control of the stereochemistry. Tetralone 2-43 was synthesized in six steps from 2-42, and then 1,3-diene and exo-olefin were installed in 4 steps. Intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction of 2-44 went smoothly under heating conditions to construct two more stereocenters in 2-45. Alloc-protected aminodiol $\mathbf{2 - 4 6}$ was obtained through the four step redox reactions, and then oxidized to diketone 2-47. The key Mannich reaction was effected by Alloc deprotection in the presence of acetic acid to furnish pentacyclic compound 2-48. After two steps, the oxidative dearomatization of phenol 2-49 afforded diene 2-50. Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{2 - 5 0}$ with ethylene yielded 2-51, realizing construction of the bicyclo[2.2.2]octane skeleton in the late stage of the synthesis. $\mathbf{2 - 5 1}$ was converted to the natural product lepenine (2-52) by further eight steps.

Scheme 2.5 Total synthesis of lepenine by Fukuyama and co-workers


### 2.1.4.2 Synthetic study in our laboratory

Recently our group have established the efficient strategy for construction of the pentacyclic skeleton of talatisamine by employing the radical cyclization as a key step (Scheme 2.6). ${ }^{23}$

The synthesis commenced from bromination of the commercially available ketoester 253. The following double Mannich reaction using ethylamine afforded bicyclic amine 2-54, ${ }^{24}$ which corresponds to the AE-ring of talatisamine. The attachment of three-carbon chain at C5, followed by introduction of the cyclopentene unit to $\mathbf{2 - 5 5}$ and oxidation of the resulting alcohol afforded the substrate 2-56 for radical cyclization. Using the radical initiator V-70, the key cyclization of the bridgehead radical ${ }^{25}$ constructed the seven-membered B-ring to give 2-57 in high yield. The C 10 -stereochemistry was installed correctly, while that of C 9 was wrong. After exchange of the protecting group ar the nitrogen atom, high-yielding three-step sequence from 258 gave enyne 2-59 with erasing the wrong C10-stereochemistry. Dihydroxylation of the olefin afforded $\alpha$-oriented diol 2-60, which was converted to silyl enol ether 2-61 in 3 steps. Treatment of 2-61 with PhSeCl selectively activated the terminal olefin, and the following nucleophilic attack of the silyl enol ether completed the construction of the D-ring. Thus introduced selenide atom was then eliminated to give olefin 2-63, being posed to construction of the remained F-ring.

Scheme 2.6 Construction of the pentacyclic skeleton of talatisamine by Dr. Tabuchi




2-56




2-63


Although the pentacyclic ring system of $\mathrm{C}_{19}$-diterpene alkaloid was elegantly constructed in the sequence, there remains to be done toward the total synthesis of talatisamine, especially adjustment of the oxidation state of the skeleton: C5- and C9-deoxygenation and C 1 -oxidation. Among those, introduction of the oxygen functionality at C1-position from $\mathbf{2 - 6 3}$ seems quite difficult.

### 2.1.5 Synthetic plan

Talatisamine (2-6) has twelve consecutive stereocenters including three tetrasubstituted carbons on its complex hexacyclic skeleton. Total synthesis of this molecule is highly challenging even today. Wiesner and co-workers synthesized the pentacyclic substrate for the skeletal rearrangement (2-37) in 36 steps (Scheme 2.7). The fascinating reaction sequence consisting of the skeletal rearrangement and the oxidative cyclization remains to be improved concerning the yields and scope of the reactions.

Scheme 2.7 Summary of the total synthesis of talatisamine by Wiesner and co-workers


Thus the author set the substrate of the rearrangement 2-37 or its derivative as a key intermediate, and determined to establish the more efficient synthetic route toward the key intermediate by combining the strategies developed in our laboratory: oxidative dearomatization and Diels-Alder reaction sequence, and the bridgehead radical cyclization.

Specifically, 2-37 bears bicyclo[2.2.2]octane skeleton connected with the bicyclic amine moiety at its bridgehead position. Possibility of preparation of bicyclo[2.2.2]octane moiety by oxidative dearomatization and Diels-Alder reaction sequence was demonstrated in the synthesis of lepenine by Fukuyama and co-workers. Furthermore, the utility of the bridgehead radical generated from the bicyclic amine moiety was shown in our group's previous study. However, the AE-ring unit used in our group's previous study did not have the appropriate oxidation state, hence it should be replaced with the properly functionalized one to realize the more convergent synthesis. Taking into account of these, the synthetic route of talatisamine was planned as depicted in Scheme 2.8.

The known silyl ketone $\mathbf{2 - 6 4}{ }^{26}$ was selected as a starting compound. The silyl group could be regarded as a stable surrogate of the C1-oxygen functionality since its conversion to the hydroxy group by Fleming-Tamao oxidation ${ }^{27}$ is a well-established process. In addition, the asymmetric synthesis of $\mathbf{2 - 6 4}$ is documented ${ }^{28}$, thus the asymmetric total synthesis would be possible. Four contiguous stereocenters on the cyclohexane ring of 2-65 would be constructed via Mannich reaction, Fleming-Tamao oxidation, and introduction of the carbon chain. 2-65 has the same oxidation state as that of the AE-ring of talatisamine. Then introduction of the aromatic ring would be achieved by addition of aryl metal species 2-66 to aldehyde 2-65. Thus obtained 2-67 would then be subjected to the oxidative dearomatization and Diels-Alder reaction sequence to give diene $\mathbf{2 - 6 8}$. Two olefins of $\mathbf{2 - 6 8}$ would be differentiated by the effect of the electron withdrawing group as in the total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol. Therefore the radical cyclization could afford the key intermediate $\mathbf{2 - 6 9}$ with correct stereochemistry. Finally, the WagnerMeerwein rearrangement and oxidative F-ring formation would complete the total synthesis of talatisamine (2-6).

Scheme 2.8 Synthetic plan of talatisamine


### 2.2 Synthesis of the AE-ring bearing the proper oxidation state

### 2.2.1 Construction of the piperidine ring

### 2.2.1.1 Attempted introduction of the bromide atom at the bridgehead position

Based on the previous synthetic study, the bromide atom at the bridgehead position can be considered as a good precursor for the bridgehead radical. Thus, the brominated bicyclic amine 2-70 was initially set as a targeted AE-ring fragment.

Figure 2.2 Initially designed AE-ring fragment


Regioselective deprotonation of 2-64 by LDA followed by treatment of the enolate with Mander's reagent ${ }^{29}$ afforded enol 2-71 (Scheme 2.9). Although a considerable amount of methoxycarbonylcyanohydrin 2-72 ${ }^{30}$ was also generated from 2-71 in situ, it was efficiently converted back to 2-71 by treating with sodium methoxide.

Scheme 2.9 Introduction of the one carbon unit at C4


Next, introduction of the bromide atom at the other $\alpha$-position was attempted (Scheme 2-10). Although a couple of conditions were attempted, the reaction became complicated in every case. None of the desired product 2-73 was obtained.

Scheme 2.10 Attempted bromination of 2-71


The difficulty of the bromination could be attributed to the presence of the silyl phenyl group. Other than two $\alpha$-positions of the ketone, the ipso-position of the aromatic ring on the silicon atom is also nucleophilic. Thus it was speculated that the electrophilic ipso-substitution of the aromatic ring via the stabilized $\beta$-silyl cation 2-74 was the cause of the failure (Scheme 2.11). ${ }^{31}$

Scheme 2.11 Possible side reaction in the attempted bromination


To circumvent the problem, the order of introduction of substituents were modified (Scheme 2.12). Specifically, the silyl group was introduced by the 1,4 -addition to $\alpha$-bromo cyclohexenone 2-76. Successfully obtained bromosilyl ketone 2-77 was then subjected to the base and Mander's reagent. However, the reaction became complicated again. Control experiment showed the treatment of 2-77 with LHMDS for 10 minutes at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the complex mixture of products, indicating the lability of 2-77 under the strongly basic conditions.

Scheme 2.12 Attempted synthesis of 2-73 from bromoketone 2-76


### 2.2.1.2 Introduction of the ester functionality at the bridgehead position

Faced with the above obstacles, the author determined to change the radical precursor from the bromide atom to the Barton ester. ${ }^{32}$ The methyl ester 2-78, which would be reasonably stable and could be converted to the Barton ester in later stage, was chosen as its precursor (Figure 2.3).

Figure 2.3 Revised design of the AE-ring fragment


The introduction of the second methoxycarbonyl group to enol 2-71 was effected by utilizing the dianion chemistry (Scheme 2.13). ${ }^{33}$ Ketoester 2-71 was treated with 2.2 equivalent of LDA followed by 1 equivalent of Mander's reagent and HMPA ${ }^{34}$ to afford the desired diester $\mathbf{2 - 7 9}$ in clean fashion. The exact yield of $\mathbf{2 - 7 9}$ was difficult to determine since the purity of the sample could not be verified due to the presence of its diastereomers and tautomers.

Scheme 2.13 Introduction of the second methoxycarbonyl group LDA (2.2 equiv.)


2-71


2-79

Next, diester 2-79 was subjected to the Mannich reaction to construct the piperidine ring (Scheme 2.14). By mixing diester 2-79 with ethylamine and formaldehyde, the desired bicyclic amine was obtained as a mixture of diastereomers at C1-position in $16 \%$ overall yield from $\beta$-silyl ketone 2-64. The stereochemistry was determined by NOE correlation shown in figure 2.4.

Scheme 2.14 Construction of the piperidine ring


Figure 2.4 NOE correlation of 2-80 and 2-81


### 2.2.2 Introduction of the oxygen functionality at C1-position

### 2.2.2.1 One-pot Fleming-Tamao oxidation

The ensuing Fleming-Tamao oxidation is inherently incompatible with the electron-rich nitrogen atom. The amine could be oxidized to its N -oxide under the reaction conditions. There are a handful of examples in literature that realize Fleming-Tamao oxidation in the presence of the unprotected tertiary amine. ${ }^{35}$ Referring to these examples, some one-pot oxidation conditions were examined in Scheme 2.15. However, desired product was obtained in neither conditions.

Scheme 2.15 Attempted one-pot Fleming-Tamao oxidation from the phenylsilanes


### 2.2.2.2 Two-pot Fleming-Tamao oxidation

### 2.2.2.2.1 Conversion to silyl fluoride

In order to simplify the reaction outcome, phenyl group on the silicon atom was first replaced by the fluoride atom. This reaction corresponds to the putative first step of the one-pot conditions (Scheme 2.16). ${ }^{36}$

Scheme 2.16 Conversion of the phenyl group to the fluoride atom


### 2.2.2.2.2 Oxidation of the silyl fluoride

Thus obtained silyl fluorides $\mathbf{2 - 8 4}$ and $\mathbf{2 - 8 5}{ }^{37}$ were then subjected to the oxidation under the acidic conditions (Scheme 2.17). Owing to formation of the ammonium salt under the acidic conditions, the desired oxidation proceeded cleanly without over-oxidation of the tertiary amine. Furthermore, the diastereomeric ratio changed from $\beta: \alpha=2 \sim 3: 1$ to $\beta: \alpha=6: 1$, favoring the desired $\beta$ isomer. The stereochemistry was determined by the coupling constants of C1-proton of 2-86 ( $J=10.1$, $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .10 .1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and 6.4 Hz could be attributed to axial-axial and axial-equatorial coupling, respectively, thus the $J$ values indicated C1-proton has axial orientation. Assuming the cyclohexane ring adopted the chair conformation, the stereochemistry at C1-position was determined to be $\beta$.

Scheme 2.17 Fleming-Tamao oxidation with epimerization of the stereochemistry


To clarify the reason for the change of the diastereomeric ratio, the control experiment was performed as shown in Scheme 2.18. When isolated single isomer of fluoride $\mathbf{2 - 8 5}$ was subjected to the same oxidation conditions, the epimerization of the stereochemistry occurred and diastereomixture of $\mathbf{2 - 8 6}$ and $\mathbf{2 - 8 7}$ was obtained in the same ratio as before. From the result, it was concluded that the stereochemistry at C1-position of the product alcohol was irrelevant to that of the starting material.

Scheme 2.18 Control experiment using single diastereomer of silyl fluoride



### 2.2.2.2.3 Rationale for the epimerization

Fleming-Tamao oxidation is known to proceed with retention of configuration. ${ }^{36}$ Therefore it is reasonable to surmise the epimerization shown in Scheme 2.18 occurred after the oxidation. Considering the strong acidity of the reaction conditions, the mechanism for the epimerization could be proposed as shown in Scheme 2.19. Initially, alcohols 2-86 and 2-87 would be generated with retention of configuration of the parent fluorides 2-84 and 2-85. Under the acidic conditions, retro-aldol reaction would occur from $\beta$-hydroxyketones 2-86 and 2-87 to give enol intermediate 2-90. The stereochemistry at C 1 -position disappears at this point. The following aldol reaction would regenerate the diastereomixture of alcohols. The aldol reaction would be under the thermodynamic control, and thus the $\beta$-isomer 2-86 would be the major product due to the thermodynamic stability of equatorial-alcohol 2-86 compared to axial-alcohol 2-87.

Scheme 2.19 Proposed mechanism for the epimerization at C1-position



### 2.2.2.3 Protection of the introduced hydroxy group

Although talatisamine bears the methyl ether at C1-position, attempts toward formation of methyl ether from 2-86 failed (Scheme 2.20). The instability of $\beta$-hydroxyketone moiety of $\mathbf{2 - 8 6}$, which could be inferred from the above epimerization via retro-aldol/aldol sequence, could be a cause of the failure. ${ }^{38}$

Thus the hydroxy group was protected as its TBS ether instead.

Scheme 2.20 Protection of C1-OH


It was found later that alcohol 2-86 was unstable even on silica gel. Attempted isolation in large scale resulted in the considerable decrease of the yield. Thus, the crude alcohol 2-86 was protected without isolation to give TBS ether 2-88 in good yield over three steps (Scheme 2.21).

Scheme 2.21 Three-step procedure to TBS ether $\mathbf{2 . 8 8}$


### 2.2.3 Introduction of carbon chain at C5-position

### 2.2.3.1 Synthetic consideration

The C1-oxygen functionality having been successfully constructed, introduction of carbon chain at C5-position was next investigated. Although Grignard reaction had been successfully employed for this purpose in the previous study, product 2-89 retained tertiary hydroxy group which had to be removed in later stage (Scheme 2.22)

Scheme 2.22 Previous result obtained by Dr. Tabuchi



Thus the author determined to attach the carbon chain with concurrent removal of the oxygen atom. Two plans are shown in scheme 2.23 . Specifically, Wittig-type reaction of $\mathbf{2 - 8 8}$ with functionalized ylide could give enol ether 2-90. The hydrolysis of 2-90 would give aldehyde 2-91 which could be used for further homologation (Scheme 2.23a). Alternatively, the ketone of $\mathbf{2 - 8 8}$ could be reduced and the resulting alcohol could be converted to xanthate ester 2-92, which could be allylated under the radical reaction conditions (Scheme 2.23b).

Scheme 2.23 Two plans for introduction of carbon chain at C5-position
a. Wittig reaction

b. radical reaction


### 2.2.3.2 Attempted olefination

Initially Wittig-type reaction was attempted (Scheme 2.24). Although the substrate $\mathbf{2 - 8 8}$ was consumed under standard conditions, the product was eight-membered ring amine 2-98. The proposed mechanism is also shown in the scheme. After the addition of the ylide species to the ketone, Grob-type fragmentation from 2-94 occurred to give $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 2-95. Second addition of the ylide species to the ketone followed by retro-aldol-type reaction of 2-96 afforded the carbanion 2-97, which was protonated to give 2-98. The mechanistic consideration indicated that the formation of oxaphosphetane from 2-94 was retarded presumably due to the steric congestion by the two adjacent quaternary carbons. Furthermore, the fragmentation from oxyanion 2-96 might be fast since the steric repulsion between the quaternary carbon and the phenyl rings on the phosphine atoms would be relieved by the reaction. Other attempts to suppress the side reactions resulted in similar results. ${ }^{39}$

Scheme 2.24 Attempted olefination of ketone 2-88


### 2.2.3.3 Radical allylation

### 2.2.3.3.1 Preparation of the substrate for radical allylation

Thus, radical allylation strategy was next investigated. First of all, Treatment of 2-88 with DIBAL reduced the ketone and one of the esters in highly regioselective manner to give diols 2-99 and 2100 (Scheme 2.25). Although the diastereoselectivity was low, this stereochemistry is inconsequential because it would disappear in generating the radical at this position.

Scheme 2.25 Regioselective reduction of ketoester 2-88


Each of the primary alcohol of diols 2-99 and 2-100 was methylated under the standard conditions (Scheme 2.26). Secondary alcohols $\mathbf{2 - 1 0 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 - 1 0 2}$ were then converted to xanthate esters 2-103 and $\mathbf{2 - 1 0 4}$.

Scheme 2.26 Conversion of the diols to xanthate esters



### 2.2.3.3.2 Condition screening of the radical allylation

Substrate for the radical allylation in hand, conditions of the radical allylation was screened using the major diastereomer 2-103 (Table 2.1). Under the standard conditions using allyltributyltin, only hydrogenated compound 2-107 was obtained (entry 1). The source of the hydrogen atom could be the benzylic hydrogen atom of the solvent or the allylic hydrogen atom of the allyltin reagent. Therefore, in entry 2 , the solvent was changed to chlorobenzene and also the allyltin reagent was changed to allyltriphenyltin because the bulky phenyl groups were expected to inhibit the hydrogen abstraction from its allylic position. As a result, the desired product $\mathbf{2 - 1 0 5}$ was obtained in only $12 \%$ yield as a $7: 1$ diastereomixture along with the unexpected allylated product $\mathbf{2 - 1 0 6}$ as a major product. The proposed mechanism for the generation of 2-106 is depicted in Scheme 2.27. The generated secondary alkyl radical 2-108 abstracted the hydrogen atom adjacent to the nitrogen atom via six-membered transition state to yield $\alpha$-amino alkyl radical 2-109. Allylation of this radical species would give 2-106. The steric hinderance around the first carbon radical would inhibit the approach of the reagents and retarded the desired reaction, and this was considered to be the cause of the side reaction. The mechanistic consideration indicated the side reaction could be suppressed by accelerating the allylation of the initial alkyl radical 2-108. Hence the reaction was conducted under neat conditions in entry 3 , which minimized the side reaction and afforded the desired product $\mathbf{2 - 1 0 6}$ in $\mathbf{4 4 \%}$ yield with the same diastereoselectivity as in entry 2.

Table 2.1 Radical allylation


| entry | conditions | results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SnBu}_{3}-40 \text { (cat.) } \\ & \text { xylene, } 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | 2-107 only |
| 2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-105: } 12 \%(d r=7: 1) \\ & \text { 2-106: } 23 \%(d r=2: 1) \\ & \text { 2-107: } 16 \% \\ & \text { recovery of 2-103: } 23 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | $\underbrace{\mathrm{SnPh}_{3}}_{\substack{\mathrm{V}-40 \text { (cat.) } \\ \text { neat, } 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-105: } 44 \%(d r=7: 1) \\ & \text { 2-106: } 16 \%(d r=2: 1) \\ & \text { recovery of 2-103: } 10 \% \end{aligned}$ |

Scheme 2.27 Proposed mechanism for the generation of 2-106

[1,5]-H transfer


The stereochemistry of the major diastereomer of the allylated product 2-105 and was unambiguously determined by the NOE experiment (Figure 2.5). One of the protons at the allylic position showed NOE correlation with C17-proton or C19-proton.

Figure 2.5 NOE correlation of the desired allylated product 2-105


Optimal reaction conditions in hand, the minor diastereomer of the xanthate ester 2-104 was also subjected to the same reaction conditions to afford 2-105 and 2-106 in similar yields (Scheme 2.28). The major diastereomer was again the $\beta$-isomer. As expected, the stereochemistry of the parent xanthate disappeared in the radical intermediate, and was not related to the stereochemistry of the product.

Scheme 2.28 Radical allylation of the minor diastereomer 2-104


### 2.2.3.3.3 Rationale for the stereoselectiviy

The observed high diastereoselectivity could not be explained by steric factors. Namely, assuming that both of the cyclohexane and the piperidine rings of azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane should be in chair forms, clear difference cannot be found between the steric environment of $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-faces of the reaction center. In order to clarify the origin of the stereoselectivity, DFT calculation (uB3LYP/6-31G*) of the structure and the orbital energy of the radical intermediate 2-108 was performed. Thus obtained structure was depicted in Figure 2.6.

Secondary carbon radical is generally considered to be in the $p$-orbital of the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized carbon atom. However, as can be seen from the side view of the structure in Figure
2.6, the C5-proton slightly tilted to axial (to the cyclohexane ring) from the orientaion expected for the planar structure of the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-hybridized carbon atom. The dihedral angle (C18-C4-C5-H5) was calculated to be $-29.15^{\circ}$ (around $0^{\circ}$ if C 5 is $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-carbon, and $\pm 60^{\circ}$ if C 5 is $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-carbon). Therefore, the radical would have $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ character to some extent, and also would bear the equatoriallike orientation. Allylation of such radical would afford the $\beta$-isomer, which was the observed major product.

Figure 2.6 Calculated stable structure of the radical intermediate

2-108



The reason for the distortion revealed above can be speculated by considering the orbital interaction of the atomic orbital at C5-position. The energy of the atomic orbital at C5-position bearing the unpaired electron would be increased by orbital interactions with neiboring electron rich C-C bonds (Figure 2.7). The axial-oriented orbital (2-108-a) would interact with two C-C bonds (C17-C11 and C19-C4). However, both bonds are connented to the electronegative nitrogen atom, and thus the increase of the orbital energy by these bonds would be attenuated. On the other hand, equatorial-oriented orbital (2-108-e) would interact with the other two C-C bonds (C1-C11
and C3-C4). Although one of them (C1-C11) bears the oxygen atom, the other does not bear any electronegative atom. Therefore, the increase of the orbital energy would be greater compared to the axial-oriented orbital, hence the contribution to SOMO from the equatorial-oriented atomic orbital would also be greater than from the axial-oriented one, resulting in the distortion observed above. The calculated SOMO (HOMO) of the radical intermediate 2-108 is shown in Figure 2.8. ${ }^{40}$ It can be seen that the phase of the orbital lobe at C 5 matched with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds discussed above (blue: C3-C4 and C1-C11, red: C4-C19 and C11-C17).

Figure 2.7 Axial- and equatorial-oriented atomic orbitals


2-108-a


2-108-e

Figure 2.8 Calculated SOMO (HOMO) of the radical intermediate 2-108 (left: mesh view, right: solid view)


### 2.3 Introduction of the aromatic ring

### 2.3.1 Ozonolysis of the terminal olefin

### 2.3.1.1 Unexpected formation of the zwitterionic species

Successfully introduced three-carbon unit had to be truncated toward the introduction of the aromatic ring moiety. Thus ozonolysis of the terminal olefin was investigated next (Scheme 2.29). Initially tertiary amine 2-105 was protected as its TFA salt, and then ozonolysis was performed at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction proceeded cleanly without undesired oxidation of the nitrogen atom. Unexpectedly, the product was found to be a zwitterionic species 2-110, instead of the expected aldehyde 2-111. The structure of $\mathbf{2 - 1 1 0}$ was proposed based on its ESI-MS spectrum, large lowfield shift of the chemical shift of the protons around the nitrogen atom (Table 2.2), and its very high polarity. C7-proton was assigned to the peak at 5.15 ppm in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, which is a similar value to the related literature examples (vide infra).

Scheme 2.29 Ozonolysis of the terminal olefin


Table 2.2 Comparison of selected chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) of 2-105 and 2-110

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H17 or H19 | 2.05 and 2.43 | 2.56 and 3.32* |
| H 17 or H 19 | 2.16 and 3.30 | 3.23 and 3.90 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Et}$ | 2.21-2.34 (2H) | $3.30 *$ and 3.60 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Et}$ | 1.04 (3H) | 1.46 (3H) |

### 2.3.1.2 Amine-aldehyde interaction in literature

Although the structure of $\mathbf{2 - 1 1 0}$ seemed unstable, there are a handful of examples of such zwitterionic species in literature. ${ }^{41}$ The representative example is found in the natural product saraine A (Scheme 2-30). ${ }^{42}$ Under neutral conditions, C2-proton of saraine A was observed at 8.50 ppm, which is shifted slightly upper field compared to normal aldehydes. This up-field shift is attributed to the interaction of the aldehyde with the lone pair of the nearby tertiary amine, and thus the structure of saraine $A$ is generally drawn as $\mathbf{2 - 1 1 2}$ (middle structure), in which the tertiary amine is partially bonded to the aldehyde carbon atom. This interaction is known to be highly environment-dependent, and observation of the consistent ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of saraine A was reported to be difficult under neutral conditions. However, when acid is present in the system, saraine A exists mostly in the stable hemiaminal cation form as shown in 2-113 (right structure) in which the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR peak for C2-proton appears at 5.23 ppm . The structure of hemiaminal cation 2-113 was established by X-ray crystallographic analysis, and also its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was reproducible enough to be utilized in comparison with the synthetic sample of saraine $A .{ }^{43}$ On the other hand, it was recently reported ${ }^{44}$ that in the presence of triethylamine, C2-proton appeared around 9.6 ppm, which can be regarded as a chemical shift of "normal" aldehyde as shown in 2-114 (left structure). Although the reason for this change is still elusive, it could be speculated that the added amine scavenged residual acids in the sample, thus inhibited the activation of the aldehyde with acidic species and the formation of the aminal structure like 2-113.

As for the reactivity of such interacting aldehyde as an electrophile, saraine A is known to unreactive toward $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}{ }^{42}$ or Wittig type reagents. ${ }^{44}$ No literature example can be found in which external nucleophiles attack on such interacting aldehydes.

Scheme 2.30 Fluctuating structures of saraine A


### 2.3.1.3 Interconversion of the zwitterion and the aldehyde

These literature insights in mind, triethylamine was added to the sample of the zwitterionic species $\mathbf{2 - 1 1 0}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Scheme 2.31). As expected, aldehyde-like peak at 9.46 ppm appeared instead of the peak at 5.15 ppm in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The same phenomenon was observed in the presence of TMEDA.

Scheme 2.31 Interconversion of the zwitterion and the aldehyde in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


### 2.3.2 Introduction of the aromatic ring

The behavior of the zwitterionic species disclosed, introduction of the aromatic ring was investigated at last. Aryl lithium 2-115 was prepared according to the literature procedure, ${ }^{45}$ and was added to zwitterion 2-110 with various additives (Table 2.3). Without any tertiary amine, the reaction did not proceed at all even in the presence of HMPA (entry 1 ). The reaction in the presence of triethylamine also resulted in no reaction (entry 2), although the aldehyde form of 2-110 should have been exposed under the conditions. Finally, when TMEDA was employed as an additive, the desired addition went smoothly to give adduct 2-116 (entry 3). Although the isolated yield of 2116 was modest, considerable amount of lactone 2-117 was also generated, and thus the addition reaction itself could be regarded as effective.

Comparison of the results of these entries suggested that TMEDA should have the dual roles in this reaction. The first is to increase the reactivity of the lithium species 2-115 by decomplexation and the second is to convert the zwitterionic species to the aldehyde. Both effects seemed to be important since either decomplexation of lithium species (entry 1) or exposure of the aldehyde form (entry 2 ) alone did not realize the desired addition reaction.

Table 2.3 Addition of aryl lithium 2-115 to the zwitterion 2-110


Practically, isolation of highly polar zwitterionic species 2-110 resulted in the low overall yield. Thus, the crude product of the ozonolysis was directly treated with the above reaction conditions (Scheme 2.32). In addition, the generated mixture of the desired product 2-116 and lactone 2-117 was treated with NaOMe to converge to alcohol 2-116. With these modifications, adduct 2-116 was obtained in $51 \%$ yield over three steps from terminal olefin 2-105. Although 2116 was obtained as a diastereomixture, it is inconsequential since the hydroxy group at the benzylic position would be removed in later stage

Scheme 2.32 Practical three step sequence toward adduct 2-116
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Chapter 2 Synthetic Study of Talatisamine

Chapter 3:
Conclusion

### 3.1 Total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol

In chapter 1 of this dissertation, total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol was described (Scheme 3.1). Starting from the tetraol 1-31, the consecutive tetrasubstituted carbons at C5- and C10-position of 1-123 was efficiently constructed via the oxidative dearomatization and the following Diels-Alder reaction with ethynyl-p-tolyl sulfone. The C-ring formation was effected by the judicious choice of the acyl group of the C6-oxygen functionality to give $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 9}$. Two olefins of $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 9}$ was completely differentiated in the oxidative cleavage step by the strategically placed electron withdrawing tosyl group to yield the tricyclic compound 1-157. Three stereocenters were then installed onto the tricyclic skeleton of 1-157 in a highly diastereoselective manner. Finally, the regioselective acylation of the poly-hydroxylated agarofuran skeleton $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 0}$ was realized to achieve the total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol in 23 steps from 1-31 (36 steps from the known compound).

Scheme 3.1 Total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol

chemoselective olefin cleavage 17\% (6 steps)


### 3.2 Synthesis of the substrate for the key reaction in the synthetic study of talatisamine

In chapter 2, the synthetic study of talatisamine was conducted aiming at the more efficient synthesis of the key intermediate using the oxidative dearomatization, the Diels-Alder reaction with ethynyl-p-tolyl sulfone, and the bridgehead radical cycliation (Scheme 3.2). Starting from the known ketone $\mathbf{2 - 6 4}$, four contiguous stereocenters on the cyclohexane ring of $\mathbf{2 - 1 0 5}$ were constructed thorough $\mathbf{2 - 8 8}$, even though there is room for improvement of the yields. Then, aromatic ring moiety was connected to the bicyclic amine moiety to give $\mathbf{2 - 1 1 6}$, with unveiling the interesting reactivity of the zwitterionic intermediate $\mathbf{2 - 1 1 0}$. The key three reactions from $\mathbf{2 -}$ 116 would give the key intermediate $\mathbf{2 - 6 9}$, possibly constituting the highly effective total synthesis of talatisamine.

Scheme 3.2 Synthetic study of talatisamine

ozonolysis




key intermediate

### 3.3 Summary and outlook

In summary, the total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol and the synthesis of alcohol 2-116 have been achieved in the present study.

The oxidative dearomatization and the Diels-Alder reaction were established as the efficient methods for construction of the angular contiguous tetrasubstituted carbons, which are the ubiquitous structural motifs of dihydro- $\beta$-agarofurans. Furthermore, the total synthesis of 4hydroxyzinowol is the first example of the synthesis of the highly oxygenated and multiply acylated dihydro- $\beta$-agarofuran sesquiterpenoid. Thus, insights obtained in the regioselective acylation would be quite valuable in synthesizing other multiply acylated congeners. Overall, the strategies developed herein will be a basis for the divergent synthesis of various agarofuran sesquiterpenes and will accelerate the future structure-activity relationship study.

Alcohol $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ consists of the AE-ring and the aromatic ring. Future work will be carried out based on the synthetic route developed herein, and also will prove the versatility of the two key reactions developed in our laboratory: the oxidative dearomatization and the following DielsAlder reaction with ethynyl-p-tolyl sulfone, and the bridgehead radical cyclization. In addition, the properly functionalized AE-ring fragment would also be a useful building block for another synthetic strategy of diterpene alkaloids, namely the strategy employed in the previous study of our laboratory (see Scheme 2.4 on p. 72).

Chapter 4:
Experimental section

General Methods. All reactions sensitive to air or moisture were carried out in anhydrous solvents under argon atmosphere, unless otherwise noted. THF, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, toluene, DMF, and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were purified by a Glass Contour solvent dispensing system. CsOBz was prepared by mixing the eqimolar amount of CsOH and BzOH in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ followed by dried at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in vacuum. All other reagents were used as supplied. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using precoated TLC glass plates (silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F} 254,0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel (spherical, neutral, 40-50 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, or granular, neutral, $32-53 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Melting points are reported uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured using the sodium D line. A 0.7 mL cell, which was filled with a solution of the weighed sample prepared in a 2.00 mL volumetric flask, was used for the measurement of optical rotations. The indicated temperatures refer to those of the polarimeter's cavity. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded as a thin film on a NaCl disk using a FT/IR spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on 400 or 500 MHz , and 100 or 125 MHz spectrometers, respectively. Chemical shifts were reported in ppm on the $\delta$ scale relative to $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( $\delta=7.26$ for 1 H NMR), $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(\delta=77.0\right.$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR), $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{HOD}\left(\delta=3.31\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR), $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\left(\delta=49.0\right.$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $), \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(\delta=128.0\right.$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) , and $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}(\delta=29.84$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) as internal references. Signal patterns are indicated as $s$, singlet; d, doublet; $t$, triplet; q , quartet; m , multiplet; br, broaden peak. The numbering of compounds corresponds to that of the natural product. High resolution mass spectra were measured on ESI-TOF mass spectrometers.

## Chapter 1: Total synthesis of 4-hydroxyzinowol




Tetraol 1-53 [HT-11-061] $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}\left(150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.16 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 24 \mu \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ was added to a solution of diketone 1-52 ( $3.6 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in pyridine ( $330 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), 1,4-dioxane ( $330 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(330 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h , and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resulting mixture was further stirred at room temperature for 4 h followed by extraction with EtOAc ( $2 \mathrm{~mL} x 4$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 1 g , hexane/EtOAc $=1 / 1$ to $1 / 2$ ) to afford slightly impure tetraol $\mathbf{1 - 5 3}(2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 3.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $67 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.01$ (3H, s, H12 or H13), 1.25 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 14$ ), 1.44 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12$ or H13), 1.63 (3H, s, H15), 1.71 ( 1 H , dd, $J=15.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{a}), 2.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.33(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2), 4.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 8)$.


Sulfide 1-66 [HT-12-139,141] EtSH ( $130 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of diketone 1$54(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(26 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ atroom temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , and then the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford crude sulfide 1-65. The crude material was used in the next
reaction without further purification.
TESOTf ( $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.552 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the crude sulfide $\mathbf{1 - 6 5}$ and 2,6lutidine ( $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 1.8 mL ), at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min , and then the additional 2,6-lutidine ( $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TESOTf ( $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.552 mmol ) were added. The resulting solution was further stirred for 10 min , and then the solution was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and warmed to room temperature. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ). Combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 3 g , hexane/EtOAc $=1 / 0$ to $40 / 1$ to $5 / 1$ ) to afford sulfide 1-66 ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $61 \%$ yield over 2 steps: yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.65\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of TES x3), $0.97\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TES x3), $1.17(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12$, or H 13$), 1.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz},-$ $\left.\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.64\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12\right.$, or H13), 2.64-2.71 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{a}$ and $-\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $18.3,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 3.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 7), 3.42\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.44\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.4,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2), 3.67\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 8)$.


Enol 1-70 [HT-13-183, 187] CuCN ( $276 \mathrm{mg}, 3.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was azeotropically dried with toluene three times before suspended in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{MeLi}\left(5.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1{\left.\mathrm{M} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 6.1 \mathrm{mmol}\right) \text { was added }}^{2}\right.$ dropwise to the stirring suspension of CuCN at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , and then at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , then re-cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(330 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to this mixture and the resulting solution was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 min . Pre-cooled solution $\left(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of sulfie $\mathbf{1 - 6 5}(307 \mathrm{mg}, 0.613 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly to the solution via cannula. The resulting mixture was stirred at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , and then pH 7 phosphate buffer ( 10 mL ) was added. After warmed to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then this mixture was stirred until the aqueous layer got clear blue (about 20 min ). This mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( 10 mL x 3 ). Combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford crude 1,4 -adduct 1-69. The crude material was used in the next reaction without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.59-0.70\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of TES x3), $0.96\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TES x3), $1.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12$,

H13, or H15), 1.38 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12, \mathrm{H} 13$, or H15), 1.59 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12, \mathrm{H} 13$, or H15), 2.41 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 7$ ), 2.62-2.69 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{H3a}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.8,9.6$ Hz H3b), 3.21-3.24 (1H, m, H2), $3.23\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.45\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.57\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 4.30 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 8$ ).

LHMDS ( $3.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 3.0 mmol ) was added to a solution of crude 1,4 -adduct 1-69 in THF ( 12 mL ) at room temperature. The resulting solution was stirred at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . After the solution was cooled to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ). Combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 10 g , hexane/EtOAc $=8 / 1$ ) to yield enol 1-70 $(140 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.308 mmol ) in $50 \%$ yield over 2 steps): yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.61-0.71(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of TES $\times 3$ ), $0.99\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TES x3), $1.31(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12, \mathrm{H} 13$, or H15), $1.62\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12, \mathrm{H} 13\right.$, or H15), $2.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 7), 3.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.40\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.59$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 8), 6.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2$ or H 3$), 6.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H2 or H3).


MOM ether 1-72 [HT-13-017] KHMDS ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, $130 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and MOMCl (20 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ were successively added to a solution of enol $\mathbf{1 - 7 0}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in THF ( 750 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ). Combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 1 g , hexane $/ \mathrm{EtOAc}=8 / 1$ to $5 / 1)$ yielded MOM ether $\mathbf{1 - 7 2}(7.4 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $60 \%$ yield: yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) [HT-12-191] $\delta 0.60-0.69\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of TES x3), $0.99\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TES x3), 1.29 (3H, s, H12, H13, or H15), 1.40 (3H, s, H12, H13, or H15), 1.62 (3H, s, H12, H13, or H15), $2.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 7), 3.22\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.42\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.52\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.58(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 8), 4.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{CH} 2$ of MOM), $4.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{CH} 2$ of MOM), $5.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2$ or H3), $6.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2$ or H3).




Diketone 1-82 [HT-14-047, 049, 051] MeLi ( $240 \mu \mathrm{~L} 1.1 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of MOM ether 1-72 $(27 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( 3 mL x3). Combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford crude alcohol 1-80.
$\mathrm{Rh} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(27 \mathrm{mg})$ was suspended in a solution of the crude alcohol 1-80 in EtOAc (1 mL ). The flask was evacuated and then flushed with hydrogen gas (balloon) three times, and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 11 h under hydrogen atmosphere. Rh catalyst was removed by Celite filtration and and the cake was washed with EtOAc ( $3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The filtrate was concentrated to afford crude alcohol 1-81.
$p-\mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of the crude alcohol $\mathbf{1 - 8 1}$ in THF ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(500 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 50 min , and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ). Combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel $(1 \mathrm{~g}$, hexane/EtOAc $=5 / 1)$ to afford diketone $\mathbf{1 - 8 2}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $78 \%$ yield over 3 steps: yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.60-0.68\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of TES x3), $0.95\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TES x3), 1.17 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12, \mathrm{H} 13$, or H15), $1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, H12, H13, or H15), 1.58 (3H, s, H12, H13, or H15), 2.25 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 14$ ), 2.31-2.40 ( 2 H , m, H2 and/or H3), $2.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2$ or H3), $2.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 7)$, 2.74-2.84 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, H 2 or H 3$), 3.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 8)$.


Diol 1-84 [HT-14-097] DIBAL ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, $29.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a solution of diketone $\mathbf{1 - 8 2}(2.4 \mathrm{mg}, 5.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(590 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred at this temperature for 40 min before the additional amount of DIBAL ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, $44.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. The resulting solution was stirred at this temperature for 30 min , and then saturated aqueous potassium sodium tartrate $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The resulting mixture was further stirred for 30 min , and then extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ). Combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 1 g , hexane $/ \mathrm{EtOAc}=7 / 1$ to $1 / 1$ ) to yield diol $\mathbf{1 - 8 4}(1.9 \mathrm{mg}, 4.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 78 \%)$ : yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.62-$ $0.69\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of TES x 3 ), $1.00\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TES x 3$), 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12, \mathrm{H} 13$, or H15), 1.51 (3H, s, H12, H13, or H15), 1.56 (3H, s, H12, H13, or H15), 1.64-1.71 (1H, m, H2a), 1.81-1.89 (2H, m, H2b, H3a), 1.93 (3H, s, H4), $2.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 2.36-2.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~b})$, $3.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 8), 4.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 4.70(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad, H1), 5.10 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{OH})$.


Diene 1-86 [HT-14-081] $\operatorname{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(0.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(1.8 \mathrm{mg}, 5.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were successively added to a solution of diol $\mathbf{1 - 8 4}(1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature. The resulting solution was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ). Combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by PTLC (hexane/EtOAc $=1 / 2$ ) to afford diene $\mathbf{1 - 8 6}(0.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $77 \%$ ): yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12, \mathrm{H} 13$, or H 15$), 1.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, H12, H13, or H15), 1.32 (3H, s, H12, H13, or H15), 1.92 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 14$ ), 2.26-2.33 ( 1 H , $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 2 \alpha), 2.51-2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 2 \beta), 3.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 4.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 8-$ $\mathrm{OH}), ~ 4.41-4.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 1), 4.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 5.49-5.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}$,
d, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 20.0,25.0,26.6,29.7,30.7,51.6,52.7,68.2$, 72.6, 74.5, 121.5, 121.6, 130.1, 138.0, 213.7.


Pentaol 1-87 [HT-14-193] OsO ${ }_{4}\left(840 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.02 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 17 \mu \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ was added slowly to a solution of diene $\mathbf{1 - 8 6}(3.7 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and TMEDA $(2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 17 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min , and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$ $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF ( 1.2 mL ) was added. The resulting mixture was further stirred at room temperature for 11 h and then for 6 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Additional aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture, and it was further stirred for 2.5 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \mathrm{~mL} x 4$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative $\mathrm{TLC}(\mathrm{EtOAc} / i-\mathrm{PrOH}=5 / 1)$ to afford pentaol $\mathbf{1 - 8 7}(1.1 \mathrm{mg}$, $3.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $26 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12$ or H 13$), 1.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, H12 or H13), 1.39 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 15$ ), 1.44 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 14$ ), 2.17 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=16.0,2.9,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{a}$ ), $2.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=16.0,2.9,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~b}), 3.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{H} 3)$, $4.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{H} 1), 4.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 6.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.


Acetonide 1-116 [HT-20-015] CSA ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of tetraol 1-31 ( $3.29 \mathrm{~g}, 8.01 \mathrm{mmol}$, a 18:1 diastereomeric mixture at the C 9 position) and 2-methoxypropene ( 1.2 $\mathrm{mL}, 13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 80 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , and then 2-methoxypropene $(0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for further 30 min , and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 50 mL ) was added. The resultant solution was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} x 4$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 100 mL ), dried over
$\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 50 g , hexane/EtOAc $6 / 1$ to $3 / 1$ to $1 / 2$ to $0 / 1$ ) to afford acetonide $\mathbf{1 - 1 1 6}(2.84 \mathrm{~g}, 6.30$ mmol ) in $79 \%$ yield: colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+3.30$ (c 1.27, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) v 3520, 2944, 2868, $1587,1463,1278,1230,1058,992 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.11(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ of TIPS $\times 3$ ), $1.14\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$ of TIPS $\left.\times 3\right), 1.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH} 3)$, $1.33\left(3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, septet, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ of TIPS $\left.\times 3\right) 1.45\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.48\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.54$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH} H_{3}\right), 1.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 4.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=5.6,2.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 5.17(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 5.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 6), 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1)$, $7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 12.9,17.99,18.03,25.8,27.5$, 29.0, 29.3, 47.8, 63.2, 73.0, 73.9, 76.4, 109.8, 118.2, 122.0, 129.0, 129.2, 135.5, 153.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SiNa} 473.2694[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$, found 473.2693.


Epoxide 1-118 [HT-20-021, 023] $n$-Bu4NF ( $8.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 8.0 mmol ) was added to a solution of diol 1-116 ( $2.95 \mathrm{~g}, 6.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 60 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min , and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ were successively added. The resultant solution was extracted with EtOAc (80 $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{x} 4)$, and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL} x 3)$ and brine ( 100 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to afford the crude phenol 1117, which was used in the next reaction without further purification.
$\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(7.02 \mathrm{~g}, 32.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a suspension of the above crude phenol $\mathbf{1 - 1 1 7}$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH}(90 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h , and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 4)$, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 200 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by recrystallization from hexane/ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to afford diene $\mathbf{1 - 1 1 8}(1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 6.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $97 \%$ yield over 2 steps: white solid; m.p. $180-181{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+490\left(\mathrm{c} 0.80, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (neat) v 3448, 2969, $2918,1659,1630,1366,1209,1142,1031 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.33\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.40\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 1.56\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7)$, $3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 4.73-4.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 8$ and 9$), 6.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$
$10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 6.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1), 7.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 23.6,26.0,28.5,29.0,46.0,59.4,71.5,72.4,75.2,75.3,110.4,127.16,127.25$, 140.7, 145.0, 194.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 315.1203$, found 315.1209.


Cycloadduct 1-121 [HT-15-077] A mixture of epoxide $\mathbf{1 - 1 1 8}(9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and methyl acrylate ( 1.5 mL ) was heated to $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 12 h . After being cooled to roomtemperature, the mixture was concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 2 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 1$ to $1 / 2$ ) to afford cycloadduct $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 1}(9.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $26 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $79 \%$ yield: white solid; m.p. $215^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.29\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.36\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.45\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.50\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 2.06(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=14.2,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{a}), 2.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~b}), 3.12-3.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.16$ ( 1 H , ddd, $J=10.5,4.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2$ ), $3.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 3.70\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 3.76$ ( 1 H , ddd, $J=5.5,2.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3$ ), $4.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,4.6,1.4$ Hz, H8), 6.24 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3$ '), 6.28 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 23.3,26.1,27.9,28.4,28.8,40.5,42.2,43.6,50.6,52.5,58.0,60.2,72.3,72.4$, 76.7, 108.9, 128.1, 136.8, 172.8, 204.8. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NaO}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$401.1571, found 401.1573.


Cycloadduct 1-122 [HT-16-145] According to the synthetic procedure of 1-121, cycloadduct 1122 ( $289 \mathrm{mg}, 0.768 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was synthesized from $\mathbf{1 - 1 1 8}$ ( $279 \mathrm{mg}, 0.954 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl propiolate ( 9.0 mL ) in $81 \%$ yield. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 15 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 0$ to $2 / 1$ to $1 / 1$ to $1 / 2$ to $0 / 1$ ) to afford impure cycloadduct $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 2}$. The impure material was further purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 15 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 0$ to $2 / 1$ to $1 / 1$ to $1 / 2$ to $0 / 1$ ): pale yellow solid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $1.35\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.48\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.53\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.1$
$\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 3.81\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 4.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.78-4.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3$ and 8$), 6.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15), 6.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.3,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3$ '), $7.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 23.4,26.1,28.4$, $28.8,43.3,49.1,52.2,54.2,56.0,57.0,72.0,72.4,74.2,109.3,133.2,134.3,135.0,146.2,163.7$, 196.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NaO}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]+399.1414$, found 399.1414 .


Cycloadduct 1-123 [HT-20-025] A mixture of $\mathbf{1 - 1 1 8}(1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 6.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethynyl $p$-tolyl sulfone ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 60 mL ) was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 20 h . After being cooled to room temperature, the mixture was concentrated. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 60 g , hexane/EtOAc $2 / 1$ to $1 / 1$ to $1 / 2$ ) to afford cycloadduct $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 3}(2.09 \mathrm{~g}, 4.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $70 \%$ yield: pale yellow solid; $\mathrm{mp} 232-234{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{24}+9.0\left(\mathrm{c} 1.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR (neat) v 3531, 2980, 2935, 1743, 1319, 1212, 1155, $1088 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.35\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.36\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.46\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.52(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 2.43\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 4.39(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=6.0,2.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 4.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,4.1,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H8), $6.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15), 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3$ '), $7.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8$ Hz , aromatic), $7.74\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, aromatic), $7.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 21.7,23.4,26.1,28.4,28.7,43.3,49.5,54.1,55.7,57.0,71.9,72.4,74.1,109.6$, 127.9, 130.2, 132.2, 135.5, 135.8, 143.6, 144.5, 145.1, 194.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SNa}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 495.1448$, found 495.1434.


Acetate 1-126 [HT-15-185, 191] $\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(49 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to a solution of cycloadduct 1-122 $(6.2 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(540 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(270 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 14 h . After the mixture
was cooled to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \mathrm{~mL} x 8$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was passed through a pad of silica gel ( 1 g , EtOAc/i-PrOH $1 / 0$ to $5 / 1$ ) to afford crude triol $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 5}$, which was used in the next reaction without further purification.
$\mathrm{CsOAc}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a solution of the above crude $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 5}$ in DMF ( 550 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 18 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \mathrm{~mL} x 8$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 1 g , EtOAc/i-PrOH $1 / 0$ to $5 / 1$ ) to afford acetate $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 6}(1.8 \mathbf{~ m g}, 4.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $29 \%$ yield over 2 steps: colorless oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12), 1.41(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 13), 2.08\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of Ac$), 2.43(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=11.4,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7$ ), $2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH} 3), 4.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 5.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 6.48-6.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3$ 'and 15$), 7.93$ (1H, s, H1); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 21.4,29.8,30.8,46.2,52.3,54.0,56.5,68.5,69.3,71.4,72.8$, 73.5, 129.4, 133.7, 137.6, 144.4, 163.9, 170.6, 201.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}{ }_{9} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 419.1313, found 419.1316.


Compound 1-128 [HT-15-187] $p$-tolSO ${ }_{3} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5.1 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to a solution of 1$\mathbf{1 2 6}(2.3 \mathrm{mg}, 5.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in benzene $(550 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 2.5 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (EtOAc) to afford $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 8}(1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $44 \%$ yield: colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12), 2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.69(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=11.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 2.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.28(1 \mathrm{H}$, s, OH ), $3.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 4.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3), 4.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=9.6,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 8), 4.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{a}), 5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{~b})$, $6.58\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \quad\right.$ and 15$), 7.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
$\delta 23.3,48.6,52.3,53.8,55.6,68.5,68.7,70.7,70.8,114.1,128.3,134.3,137.0,141.7,146.1$, 163.7, 204.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 359.1101$, found 359.1107.



Compound 1-129 [HT-16-091, 093, 097] $\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(510 \mathrm{mg}, 0.869 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of cycloadduct $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 2}(97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1$ mL ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 12 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} x 8$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was passed through a pad of silica gel ( 5 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 2$ to $0 / 1$ ) to afford crude triol $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 5}$, which was used in the next reaction without further purification.
$\mathrm{CsOBz}(117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.461 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of the above crude $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 5}$ in DMF $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 14 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( 5 mL x 6 ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was passed through a pad of silica gel ( 2 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 2$ to $0 / 1$ ) to afford crude tetraol $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 7}$, which was used in the next reaction without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.33(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12)$, $\left.1.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 13), 2.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 3.74(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH})_{3}\right), 4.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H9), 4.54 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=6.4,2.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3$ ), $4.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 8), 4.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 6.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15), 6.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3$ '), $7.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), 7.88 $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, aromatic), $7.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 28.8$, $30.5,51.2,52.1,53.9,55.9,68.8,70.3,72.0,72.1,72.6,128.4,129.5,129.7,130.0,133.1,133.3$,
138.1, 146.1, 164.3, 164.7, 197.8; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 481.1469$, found 481.1459 .
$p$-tolSO ${ }_{3} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of the above crude tetraol 1-127 in toluene ( 2.5 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 2.5 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} x 4$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 2 g , hexane/EtOAc $2 / 1$ to $1 / 1$ to $1 / 2$ ) to afford $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 9}$ ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $25 \%$ yield over 3 steps: white solid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.47(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12), 1.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 13), 2.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H7), $3.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brd}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.77\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.66$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=6.0,1.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3$ ), $4.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 8), 5.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 6.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15), 6.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3$ ') , 7.48 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic $), 7.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 26.0,31.1,51.3,52.1,53.1,57.0,68.4,70.2,74.5,75.4$, 81.7, 126.3, 128.8, 129.1, 129.6, 133.4, 133.7, 136.3, 145.7, 164.1, 164.5, 194.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 463.1363$, found 463.1362.


Triol 1-131 [HT-19-037] A solution of cycloadduct $\mathbf{1 - 1 2 3}$ ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(27 \mathrm{~mL})$, TFA ( 14 mL ), and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(14 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 13 h . After being cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was neutralized with aqueous saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (200 mL ). The resultant solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} x 4$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 200 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 30 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 3$ to $0 / 1)$ to afford impure triol $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 1}$. The impure material was further purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 30 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 3$ to $0 / 1$ ) to afford triol $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 1}(685 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58$ mmol ) in $60 \%$ yield: brown amorphous; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}-52\left(\mathrm{c} 0.83, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (neat) v $3479,1746,1152$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12), 1.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 13), 2.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H7), $2.41\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 6$
and OH$), 4.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 8), 4.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=6.4,1.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 6.40(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=6.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15), 6.61\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 7.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic $)$, $7.72\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, aromatic), $7.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $21.6,28.7,29.1,45.6,50.5,53.7,56.3,59.8,69.9,72.5,72.9,127.9,130.2,130.9,135.2,140.8$, $143.0,143.5,145.2,191.7$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 455.1135$, found 455.1119 .


Compound 1-136 [HT-19-089, 097] CsOBz ( $532 \mathrm{mg}, 2.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of triol 1-131 ( $302 \mathrm{mg}, 0.698 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{BzOH}(256 \mathrm{mg}, 2.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h , and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant solution was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $15 \mathrm{~mL} x 9$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 100 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 10 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 2$ ) to afford impure benzoate 1-132, which was used in the next reaction without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12), 1.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 13), 2.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 2.38(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 4.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3), 4.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 8), 5.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 6.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15), 6.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H3'), $7.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) \delta 21.5,30.6,31.2,52.8,54.7,57.8$, $69.7,69.8,72.4,73.1,73.6,128.6,129.35,129.43,130.3,130.8,130.9,133.9,137.6,140.3,142.8$, 145.4, 145.8, 165.1, 195.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{SNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 577.1503$, found 577.1511.
$p$-tolSO ${ }_{3} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $321 \mathrm{mg}, 1.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the above crude benzoate 1-132 in toluene ( 40 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 16 h . After the mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (20 $\mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ were successively added. The resultant solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 100 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on
silica gel ( 10 g , hexane/EtOAc 1/1) to afford $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 6}(222 \mathrm{mg}, 0.414 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $59 \%$ yield over 2 steps: white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 223{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}+59\left(\mathrm{c} 1.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (neat) v 3490, 2978, 2951, 1739, 1717, 1268, 1254, 1119, $1068 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12), 1.65(3 \mathrm{H}$, s, H13), $2.41\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 3.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH})$, $4.00(1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=6.9,1.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 4.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H9), 4.78 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 8$ ), $5.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 5.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3$ '), 6.07 ( 1 H , dd, $J=6.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15), 7.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic $), 7.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic) $7.89(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $8.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 21.7,26.0$, 31.1, 51.0, 52.9, 57.7, 67.8, 69.3, 74.4, 75.1, 81.9, 124.6, 127.9, 128.8, 128.9, 129.6, 129.9, 133.7, 135.4, 137.4, 140.7, 144.8, 145.8, 164.6, 193.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 559.1397, found 559.1374.



Compound 1-139 [HT-20-055, 057, 063] TBSOTf ( $860 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 1-136 ( $502 \mathrm{mg}, 0.936 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2,6-lutidine ( $650 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3.5 h . After the mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant solution was extracted with EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL} x 3)$, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 30 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude bis-TBS ether 1-137, which was used in the next reaction without further purification.
$\mathrm{NaOMe}(640 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 25 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the above crude $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 7}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(28 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h , and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was passed through a pad of silica gel ( 10 g ,
hexane/EtOAc 1/1) to afford impure ketone 1-138 ( 578 mg ), which was used in the next reaction without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.135(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), $0.137\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS), $0.99(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t$-Bu of TBS ), $1.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12), 1.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 13), 2.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7)$, $2.40\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 4.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=6.4,1.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 4.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.49$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 4.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 6.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3$ '), $6.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15), 7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic $), 7.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-4.2,-4.1,-4.0,-3.2,18.3$, 18.4, 21.6, 26.0, 26.2, 26.3, 31.1, 52.7, 53.2, 58.5, 68.2, 72.4, 73.2, 77.6, 81.6, 123.0, 127.9, 129.7, 136.3, 139.4, 139.7, 144.3, 146.6, 195.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SSi}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 683.2864, found 683.2853.
$\mathrm{MeMgBr}\left(2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added over 5 min to a stirred suspension of the above crude ketone $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 8}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the suspension became clear, the reaction mixture was warmed to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1.5 h . Then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant solution was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and was extracted with EtOAc ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 30 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 5 g , hexane/EtOAc 1/1) to afford $\mathbf{3}(499 \mathrm{mg}, 0.737 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $79 \%$ yield over 3 steps: colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}-47\left(c 1.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) v 3476, 2953, 2930, 2858, 1146, 1107, $917 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-0.04$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), $0.126\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), 0.134 $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.15\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t$-Bu of TBS), $1.01(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS), $1.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12), 1.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 14), 1.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 13), 2.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7)$, $2.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=6.4,2.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 4.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.48$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 4.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 5.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15)$, $6.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3$ ' $), 7.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic $), 7.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-4.3,-4.1,-4.0,-3.2,18.3$, $18.4,21.6,26.07,26.11,26.3,26.5,31.1,51.9,53.7,59.8,68.1,72.1,72.7,75.6,80.6,86.5,128.1$, $129.4,130.9,136.5,137.5,143.6,144.8,145.3$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SSi}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 699.3177, found 699.3158.



Carboxylic acid 1-143 and hemiacetal 1-142. [HT-20-067, 069] Ozone was bubbled through a suspension of $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 9}(499 \mathrm{mg}, 0.737 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the solution color turned to purple. Then oxygen gas was bubbled into the reaction mixture for 5 min . After $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (ca. 3 mL ) was added, the mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 5 min , and then concentrated. The remaining solvents were removed under Ar stream for 5 minutes to afford the crude aldehyde $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 1}$, which was used in the next reaction without further purification.
$\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}(1.33 \mathrm{~g}, 14.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of the above crude aldehyde $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 1}$ in a mixture of $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}\left(3.5 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) and 2-methyl-2-butene $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then a cooled solution of $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4.65 \mathrm{~g}, 29.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added to over 5 min . The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h , and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant solution was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5)$, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 40 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 5 g , hexane/EtOAc $3 / 1$ to $2 / 1$ to $1 / 2$ ) to afford carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 3}$ ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 0.386 \mathrm{mmol}$, a $4: 1$ diastereomeric mixture at the C15 position) in $52 \%$ over 2 steps and hemiacetal $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 2}$ ( $201 \mathrm{mg}, 0.283 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $38 \%$ over 2 steps. Carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 3}$ : white amorphous; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ); $\delta 0.14$ ( $3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{x1/5} \mathrm{~s},, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), $0.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), $0.156\left(3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{x} 4 / 5, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.162\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t$-Bu of TBS $), 0.97(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t$-Bu of TBS $), 1.13$ ( $3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{x} 4 / 5, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH} H_{3}$ ), 1.23 ( $3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{x} 4 / 5$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.26\left(3 \mathrm{H} x 1 / 5, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.67\left(3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{x} 1 / 5, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.72\left(3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{x} 4 / 5, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.31(1 \mathrm{H} \times 4 / 5$, dd, $J=5.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7$ ), $2.38\left(3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{1/5}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.40\left(3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{x} 4 / 5, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.88(1 \mathrm{H} \times 1 / 5, \mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 3.89(1 \mathrm{H} x 4 / 5, \mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 4.29(1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{x} 1 / 5, \mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.33(1 \mathrm{H} x 1 / 5$, dd, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 4.75(1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{x} 4 / 5, \mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.84(1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{x} 4 / 5, \mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 4.88$ ( $1 \mathrm{H} x 4 / 5, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8$ ), 5.03 ( $1 \mathrm{H} x 1 / 5, \mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6$ ), 5.24 ( $1 \mathrm{H} x 1 / 5, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{H} 15$ ), 5.32 (1H x4/5, s, H15), 7.01 ( $1 \mathrm{H} x 1 / 5, \mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1$ ), $7.05(1 \mathrm{H} x 4 / 5, \mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1), 7.23(2 \mathrm{H}$
x $1 / 5, \mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.28(2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{x} 4 / 5, \mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.70(1 \mathrm{Hx} 4 / 5, \mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ Hz , aromatic), $7.75\left(1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{x1} / 5, \mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, aromatic); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-5.22$, 5.15, -4.7, -4.6, -3.4, -3.3, -2.0, 14.2, 18.0, 18.4, 18.7, 18.8, 21.1, 21.7, 26.4, 26.5, 26.6, 26.9, 29.7, $29.9,51.6,53.9,54.1,57.1,60.5,70.4,72.5,72.7,73.3,80.2,85.6,89.1,101.5,126.8,127.4$, 128.3, 129.2, 129.4, 138.5, 140.6, 140.7, 143.5, 173.8 (Some of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ peaks of the minor isomer were not observed); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{SSi}_{2} \mathrm{Na}_{2}$ [M-H+2Na] ${ }^{+} 769.2844$, found 769.2830. Hemiacetal 1-142: white solid; $[\alpha]_{D^{21}}-200\left(c 0.95, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.14\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH} H_{3}\right.$ of TBS) 0.18 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), $0.24\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.93(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS), $0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS), $1.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.34\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.58\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H7), $2.43\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H9), $4.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 4.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 15) 4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J,=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 4.93$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3^{\prime}\right), 5.07\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{OH}\right), 7.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1), 7.31$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, aromatic), $7.72\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, aromatic); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-5.6,-4.8,-3.6,-2.1,18.68,18.71,21.7,23.1,25.8,26.4,30.6,53.6,53.8,57.6,70.1,71.1,74.8$, $82.3,83.5,86.9,88.5,102.3,129.0,129.5,133.3,137.6,139.5,144.8$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{SSi}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 731.3076$, found 731.3078.



Triol 1-157 [HT-19-127, 131, 133] Na/Hg ( $446 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Na}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ for Na ) was added to a solution of carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 3}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pH 7 phosphate buffer ( 4 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h , and then $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Hg}(368 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Na}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ for Na ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 40 min , and then $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Hg}(550 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Na}, 2.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ for Na$)$
was added again. The reaction mixture was stirred for further 70 min . During performing the reaction, pH 7 phosphate buffer was continuously added to keep the pH of the aqueous layer of reaction mixture below 9 (approximately 20 mL of buffer was added). Then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was filtered through a pad of cotton with EtOAc. The filtrate was extracted with EtOAc ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 30 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was passed through a pad of silica gel ( 5 g , hexane/EtOAc 1/1) to afford impure 1-152, which was used in the next reaction without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ major isomer: $\delta 0.051(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), $0.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), 0.12 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), 0.13 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), 0.83 ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t$-Bu of TBS), $0.94\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t\right.$-Bu of TBS), $1.27\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.33\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.68(3 \mathrm{H}$, s, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 3.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3), 4.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.80$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 4.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 5.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 15), 5.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10.5,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2), 6.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) major isomer: ס-4.9, -4.8, -3.5, -2.4, 17.6, 18.4, 18.6, 26.4, 26.5, 26.6, 30.2, 49.6, 53.9, 56.0, 70.3, 71.8, 72.4, 79.6, 85.5, 89.4, 102.6, 127.3, 172.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 615.2756, found 615.2751.

A flask charged with the above crude $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 2}$, mercaptpyridine $N$-oxide ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was wrapped with aluminum foil. Then EDCI•HCl $(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23$ mmol ) was added to the solution. After being stirred at room temperature for 30 min , the reaction mixture was concentrated to afford the crude Barton ester $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 3}$, which was used in the next reaction without purification.

AIBN ( $1.4 \mathrm{mg}, 8.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to the above crude residue. Then a solution of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.769 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(1.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, which was degassed by freeze-thaw procedure (x3), was added to the mixture. After the aluminum foil was removed, the reaction mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and was stirred under room light for 1.5 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was passed through a pad of short column, which was consecutively packed with silica gel 10 g and $10 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}) \mathrm{KF}$ contained silica gel 2 g , with eluents $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ to hexane/EtOAc 10/1 to 5/1) to afford impure 1-156, which was used in the next reaction without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) major isomer: $\delta 0.06\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), 0.11 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), $0.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.13\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.86(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS), $0.95\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t\right.$-Bu of TBS), $1.23\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.28\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.65\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 2.01$
( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{a}), 2.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 2.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H3b), $2.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9)$, $4.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 4.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 5.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15)$, $5.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1), 5.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=10.1,6.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) major isomer: $\delta-4.9,-4.7,-3.5,-2.3,18.5,18.6,21.9,26.47,26.51,26.7,30.2,38.3$, $53.9,55.6,68.9,70.7,72.6,79.9,84.4,89.2,103.0,126.0,128.6$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 549.3038$, found 549.3047.
$\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}(125 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.250 mmol$)$ was added to a solution of the above crude $\mathbf{1 -}$ $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ in THF ( 1.2 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux temperature, and was stirred for 18 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were successively added. The resultant solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 2 g , hexane/EtOAc $4 / 1$ to $3 / 1$ to 2/1) to afford triol $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 7}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $32 \%$ yield over 4 steps: colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}+6.9\left(c 0.80, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) $v 3304,2953,2929,2857,1472,1254,1104$, $1070 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.128\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.134\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS x3), $0.90\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t\right.$-Bu of TBS), $0.94\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}\right.$ of TBS), $1.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.67\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 2.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.8,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{a}), 2.27$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 3.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{a}), 3.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{~b})$, $4.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 5.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 5.38$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1$ ), $5.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=10.5,4.6,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-4.7,-4.6,-3.0,-2.2,18.6,18.8,24.0,26.5,26.7,26.8,31.3,41.6,54.3,54.7,66.4,69.9$, $70.8,70.9,75.0,78.4,85.2,123.0,129.9$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 551.3195, found 551.3194.


Lactone 1-158 [HT-20-081] Dess-Martin periodinane ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 0.849 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of hemiacetal $\mathbf{1 - 1 4 2}(201 \mathrm{mg}, 0.283 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The resulting suspension was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were successively added. The resultant solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} x 3)$ The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$,
filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 2 g , hexane/EtOAc 3/1) to afford lactone $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 8}$ ( $171 \mathrm{mg}, 0.242 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $85 \%$ yield: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}-241$ (c $1.29, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.14\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS) $0.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.30\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.94(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t$-Bu of TBS), $0.98(9 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, t$-Bu of TBS $), 1.22\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.38\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.64\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=6.0$, $2.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7$ ), $2.42\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 4.26-4.27$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 8$ and H9), $4.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J,=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 5.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 15), 7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H} 1), 7.30\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, aromatic), $7.74\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, aromatic); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-5.3,-4.7,-3.5,-1.9,18.7,18.8,21.7,24.4,25.7,26.4,26.5,30.4,52.8,55.4,57.4,70.2$, $71.2,74.5,82.3,85.3,88.4,104.4,128.8,129.7,134.3,135.8,142.4,144.9,165.5$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{SSi}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 729.2919$, found 729.2908.



Triol 1-157 from lactone $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 8}$ [HT-20-027,031, 033, 035] $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Hg}(321 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Na}, 1.39$ mmol for Na ) was added to a solution of lactone $\mathbf{1 - 5 9}(99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(1.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pH 7 phosphate buffer $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , and then $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Hg}(183 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Na}, 0.796 \mathrm{mmol}$ for Na ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 40 min , and then $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Hg}(308 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Na}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ for Na ) was added again. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h , and then $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Hg}(315 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ $\mathrm{Na}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ for Na ) was added again. The reaction mixture was stirred for further 15 h . During performing the reaction, pH 7 phosphate buffer was continuously added to keep the pH of the aqueous layer of reaction mixture below 9 (approximately 15 mL of buffer was added). Then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was filtered through a pad of cotton with EtOAc. The filtrate was extracted with EtOAc ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was passed through a pad of silica gel ( 3 g , hexane/EtOAc 1/1) to afford crude lactone $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 9}$, which was used in the next reaction
without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.14\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.16(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS) $0.17\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS $), 0.91(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS), $0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS $), 1.28\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.45\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 2.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=6.0,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 3.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 3.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J,=5.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 4.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J,=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6), 5.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 15), 6.16$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1), 6.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $-5.6,-4.6,-3.5,-1.7,18.8,18.9,25.1,25.6,26.48,26.51,30.6,53.0,56.2,56.5,70.5,71.1,74.8$, 82.0, 84.9, 88.3, 104.2, 129.3, 129.5, 168.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 575.2831, found 575.2847.

1 M aqueous KOH solution ( 1.4 mL ) was added to a solution of the above crude lactone $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 9}$ in THF ( 1.4 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 $\mathrm{mL} x 5)$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 2 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 1$ to $1 / 2$ ) to afford impure carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 2}$. The crude carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 2}$ was transformed in the same procedure as before to afford $\mathbf{1 - 1 5 7}(19 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $26 \%$ yield over 5 steps.


Hemiacetal 1-165. [HT-20-119, 125] $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(105 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 30 \mathrm{wt} \%\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.926 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added to a solution of triol 1-157 ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $n-\mathrm{Bu}{ }_{4} \mathrm{NCl}(69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 47$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$, and $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{6} \mathrm{Mo}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{24} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(67 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in THF $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and was stirred for $19 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(105 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 30 \mathrm{wt} \%\right.$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 0.926 mmol ) was added to the mixture, and then the reaction mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(105 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added again, and the mixture was stirred for further 9 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were successively added. The resultant solution was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL} x 3)$, and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 3 g , hexane/EtOAc $4 / 1$ to $2 / 1$ ) to afford the crude $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 0}$, which was used in the next reaction without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS$), 0.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.14\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS),
$0.15\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS $), 0.87\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t\right.$-Bu of TBS), $0.95\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}\right.$ of TBS), $1.53\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.57\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.70\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{a}), 2.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7$ ), $2.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 3.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{a})$, $3.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 3.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{~b}), 4.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8)$, $4.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1), 5.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=10.3,6.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 549.3038$, found 549.3041.
$\mathrm{OsO}_{4}\left(350 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.16 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ was added to a solution of the above crude $\mathbf{1 -}$ 160 in a mixture of pyridine $(200 \mu \mathrm{~L}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and 1,4-dioxane $(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h , and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant solution was diluted with EtOAc ( 4 mL ). After being vigorously stirred at room temperature for 53 h , the solution was extracted with EtOAc ( 5 mL x 4 ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 3 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 1$ to $1 / 2$ ) to afford hemiacetal 1$165(13 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $43 \%$ yield over 2 steps: colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}-1.8\left(c 0.40, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) v 3443, 2955, 2929, 2857, 1257, 1092, $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.151$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), 0.154 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), $0.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.91\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t\right.$-Bu of TBS), $0.95\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}\right.$ of TBS), $1.51\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.69\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.7,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{a}) 1.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH} 3$ ), 1.96 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.7,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~b}$ ), $1.95-$ $2.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 2.19-2.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{a}), 3.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.20(1 \mathrm{H}$, m, H2), 4.36 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1$ ), $4.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 4.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{~b}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta-5.5,-4.4,-3.0,-1.5,19.0,19.3,25.4,26.7,27.2$, 28.2, 31.6, 44.6, 56.5, 60.3, 66.1, 69.2, 69.5, 72.4, 72.8, 77.6, 86.0, 88.5, 113.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 583.3093$, found 583.3107.


Pentaol 1-166 [HT-20-163] $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}(140 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.28 mmol$)$ was added to a solution of hemiacetal $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 5}(7.9 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 5 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux temperature, and was stirred for 28 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ were successively
added. The resultant solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} x 4$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the borate ester of $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 6}$. For the methanolysis of the borate ester and the azeotropic removal of trimethyl borate, the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5-10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resultant solution was concentrated at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The procedure was repeated 8 times. Then the resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /$ acetone $3 / 1$ ) and preparative- $\mathrm{TLC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ acetone $\left.3 / 1\right)$ to afford pentaol $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 6}(2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 5.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 5}(1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $36 \%$ and $15 \%$ yields, respectively. The yield of $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 6}$ was caluculated to be $42 \%$ based on the recovered $\mathbf{1 - 1 6 5}$ : colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}-9.2\left(c 0.21, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR (neat) v 3394, 2954, 2928, 2856, 1472, 1253, 1137, $1071,835 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.15\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), 0.17 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), $0.22\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.93(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS), $0.95(9 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, t$-Bu of TBS $), 1.50\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.73\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.75\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.2$, $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{a}), 2.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 2.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 3.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{a}), 4.11$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8$ ), 4.14 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 6$ ), 4.19 ( 1 H , ddd $J=4.1,3.6$, $2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2), 4.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1), 4.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H15b), $5.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta-5.6,-4.5,-2.7,-0.3,19.1,19.7,25.4$, 26.9, 27.6, 28.1, 31.2, 43.5, 53.5, 58.5, 66.9, 70.6, 71.6, 73.0, 74.1, 74.2, 78.5, 84.7, 91.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 585.3249$, found 585.3270.


Tetraol 1-173 [HT-20-165, 167] DMAP ( $1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 8.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a solution of pentaol 1$166(4.1 \mathrm{mg}, 7.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(150 \mu \mathrm{~L}), \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(75 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(750 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 9 h , and then aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \mathrm{~mL} x 5$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was passed through a pad of silica gel ( 1 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 1$ ) to afford impure triacetate 1-172, which was used in the next reaction without further purification.
$n$-Bu4NF ( $24 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $24 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a solution of the above crude triacetate 1-172 in THF $(620 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , and then aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resultant solution was
extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \mathrm{~mL} x 4$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 1 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 3$ ) to afford tetraol $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 3}(1.9 \mathbf{m g}, 4.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $56 \%$ yield over 2 steps: colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}-16\left(c 0.095, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (neat) v 3480, 2926, 1739, 1367, 1240, 1148, 1097, $1036 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.41\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.51\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 1.73\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.00-2.01 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3$ ), $2.10\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of Ac$), 2.170\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of Ac$), 2.172\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of Ac), $2.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 4.33-4.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 8), 4.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{a}), 4.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1)$, $4.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{~b}), 5.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=3.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2), 5.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 6) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 21.1,21.3,21.5,25.0,26.3,30.3,42.5,54.0,55.7,65.2,69.70,69.73,70.4$, $71.7,72.5,76.5,84.0,90.7,170.0,170.5,172.1$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 483.1837, found 483.1816.


Diol 1-178 [HT-20-169] $\mathrm{Bz}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to a solution of tetraol $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 3}$ (1.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 4.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(410 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(410 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 7.5 h , and then $\mathrm{Bz}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4.2 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 15 h , DMAP ( $1.3 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for further 29 h , and then pH 7 phosphate buffer ( 5 mL ) was added. The resultant solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{x} 3)$, and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution (4 mL x3), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative-TLC (hexane/EtOAc $1 / 2$ ) to afford diol $\mathbf{1 - 1 7 6}(1.4 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $51 \%$ yield: colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}-20\left(c 0.07, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) v 3515, 2919, 2849, 1733, 1235, 1097, $1044 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.50$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.60\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.85\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.9,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{a}), 2.12-2.14$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~b}$ ), $2.13\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of Ac$), 2.14\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of Ac$), 2.36\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of Ac$), 2.62$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7$ ), $2.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{a}), 4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.9$ Hz, H1), 4.77 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{~b}), 5.29$ (1H, ddd, $J=2.9,2.9,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2), 5.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 6.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 6.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 6), 7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.45-7.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic), $7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic), $7.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$
aromatic), $8.00\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, aromatic) ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 21.2,21.3,21.4$, $25.1,26.6,30.0,42.1,53.8,55.2,64.1,68.4,68.8,69.7,70.8,72.0,76.2,84.7,91.0,128.3,128.6$, 129.4, 129.6, 129.7, 130.0, 133.1, 133.3, 164.9, 165.1, 169.8, 170.7, 171.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NaO}_{13}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 691.2361$, found 691.2343 .


4-Hydroxyzinowol (1-12) [HT-20-171] DMAP ( $0.5 \mathrm{mg}, 4.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a solution of triol 1-176 ( $1.4 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(85 \mu \mathrm{~L}), \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(42 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(420 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temeprature for 17 h , and then pH 7 phosphate buffer ( 5 mL ) was added. The resultant solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL}$ $x 3)$, and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative-TLC (hexane/EtOAc 1/1) to afford 4-hydroxyzinowol 1-12 $(1.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $86 \%$ yield: colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}-11$ ( $c 0.065, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $v 3550$, 2923, 2850, 1747, 1368, 1280, 1229, 1093, 1045, 757, $709 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $1.52(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 14), 1.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 12), 1.62\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of Ac), $1.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 13), 2.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=15.5,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{a}), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of Ac$), 2.14\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of Ac$), 2.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,3.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 2.41\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of Ac), $2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{a}), 4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{~b}), 5.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3.4,3.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2), 5.65$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1), 5.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 9), 6.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 8), 6.19(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 6), 7.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.47(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=7.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic $), 7.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic $), 7.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic), $7.89\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, aromatic); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 20.3,21.1,21.3$, $21.4,25.0,26.6,30.0,42.0,53.8,54.0,64.6,68.0,68.1,69.8,70.1,70.6,76.0,84.9,91.0,128.26$, 128.31, 128.8, 129.2, 129.5, 130.3, 133.2, 133.6, 164.9, 165.4, 169.2, 169.6, 169.9, 170.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 733.2467$, found 733.2485 .

Comparison of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of natural and synthetic sample of 4-hydroxyzinowol
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## Chapter 2: Synthetic study of talatisamine









Bicyclic amines 2-80 and 2-81 [HT-21-151, 157, 159] $n-B u L i(3.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 6.1 $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(930 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(11 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , and then cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ketone 2-64 $(1.18 \mathrm{~g}$, $5.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 4 mL ) was added to the solution via cannula, and the flask was washed with THF ( 1 mL ) two times. The resulting solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , and then Mander's reagent ( $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 7.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min before the addition of saturate aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 30 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was then dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(17 \mathrm{~mL})$. $\mathrm{NaOMe}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 25 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , and then aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20$ mL ) was added. The resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 30 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was roughly purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 15 g ,
hexane/EtOAc 10/1) to afford crude ester 2-71 ( 837 mg ), which was used in the next reaction without further purification.

A solution of LDA was prepared as above from $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(4.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 6.9 $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL ). Crude ester 2-71 in THF ( $3+1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the solution via cannula at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and then HMPA ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Mander's reagent ( $340 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added successively. The resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , and then aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 40 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was roughly purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 10 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 1$ ) to afford crude diester 2-79 ( 1.03 g ).

A solution of crude diester 2-79 and $\mathrm{HCHO}\left(2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 37 \mathrm{wt} \%\right.$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH $(18 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{EtNH}_{2}\left(710 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 70 \mathrm{wt} \%\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added to the solution dropwise over 5 min . The resulting mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 22 h. The solution was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 60 mL ). The resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel twice ( 10 g , hexane/EtOAc 10/1) to afford a diastereomixture of bicyclic amines 2-80 and 2-81 ( $345 \mathrm{mg}, 0.826 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $16 \%$ yield over 4 steps $(\mathrm{dr}=2.2: 1)$. Major isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.28\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.38\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 1.01(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.52-1.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2$, or H 3$), 2.22-2.41\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and H1 or H2 or H3), $2.61(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=13.7,13.7,6.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 2.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H17 or H19), 2.97 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), 3.10 ( 1 H , dddd, $J=13.7$, 13.7, 13.7, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), $3.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), 7.34-7.37 (3H, m, SiPh), 7.50-7.53 (2H, m, SiPh) ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 440.1864$, found 440.1866 .



Alcohol 2-86 [HT-21-187, 195] $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(510 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of the 2.2:1 diastereomixture of bicyclic amines $\mathbf{2 - 8 0}$ and $\mathbf{2 - 8 1}$ ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 0.378 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $1,2-$ dichloroethane ( 3.8 mL ) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The obtained crude silyl fluorides $\mathbf{2 - 8 4}$ and $\mathbf{2 - 8 5}(128 \mathrm{mg})$ were used in the next reaction without further purification.

A solution of the crude silyl fluorides in TFA ( 3.6 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for $1 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{AcOOH}(9 \%$ in $\mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{ca} .3 \mathrm{~mL}$, ca. 3.7 mmol ) was added to the solution and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h . The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (ca. 3 mL ) was added slowly. After stirred for 15 min at room temperature, the solution was concentrated and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 2 g , hexane/EtOAc 2/1 to 1/1) to afford a diastereomixture of alcohols $\mathbf{2 - 8 6}$ and $\mathbf{2 - 8 7}(66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $58 \%$ yield over 2 steps ( $\mathrm{dr}=6: 1$ ). 2-86: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.98 ( 1 H , dddd, $13.3,6.4,6.4,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2$ ), 2.16 ( 1 H , ddd, $J=14.2,6.4,3.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 2.35-2.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3), 2.43-2.54\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), 2.89-2.95 (1H, m, H2), 3.04 ( 1 H , dd, $J=11.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19) 3.19 ( 1 H , dd, $J=$ $11.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), $3.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), $3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe})$, $3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}), 4.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NNaO}_{6}$
$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 322.1261$, found 322.1252.


TBS ether 2-88 [HT-21-197] TBSOTf ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.651 \mathrm{mmol}$ )was added to a solution of alcohol 2-86 ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 6: 1$ diastereomixture at C 1 -position) and 2,6-lutidine ( $130 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.12$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h , and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 2 g , hexane/EtOAc 20/1 to 10/1) to afford TBS ether 2-88 ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $85 \%$ yield as a diastereomixture at C 1 -position ( $\mathrm{dr}=6: 1$ ). Major isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-0.01$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), $0.07\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.84(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS), $1.11(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.90(1 \mathrm{H}$, br ddd, $J=12.8,6.4,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2), 2.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ dd, $14.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 2.28-$ $2.53\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and H3), $2.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H 19$), 3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.4$, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), 3.10-3.15 (1H, m, H2), 3.19 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19) 3.70$3.74\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 17\right.$ or H19), $3.74\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3} \mathrm{x} 2\right), 4.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NNaO}{ }_{6} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 436.2126$, found 436.2129 .


Diols 2-99 and 2-100 [HT-22-109] DIBAL ( $2.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, 4.4 mmol ) was added to a solution of ketone 2-88 ( $510 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 6: 1$ diastereomixture at C 1 -position) in toluene ( 13 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , and then pH 7 phosphate buffer ( 30 mL ), aqueous Rochelle's salt ( 250 mL ), and brine ( 200 mL ) was added in this order. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , and then extracted with EtOAc (100 $\mathrm{mL} \times 4$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 200 mL ) dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel
( 15 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 0$ to $3 / 1$ to $2 / 1$ ) to afford diol $\mathbf{2 - 9 9}$ ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.464 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $38 \%$ yield and slightly impure diol $\mathbf{2 - 1 0 0}(124 \mathrm{mg}, 0.320 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $26 \%$ yield. The yields are based on the major diastereomer of the starting material. 2-99: white solid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $0.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.83(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS), $1.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.7.3 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.23-1.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3), 1.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 1.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, 13.2 , $5.7,5.7,1.8, \mathrm{H} 2), 2.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H 19$), 2.28-2.45\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and H 2$)$, $2.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), $2.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), $3.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), $3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 18), 3.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 18), 3.72(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 5), 4.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-5.3$, $-4.0,12.6,17.8,25.6,28.8,29.9,38.9,47.8,51.6,52.0,54.5,55.8,70.4,73.9,75.7,174.5 ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 410.2333$, found 410.2337. 2-100: clear oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-0.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.85(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS), $1.06\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.38(1 \mathrm{H}$, br dd, $J=14.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 1.77(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=11.9,6.4,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2), 1.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H 19$), 1.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H17 or H19), 2.10-2.36 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and H3), $2.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), 2.71-2.82 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 2$ ), $3.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 18), 3.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 18), 3.57(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=11.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H 19$), 3.70\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 5), 4.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.46$ (dd, $J=10.5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1)$.


Methyl ether 2-101 [HT-22-113] MeI ( $145 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol}$, passed thorough alumina silica gel before use) was added to the suspension of diol 2-99 ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.464 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 216 mg , 0.928 mmol ) in 1,2-dichloroethane at room temperature. The resulting suspension was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark for 12 h , and then additional $\mathrm{MeI}(145 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The resulting mixture was further stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark for 12 h , and then the mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite. The cake was washed with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and the combined organic layers were concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 10 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 0$ to $15 / 1$ to $10 / 1$ ) to afford methyl ether $\mathbf{2 - 1 0 1}(95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $51 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-0.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.83(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $t$-Bu of TBS), $1.07\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=13.7,7.8,6.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3)$,
$1.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 1.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=12.8,6.4,6.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2), 2.26-2.41$ (3H, m, $-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and H 17 or H19), $2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), 2.55-2.65 ( 1 H , m, H2), $2.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), $3.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), $3.23(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 18), 3.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 18), 3.31\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.70\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.78 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 5$ ), 4.12 (dd, $J=11.0,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1$ ) ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 424.2490 , found 424.2490 .


Xanthate ester 2-103 [HT-22-159] NaH ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 50-70 \mathrm{wt} \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, $0.27-0.38$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the solution of methyl ether $\mathbf{2 - 1 0 1}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{CS}_{2}(55 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.90$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(900 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting suspension was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , and then MeI ( $55 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$, passed thorough alumina silica gel before use) was added. The resulting mixture was further stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , and then aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 5 mL ) were added. The resultant solution was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$, and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 3 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 0$ to $70 / 1$ to 20/1) to afford xanthate ester 2-103 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $68 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.03\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.84(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS), $1.08\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.54-1.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3), 1.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=12.4,6.4,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H2), $1.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3), 2.29-2.42\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and H 17 or H19), 2.47 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), $2.52\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 2.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), 2.86 (1H, dddd, $12.4,11.9,11.4,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2), 3.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 18), 3.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H18), $3.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H 19$), 3.58\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$, 4.30 (dd, $J=11.0,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1$ ), $5.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H} 5)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ [M+Na] ${ }^{+} 514.2088$, found 514.2084.


Terminal olefin 2-105 [HT-22-183] xanthate ester 2-103 ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was azeotropically dried with benzene three times before use. A mixture of the dried 2-103, V-40 ( $1.4 \mathrm{mg}, 5.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and allyltriphenyltin ( $196 \mathrm{mg}, 0.501 \mathrm{mmol}$, m.p. $72-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was heated at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the liquid became homogeneous, the stirring started and continued at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 13 h . After cooled to room temperature, the resulting mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (a column consecutively packed with silica gel 10 g and $10 \%$ (w/w) KF contained silica gel 6 g , hexane/EtOAc 70/1 to 20/1) to afford the mixture of $\mathbf{2 - 1 0 5}, \mathbf{2 - 1 0 6}$, and the starting material $\mathbf{2 - 1 0 3}$ ( 15.2 mg combined) in $44 \%, 16 \%$, and $10 \%$ yield respectively. Since separation of these compounds were difficult on preparative scale, yields were calculated based on the integrations in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the mixture. NMR chart of 2-105 was obtained by using a part of the sample further purified by preparative TLC (hexane/EtOAc 10/1). 2-105: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-0.06$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of TBS), $0.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.82(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS), $1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.61-1.81 (5H, m, H2, H3 x2, H5, and H6a,), 2.05 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), $2.16\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17\right.$ or H19), 2.21-2.34 (3H, m, $-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and H 6 b$), 2.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), $2.76-2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 2), 2.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 18), 3.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H} 18), 3.24\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H 19$), 3.58\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$, $4.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 1), 4.85\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,1.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}-\right), 4.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16.9$, $\left.1.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}-\right), 5.62-5.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 7)$.


Preparation of lithium species 2-115. [HT-23-107] Methoxymethly guaiacol ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39$ mmol ) was azeotropically dried with benzene three times, and then dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.7 \mathrm{~mL})$.
$n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the stirring solution at room temperature. White solid precipitated immediately after the addition of $n$-BuLi. Further stirring for 3 h afforded the suspension of aryl lithium 2-115.

Alcohol 2-116. [HT-23-103, 107, 111] TFA (ca. 1 mL ) was added to terminal olefin 2-105 ( 17 mg , $39 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, calculated amount) and then the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The procedure was repeated three times. Thus obtained residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ozone was bubbled via needle to the stirring solution until the color of the solution turned to blue (for about 20 seconds). The resulting solution was stirred for about 3 minutes at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. And then oxygen gas was bubbled via needle into the reaction mixture until the blue color disappeared (for 30 seconds), followed by addition of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (ca. 1 mL ). The mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 9 h , and then concentrated to afford crude zwitterion 2-110, which was azeotropically dried with benzene three times. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(500 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and TMEDA (65 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) was added at room temperature and the resulting suspension was sonicated for about 1 min . Suspension of aryl lithium 2-115 in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to the suspension of $\mathbf{2 - 1 1 0}$ via cannula at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperatue for 13 h , and then aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( 3 mL ) was added. The resultant solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the mixture of alcohol $\mathbf{2 - 1 1 5}$ and lactone 2-116. The mixture was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOMe}(25 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 29 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , and then aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The resultant solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( 2 g , hexane/EtOAc $1 / 0$ to $10 / 1$ to $5 / 1$ to $3 / 1$ ) to afford alcohol 2-116 ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $51 \%$ yield over 3 steps as a mixture of diastereomers ( $\mathrm{dr}=1: 0.8$ ). Major isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), 0.84 ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS), 1.02 $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.05-1.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 6), 1.69-1.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{x} 2), 1.96-2.10$ (3H, m, H6b, H17 or H19 x2,), $2.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 5), 2.14-2.29\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), 2.79-2.88 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 2$ ), $3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 18), 3.17$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 3.25 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 18$ ), $3.31-3.34$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), 3.34 ( 3 H , $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.53\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.70\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 3.84\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.20-4.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 1), 4.93(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=11.0,4.6,2.8, \mathrm{H} 7), 5.12\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 5.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 6.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, P h), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, P h), 7.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.2,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, P h) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-5.3,-3.8,12.5,17.8,25.59,25.63,31.0,33.9$,
$36.6,38.3,39.1,48.2,51.7,52.2,54.8,55.4,55.7,57.9,59.0,68.1,76.1,78.3,98.9,111.0,118.5$, 124.6, 139.4, 142.5, 151.6, 176.9 ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 618.3433$, found 618.3427.
Minor isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-0.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of TBS), $0.83(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of TBS $), 1.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=15.6$, $4.1,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{a}), 1.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{a}), 1.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ ddd, $J=11.9,6.4,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{a}), 1.82(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=15.6,11.0,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~b}) 1.88-1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~b}$ and H 17 or H 19$)$ 2.03-2.10 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{and}$ H17 or H19), 2.14-2.28 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 17$ or H19), 2.79-2.90 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~b}), 2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 18), 3.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 18), 3.38\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH} H_{3}\right)$, $3.57\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.68\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 3.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.21-4.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H} 1$, and OH$), 4.87(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=11.0,3.7,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 7), 5.11\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 5.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 6.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, P h), 6.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, P h), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2$, $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, P h)$.
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| $\substack{395.88 \mathrm{MHz} \\ 395.88 \mathrm{MHz}}$ |
| :---: |
| 0.81 mz |


6.28 KHz
0.87 Hz
6.44 usec
0.00 usee
0.00000 msec

| 0.00000 msec |
| :---: |
| $\substack{1.0000 \\ 16384}$ |
| 1.68 sec |

를
DUMMY
PREQU
FFLT
DELAY
ACQTM
-

| EXMOD |
| :--- |
| EXPCM |
| IRNUC |
| sit |


| PCM | $1 \mathrm{~V}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| 99 |  |



## HT-21-161-1.jdf

LKLE
LGLEV
LKPHS
LKSG
CSFED
FIIDC
FLDF
CTEM
SLEN
EXRE



[^18]HT-109-f13-16


[^19]HT-22-003-f7-10



[^20]HT-22-115



[^21]HT-22-141-prep1


[^22]

 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | -0.5 | -1.0 | -1.5 | -2.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

HT-23-111-prep1


HT-23-111-prep1-C- 1. .
$\underset{\substack{\text { HT-23-111-prep1 } \\ \text { 14-01-2015 06:34:14 }}}{\text { and }}$
C 13

HT-23-111-prep2


2-116-minor

$$
2.48
$$

$\xrightarrow{20}$
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[^2]:    
    

[^3]:     $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}14.0 & 9.5 & 9.0 & 8.5 & 8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 6.5 & 6.0 & 5.5 & 5.0 & 4.5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.0\end{array}$

[^4]:    

[^5]:     $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}14.0 & 9.5 & 9.0 & 8.5 & 8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 0.5 & 6.0 & 5.5 & 5.0 & 4.5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.0\end{array}$

[^6]:    
    

[^7]:    
    

[^8]:    DFLE HT-20-015-TM-C-1 T $\mathrm{HT-20-015-TM-C-1.jdf}$ 1 25-10-2013 13:18:01 ${ }^{\mathrm{UF}} \mathrm{CO}$

    | 99.55 MH |
    | :--- |
    | 5.13 KHz |
    | 0.98 Hz | m

    

    | PREDL | 0.000 |
    | :--- | ---: |
    | IWT | 1.00 |
    | PONT | 327 |
    | SPOT | 327 |
    | TTMES | 22 |
    | DDMMY | 4 |
    | FREQU | 31250 |
    | FLT | 1250 |

    
    

    | texroun |
    | :---: |
    | Rexic |
    | Ruc |

    $\mathrm{IH}_{\substack{395.88 \mathrm{MHz} \\ 6.28 \mathrm{KHz}}}$
    $\underset{\substack{395.88 \mathrm{M} \\ 6.28 \mathrm{KHz} \\ 0.87 \mathrm{~Hz}}}{\substack{\text { Hen } \\ \hline}}$
    115 usec
    HT-20-015-TM-C-1.jdf
    Hent
    
    $\mathrm{CDCL}^{26.0}{ }^{2} \mathrm{c}$
    $\underset{77.00}{\mathrm{CDPLL}^{2.00}}$

[^9]:    $\begin{array}{ll}\text { DFILE } & \text { HT-17-015-C- } 1 . \mathrm{jdf} \\ \text { CIMT }\end{array}$ COMNT HT-17-015 | DATIM |
    | :--- |
    | $\begin{array}{l}\text { De-04- } \\ \text { MENEF } \\ \text { OBNC } \\ \text { OFP }\end{array}$ |

    
     31250.00 Hz
    12500 Hz
    20.5 usec
    1.046 sec
    2.0000 sec
    
    
    ${ }_{1 H}$
    1 H
    $\left.\begin{array}{c}395.88 \mathrm{M} \\ 6.2 \mathrm{KHz} \\ 0.87 \mathrm{~Hz} \\ 15 \\ 15 \mathrm{~m}\end{array}\right)$
    715 usec
    HT-17-015-C-1.j
    $\underset{\substack{1220 \mathrm{KHz} \\ 75 \mathrm{Kl}}}{1 / 2}$
    
    

[^10]:    DFILE HT-15-077-47-C.C.1
    
    

     | ULC |
    | :--- | :--- |
    | 14 |
    | 395 |

     | IRRPW |
    | :---: |
    | $\begin{array}{c}\text { IRRTN } \\ \text { IRFIE } \\ \text { DFF } \\ \text { SF }\end{array}$ |

     13C-11-2012 13:00:50
    
    

[^11]:     Tit
     ${ }^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{C}_{1 \mathrm{H}}$
    

[^12]:    DFIL HT-15-191-reprep-C-1.ja COMNT
    DATIM
    HT-15-191-reprep-C NUF
    NUC
    13C
    99.55 N OBFRQ
    OBEET
    OBFI
    OWI
    PW1
    DETDT

    | 99.5 MHz |
    | :--- |
    | $\begin{array}{l}9.513 \mathrm{KHz} \\ 0.98 \mathrm{~Hz}\end{array}$ |

    

[^13]:    pfile ht:20.073.-C.1.juf COMNT HT-20-0.73 -C.1.jd
    
    
    

[^14]:    DFLLE HT-20-077-f14-17-C1.j COMNT HT-20-077-f1417 DATIM
    MENUF
    OBNE
    OBC
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^15]:    
    

[^16]:    $\underset{\substack{\text { HT-20-081-C-1.j } \\ \text { HT-20-081-C }}}{ }$
     ${ }^{13 \mathrm{C}}{ }_{9}$
    
    

[^17]:    
    ${ }^{\mathrm{HT}-20-167-\mathrm{Cr} \text { reals }}$
    
    $2014-43-12$
    13 C
    12320
    122
    
    

[^18]:     | 10.0 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^19]:    
    

[^20]:    
    

[^21]:    
    

[^22]:     $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}14.0 & 9.5 & 9.0 & 8.5 & 8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 6.5 & 6.0 & 5.5 & 5.0 & 4.5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.0\end{array}$

[^23]:     $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}14.0 & 9.5 & 9.0 & 8.5 & 8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 6.5 & 6.0 & 5.5 & 5.0 & 4.5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.0\end{array}$

